

## THE MIN CONE OVER THE CIRCLE GROUP

J. E. L'HEUREUX

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that the min cone over the circle group is the only inverse semigroup or Clifford semigroup on the 2-cell with an identity whose set of idempotents has a cut point.

A topological inverse semigroup  $S$  is a Hausdorff space together with a continuous associative multiplication in which each element has a unique inverse and whose idempotents commute. Such semigroups were studied in [5], which includes a characterization of inverse semigroups whose underlying space is an arc.

Considering inverse semigroups on the 2-cell, the purpose of this paper is to show that the min cone over the circle group is the only inverse semigroup with an identity on the 2-cell whose set of idempotents has a cut point. Unless otherwise stated,  $S$  will denote such a semigroup and  $E$  its set of idempotents. The closure of  $A$  will be denoted by  $A^*$  while other notations, definitions, and preliminary results can be found in [2], [3], and [5].

The existence of the kernel  $K$  in compact semigroups is well known, as are the facts that  $K$  is connected if  $S$  is connected, and that  $K$  consists of one  $\mathcal{D}$ -class. It follows that  $K$  is a single point and hence  $S$  has a zero.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $e$  is a cut point of  $E$ , then  $H(e)$  is a circle group.*

**PROOF.** Let  $r$  be the retraction of  $S$  onto  $E$  defined by  $r(s) = ss^{-1}$  [5]. Thus  $r^{-1}(e) = R(e)$ , the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $e$ , separates  $S$  and it follows that  $\dim R(e) = \dim D(e) = 1$  [3], [4]. Inversion restricted to  $R(e)$  is a homeomorphism onto  $L(e)$ , so  $\dim(L(e) \times R(e)) = 2$ . The multiplication map  $m$  from  $L(e) \times R(e)$  onto  $D(e)$  lowers dimension by one, so it follows that there are elements  $t \in L(e)$  and  $s \in R(e)$  such that  $m^{-1}(ts) \subset H(t) \times H(s)$  and  $\dim m^{-1}(ts) \geq 1$ ; hence  $\dim H(t) = \dim H(s) = 1$ . By Green's translational lemmas,  $H(e)$  is homeomorphic to  $H(s)$ , hence  $\dim H(e) = 1$  and  $H(e)$  is a circle group [1].

Let  $T$  be a min thread from 1 to 0 [6]; clearly  $T$  contains  $e$ . By Exercise 7, p. 209 of [3],  $SeS = eSe$  is a min cone over the circle group. In fact there

---

Received by the editors February 16, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22A15; Secondary 22A99.

Key words and phrases. Topological inverse semigroups, 2-cell.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

is a maximal idempotent of  $T$ , say  $f$ , such that  $SfS=fSf$  is a min cone over the circle group. Let  $e$  now denote this maximal idempotent. If  $H(e)=\text{Bd } S$  then  $e=1$  and we are through. Therefore suppose  $e \neq 1$  and let  $T_1=\{t \in T: e \leq t\}$  and  $C=\{s \in S: se=e\}$ . Under this assumption,  $E \setminus SeS \neq \emptyset$  and has no cut points, so let  $e_0$  denote an interior point of  $E \setminus SeS$ .

LEMMA 2. *The center of  $S$  contains  $SeS$ .*

PROOF. For  $x \in S \setminus SeS$  and  $f \in E \cap SeS$ ,  $f \leq xx^{-1}$  and  $xfx^{-1} \in E \cap H(f)$ ; but  $H(f)$  is a group, so  $xfx^{-1}=f$  and  $xf=fx$ . If  $t \in SeS$ , then  $tx=(tt^{-1}t)x=t(t^{-1}t)x=(t^{-1}tx)t$  since  $t^{-1}tx \in H(t)$  and  $SeS$  is commutative. Now  $t^{-1}tx=xt^{-1}t$  since  $t^{-1}t=tt^{-1} \in E \cap SeS$ , so  $tx=(t^{-1}tx)t=(xtt^{-1})t=xt$ .

LEMMA 3. *The set  $C$  is a closed connected acyclic inverse subsemigroup of  $S$ .*

PROOF. For  $c \in C$ ,  $cT_1 \subset C$  and is an arc from  $c$  to  $e$ . Therefore  $C$  is connected and it is easily shown that  $C$  is a closed connected inverse subsemigroup. The mapping  $H$  from  $T_1 \times C$  onto  $C$  defined by  $H(t, c)=tc$  is continuous, where  $H(1, c)=c$  and  $H(e, c)=e$  for all  $c \in C$ . The generalized homotopy theorem [7] implies  $H^n(C) \approx H^n(e)$  for all  $n$ , hence  $C$  is acyclic.

LEMMA 4.  $1 \notin (S \setminus C)^*$ .

PROOF. If  $\{t_n\}$  is a sequence in  $S \setminus C$  converging to 1, then  $\{t_n e_0\}$  converges to  $e_0$  and there exists an  $m$  such that  $t_m e_0 \in E \setminus SeS$ . Hence  $t_m e_0 \in C$ ; but  $e=(t_m e_0)e=t_m(e_0 e)=t_m e$ , a contradiction, since  $t_m \in S \setminus C$ .

LEMMA 5. *If  $x \in S \setminus C$  and  $c \in C$ , then  $xc, cx \in S \setminus C$  and  $xT \cap C = \emptyset$ .*

PROOF.  $ex=xe \neq e$  and  $ce=ec=e$ , so  $(xc)e=x(ce)=xe \neq e$  and  $(cx)e=e(cx)=ex \neq e$ .

LEMMA 6.  $\text{Bd } S \cap C$  is an arc from  $a$  to  $a^{-1}$  for some  $a \in C$ .

