

## LUSIN AND SUSLIN TOPOLOGIES ON A SET

KOHUR GOWRISANKARAN

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that the Borel subsets of two Suslin topologies on a set  $X$  are identical if and only if there is a Suslin topology on  $X$  finer than both the given topologies. Properties of the supremum topology are given under suitable conditions on the subdivisions of the spaces.

A Hausdorff topological space is said to be Lusin (resp. Suslin) if there is a continuous bijective mapping (resp. a continuous surjective mapping) from a complete separable metric space onto  $X$ . The topological spaces which are Suslin or Lusin have a number of interesting measure theoretic properties. We mention two of them here. The first and perhaps one of the very interesting properties is that if  $X$  is a Lusin (resp. Suslin) space and  $X$  is a subspace of a Hausdorff topological space  $Y$ , then  $X$  is Borel in  $Y$  (resp.  $X$  is measurable for every finite Borel measure on  $Y$ ). The second property is that every finite Borel measure on a Suslin space is a Radon measure. The development of the Suslin spaces and, in particular, the proofs of the above results can be found in [1].

In this note we consider a set  $X$  with two Suslin topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . It is of importance and interest to know when the  $\tau_1$ -Borel sets and  $\tau_2$ -Borel sets are identical. It is known that this happens if the  $\inf(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Hausdorff topology. We shall show that a necessary and sufficient condition for the above to happen is that  $\sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Suslin topology (Theorem 1). We also prove some properties of the supremum topology in terms of conditions on subdivisions on the space.

We first have the

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be two Suslin (resp. Lusin) topologies on a set  $X$ . The  $\tau_1$ -Borel sets and  $\tau_2$ -Borel sets are identical if and only if the  $\sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Suslin (resp. Lusin) topology on  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Suslin topology on  $X$ . It is well known that the Borel sets of two comparable Suslin topologies on a set

---

Presented to the Society, September 1, 1972; received by the editors July 13, 1972.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 28A05; Secondary 54H05, 04A15.

*Key words and phrases.* Lusin, Suslin, topology, Borel sets, Radon space, Radon measures.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

are identical [1]. We deduce that  $\sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  all have the same Borel sets. Conversely, assume  $\tau_1$ -Borel sets and  $\tau_2$ -Borel sets are identical. Let  $\Delta$  be a diagonal in  $X \times X$ . Then,  $\Delta$  is closed in the product space  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_1)$ . Also the complement of  $\Delta$  which is  $\tau_1 \times \tau_1$  open is Lindelöf and hence can be covered by a countable number of  $\tau_1 \times \tau_1$  open rectangles of the form  $V \times W$ . However,  $W$  is  $\tau_2$ -Borel and each such set  $V \times W$  is  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -Borel. It follows that  $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  is  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -Borel and hence  $\Delta$  is  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -Borel. But since  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2)$  is Suslin (resp. Lusin), we deduce that  $\Delta$  with the induced  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -topology is Suslin (resp. Lusin). Also,  $X$  with the  $\sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -topology is homeomorphic to  $\Delta$  with the induced  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -topology. The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY** For  $X$ ,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  as above, if  $\inf(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is Hausdorff the  $n$   $\sup(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a Suslin topology on  $X$ .

The Corollary is deduced as an immediate consequence by observing that under the additional hypothesis  $\tau_1$ -Borel sets and  $\tau_2$ -Borel sets are identical.

We now recall

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff topological space. A *subdivision*  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  on  $X$  is the following system.

(a)  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , for every  $n=0$  to  $\infty$ , is a countable set and  $p_n$  is a surjection from  $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

(b) For every  $n=0$  to  $\infty$ ,  $\varphi_n$  is a one-one mapping of  $\mathcal{C}_n$  into the collection of nonvoid subsets of  $X$  such that  $\bigcup \{\varphi_0(c) : c \in \mathcal{C}_0\} = X$  and for every  $n \in N$  and every  $c \in \mathcal{C}_n$ ,  $\bigcup \{\varphi_{n+1}(d) : d \in \mathcal{C}_{n+1}, p_n(d) = c\} = \varphi_n(c)$ .

