SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF SOME POSITIVE OPERATORS
IN A BANACH SPACE
WITH THE DECOMPOSITION PROPERTY

NAZAR H. ABDELAZIZ

ABSTRACT. This paper presents further generalizations of some results due to Perron and Frobenius concerning the peripheral spectrum of finite matrices with nonnegative entries.

1. Introduction. Certain aspects in the Perron-Frobenius theory on matrices with nonnegative entries were generalized in [5] and [10] to the infinite dimensional situation. In [10] the investigation was carried out for operators defined on a Banach lattice, while in [5, 6.3], the cone of the underlying space was assumed to have interior elements. The purpose of this note is to indicate further extensions of these results to a larger class of spaces and operators.

In what follows, $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is an ordered Banach space, i.e., a Banach space over $\mathbb{R}$ with a closed, normal and generating cone $K$. We shall assume, furthermore, that $E$ has the decomposition property. That is, whenever $x, y, z \in K$ and $z \leq x + y$, there exist $b_1, b_2 \in K$ such that $z = b_1 + b_2$, $b_1 \leq x$ and $b_2 \leq y$. We remark that the definition of an ordered Banach space given here differs from the one used in [13].

In §2 we discuss the concept of an ideal in a space with the decomposition property. (This concept has also appeared in [3] in connection with simplex spaces.) It is shown that the closure of an ideal of the space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is again an ideal (Proposition 3). This will be particularly useful in §3, where the class of irreducible operators is defined via the closed ideals of the space. The main result of [10], as well as Theorem 2 of [8], are extended to the present situation, while Theorem 6, below, generalizes
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in part 6.3 of [5]. In the sequel, \( E' \) will denote the Banach dual space of \( E \)
and \( K' \) the dual cone of \( K \). For further terminology and information see [11]
and [13].

which possess the decomposition property in terms of order properties of
their duals. We state this result, which will be needed, in a slightly dif-
ferent form.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( F \) be a Banach space with a closed cone \( K \); then \( F \)
is an ordered Banach space with the decomposition property if and only if
its Banach dual space is a locally convex lattice.

**Proof.** It need only be mentioned that if \( F \) is an ordered Banach space
with the decomposition property, then the lattice operations in \( F' \) are norm
continuous. For if \( B \) is the unit ball of \( F \) there are positive scalars \( \lambda, \alpha \)
such that \( B \subseteq (\lambda \cdot B \cap K) - (\lambda \cdot B \cap K) \) and such that \( 0 \leq x \leq \gamma, \gamma \in B, \implied{x \in \alpha \cdot B} \). This is because \( K \) is both generating and normal. It
follows directly from this and the definition of \( |g| \) (for any \( g \in F' \)) that
there is a positive scalar \( c \), such that whenever \( |f| \leq |g| \) and \( g \in B^\circ \), the
polar of \( B \) in \( F' \), then \( f \in c \cdot B^\circ \).

By an ideal \( I \) we shall mean a subspace with the following properties:
(1) \( -a \leq x \leq a, a \in I, \) implies \( x \in I \), (2) each \( x \in I \) admits a decomposition
\( x = x_1 - x_2 \) with \( x_1, x_2 \in I \cap K \).

In the rest of this paper, \((F, \| \cdot \|)\) will denote an ordered Banach space
with the decomposition property.

**Lemma 2.** There is a scalar \( \gamma > 0 \) such that for every ideal \( I \) of \( E \)
and for each \( x \in I \), \( x \) admits a decomposition \( x = x_1 - x_2 \), with \( x_1 \in I \cap K \)
and \( \|x_i\| \leq \gamma \cdot \|x\| \) \((i = 1, 2)\).

