

## ON SIMULTANEOUS CHEBYSHEV APPROXIMATION IN THE "SUM" NORM

WILLIAM H. LING

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $f_1, f_2$  be real valued functions on  $[a, b]$  and let  $S$  be a nonempty family of real valued functions on  $[a, b]$ . It is shown that the simultaneous approximation of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in the "sum" norm by elements of  $S$  is, with one restriction, equivalent to the approximation of the arithmetic mean,  $(f_1 + f_2)/2$ . A complete characterization of best approximations in the "sum" norm is given including results for varisolvent families.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f_1, f_2$  be real valued functions defined on the nondegenerate compact real interval  $[a, b]$ . Let  $S$  be a nonempty family of real valued functions on  $[a, b]$ . For any real valued function  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ ,  $\|f\|$  shall mean  $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ . We are concerned with approximating  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  simultaneously in the "sum" norm by elements of  $S$ , i.e. we wish to minimize the expression  $\| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \|$ . If there exists an  $s^* \in S$  such that

$$\| |f_1 - s^*| + |f_2 - s^*| \| = \inf_{s \in S} \| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \|,$$

we say that  $s^*$  is a best simultaneous approximation to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in the "sum" norm. Also we say  $s^* \in S$  is a best approximation to  $(f_1 + f_2)/2$  from  $S$  if

$$\|(f_1 + f_2)/2 - s^*\| = \inf_{s \in S} \|(f_1 + f_2)/2 - s\|.$$

There have been some recent papers on simultaneous approximation; see [3], [5] and [6]. In [5] and [6],  $l^p$ -simultaneous approximation on the direct sum  $X \oplus Y$  is discussed, where  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed linear spaces. The "sum" norm defined above yields a norm on  $C[a, b] \oplus C[a, b]$  but it is not discussed in [5] or [6]. In [3], the classical simultaneous problem is shown to be equivalent to approximating the arithmetic mean,  $(f_1 + f_2)/2$ , of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  with an additive weight function. We show here that approximating in the "sum" norm is, with one restriction, equivalent to approximating the arithmetic mean.

---

Received by the editors November 26, 1973 and, in revised form, February 20, 1974.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 41A45, 41A50.

*Key words and phrases*, Simultaneous approximation, "sum" norm, best approximation, arithmetic mean, varisolvent family.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

2. **Theorem and discussion.** Let  $\rho_o$ ,  $\rho$  and  $y_o$  be defined as

$$\rho_o = \inf_{s \in S} \left\| \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - s \right\|, \quad \rho = \inf_{s \in S} \| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \| \quad \text{and} \quad y_o = \|f_2 - f_1\|.$$

We then have the following.

**Theorem.** Let  $\rho_o$ ,  $\rho$  and  $y_o$  be defined as above. Then it follows that

- (a) if  $\rho_o \geq y_o/2$ , then  $\rho = 2\rho_o$ , and  
 (b) if  $\rho_o < y_o/2$ , then  $\rho = y_o$ .

**Proof.** The proof is general, not specifying  $\rho_o \geq y_o/2$  or  $\rho_o < y_o/2$  until the end.

*Claim 1.*  $\rho \geq 2\rho_o$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\left\| \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - s \right\| = \left\| \left( \frac{f_1 - s}{2} \right) + \left( \frac{f_2 - s}{2} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \|.$$

Taking the infimum over  $S$  on both sides of this last inequality yields  $\rho_o \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho$ .

*Claim 2.*  $\rho \geq y_o$ .

**Proof.** For any  $s \in S$ , we have

$$|f_1(x) - s(x)| + |f_2(x) - s(x)| \geq |f_1(x) - f_2(x)|$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$  which implies that  $\| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \| \geq y_o$ . Thus  $\rho \geq y_o$ .