(c) For every coherent sequence  $\{c_n\}$  viz.  $c_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$  such that  $p_n(c_n) = c_{n-1}$ , by (b),  $\{\varphi_n(c_n)\}$  forms a base of a filter and this filter converges to an element  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $x$  belongs to  $\varphi_n(c_n)$  for each  $n$ .

(d) Every element  $x$  in  $X$  is the limit of at least one filter as in (c).

Further the subdivision  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  is said to be a *strict subdivision* if for every  $n$ ,  $\{\varphi_n(c)\}_{c \in \mathcal{C}_n}$  is a sequence of mutually disjoint subsets of  $X$ .

The following theorem is a well-known result about Suslin and Lusin spaces [1].

**THEOREM S.** A Hausdorff topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is Suslin (resp. Lusin) if and only if  $(X, \tau)$  admits a subdivision (resp. a strict subdivision).

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  a subdivision on  $X$ . The topology on  $X$  with the subbase for open sets consisting of  $\{\varphi_n(c) : c \in \mathcal{C}_n, n \in N\}$  is called the topology associated with the subdivision.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Lusin space with a strict subdivision  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$ . Then the topology  $\tau'$  associated with this subdivision is the finest among the topologies on  $X$  for which  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  is a subdivision. Consequently  $(X, \tau')$  is Lusin and  $\tau$ -Borel sets and  $\tau'$ -Borel sets are identical.*

PROOF. Let  $\alpha$  be any Hausdorff topology on  $X$  such that  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  is a subdivision for  $(X, \alpha)$ . Then, by the condition (d) of the definition of subdivision, given  $x$  in  $X$  and an  $\alpha$ -neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$ , there is a  $c \in \mathcal{C}_n$  such that  $x$  is in  $\varphi_n(c)$  and  $\varphi_n(c) \subset V$ . Hence, the topology  $\tau'$  is finer than  $\alpha$  on  $X$ . Since there is at least one such topology viz.  $\tau$ , we conclude that  $(X, \tau')$  is Hausdorff.

Now since the subdivision  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  is strict, we observe that  $\{\varphi_n(c) : c \in \mathcal{C}_n, n \in N\}$  is in fact, a base for the topology  $\tau'$ . Also, for any  $x$  in  $X$  there is exactly one coherent sequence  $\{c_n\}$  such that  $\varphi_n(c_n)$  contains  $x$  for every  $n$ . Clearly,  $\{\varphi_n(c_n)\}$  for this coherent sequence is precisely a base of  $\tau'$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  and we conclude that condition (d) of the definition of subdivision is satisfied by  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  relative to  $(X, \tau')$ . On the other hand, given any coherent sequence  $\{c_r\}$  there is a unique  $x$  in  $X$  which is contained in all  $\varphi_n(c_n)$  and to which  $\{\varphi_n(c_n)\}$  converges in the  $\tau$ -topology. By the definition, any  $\tau'$ -neighborhood of  $x$  necessarily contains  $\varphi_m(c_m)$  for some  $m$  and we conclude that  $\{\varphi_n(c_n)\}$  converges to  $x$  in  $\tau'$ . Hence  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  is a strict subdivision for  $(X, \tau')$ . The proof of the Lemma is now completed easily.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be two topologies on a set  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are Lusin spaces. Then the following are equivalent:*

(2.1) *There is a topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  finer than both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  such that  $(X, \tau)$  is a Lusin space.*

(2.2) *There are strict subdivisions  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{D}_n, q_n, \psi_n\}$  respectively for the spaces  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  satisfying: For every pair of points  $x, y$  in  $X$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there are disjoint sets  $\varphi_m(c_m)$  and  $\psi_n(d_n)$  such that  $x$  is in  $\varphi_m(c_m)$  and  $y$  is in  $\psi_n(d_n)$ .*

(2.3) *There is a common strict subdivision for the two spaces  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$ .*

PROOF. Clearly (2.3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.2). Now, suppose there are subdivisions satisfying the condition (2.2). Let  $\tau'_1$  and  $\tau'_2$  be the topologies associated with these subdivisions. Clearly  $(X \times X, \tau'_1 \times \tau'_2)$  is a Lusin space and for every  $(x, y)$ ,  $x \neq y$ , there is a  $\tau'_1 \times \tau'_2$  open set of the form  $\varphi_m(c_m) \times \psi_n(d_n)$  which contains  $(x, y)$  and does not intersect the diagonal  $\Delta$ . It follows that  $\Delta$  is  $\tau'_1 \times \tau'_2$  closed and is hence a Lusin space with the induced topology.

