

WEIGHTED REPRESENTATIONS OF A PRIMITIVE ALGEBRA

E. G. GOODAIRE¹

ABSTRACT. Let L be a diagonalizable subspace of an associative algebra A with identity over a field F ; that is, L is spanned by a set of pairwise commuting elements, and the linear transformations $\text{ad } x: a \mapsto ax - xa$ for $x \in L$ are simultaneously diagonalizable. Denote the centralizer of L in A by \mathcal{C} . A module V over A or \mathcal{C} is L -weighted if for some nonzero $v \in V$ and map $\lambda: L \rightarrow F$, $v(x - \lambda(x)1)^{n(x)} = 0$ for each $x \in L$, and x -weighted if for some nonzero $v \in V$, $\lambda \in F$ and positive integer n , $v(x - \lambda 1)^n = 0$. In this paper we give conditions under which the following statements are equivalent:

1. All irreducible modules over A and \mathcal{C} are L -weighted.
2. For each $x \in L$, some irreducible A -module is x -weighted and x is algebraic over F .

Let A be an associative algebra with 1 over a field F of characteristic 0 which possesses a *diagonalizable subspace*. By this, we mean a subspace L spanned by a set of pairwise commuting elements such that $\{\text{ad } x: x \in L\}$ is a simultaneously diagonalizable set of linear transformations of A , where for any $x, a \in A$, $\text{ad } x: a \mapsto (a, x) \equiv ax - xa$. Corresponding to L , there is a collection Δ of maps $\alpha: L \rightarrow F$, called *roots* of L in A , such that A decomposes into $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$, where $A_\alpha = A_\alpha(L) = \{a \in A: (a, x) = \alpha(x)a \text{ for every } x \in L\}$. A module V over any subalgebra of A containing L is said to be L -weighted if there is a map $\lambda: L \rightarrow F$, called a *weight* of L in V , and a nonzero $v \in V$, such that $v(x - \lambda(x)1)^n = 0$ for $n = n(x) \in N$, the natural numbers, and each $x \in L$. If for some $x \in L$, $n \in N$, and $\lambda \in F$, $v(x - \lambda 1)^n = 0$, then V is x -weighted. In fact, any weight or root is always a linear functional on L [1]. It is known [1] that should V be both L -weighted and irreducible, then V has a *weight space decomposition*, $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$ relative to the complete set Λ of weights of L in V . Here $V_\lambda = \{v \in V: v(x - \lambda(x)1)^{n(x)} = 0 \text{ for each } x \in L\}$ is the *weight space* corresponding to λ .

Received by the editors April 11, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A12, 16A20; Secondary 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Diagonalizable subspace, weighted representation.

¹ Part of this work appears in the author's doctoral dissertation written at the University of British Columbia under the supervision of Dr. C. T. Anderson.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

Now $A_0(L)$ is a subalgebra of A containing 1 and L , for it is just the centralizer \mathcal{C} of L in A . This centralizer plays an important role in the representation theory for A : we have shown elsewhere that a theorem of Lemire [2] concerning the weighted irreducible representations of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is a consequence of the fact that any Cartan subalgebra of such a Lie algebra is a diagonalizable subspace of the universal enveloping algebra. For a fixed map $\lambda: L \rightarrow F$, the irreducible L -weighted representations of A which have λ as a weight are in one-to-one correspondence with the same class of representations of \mathcal{C} , up to equivalence [1].

Now the mere existence of a diagonalizable subspace in A does not guarantee that all irreducible A -modules are weighted, as an example in [3] shows; furthermore, it is not clear that the existence of weight space decompositions for all irreducible A -modules implies such decompositions for all irreducible \mathcal{C} -modules. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for all the representations of A and \mathcal{C} to be L -weighted. The notation and conventions of our first paragraph will be everywhere employed.

THEOREM. *Let $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$ be the decomposition of a primitive algebra A corresponding to a diagonalizable subspace L with centralizer \mathcal{C} . Suppose Δ is finite and L is finite dimensional. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *All irreducible modules of A and \mathcal{C} are L -weighted.*
- (2) *For each $x \in L$, x is algebraic and some irreducible A -module is x -weighted.*

EXAMPLE. We begin with an example intended to show the complexity of the problem of determining which representations of an algebra are weighted. Let A be the universal enveloping algebra of the two-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebra over a field F (of characteristic 0). Then A is just the ring of noncommuting polynomials over F in two indeterminates x and y , with $yx = (x+1)y$. One can verify that, in fact, $y^i f(x) = f(x+i)y^i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f(x) \in F[x]$. The subspace Fx is diagonalizable and $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha_n \in \Delta} A_{\alpha_n}$ where $\alpha_n: x \mapsto nx$ for any integer $n \geq 0$. In fact, A_{α_n} is merely $F[x]y^n$. We prove first that A is primitive. To this end, note that $y+1$ is not invertible in A and so must be contained in a maximal right ideal J which has the property

- (1) $f(x)y^k \in J$ for $k > 0$, and $f(x) \in F[x]$ implies $f \equiv 0$.

