

A CANONICAL TRANSFORMATION NEAR A BOUNDARY POINT

L. SARASON¹

ABSTRACT. A local homogeneous canonical transformation is constructed which straightens a curved boundary and freezes the coefficients of the principal part of a pseudo-differential operator in the neighborhood of a nonglancing ray.

Duistermaat and Hörmander [1] have studied the propagation along bicharacteristics of wave front sets of solutions of certain partial differential equations, using Fourier integral operators to effect a canonical transformation taking the given operator (locally) into $\partial/\partial x_1$. Hörmander [2] has also studied the problem with the aid of specially constructed pseudo-differential operators. Lax and Nirenberg [3] have applied the latter method to the study of boundary value problems, but thus far their approach has not handled the glancing ray case. As a first step towards adapting the approach of [1] to deal with boundary value problems, we construct a canonical transformation, away from glancing rays, which simultaneously reduces the boundary and the equation to a convenient form. I wish to thank Ralph Phillips for many helpful conversations.

Let $p(x, t; \xi, \tau)$ be a real symbol which is positive homogeneous of degree $m \geq 0$, m an integer, and with $(x, t, \xi, \tau) \in R^{n-1} \times R \times R^{n-1} \times R$. Let $0 \neq (\xi^0, \tau^0)$ satisfy $\partial p(0, 0; \xi^0, \tau^0)/\partial \tau \neq 0$. Let Γ be a smooth surface in R^n , passing through $(0, 0)$, and such that the normal to Γ at $(0, 0)$ points in the direction of the t axis.

Theorem. *There is a canonical map $\chi: (x, t, \xi, \tau) \xrightarrow{\chi} (y, s, \eta, \sigma) \in R^{2n}$, defined in a conic neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $(0, 0, \xi^0, \tau^0)$, homogeneous of degree one in (ξ, τ) , and such that for $(x, t, \xi, \tau) \in \mathcal{U}$,*

(i) $(x, t) \in \Gamma \implies s = 0,$

(ii) $p(x, t; \xi, \tau) = p(0, 0; \eta, \sigma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_0(\eta, \sigma).$

Received by the editors November 23, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35A30.

¹ Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO-D-462.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

Proof. For χ to be canonical means that the Poisson brackets of the image points satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{y_i, y_j\} &= \{y_i, s\} = \{y_i, \sigma\} = \{s, \eta_i\} = \{\eta_i, \sigma\} = 0, \\ \{s, \sigma\} &= 1, \quad \{y_i, \eta_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{u, v\} &= \sum_l \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_l} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_l} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_l} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_l} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_\nu u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[b_\nu^{(1)} \cdot \left(\nabla_x, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) - b_\nu^{(2)} \cdot \left(\nabla_\xi, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \right) \right] u, \quad b_\nu = (b_\nu^{(1)}, b_\nu^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Our construction of χ is a modification of that given by Duistermaat and Hörmander [1] in free space. The functions η_i will be constructed successively on $\Gamma \times R^n$ by assigning each on an initial manifold transverse to the linear span of those b_{η_i} which are already known, and such that $b_{\eta_i}^{(1)}$ is tangential to Γ . This last fact will enable us to construct s such that $s = 0$ on Γ . Once η is constructed, σ is determined by (ii). To extend η and σ off of Γ , we shall use the equation $b_p \eta = 0$ together with (ii); a simple application of the chain rule shows that this construction implies the canonical relations $\{\eta_i, \sigma\} = 0$. Finally, we shall use the initial condition $(y, s)(0, 0, \eta, \sigma) = (y, s)$ together with the equations $b_{\eta_i}(y, s) = b_\sigma(y, s) = 0$ to determine (y, s) .

We now construct χ . Let N_1 be a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ in Γ , and C_1 a conic neighborhood of (ξ^0, τ^0) in R^n such that for $(x, t) \in N_1$ and $0 \neq (\xi, \tau) \in C_1$,

$$(1) \quad \langle n, \nabla_{\xi, \tau} \rangle p \neq 0,$$

where n is the normal to Γ at (x, t) . On N_1 , let $v_1(x, t)$ be a nonsingular tangential vector field such that $\langle (\xi^0, \tau^0), v_1(0, 0) \rangle = \xi_1^0$, and define

$$(2) \quad \eta_1(x, t, \xi, \tau) = \langle (\xi, \tau), v_1(x, t) \rangle, \quad (x, t, \xi, \tau) \in N_1 \times C_1.$$

Because of (1), $b_p^{(1)}$ is not tangential to N_1 , and hence we can extend the definition of η_1 off of $N_1 \times C_1$ by using (2) as an initial condition for

$$(3) \quad \{\eta_1, p\} \equiv H_p \eta_1 = 0.$$

We define recursively triples $\{N_i, v_i, \eta_i\}$, $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$ as follows.

