

## POINTWISE BOUNDS ON EIGENFUNCTIONS AND WAVE PACKETS IN $N$ -BODY QUANTUM SYSTEMS. I

BARRY SIMON<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We provide a simple proof of (a modification of) Kato's theorem on the Hölder continuity of wave packets in  $N$ -body quantum systems. Using this method of proof and recent results of O'Connor, we prove a pointwise bound

$$|\Psi(\zeta)| \leq D_\epsilon \exp[-(1 - \epsilon)a_0 |x|]$$

on discrete eigenfunctions of energy  $E$ . Here  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $a_0^2 = 2$  (mass of the system) [ $\text{dist}(E, \sigma_{\text{ess}})$ ] and  $|x|$  is the radius of gyration.

**1. Introduction.** In 1957, T. Kato published a beautiful paper [2] which has not received the attention it deserves. Our secondary goal in this note is to provide a simple proof of Kato's result on the Hölder continuity of "wave packets" (i.e. vectors in  $C^\infty(H)$ ) for  $N$ -body quantum systems on  $\mathbb{R}^{3N-3}$  with two body potentials. Our proof of this fact, which appears in §2, uses the basic elements of Kato's proof, especially an  $L^p$ -bootstrap; but by working in momentum space instead of configuration space, we avoid the use of modified fundamental solutions and the only  $L^p$  estimates we will need are Hölder's and Young's inequalities.

Our interest in Kato's paper was aroused by, and our major goal is related to, recent work of R. Ahlrichs [1] on the exponential falloff of discrete eigenfunctions of atomic systems. On physical grounds, one expects such an eigenfunction  $\Psi$  to behave more or less like  $\exp(-a_0|x|)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  where  $|x|$  is the radius of gyration of the system (see §3) and where  $a_0$  is a simple function of the masses of particles and the distance of the eigenvalue from the essential spectrum, (see §3 for an explicit formula). Ahlrichs proves that  $\exp(a|x|)\Psi \in L^2$  for any  $a < a_0$ . He then uses Kato's result to prove that  $\Psi$  obeys a pointwise bound

$$(1) \quad |\Psi(x)| \leq C_b \exp(-b|x|)$$

where  $b < \alpha a_0$  with  $\alpha$  an explicit constant smaller than 1. One expects a bound of the form (1) to hold for all  $b < a_0$  and it is this result which is our

---

Received by the editors December 27, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35B40, 81A81; Secondary 35D10, 26A16, 42A12.

<sup>1</sup> A. Sloan Fellow.

main concern here. Our proof of a pointwise bound with  $b$  arbitrarily close to  $a_0$  appears in §3.

Independently of Ahlrichs, A. O'Connor [3], [4] proved that  $\exp(a|x|)\Psi \in L^2$  for  $a < a_0$ . O'Connor's method is very elegant, and his result is much more general than Ahlrichs requiring very minimal hypotheses on the potentials. Our proof in §3 will result by a simple synthesis of our version of Kato's Hölder continuity theorem and O'Connor's methods.

In §4, we give a brief discussion of the extension of our results to the situation where the pair potentials are in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \neq 3$ ) or where the Hamiltonian must be defined as a sum of quadratic forms [5].

It is a pleasure to thank J. Ginibre and A. O'Connor for valuable conversations and N. H. Kuiper for the hospitality of I.H.E.S.

**2. Kato's Hölder continuity theorem.** Throughout this section,  $H_0$  represents an operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N-3})$  of the form  $H_0 = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{3N-3} a_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j$  where  $a_{ij}$  is a positive definite matrix. We write  $h(k) \equiv \sum a_{ij} k_i k_j$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $2 \leq \sigma \leq \infty$ . We say that  $V$  is a potential of type  $M_\sigma$  if  $V = W + \sum_{\alpha \in I} Y_\alpha$  where  $I$  is a finite index set and

- (1)  $\hat{W}$  is in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N-3})$ ;
- (2) for each  $\alpha \in I$ , there is a projection  $P_\alpha$  onto some  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{3N-3}$  so that  $Y_\alpha(x) = Z_\alpha(P_\alpha x)$  where  $Z_\alpha$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\hat{Z}_\alpha \in L^r + L^1$  where  $r^{-1} + \sigma^{-1} = 1$ .