This follows by induction on $n = \text{degree } f$ and k . For $n=0$, if αy^k and $y+1$ are both in J , then J contains 1 because these are relatively prime polynomials. Assuming the validity of (1) for polynomials f with degree

less than n , suppose $f(x) \in F[x]$ has degree n and $f(x)y^k$ and $y+1$ are both in J . Then J must contain

$$(f(x)y^k, y + 1) = f(x)y^{k+1} - yf(x)y^k = (f(x) - f(x + 1))y^{k+1}.$$

Since $f(x) - f(x+1)$ has degree less than n , $f(x) = f(x+1)$ by induction. This means $\xi + m$ is a root of f for every integer $m \geq 0$ and any root ξ of f . But this is impossible unless f has degree 0, and this possibility has already been eliminated. We therefore have (1). It follows immediately that J can contain no two-sided ideal of A except (0) because any such ideal T can be written $T = \bigoplus_{\alpha_n \in \Delta} T \cap A_{\alpha_n}$ (§4 of [1]). Hence A/J is a simple, faithful A -module and A is primitive.

Now A/J is not weighted, for we can prove

$$(2) \quad \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x)y^i \right) (x - \alpha 1)^m \in J \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x)y^i \in J$$

where $a_i(x) \in F[x]$, $i=0, \dots, n$. For $n=0$, $a_0(x)(x - \alpha 1)^m \in J$ implies $a_0(x)=0$ or $x = \alpha 1$ by (1), and the latter possibility is false. If J contains

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x)y^i \right) (x - \alpha 1)^m = \sum_{i=0}^n y^i a_i(x - i1)(x - \alpha 1)^m$$

then it also contains

$$(y + 1)^n b(x)(x - \alpha 1)^m = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} y^i b(x)(x - \alpha 1)^m, \quad b(x) = a_n(x - n1)$$

because $y+1 \in J$, and so J contains the difference of these two elements; namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^i \left(a_i(x - i1) - \binom{n}{i} b(x) \right) (x - \alpha 1)^m \\ = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(a_i(x) - \binom{n}{i} b(x + i1) \right) y^i (x - \alpha 1)^m. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we may assume $a_i(x) = \binom{n}{i} b(x + i1)$; i.e. that $a_i(x) = \binom{n}{i} a_0(x + i1)$, for $i=0, \dots, n-1$. Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x)y^i = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a_0(x + i1)y^i = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} y^i a_0(x) = (1 + y)^n a_0(x)$$

is in J . Hence we have (2) and A/J cannot be weighted.

On the other hand, some A -modules are weighted; for example, there is a maximal right ideal I of A containing x (because x is not invertible) and so A/I is an irreducible Fx -weighted A -module ($(I+1)\alpha x = 0$ for any $\alpha \in F$).

PROPOSITION. *If L is a finite-dimensional diagonalable subspace of A and V is an irreducible A -module, then V is L -weighted if and only if V is x -weighted for every $x \in L$.*

PROOF. If V is L -weighted, then V is x -weighted for every $x \in L$ by definition. Conversely, suppose x_1, \dots, x_k is a basis for L . By induction, we assume that there exist scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$, and positive integers n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} , such that $v(x_i - \lambda_i 1)^{n_i} = 0$ for some nonzero $v \in V, i=1, \dots, k-1$. Now, because V is x_k -weighted, it is easy to see that V has a weight space decomposition relative to the diagonalable subspace $Fx_k, V = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} V_\gamma$, and so we can write $v = \sum v_\gamma, v_\gamma \in V_\gamma$. Using the fact that $V_\gamma A_0(Fx_k) \subset V_\gamma$ (see [1]), $v(x_i - \lambda_i 1)^{n_i} = 0, i=1, \dots, k-1$, implies $v_\gamma(x_i - \lambda_i 1)^{n_i} = 0, i=1, \dots, k-1$. Clearly for some $\gamma, v_\gamma \neq 0$, so defining $u = v_\gamma$, and $\lambda_n = \gamma(x_k)$ we have $u(x_i - \lambda_i 1)^{n_i} = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, k$. Define $\lambda: L \rightarrow F$ by $\lambda(x_i) = \lambda_i$ and extend to L by linearity. Then a straightforward calculation shows that $u(x - \lambda(x)1)^M = 0, M = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$, for any $x \in L$. Thus V is L -weighted.

LEMMA 1. *Let L be a diagonalable subspace of a prime algebra A over F which possesses only a finite set Δ of roots. Suppose $x \in L$ is algebraic and X is any subalgebra of A containing x . Then if any irreducible X -module is x -weighted, every irreducible X -module is x -weighted.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove that the minimal (monic) polynomial $p(t)$ over F which x satisfies has all its roots in F . The key step towards this is establishing that $p(t)$ has the form

$$(3) \quad p(t) = \prod_{\alpha \in S} q(t + \alpha)$$

where $q(t) \in F[t]$ is irreducible and $S \subset \mathfrak{A} = \{\alpha(x) : \alpha \text{ a root of } Fx \text{ in } A\}$. Since A is semiprime, so is the centralizer $A_0(x)$ of x by [1]. Thus $p(t)$ must be of the form $p_1(t) \cdots p_s(t)$, where $p_1(t), \dots, p_s(t)$ are the distinct monic irreducible factors of $p(t)$ in $F[t]$. Let A_{ij} denote the subspace $\{a \in A : p_i(x)a = 0 = ap_j(x)\}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s$. Then we have

