

## ON SUM-FREE SUBSEQUENCES<sup>1</sup>

DAVID G. CANTOR

**ABSTRACT.** A sequence of real numbers is said to be *sum-free* if no number of the sequence is the sum of distinct elements of the same sequence. In this paper we show that a sequence  $S$  of  $n$  positive real numbers has a sum-free subsequence containing at least  $(2n)^{1/2} - \log_2(4n)$  elements.

Choi [1], studying a problem of Erdős [2], has proven that a sequence of  $n$  positive real numbers has a sum-free subsequence of  $\geq (36/35)n^{1/2}$  elements. The purpose of this paper is to show that the constant  $36/35$  can be improved to  $2^{1/2} - \varepsilon$ . In fact, more precisely, we have

**THEOREM.** *A sequence  $S$  of  $n$  positive real numbers has a sum-free subsequence containing  $\geq (2n)^{1/2} - \log_2(4n)$  elements.*

**PROOF.** Let  $a_1$  be the least element of  $S$ ; let  $a_2$  be the least element of  $S$  which is  $\geq 2a_1$ , and inductively choose  $a_{i+1}$  to be the least element of  $S$  which is  $\geq 2a_i$ . This yields a finite sequence  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_m$  and every element of  $S$  is  $< 2a_m$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ , let  $T_i$  be the subsequence of  $S$  consisting of those elements of  $S$  which are  $\geq a_i$  and  $< a_{i+1}$ ; let  $T_m$  be the subsequence of  $S$  consisting of those elements of  $S$  which are  $\geq a_m$ . Denote by  $t_i$  the cardinality of  $T_i$ . Then  $S$  is the disjoint union of the  $T_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i = n$ . Now

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (t_i + m - i) = n + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (t_i + m - i) = \frac{n}{m} + \frac{m}{2} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Minimizing over  $m$  shows that  $(1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m (t_i + m - i) \geq (2n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus there is an index  $j$  such that  $t_j + m - j \geq (2n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Define  $k = j + 1 + \lfloor \log_2 j \rfloor$ . (Here and throughout  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the least integer  $\geq x$ ). Put  $V = \{a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_m\}$ ;  $V$  is a sum-free sequence because its elements grow so rapidly that each element of  $V$  is greater than the sum of all smaller

Received by the editors April 23, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10L10.

<sup>1</sup> The preparation of this paper was supported in part by NSF grant GP-3358X.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

elements of  $V$ . The sequence  $T_j$  is sum-free because each element of  $T_j$  is  $\geq a_j$  and  $< 2a_j$ , and as a result the sum of any two elements of  $T_j$  is greater than any element of  $T_j$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the sum of all the elements of } T_j &< t_j \cdot 2a_j \\ &= 2^{(1+\log_2 t_j)} a_j \leq 2^{1+\lceil \log_2 t_j \rceil} a_j \\ &\leq a_{j+1+\lceil \log_2 t_j \rceil} = a_k \quad \text{if } k \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that if  $a_i \in V$ , then  $a_i$  is greater than the sum of all smaller elements of  $T_j \cup V$  and hence  $T_j \cup V$  is a sum-free subsequence of  $S$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{number of elements} &\geq t_j + m - k + 1 = t_j + m - j - \lceil \log_2 j \rceil \\ &\geq (2n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} - \log_2(2j) \geq (2n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} - \log_2(2n) \\ &\geq (2n)^{1/2} - \log_2(4n). \end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Choi, *The largest sum-free subsequence from a sequence of  $n$  numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (1973), 42–44.
2. P. Erdős, *Extremal problems in number theory*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1965, pp. 181–189. MR **30** #4740.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024