**REMARKS.** (1)  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  denotes the Fourier transform.

(2) By the Hausdorff-Young inequality,  $Z_\alpha \in L^\sigma + L^\infty \subset (L^2 + L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^3)$  so  $V$  is  $H_0$ -bounded with arbitrary small bound (alternately, see Lemma 1 below). Thus  $H_0 + V \equiv H$  defines a selfadjoint operator on  $D(H_0)$ .

(3) Condition  $M_\sigma$  should be compared to Kato's condition in [2], that  $W \in L^\infty$ ,  $Z_\alpha \in (L^\sigma)_0$ , the  $L^\sigma$  functions of bounded support. Kato's conditions and  $M_\sigma$  are roughly comparable, but for example if  $Z_\alpha(x) = \sin(|x|)$ ,  $V$  obeys Kato's conditions but not  $M_\sigma$ ; if

$$Z_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n |x - r_n|^{-1} \quad \text{where } \sum |C_n| < \infty \text{ and } r_n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $V$  obeys  $M_\sigma$  but not Kato's conditions. In any event, either allows Yukawa or Coulomb pair interactions.

**DEFINITION.**  $C_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $0 < \theta < 1$ ) denotes the uniformly Hölder continuous functions of order  $\theta$ , i.e.  $\Psi \in C_\theta$  if and only if

$$|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)| \leq M |x - y|^\theta$$

for some  $M$  and (almost) all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Similarly  $\Psi \in C'_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$  means  $\Psi$  is continuously differentiable and for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\partial_i \Psi \in C_\theta$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H=H_0+V$  where  $V$  is of type  $M_\sigma$ . Then:*

- (1) *If  $\sigma \geq 2$ , any  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H) \equiv \bigcap_m D(H^m)$  is in  $C_\theta(\mathbb{R}^{3N-3})$  for any  $\theta < \min(1, 2-3\sigma^{-1})$ .*
- (2) *If  $\sigma > 3$ , any  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H)$  is in  $C'_\theta(\mathbb{R}^{2N-3})$  for any  $\theta < 1-3\sigma^{-1}$ .*

**REMARKS.** (1) As we shall see, the condition  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H)$  can be replaced with  $\Psi \in D(H^m)$  for some  $m$  with  $(m-1)(4-6\sigma^{-1}) > 3N-3$ .

(2) If  $C^\infty(H)$  is topologized with the norms  $\|\Psi\|_m = \|H^m\Psi\|$  and if  $C_\theta$  (resp.  $C'_\theta$ ) is topologized with the norm

$$\|f\|_\theta = \sup_x |f(x)| + \sup_{x,y} [|x - y|^{-\theta} |f(x) - f(y)|]$$

(resp.  $\|f\|'_\theta = \sup_x |f(x)| + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j f\|_\theta$ ), then the imbeddings  $C^\infty(H) \subset C_\theta$  guaranteed by the theorem are continuous.

(3) Except for a slight difference in the assumptions on  $V$ , this is the main theorem (Theorem I) of [2].

(4) The basic perturbation estimate tells us that  $(H_0+I)^{-1}V$  is bounded from  $L^2$  to  $L^2$ . Our proof (like Kato's) is based on two ways in which this can be improved. First  $(H_0+I)^{-\beta}V$  is bounded for certain  $\beta < 1$  and secondly it is bounded on certain  $L^p$  spaces.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $V$  be of type  $M_\sigma$  and let  $\beta > 3/2\sigma$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and let  $\hat{\Psi} \in L^p$ . Then  $((H_0+I)^{-\beta}V\Psi)^\wedge \in L^p$ .*

**REMARK.** This lemma (and similar statements later) are intended to hold in the sense of a priori estimates

$$\|((H_0 + I)^{-\beta}V\Psi)^\wedge\|_p \leq C \|\hat{\Psi}\|_p$$

for all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{3N-3})$ .