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda \) and \( \alpha \) be as in the proof of Theorem 1 above, and suppose
that \( x \) lies in some ideal \( J \). Then \( x \) admits decompositions \( x = x'_1 - x'_2, x'_i \in K, \|x'_i\| \leq \lambda \|x\| \((i = 1, 2)\) and \( x = x''_1 - x''_2, x''_i \in J \cap K \((i = 1, 2)\), so
we may write \( 0 \leq x_1 = x + x''_2 \leq x'_1 + x''_2 \). By the decomposition property
there is \( z_1, z_2 \in K \) such that \( z_1 \leq x'_1, z_2 \leq x''_2 \) and \( x + x''_2 = z_1 + z_2 \).
From this it follows that \( z_1 = x + x''_2 - z_2 \in J \cap K \) and that \( \|z_1\| \leq \alpha \cdot \|x''_i\| \leq \alpha \cdot \lambda \cdot \|x\| \). Put \( x_1 = z_1 \) and \( x_2 = z_2 = z_1 - x \); then \( x_2 \) is also an
element of \( J \cap K \), and \( \|x_2\| \leq (\alpha \lambda + 1) \cdot \|x\| \).

**Proposition 3.** The closure of every ideal of \( E \) is also an ideal.
Proof. Let $\overline{T}$ denote the closure of an ideal $I$ of $E$, and suppose that $-x \leq y \leq x, x \in \overline{T}$. We want to show that $y \in \overline{T}$. Let $(x_n)$ be a sequence in $I$ converging to $x$, so that $x = x_n + u_n$, where $u_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Now there are sequences $(x'_n) \subseteq I \cap K$ and $(u'_n) \subseteq K$, such that $x_n \leq x'_n, u_n \leq u'_n$ and $\|u'_n\| \leq \lambda \cdot \|u_n\|$ for all $n$ ($\alpha, \lambda$ are as before), so $0 \leq x + y \leq 2x \leq 2x'_n + 2u'_n$. The decomposition property now yields sequences $(x'_n) \subseteq I$ and $(u'_n), u'_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, such that $x + y = z + v_n$ for all $n$. Hence $y \in \overline{T}$.

It remains to show that each $x \in \overline{T}$ has a decomposition $x = x_1 - x_2$ with $x_i \in \overline{T} \cap K$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Let $x \in \overline{T}$ and let $(x_n)$ be a sequence in $I$ such that $x = \sum x_n$. Applying Lemma 2, $\sum x_n$ can be written as $\sum x'_n - \sum x''_n$, where $(x'_n)$, $(x''_n) \subseteq I \cap K$. This completes the proof.

3. In what follows, $T$ is a positive operator of $E$ (i.e., $TK \subseteq K$) whose spectral radius $r$ is a pole of the resolvent $R(\lambda, T)$ with residue $P$. Two classes of positive operators are considered here:

(1) An operator $T$ is irreducible if $T$ leaves invariant no closed ideals of $E$ except $\{0\}$ and $E$.

(2) An operator $T$ is strongly quasi-interior if for each $0 \neq x \in K$, there is a natural number $n_0$ ($n_0 = n(x)$) such that $T^n x$ is a quasi-interior point for all $n \geq n_0$.

Using Proposition 3 and the fact that, for each $u \geq 0, \bigcup \{n[- u, u]; n = 1, 2, \ldots \}$ is an ideal, one can readily verify that the notion of irreducible operators defined above is equivalent to that of quasi-interior operators in [12]. In particular, every strongly quasi-interior operator is irreducible. It is also clear that when $K$ has a nonempty interior, then strongly quasi-interior and strongly positive (in [5]) are the same.

Let us recall that a subset $B$ of the complex domain is called cyclic if whenever $a \in B$, with $a = \lambda y$ and $|a| = y$, then $\lambda^n \cdot y \in B$ for all integers $n$. For any $x \in E, \langle x \rangle$ will denote the linear span of $x$. We now state the result concerning the peripheral spectrum of irreducible operators. This will extend the main theorem in [10].

**Theorem 4.** Let $E$ be an ordered Banach space with the decomposition property. Let $T$ be an irreducible operator of $E$ whose spectral radius $r$ is a pole of the resolvent of $T$. Then the peripheral spectrum of $T$ is of the form $r \cdot H$, where $H$ is the union of a finite number of cyclic subgroups of the unit circle, each of which is of finite order. Moreover, each element of $r \cdot H$ is a simple pole and a simple eigenvalue.

**Proof.** We note first that, because of the irreducibility of $T$, the spectral
radius \( r > 0 \) and \( r \) is a simple pole of \( T \). For simplicity we shall assume that \( r = 1 \). Now \( P \) is a positive projection of \( E \) onto the fixed space of \( T \).