$$(4) \quad A = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^s A_{ij}.$$

To prove this, we note that the linear transformation $L_x: a \mapsto xa$ of A is algebraic with minimal polynomial also $p(t)$ (all algebras we consider contain 1). Thus $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s A_i$ where $A_i = \{a \in A : p_i(x)a = 0\}$. Now each subspace A_i is invariant under the linear transformation $R_x: a \mapsto ax$, which is also algebraic with minimal polynomial $p(t)$. Thus the restriction

of R_x to A_i has minimal polynomial dividing $p(t)$, and so each A_i decomposes into a direct sum of spaces A_{ij} for some integers $j, 1 \leq j \leq s$. This gives (4).

Now for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq s$, define $a_k = \prod_{i \neq k} p_i(x)$. Then $a_k \neq 0$, and for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, $a_i A_j \neq 0$ because A is prime. But $a_i A_j \subset A_{ij}$ and so each of the spaces A_{ij} is nonzero. Suppose then that $0 \neq a \in A_{j1}$ and $a = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} a_\alpha$ is the decomposition of a relative to Fx (i.e. $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} A_\alpha(x)$). For some $\alpha, a_\alpha \neq 0$, and because $A_\alpha(x)A_0(x) \subset A_\alpha(x)$ and the sum $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} A_\alpha(x)$ is direct, $a_\alpha p_1(x) = 0$. But $(a_\alpha, x) = \alpha a_\alpha$ easily implies $p_1(x + \alpha)a_\alpha = 0$. We have also $p_j(x)a = 0$ and so just as above $p_j(x)a_\alpha = 0$. Thus the polynomials $p_1(t + \alpha)$ and $p_j(t)$ cannot be relatively prime, and because they are irreducible and monic, $p_j(t) = p_1(t + \alpha)$. This establishes (3), where $q(t) = p_1(t)$.

Now by hypothesis, some irreducible X -module V is x -weighted, and the existence of a nonzero $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in F$ with $v(x - \lambda 1)^n = 0$ certainly implies $(x - \lambda 1)$ is not invertible in X . Thus the polynomials $p(t)$ and $t - \lambda$ are not relatively prime; i.e. $t - \lambda$ divides $p(t)$. It follows that for some $\alpha \in S, q(t + \alpha) = t - \lambda$; hence $q(t) = t - (\lambda + \alpha)$ and all roots of $p(t)$ lie in F .

This lemma, together with the proposition, gives one half of our theorem (because primitive algebras are prime). The other half is valid for L of arbitrary dimension.

LEMMA 2. *Let A be a primitive algebra over F which decomposes $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$ relative to a diagonal subspace L . Then if Δ is finite and all irreducible modules over A and \mathcal{C} (the centralizer of L) are L -weighted, all elements of L are algebraic over F .*

PROOF. We make use of Theorem 1.6 in [1] which states that \mathcal{C} is a direct sum of finitely many primitive algebras $R_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. If I is any maximal right ideal of \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C}/I is an irreducible \mathcal{C} -module and hence weighted; i.e. for some $\lambda: L \rightarrow F$ and $u \in \mathcal{C} \setminus I, u(x - \lambda(x)1)^{n(x)} \in I$ for every $x \in L$. Since $x - \lambda(x)1$ is in the centre of \mathcal{C} , it follows that $x - \lambda(x)1 \in I$. For each i , there exists a maximal right ideal J_i of R_i containing no nonzero ideal of R_i . The above argument then shows that $J_i \oplus \sum_{j \neq i} R_j$, which is a maximal right ideal of \mathcal{C} , contains $x - \lambda_i(x)1$ for every $x \in L$ and some $\lambda_i: L \rightarrow F, i = 1, \dots, n$. For a particular $x \in L$, write $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, x_i \in R_i$, and $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i, e_i$ the identity of R_i . By looking at the i -component of $x - \lambda_i(x)1$, we see that $x_i - \lambda_i e_i \in J_i, \lambda_i = \lambda_i(x)$. But $x_i - \lambda_i e_i$ is in the centre of R_i because $x - \lambda(x)1$ is in the centre of \mathcal{C} . Thus $(x_i - \lambda_i e_i)R_i$ is an ideal of R_i contained in J_i . It now follows that $x_i = \lambda_i e_i$, and $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i$ with e_1, \dots, e_n pairwise orthogonal idempotents. Since x satisfies the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i) \in F[t]$, it is algebraic.

REFERENCES

1. E. G. Goodaire, *Irreducible representations of algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* (to appear).
2. F. W. Lemire, *Weight spaces and irreducible representations of simple Lie algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1969), 192–197. MR **39** #4326.
3. ———, *Existence of weight space decompositions for irreducible representations of simple Lie algebras*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **14** (1971), 113–115. MR **45** #328.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER,
BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Memorial University, St John's,
Newfoundland, Canada