**PROOF.**

$$((H_0 + I)^{-\beta}V\Psi)^\wedge = (2\pi)^{(3N-3)/2}(h(k) + 1)^{-\beta}\hat{V} * \hat{\Psi}.$$

We consider the individual terms  $\hat{W} * \hat{\Psi}$  and  $\hat{Y}_\alpha * \hat{\Psi}$  in  $\hat{V} * \hat{\Psi}$ . Since  $\hat{W} \in L^1$  and  $(h(k) + 1)^{-\beta} \in L^\infty$ ,

$$\|(h(k) + 1)^{-\beta}\hat{W} * \hat{\Psi}\|_p \leq \|(h(k) + 1)^{-\beta}\|_\infty \|\hat{W}\|_1 \|\hat{\Psi}\|_p$$

by Young's and Hölder's inequalities.

Write  $k_\alpha$  for the 3 coordinates in  $\text{Ran } P_\alpha$  and  $k_\alpha^\perp$  for  $3N-6$  orthogonal coordinates. Since  $(k_\alpha^2 + 1)^{-\beta} \in L^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for each  $p \leq \sigma$ ,

$$\|(k_\alpha^2 + 1)^{-\beta}(\hat{Z}_\alpha * f)(k_\alpha)\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p.$$

Thus for each  $p \leq 2$  and each fixed  $k_\alpha^\perp$ :

$$\int \left| (k_\alpha^2 + 1)^{-\beta} \int \mathcal{Z}_\alpha(k_\alpha - k'_\alpha) f(k'_\alpha, k_\alpha^\perp) dk'_\alpha \right|^p dk_\alpha \leq C \int |f|(k_\alpha, k_\alpha^\perp)|^p dk_\alpha.$$

Integrating over  $k_\alpha^\perp$ , we conclude that  $\|(k_\alpha^2+1)^{-\beta} \hat{Y}_\alpha * \hat{\Psi}\|_p \leq C_1 \|\hat{\Psi}\|_p$ . Since  $(k_\alpha^2+1)^\beta (h(k)+1)^{-\beta} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{3N-3})$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 2. Let  $V$  be of type  $M_\sigma$  and let  $\gamma < 1 - 3/2\sigma$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . If  $\hat{\Psi}, (H\Psi)^\wedge \in L^p$ , then  $(1+|k|^2)^\gamma \hat{\Psi} \in L^p$ .

PROOF. Since  $(H+1)\Psi = (H_0+1)\Psi + V\Psi$ , we have  $\Psi = (H_0+1)^{-1} \times (H+1)\Psi - (H_0+1)^{-1}V\Psi$ . So:

$$(2) \quad (1+|k|^2)^\gamma \hat{\Psi} = (1+|k|^2)^{\gamma-1} [(1+|k|^2)/(1+h(k))((H+1)\Psi)^\wedge - [(1+|k|^2)/(1+h(k))]^\gamma ((H_0+1)^{-\beta} V\Psi)^\wedge]$$

where  $\beta = 1 - \gamma > 3/2\sigma$ . By hypothesis, the first term on the right-hand side of (2) is in  $L^p$  and by Lemma 1, the second term is in  $L^p$ .  $\square$

For the reader's convenience, we include the following standard result:

LEMMA 3. If  $(1+|k|^2)^\gamma \hat{\Psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\gamma > 0$ , then  $\Psi$  is  $C_\theta$  for any  $\theta$  with  $\theta < \min(1, 2\gamma)$ . If  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\Psi$  is  $C_\theta^1$  for any  $\theta < \min(1, 2\gamma - 1)$ .

PROOF. For any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|e^{iy} - 1| \leq 2$  and  $|e^{iy} - 1| = |\int_0^y e^{ix} dx| \leq y$ . Therefore, for any  $\theta \leq 1$  and all  $k, x$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|e^{ik \cdot x} - e^{ik \cdot y}| \leq 2^{(1-\theta)} |k|^\theta |x - y|^\theta$ . Thus:

$$|\Psi(x) - \Psi(y)| \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} 2^{1-\theta} |x - y|^\theta \| |k|^\theta (1+|k|^2)^{-\gamma} \|_\infty \|(1+|k|^2)^\gamma \hat{\Psi}\|_1.$$