Now recall that  $|a + b| + |a - b| = 2 \max\{|a|, |b|\}$  is an identity for all real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ . Identifying  $a$  with  $(f_1(x) + f_2(x))/2 - s(x)$  and  $b$  with  $(f_1(x) - f_2(x))/2$  we may write

$$|f_1(x) - s(x)| + |f_2(x) - s(x)| = 2 \max \left\{ \left| \frac{f_1(x) + f_2(x)}{2} - s(x) \right|, \left| \frac{f_1(x) - f_2(x)}{2} \right| \right\}.$$

Taking the supremum over  $x$  on both sides of this last equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \| &= 2 \sup_{x \in [a, b]} \left[ \max \left\{ \left| \frac{f_1(x) + f_2(x)}{2} - s(x) \right|, \left| \frac{f_1(x) - f_2(x)}{2} \right| \right\} \right] \\ &\leq 2 \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - s \right\|, \left\| \frac{f_1 - f_2}{2} \right\| \right\} \\ &= 2 \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - s \right\|, \frac{y_o}{2} \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \| \leq 2 \max \{ \|(f_1 + f_2)/2 - s\|, y_o/2 \}.$$

Now taking the infimum over  $S$  on both sides of this last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\leq 2 \inf_{s \in S} \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - s \right\|, \frac{y_o}{2} \right\} \\ &= 2 \max \left\{ \inf_{s \in S} \left\| \frac{f_1 + f_2}{2} - s \right\|, \frac{y_o}{2} \right\} = 2 \max \{ \rho_o, y_o/2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(A) \quad \rho \leq 2 \max \{ \rho_o, y_o/2 \}.$$

The proof is now complete. For part (a) of the Theorem, if  $\rho_o \geq y_o/2$ , inequality (A) combined with Claim 1, ensures  $\rho = 2\rho_o$ . For part (b), if  $\rho_o < y_o/2$ , inequality (A) combined with Claim 2, ensures  $\rho = y_o$ . Q.E.D.

The Theorem plus a short argument by contradiction gives the result that if  $\rho_o \geq y_o/2$ , then  $s^*$  is a best simultaneous approximation to  $f_1, f_2$  in the "sum" norm iff  $s^*$  is a best approximation to  $(f_1 + f_2)/2$  from  $S$ . In a classical setting, one might have  $f_1, f_2$  continuous on  $[a, b]$  with  $S$  a varisolvent family on  $[a, b]$ . In such a situation, if the best simultaneous approximation  $s^*$  should exist, it would be unique; namely the unique best approximation to  $(f_1 + f_2)/2$  from  $S$ . (For the definition of varisolvency see [7].)

On the other hand, if  $\rho_o < y_o/2$ , the Theorem plus a short argument shows that if  $B_A$  is the set of best approximations in the "sum" norm, i.e. if  $B_A = \{s \in S: \| |f_1 - s| + |f_2 - s| \| = \rho\}$ , then  $B_A = \{s \in S: \|(f_1 + f_2)/2 - s\| \leq y_o/2\}$ . In particular, if  $S$  is a varisolvent family and there exists an element  $s^* \in B_A$  with  $\|(f_1 + f_2)/2 - s^*\| < y_o/2$ , varisolvency implies that  $B_A$  contains an infinite number of functions.

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to thank Professor H. W. McLaughlin for a valuable remark.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. B. Diaz and H. W. McLaughlin, *Simultaneous approximation of a set of bounded real functions*, Math. Comp. **23** (1969), 583-593. MR **40** #1733.
2. ———, *Simultaneous Chebyshev approximation of a set of bounded complex-valued functions*, J. Approximation Theory **2** (1969), 419-432. MR **41** #2026.
3. ———, *On simultaneous Chebyshev approximation and Chebyshev approximation with an additive weight*, J. Approximation Theory **6** (1972), 68-71.
4. C. B. Dunham, *Simultaneous Chebyshev approximation of functions on an interval*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 472-477. MR **35** #3334.
5. D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, C. Nasim and B. N. Sahney, *On best simultaneous approximation in normed linear spaces*, University of Calgary, Research Paper No. 186, March 1973.

6. D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, C. Nasim and B. N. Sahney, *Characterization of an element of best  $l^p$ -simultaneous approximation*, University of Calgary, Research Paper No. 188, May 1973.

7. J. R. Rice, *The approximation of functions*. Vol. II: *Nonlinear and multivariate theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969. MR 39 #5989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNION COLLEGE, SCHENECTADY, NEW YORK  
12308