Let $N_i \ni (0, 0)$ be a smooth $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surface in N_{i-1} , transverse to the span of the vectors v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} and not orthogonal to (ξ^0, τ^0) unless $\xi_i^0 = \xi_{i+1}^0 = \dots = \xi_{n-1}^0 = 0$. Let v_i be a nonsingular vector field in N_i such that $\langle (\xi^0, \tau^0), v_i(0, 0) \rangle = \xi_i^0$. Define

$$(4) \quad \eta_i = \langle (\xi, \tau), v_i(x, t) \rangle, \quad (x, t, \xi, \tau) \in N_i \times C_1,$$

and extend η_i by the equations

$$(5) \quad \{\eta_i, \eta_j\} = 0, \quad j < i,$$

and

$$(6) \quad \{\eta_i, p\} = 0.$$

The consistency of the construction of η using (5) and (6) follows from the identity $[H_u, H_v] = H_{\{u,v\}}$. For example, the equations $\{\eta_j, \eta_k\} = 0$ are satisfied by construction along a submanifold M_k , $j < k$, and $\{\eta_j, p\} = \{\eta_k, p\} = 0$ along integral curves of H_p through M_k . On these integral curves, then,

$$\{p, \{\eta_j, \eta_k\}\} = H_{\{\eta_j, \eta_k\}}p = [H_{\eta_j}, H_{\eta_k}]p = H_{\eta_j}\{p, \eta_k\} - H_{\eta_k}\{p, \eta_j\} = 0,$$

so that $\{\eta_j, \eta_k\} = 0$ along these curves.

Remark. If Γ is the hyperplane $t = 0$, it suffices to set $\eta = \xi$ on $N_1 \times C_1$ and use (6) to extend the definition of η .

The condition $\partial p(0, 0; \xi^0, \tau^0)/\partial r \neq 0$, together with the above construction, ensures that there is a conic neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $(0, 0; \xi^0, \tau^0)$ in which σ is uniquely defined by (ii) if we set $\sigma(0, 0; \xi^0, \tau^0) = \tau^0$. Locally, σ is defined as a function of $Z \equiv (\eta, p)$, from which we conclude that

$$\{\sigma, \eta_j\} = H_{\eta_j}\sigma = \sum_k \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \eta_k} \{\eta_j, \eta_k\} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial p} \{\eta_j, p\} = 0.$$

According to [1], we can now determine (y, s) in \mathcal{U} by assigning (y, s) on an n -dimensional manifold transverse to the span of b_{η_j} , $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and b_σ , provided that these vectors together with the radial vector $(0, 0; \xi, \tau)$ are linearly independent. Such a manifold is the subspace $x = 0, t = 0$. To see this, we need only observe that

$$b_{\eta_j}(0, 0, \xi, \tau) = (e_j, 0, 0, \partial \eta_j / \partial t),$$

where e_j is a standard unit basis vector in R^{n-1} , and that the n th component of $b_\tau(0, 0, \xi, \tau)$ is (cf. (ii))

$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \tau}(0, 0, \xi, \tau) = \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \sigma} \left\{ \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau} - \sum \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \eta_j} \frac{\partial \eta_j}{\partial \tau} \right\} = \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \sigma} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau}(0, 0, \xi, \tau) \neq 0.$$

We assign initial conditions

$$(7) \quad (y, s)(0, 0, \xi, \tau) = (0, 0).$$

Using (7) together with equations $H_{\eta_i}(y, s) = (e_i, 0)$, $H_\sigma(y, s) = (0, 1)$, serves to define (y, s) in \mathcal{U} .

There remains to show that (i) holds. But s is invariant on the integral curves of each H_{η_j} , and if $(x, t) \in N_j$, then $b_{\eta_j}^{(1)} = v_j$ is tangent to Γ . Given $(x', t', \xi', \tau') \in \mathcal{U}$ with $(x', t') \in N_1$, we follow successively the integral curves of H_{η_i} , $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, through $(x^i, t^i, \xi^i, \tau^i)$ till (x, t) hits N_{i+1} at (x^{i+1}, t^{i+1}) and $(\xi, \tau) = (\xi^{i+1}, \tau^{i+1})$, with N_n defined as the point $(0, 0)$. We conclude that for some $P = (0, 0, \xi^n, \tau^n) \in \mathcal{U}$, $s(x, t, \xi, \tau) = s(P) = 0$ by (7). Theorem 1 is proved.

As a corollary of the proof, we note that if Γ is the hyperplane $t = 0$, then χ can be extended to a conical neighborhood of any cone $C = (0, 0, V \setminus \{0\})$, where V is a closed simply connected cone, and where $\partial p / \partial \tau \neq 0$ on $C \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\chi(0, 0, \xi, \tau) = (0, 0, \xi, \tau)$, condition (ii), together with a simple homotopy argument, allows us to drop the assumption that V be simply connected.

Remark. If p has the parity of m , then χ extends by homogeneity to a two-sided conic set.

Some applications and extensions will be reported on elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. J. J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators*. II, *Acta Math.* 128 (1972), 183–269.
2. L. Hörmander, *On the existence and regularity of solutions of linear pseudo-differential equations*, *Enseignement Math.* 17 (1971), 99–163.
3. L. Nirenberg, *Lectures on linear partial differential equations*, *Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Regional Conference Ser. in Math.*, vol. 17 (to appear).