This proves the first statement in the lemma. The second has a similar proof using

$$|e^{iy} - 1 - iy| \leq 2|y| \quad \text{and} \quad |e^{iy} - 1 - iy| \leq \frac{1}{2}|y|^2. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since  $(1+|k|^2)^{-\gamma} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $q > n/2\gamma$ , Lemma 2 implies that if  $\hat{\Psi}, (H\Psi)^\wedge \in L^p$ , then  $\hat{\Psi} \in L^r$  for all  $r \geq 1$  obeying  $r \geq (p^{-1} + (2\gamma/(3N-3)))^{-1}$ . By induction if  $m \geq k$  and if  $\hat{\Psi}, \dots, (H^m\Psi)^\wedge \in L^2$  then  $\hat{\Psi}, \dots, (H^k\Psi)^\wedge \in L^r$  if  $r \geq 1$  and  $r \geq (\frac{1}{2} + (m-k)(2\gamma/(3N-3)))^{-1}$ . Since  $\gamma$  can be chosen arbitrarily close to  $1 - 3/2\sigma$ , we have that for any integer  $m$  with  $(2m)(2 - 3/\sigma) > 3N - 3$ ,

- (i)  $\Psi \in D(H^m)$  implies  $\hat{\Psi} \in L^1$ ;
- (ii)  $\Psi \in D(H^{m+1})$  implies that  $(1+|k|^2)^\gamma \hat{\Psi} \in L^1$  if  $\gamma < 1 - 3/2\sigma$ .

Lemma 3 completes the proof.  $\square$

3. Pointwise exponential falloff of discrete eigenfunctions. By an  $N$ -body quantum Hamiltonian of type  $M_\sigma$ , we will mean an operator  $\tilde{H}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$  of the form

$$\tilde{H} = - \sum_{i=1}^N (2m_i)^{-1} \Delta_i + \sum_{i < j=1}^N V_{ij}(r_i - r_j).$$

Where a point in  $\mathbf{R}^{3N}$  is written  $(r_i, \dots, r_N)$  with  $r_i \in \mathbf{R}^3$ ,  $\Delta_i$  is the Laplacian with respect to  $r_i$  and  $V_{ij}$  is a function on  $\mathbf{R}^3$  with  $\hat{V}_{ij} \in L^q + L^1$  where  $q^{-1} + \sigma^{-1} = 1$ .

Write  $M = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i$  (total mass),  $\mathbf{R} = M^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i r_i$  (center of mass) and

$$x = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N m_i M^{-1} |r_i - \mathbf{R}|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

(radius of gyration). In a standard way we can choose linear coordinates  $(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{N-1}, R)$  so that under the resulting decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\mathbf{R}^{3N}) &= L^2(\mathbf{R}^{3N-3}) \otimes L^2(\mathbf{R}^3), \\ \tilde{H} &= H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (2M)^{-1} \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

We will call  $H$  a *reduced  $N$ -body quantum Hamiltonian of type  $M_\sigma$* . Such a Hamiltonian is always of the form  $H_0 + V$  where  $V$  is a potential of type  $M_\sigma$  in the sense of §2. By a further linear coordinate change (of Jacobian not necessarily 1), we can suppose that  $x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |\zeta_i|^2$  in which case

$$H_0 = (-2M)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Delta_{\zeta_i}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $H$  be a reduced  $N$ -body quantum Hamiltonian of type  $M_\sigma$ . Let  $E_c = \inf \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H)$  and suppose that  $H\Psi = E\Psi$  with  $E < E_c$ . Let  $a_0 = (2M(E_c - E))^{1/2}$  and let  $|x|$  be the radius of gyration. Then*

(1) *For any  $a_1 < a_0$ , there exists a constant  $D_{a_1}$  with*

$$|\Psi(\zeta)| \leq D_{a_1} \exp(-a_1 |x|)$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^{3N-3}$ .

(2) *For any  $a_1 < a_0$ , and  $\theta < \min(1, 2 - 3\sigma^{-1})$ , there exists a constant  $D_{\theta, a_1}$  with*

$$|\Psi(\zeta) - \Psi(\zeta')| \leq D_{\theta, a_1} \exp[-a_1 \min(|\zeta|, |\zeta'|)] |\zeta - \zeta'|^\theta$$

for all  $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbf{R}^{3N-3}$ .