However,  $\Delta$  with this topology is homeomorphic to  $X$  with the  $\text{sup}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -topology. This shows that (2.2) $\Rightarrow$ (2.1). Finally, if (2.1) is satisfied then any strict subdivision of a topology finer than both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is also a common strict subdivision for both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ . The proof is complete.

We have the following result for Suslin spaces with analogous conditions.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be two topologies on a set  $X$  such that  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(X, \tau_2)$  are Suslin spaces. Let there be subdivisions  $\{(\mathcal{C}_n, p_n, \varphi_n)\}$  for  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $\{(\mathcal{D}_m, q_m, \psi_m)\}$  for  $(X, \tau_2)$  such that given  $x, y$  in  $X, x \neq y$ , there are disjoint sets  $\varphi_m(c_m)$  containing  $x$  and  $\psi_n(d_n)$  containing  $y$ . Then  $X$  with the  $\text{sup}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -topology is a Radon space; that is, every finite Borel measure on this space is Radon.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\tau'_1$  and  $\tau'_2$  be the topologies associated with the subdivisions. We observe that  $\tau'_1$  (resp.  $\tau'_2$ ) is finer than  $\tau_1$  (resp.  $\tau_2$ ) and both the topologies  $\tau'_1$  and  $\tau'_2$  have countable bases for open sets. Also, as in the proof of the earlier theorem, the given condition on the subdivision implies that the diagonal  $\Delta$  is  $\tau'_1 \times \tau'_2$  closed in  $X \times X$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(Y, \tau)$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of any topological space  $(Y, \tau)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(Y, \tau)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of  $Y$  that are measurable for every finite measure on  $\mathcal{B}(Y, \tau)$ . Now, every Radon space is universally measurable as a subspace of any topological space and this is in particular true for Suslin spaces. We conclude that

$$\mathcal{B}(X, \tau_1) \subset \mathcal{B}(X, \tau'_1) \subset \mathcal{M}(X, \tau_1),$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(X, \tau_2) \subset \mathcal{B}(X, \tau'_2) \subset \mathcal{M}(X, \tau_2).$$

Let  $A_k$  be in  $\mathcal{M}(X, \tau_k)$  for  $k=1, 2$ . Then  $A_k$  with the induced  $\tau_k$ -topology is Radon and further every  $\tau_k$ -compact subset  $E_k$  of  $A_k$  is metrizable since  $E_k$  is a compact subset of the Suslin space  $(X, \tau_k)$ . This shows that  $A_1 \times A_2$  with  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -topology is Radon [1]. We deduce easily that

$$\mathcal{M}(X, \tau_1) \otimes \mathcal{M}(X, \tau_2)$$

is contained in  $\mathcal{M}[X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2]$ . Further, since  $(X, \tau'_1)$  and  $(X, \tau'_2)$  both have countable basis for open sets we conclude that

$$\mathcal{B}(X, \tau'_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(X, \tau'_2) = \mathcal{B}(X \times X, \tau'_1 \times \tau'_2).$$

It follows that

$$\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X, \tau'_1 \times \tau'_2) \subset \mathcal{M}(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2).$$

Hence,  $\Delta$  is measurable for every finite Borel measure on  $(X \times X, \tau_1 \times \tau_2)$ . However, this latter space is Radon and it follows that  $\Delta$  with the induced

$\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -topology is a Radon space [1]. Since  $X$  with  $\text{sup}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -topology is homeomorphic to  $\Delta$  with the induced  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ -topology, we conclude that  $X$  with the  $\text{sup}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ -topology is a Radon space, completing the proof.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. L. Schwartz, *Radon measures on arbitrary topological spaces and cylindrical measures*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Monograph, Oxford Univ. Press, Bombay, 1973.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, MONTRÉAL 101, QUÉBEC