We observe that \( \dim PE = 1 \). In fact, \( PK \) is a nontrivial closed cone of the Banach space \( PE \) such that every nonzero vector of \( PK \) is quasi-interior in \( PE \) [12, Theorem 2], [13, Lemma 1, §3, Appendix]. Since every quasi-interior point is not a support point (see e.g. [11, p. 184]), the boundary of \( PK \) in \( PE \) contains no support points other than 0. By applying Theorem 1 of [2], we have that the boundary of \( PK \) in \( PE \) consists only of \( \{0\} \). Hence \( \dim PE = 1 \) because \( PK \) is a proper closed generating cone of \( PE \).

Next, by Theorem 1 above (and [6, p. 20]), we may assume without loss of generality that \( E' \) is a Banach lattice, so \( E'' \) is also a Banach lattice. The second dual operator \( T'' \), is a positive operator of \( E'' \) whose spectral radius 1 is a pole of \( R(\lambda, T'') \) with residue \( P'' \). Furthermore, \( \dim P''E'' = \dim P'E' = \dim PE = 1 \). Therefore, it follows from [8, Theorem 2] and [12, Proposition 1] that the peripheral spectrum of \( T'' \) consists only of simple poles. Moreover, it is cyclic by [7, 4.10]. Therefore the same is true for the peripheral spectrum of \( T \).

It remains to show that every peripheral eigenvalue of \( T \) is simple. We note first that, because \( \dim PE = 1 \), there is an element \( e \), quasi-interior to \( K \), such that \( PE = \langle e \rangle \) [12, Theorem 2]. Let \( e'' \) denote the image of \( e \) in \( E'' \) and let \( F \) be the closure of the lattice ideal generated by \( e'' \) in \( E'' \). \( F \) is a Banach lattice which contains the canonical image of \( E \) in \( E'' \). Furthermore, \( F \) is \( T'' \)-invariant since \( T''e'' = e'' \). Let \( S \) denote the restriction of \( T'' \) to \( F \). The spectral radius of \( S \) is 1, and it is a simple pole of \( R(\lambda, S) \) with residue \( Q \) (where \( Q \) is the restriction of \( P'' \) to \( F \)). Moreover, \( QF = \langle e'' \rangle \).

It suffices to show that the peripheral eigenvalues of \( S \) are simple. Consider the Banach lattice \( \tilde{F} = F/R \), where \( R = \{x \in F: Q(|x|) = 0\} \). Since \( e'' \) is a quasi-interior point in \( F \), \( \tilde{e} \) (the canonical image of \( e'' \) in \( \tilde{F} \)) is quasi-interior to the cone of \( \tilde{F} \). Now \( R \) is an \( S \)-invariant, closed ideal of \( F \). Hence \( S \) (resp. \( Q \)) induces a positive operator \( \hat{S} \) (resp. \( \hat{Q} \)) in the quotient space \( \tilde{F} \). Let us show that \( \hat{S} \) is irreducible. Let \( J \neq \{0\} \) be an \( \hat{S} \)-invariant, closed ideal of \( \tilde{F} \). Since 1 is a simple pole of \( R(\lambda, \hat{S}) \) with residue \( \hat{Q} \), we have that \( \hat{Q} = \lim_{\lambda \to 1} (\lambda - 1)R(\lambda, \hat{S}) \). Hence \( J \) is also \( \hat{Q} \)-invariant. Now \( \hat{Q}\tilde{F} = \langle \hat{e} \rangle \); furthermore, it follows from the construction of \( R \), that \( 0 < \hat{Q}x \) whenever \( 0 < x \in \tilde{F} \). Hence \( \hat{e} \in J \). Thus \( J = \tilde{F} \) since \( \hat{e} \) is quasi-interior in \( \tilde{F} \).