(3) *If  $\sigma > 3$ , for any  $a_1 < a_0$ , and  $\theta < 1 - 3\sigma^{-1}$ , there exists  $D'_{\theta, a_1}$  with*

$$|\text{grad } \Psi(\zeta) - \text{grad } \Psi(\zeta')| \leq D'_{\theta, a_1} \exp[-a_1 \min(|\zeta|, |\zeta'|)] |\zeta - \zeta'|^\theta$$

for all  $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathbf{R}^{3N-3}$ .

**REMARK.** The constants,  $D_{a_1}$ ,  $D_{\theta, a_1}$  and  $D'_{\theta, a_1}$  depend on  $V$  only through  $L^p$  norms of the  $\hat{V}_{ij}$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose  $H$  is in normal form. By a Payley-Wiener argument (see, e.g. O'Connor [3], [4]), we need only prove that  $\hat{\Psi}$  has an analytic continuation to the tube  $\{k \in \mathbf{C}^{3N-3} \mid |\text{Im } k| < a_0\}$  so that if  $\hat{\Psi}_a$  is defined by  $\hat{\Psi}_a(k) = \hat{\Psi}(k + ia)$  for any  $a \in \mathbf{R}^{3N-3}$  with  $|a| < a_0$ , then  $(1 + k^2)^\nu \hat{\Psi}_a \in L^1$

with  $L^1$  norm bounded as  $a$  runs through the set  $\{a \mid |a| < a_1\}$  for each  $a_1 < a_0$ . Here  $\gamma$  is any real less than  $1 - 3/2\sigma$ .

O'Connor [3], [4] has already proven that such a continuation exists with  $\hat{\Psi}_a \in L^2$  uniformly as  $a$  runs through sets of the form  $\{a \mid |a| < a_1\}$ . Moreover  $\hat{\Psi}_a$  obeys the equation

$$(3) \quad ((k + ia)^2 - E)\hat{\Psi}_a = (2\pi)^{(3N-3)/2} \hat{V} * \hat{\Psi}_a.$$

By mimicking our argument in §2, the equation (3), the condition that  $V$  be of type  $M_\sigma$  and O'Connor's  $L^2$  bounds imply the required  $L^1$  bound on  $(1+k^2)^\gamma \hat{\Psi}$ .  $\square$

**4. Extension to higher dimensions and to operators defined by quadratic forms.** In this section, we wish to generalize Theorem 1; a similar generalization of Theorem 2 holds. Since there are few new ideas, we only sketch the arguments.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\sigma \geq 1$ . We say that  $V$  is a potential of type  $M_\sigma^{(m)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{mN-m}$  if  $V = W + \sum_{\alpha \in I} Y_\alpha$  where  $I$  is a finite index set and if

- (1)  $\hat{W} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{mN-m})$ .
- (2) For each  $\alpha \in I$ , there is a projection  $P_\alpha$  onto an  $\mathbb{R}^m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{mN-m}$  and a function  $Z_\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with  $\hat{Z}_\alpha \in L^r + L^1(r^{-1} + \sigma^{-1} = 1)$  so that  $Y_\alpha(x) = Z_\alpha(P_\alpha x)$ .

If  $\sigma \geq 2$  and  $\sigma > m/2$ , then  $H_0 + V$  can be defined as a selfadjoint operator sum. If  $2 > \sigma > m/2$  (in particular, only when  $m \leq 3$ ), we can define  $H_0 + V$  as a selfadjoint operator which is the sum of  $H_0$  and  $V$  as quadratic forms [5]. We have:

**THEOREM 1'.** Let  $H = H_0 + V$  where  $V$  is of type  $M_\sigma^{(m)}$  with  $\sigma > m/2$  (and  $\sigma \geq 1$ ). Then:

- (1) Any  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H)$  is in  $C_\theta(\mathbb{R}^{mN-m})$  for any  $\theta < \min(1, 2 - m\sigma^{-1})$ .
- (2) If  $\sigma > m$ , any  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H)$  is in  $C'_\theta(\mathbb{R}^{mN-m})$  for any  $\theta < 1 - m\sigma^{-1}$ .

**SKETCH OF PROOF.** Case 1:  $\sigma > 2$ . Our proof of Theorem 1 goes through with minor modifications; Lemma 1 holds if  $\beta > m/2\sigma$  and Lemma 2 if  $\gamma < 1 - m/2\sigma$ . The condition  $\sigma \geq 2$  enters in the proof of Lemma 1, since to apply Young's inequality to  $L^p * L^q$  we need  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} > 1$ .