PROOF. Let  $a \in \text{Bd } S \cap C$  such that  $a \neq 1$ . Since  $C$  is acyclic,  $\text{Bd } S \not\subset C$ , and since inversion is a homeomorphism on  $\text{Bd } S$ , it will suffice to show that one of the arcs of  $\text{Bd } S$  from  $a$  to 1 is contained in  $C$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be these arcs. Suppose there exist  $x \in A \setminus C$  and  $y \in B \setminus C$ . Then the connected set  $T_1 \cup aT_1 \subset C$  separates either  $x$  or  $y$  from 0, while  $xT \cup yT$  is a connected subset of  $S \setminus C$  containing  $x, y$ , and 0, a contradiction.

LEMMA 7.  $\text{Bd}(S \setminus C) \cap C = aT_1 \cup a^{-1}T_1$ .

PROOF. Clearly  $aT_1 \cup a^{-1}T_1 \subset \text{Bd}(S \setminus C) \cap C$ , and since  $1 \notin aT_1 \cup a^{-1}T_1$ , this set separates  $S$  and the components separated from 0 are contained

in  $C$ . Let  $\{t_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S \setminus C$  converging to  $a$  such that  $t_n e \neq t_n^{-1} e$ , and let  $H_n$  be the arc of  $H(e)$  from  $t_n e$  to  $t_n^{-1} e$  containing  $e$ . Now consider the arcs  $C_n = t_n T_1 \cup H_n \cup t_n^{-1} T_1$ , noting  $H_n \cap t_n T_1 = \{t_n e\}$ ,  $H_n \cap t_n^{-1} T_1 = \{t_n^{-1} e\}$ , and  $t_n T_1 \cap t_n^{-1} T_1 = \emptyset$ . The arcs  $C_n$  separate  $C$  from 0, and clearly  $\{C_n\}$  converges to  $a T_1 \cup a^{-1} T_1$ . Now if  $x \in S$  is such that  $x$  and 0 are not separated by  $a T_1 \cup a^{-1} T_1$ , then there is an  $m$  such that  $C_m$  separates  $x$  from  $C$ . Hence  $x \notin C$  and  $a T_1 \cup a^{-1} T_1 = \text{Bd}(S \setminus C) \cap C$ .

**THEOREM 1.**  *$S$  is the min cone over the circle group and  $e=1$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 6, the  $\text{Bd } S \cap C$  is an arc with end points  $a$  and  $a^{-1}$ . It follows from Lemmas 5 and 7 that  $aa^{-1} \in a T_1 \cup a^{-1} T_1$ . Therefore either  $aa^{-1} = af$  for some  $f \in T_1$  and  $a^{-1} = a^{-1} aa^{-1} = a^{-1} af \in E$ , a contradiction, or  $aa^{-1} = a^{-1} f$  and  $a = aa^{-1} a = a^{-1} fa \in E$ , again a contradiction.

The referee observed that if  $S$  is a Clifford semigroup with identity on the 2-cell, whose set  $E$  of idempotents has a cut point, then Lemmas 1 and 2 follow with slight modifications, where  $e$  can again be considered the maximum idempotent of  $T$  for which  $eSe$  is a min cone over the circle group. Continuing the referee's suggestions, let  $T_1 = \{t \in T : e \leq t\}$ . Then  $\theta : T_1 \times S \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\theta(t, x) = tx$  is a homotopy retract of  $S$  onto  $eSe$  and by the homology properties of the circle and disk, this implies  $e \cdot \text{Bd } S = H(e)$ . Now if  $g \in \text{Bd } S$  is such that  $eg$  has infinite order, then multiplication by  $e$  maps the closed subgroup generated by  $g$  homomorphically onto  $H(e)$ , and since dimension cannot be raised by a homomorphism,  $g \in H(e)$ . Let  $C = \{s \in S : se = e\}$ ; again  $\text{Bd } S \cap C$  is a connected arc containing 1 with end points  $a$  and  $b$ . But there exist sequences of elements of infinite order in  $H(e) \cap \text{Bd } S$  converging to  $a$  and  $b$ . Hence  $a = e = b$  and  $e = 1$ .

The following more general theorem now follows, although in the case of Clifford semigroups one must take into consideration the fact that idempotents need not commute.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup or Clifford semigroup with identity on the 2-cell whose set of idempotents has a cut point, then  $S$  is the min cone over the circle group.*

The author has some results in the case when the set of idempotents has no cut point and in a later paper this case will be considered, with a view to completing a characterization of inverse and Clifford semigroups with identity on the 2-cell.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor R. J. Koch for his encouragement and helpfulness during the preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. L. W. Anderson and R. P. Hunter, *The  $\mathcal{H}$ -equivalence in compact semigroups*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **14** (1962), 274–296. MR **27** #1920.
2. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*. Vols. I, II, Math. Surveys, no. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961, 1967. MR **24** #A2627; **36** #1558.
3. K. H. Hofmann and P. S. Mostert, *Elements of compact semigroups*, Charles E. Merrill, Columbus, Ohio, 1966. MR **35** #285.
4. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Math. Series, vol. 4, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1941. MR **3**, 312.
5. R. J. Koch and A. D. Wallace, *Notes on inverse semigroups*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **9** (1964), 19–24. MR **31** #1662.
6. R. J. Koch, *Arcs in partially ordered spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 723–728. MR **21** #7269.
7. A. D. Wallace, *Cohomology, dimension and mobs*, Summa Brasil. Math. **3** (1953), 43–55. MR **15**, 336.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST CHESTER STATE COLLEGE, WEST CHESTER, PENNSYLVANIA 19380

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115