Next, every eigenvector of \( S \) that corresponds to a peripheral eigenvalue does not lie in \( R \). In fact, if \( x \) is such a vector then \( Sx = \alpha x; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| = 1 \). Hence \( |x| = |Sx| \leq S(|x|) \). This implies that \( |x| \leq Q(|x|) \). Thus \( x \notin R \).
Suppose now that \( x, y \) are eigenvectors of \( S \) associated to an \( \alpha, |\alpha| = 1 \). The preceding argument shows that \( \hat{x}, \hat{y} \) (the images of \( x, y \) in \( \hat{F} \)) are eigenvectors of \( \hat{S} \) associated to \( \alpha \). Hence, by [7, 5.2] \( \hat{x} = c \cdot \hat{y} \) for some scalar \( c \). However, this implies that \( x = c \cdot y \); otherwise \( x - c \cdot y \) would be an eigenvector of \( S \) associated to \( \alpha \) and which lies in \( R \). This completes the proof.

The next proposition presents a relation between the irreducibility of the powers of an irreducible operator and the number of points in its peripheral spectrum. The example of an irreducible permutation matrix (in the finite dimensional case) shows that, in general, the powers need not be irreducible.

**Proposition 5.** Let \( T \) be an irreducible operator of \( E \) whose spectral radius \( r \) is a pole of the resolvent of \( T \). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( r \) is the only element in the peripheral spectrum of \( T \);

(ii) \( \lim (r^{-1}T^n) \) exists (with respect to the uniform topology of operators);

(iii) \( T^k \) is irreducible for all \( k \geq 1 \).

**Proof.** We may take \( r = 1 \). Let \( P \) and \( e \) be as in the proof of Theorem 4.

(i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii) is obvious because by Theorem 4, \( r \) is a simple pole.

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (iii). We note first that \( Px \neq 0 \) whenever \( 0 \neq x \in K \), otherwise \((P^{-1}(0) \cap K) - (P^{-1}(0) \cap K)\) is a \( T \)-invariant closed ideal which is different from \( \{0\} \) and, hence, would equal \( E \). But then \( P = 0 \), which is not true. Now by (ii), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} T^{kn} = P \), and this shows that if \( J \neq \{0\} \) is a \( T^k \)-invariant closed ideal, then it is also \( P \)-invariant. Therefore \( e \in J \) so \( J = E \).

(iii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). By Theorem 4 above and [4, Theorem 3] it is seen that \( r(T^k) = 1 \) is a pole of \( R(\lambda, T^k) \) for each \( k \geq 1 \). Suppose that \( \alpha \neq 1 \) is in the peripheral spectrum of \( T \); then there is a positive integer \( k \) such that \( \alpha^k = 1 \) (Theorem 4) and so \( \alpha \) is an eigenvalue of \( T^k \) of multiplicity greater than \( 1 \), contradicting Theorem 4.

It is clear that if \( T \) is strongly quasi-interior, then \( T^k \) is irreducible for all \( k \geq 1 \); as a consequence we obtain the following interesting result, which is a partial generalization of [5, 6.3].

**Theorem 6.** Let \( T \) be a strongly quasi-interior operator of \( E \), whose spectral radius \( r \) is a pole of \( R(\lambda, T) \). Then the peripheral spectrum of \( T \) consists only of \( r \).

The last proposition in this section extends [12, Theorem 2]. One could prove Proposition 7 by applying [12, Theorem 2] to the dual operator \( T' \).
Proposition 7. Let $T$ be a positive operator on $E$ whose spectral radius is a pole of finite rank, then the peripheral spectrum of $T$ consists only of poles.

Remark. Clearly every Banach lattice is an ordered Banach space with the decomposition property. An example of I. Namioka [9] shows that the converse is not true. More generally, every simplex space is an ordered Banach space with the decomposition property, but not necessarily a vector lattice (see [3]).

Example. Consider the space $L^p(S, \Sigma, \mu) (1 \leq p < \infty)$; $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite, and let $L(s, t) \geq 0$ a.e. be a measurable function on $S \times S$ with

$$\text{ess sup}_s \int_S |L(s, t)| \, d\mu(t) \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ess sup}_t \int_S |L(s, t)| \, d\mu(s) \leq M.$$ 

Then the operator $Tf = g$, defined by the integral equation $g(s) = \int_S L(s, t) f(t) \, d\mu(t)$, is a positive operator of $L^p$. If, moreover, there is an $n_0$ such that the $n$th iterate $L^{(n)}(s, t) > 0$ a.e. for all $n \geq n_0$, then $T$ is strongly quasi-interior. A similar situation arises when dealing with infinite systems of linear equations (replacing $L^p$ by $L^p$).
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