Case 2:  $2 \geq \sigma > m/2$ . A simple quadratic form modification. We first note that Lemma 1 holds if  $\beta > m/2\sigma$  and if  $p \leq \sigma$ . Moreover, we have:

**LEMMA 1'.** Let  $\sigma \leq p \leq 2$  and define  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha^{-1} + \sigma/p = 1$ . Let  $\beta > m/2\sigma$  and let  $\hat{\Psi} \in L^p$ . Then the Fourier transform of  $(H_0 + 1)^{-(1-\alpha)\beta} V (H_0 + 1)^{-\alpha\beta} \hat{\Psi}$  is in  $L^p$ .

**LEMMA 2'.** Let  $p, \sigma, \alpha, \beta$  be as in Lemma 1'. Suppose that  $(1+k^2)^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\Psi}, (H\Psi)^\wedge \in L^p$ . Let  $\gamma < 1 - m/2\sigma$ . Then  $(1+k^2)^\gamma (1+k^2)^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\Psi} \in L^p$ .

The proofs of Lemmas 1' and 2' follow the pattern of Lemmas 1 and 2. If  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H)$ , then  $H^n\Psi \in Q(H)$ , the form domain of  $H$  for each  $n$ . Since  $Q(H) = Q(H_0)$ ,  $(1+k^2)^{1/2}(H^n\Psi)^\wedge \in L^2$  for all  $n$ . By a finite induction using Lemma 2',  $(H^n\Psi)^\wedge \in L^\sigma$ . Lemma 1 is now applicable and the proof is completed as in Theorem 1.  $\square$

One can ask if some modified version of Theorem 1' remains true at the borderline value  $\sigma = m/2$ . If  $m \geq 5$ ,  $H_0 + V$  can be defined as an operator sum if  $V$  is of type  $M_{m/2}^{(m)}$  and if  $m = 2, 3, 4$ ,  $H_0 + V$  can be defined as a sum of forms. However, in this borderline case, there may be unbounded functions  $\Psi \in C^\infty(H)$ .

EXAMPLE. Let  $m \geq 3$  and let  $\Psi$  be a spherically symmetric function on  $\mathbf{R}^m$  so that (i)  $\Psi$  is  $C^\infty$  and strictly positive on  $\mathbf{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ . (ii) In the region  $R_1 = \{x \mid |x| \geq 1\}$   $\Psi$  obeys  $-\Delta\Psi = -\Psi$  and  $\Psi \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . (iii) In the region  $R_2 = \{x \mid |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ ,  $\Psi(x) = -\ln|x|$ . It is easy to construct such a function. Let  $V(x) = -1 + (\Delta\Psi/\Psi)$ . Then  $V$  has support in  $\mathbf{R}^m \setminus R_1$ , and in the region  $R_2$ ,  $V(x) = -1 + C_m r^{-2}(\ln r)^{-1}$ . Thus  $V \in L^{m/2}$  (and in particular, if  $m = 3$ ,  $V \in R$ , the Rollnik class [5]) and  $\Psi$  is in  $C^\infty(H)$  and is unbounded.

REMARK. The above example does not work in case  $m = 2$ , because  $-\Delta(\ln r) = C_2\delta(x)$ ; but if we modify  $\Psi$  to equal  $(-\ln|x|)^\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  in  $R_2$ , then  $V = -1 + d_\alpha r^{-2}(\ln r)^{-2}$  in  $R_2$  so  $V \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ . Thus there is a borderline example in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Ahlrichs, *Asymptotic behavior of atomic bound state wave functions*, Univ. of Karlsruhe, April 1972 (preprint).
2. T. Kato, *On the eigenfunctions of many-particle systems in quantum mechanics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 151-177. MR **19**, 501.
3. A. O'Connor, Thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J., 1972.
4. ———, *Exponential decay of bound state wave functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. (to appear).
5. B. Simon, *Quantum mechanics for Hamiltonians defined as quadratic forms*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.

I.H.E.S., BURES-SUR-YVETTE, FRANCE

Current address: DEPARTMENT(S) OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540