

CHARACTERIZING \mathcal{C}_3 (THE LARGEST COUNTABLE Π_3^1 SET)

DAVID GUASPARI AND LEO HARRINGTON

ABSTRACT. Assume projective determinacy. For any real α , let $\lambda_3^\alpha = \sup\{\xi \mid \xi \text{ is the type of a prewellordering of the reals which is } \Delta_3^1 \text{ in } \alpha\}$. Then, \mathcal{C}_3 , the largest countable Π_3^1 set of reals, is equal to $\{\alpha \mid \forall \beta (\lambda_3^\alpha \leq \lambda_3^\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is } \Delta_3^1 \text{ in } \beta)\}$. This result, which is true for all odd levels and generalizes a previously known characterization of \mathcal{C}_1 , answers a question of Kechris.

Let E_n be Π_n^1 if n is odd, and Σ_n^1 if n is even. It is an important result of recent descriptive set theory that, assuming projective determinacy ("PD"), there is for each n a maximum countable E_n set of reals. Call it \mathcal{C}_n . (As usual, a real is a function from ω to ω .) At level one a form of this result is provable from ZF alone (namely that there exists a Π_1^1 set maximum with respect to the property "containing no perfect subset", from now on abbreviated "thin"). \mathcal{C}_1 can be characterized as

$$(*) \quad \{\alpha \mid \forall \beta (\omega_1^\alpha \leq \omega_1^\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is } \Delta_1^1 \text{ in } \beta)\}.$$

There are two obvious ways to generalize " $(*) = \mathcal{C}_1$ " to higher odd levels. Martin and Solovay have shown that one such generalization is false. We show the other one true.

For unexplained notation and terminology see [Kechris, 1]. In particular, we use α, β, γ for reals and η, ξ for ordinals. For present purposes 3 is a typical odd integer greater than 1.

In the expression $(*)$ there are two ways to interpret " ω_1^α ": "the least ordinal not the type of a well ordering of integers which is Δ_1^1 in α " or "the sup of all Δ_1^1 -in- α prewellorderings of \mathbf{R} (the set of reals)."

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_3^1(\alpha) &= \sup\{\xi \mid \xi \text{ is the type of a } \Delta_3^1(\alpha) \text{ wellordering in } \omega\}, \\ \lambda_3^\alpha &= \sup\{\xi \mid \xi \text{ is the type of a } \Delta_3^1(\alpha) \text{ prewellordering of } \mathbf{R}\}, \\ \alpha \leq_3 \beta &\Leftrightarrow \alpha \text{ is } \Delta_3^1 \text{ in } \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Then the obvious liftings of $(*)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_3 &= \{\alpha \mid \forall \beta (\delta_3^1(\alpha) \leq \delta_3^1(\beta) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq_3 \beta)\}, \\ \Lambda_3 &= \{\alpha \mid \forall \beta (\lambda_3^\alpha \leq \lambda_3^\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \leq_3 \beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors June 6, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02K30, 04A15.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

[Kechris, 2] contains a proof that $\Delta_3 = Q_3$, a fact due to Martin and Solovay. We will show that $\Lambda_3 = C_3$. (Q_3 is defined in [Kechris, 1]. It is a proper subset of C_3 , proper superset of the collection of Δ_3^1 reals, and in many respects analogous to the set of hyperarithmetic reals.)

From now on assume PD. With the exception of Theorem 1(ii) our results follow from Δ_2^1 determinacy (1(ii) uses Δ_4^1), for Δ_2^1 determinacy suffices to prove the following facts: (Moschovakis) Every Π_3^1 set admits a Π_3^1 norm. (Kechris) C_3 is the largest thin Π_3^1 set. (Mycielski and Swierczkowski) Π_3^1 sets are Lebesgue measurable. (Martin) If A is Σ_3^1 in α and contains a real which is not $\leq_3 \alpha$, then A is thick (i.e., not thin); in the immediate future we will refer to this fact simply as “‘Martin’s theorem’”.

Fix $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \omega \times \mathbf{R}$, a Π_3^1 set which ω -parametrizes the Π_3^1 subsets of \mathbf{R} and is such that for each α , $\{i | (i, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a complete $\Pi_3^1(\alpha)$ subset of ω . Let φ be a Π_3^1 norm on \mathcal{P} . It is a theorem of Moschovakis that for any α , $\lambda_3^\alpha = \sup\{\varphi(i, \alpha) | (i, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}\}$. For an extensive list of references for these and related facts, see [Kechris, 1].

DEFINITION. If Ψ is a Π_{2n+1}^1 norm on A and $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$, let

$$\min(\Psi) = \{\alpha | \alpha \in A \text{ and } \forall \beta (\Psi(\beta) = \Psi(\alpha) \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is } \Delta_{2n+1}^1 \text{ in } \beta)\}.$$

Notice that if Ψ is a Π_{2n+1}^1 norm, then $\min(\Psi)$ is a Π_{2n+1}^1 set.

LEMMA. Assume PD. Let A be Π_{2n+1}^1 and Ψ a Π_{2n+1}^1 norm on A . Then, $\min(\Psi) \subseteq C_{2n+1}$.

PROOF. For notational simplicity, take $n = 1$. Let $\leq^* = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha, \beta \in \min(\Psi) \text{ and } \Psi(\alpha) \leq \Psi(\beta)\}$. Then \leq^* is a Π_3^1 prewellordering each of whose levels is countable. (A level of \leq^* is an equivalence class of the relation $\equiv^* : \alpha \equiv^* \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq^* \beta \text{ and } \beta \leq^* \alpha$.) Therefore the field of \leq^* , which is the Π_3^1 set $\min(\Psi)$, contains no perfect subset. This is proved by a standard argument: If B is a perfect subset of $\min(\Psi)$, let F be a recursive (in a code for B) map sending $\mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{1-1} B$. Let $\alpha \leq' \beta \Leftrightarrow F(\alpha) \leq^* F(\beta)$. Then \leq' is Π_3^1 . So \leq' is a prewellordering whose field is \mathbf{R} , each of whose levels is countable; and \leq' is Lebesgue measurable. It is a classical fact that this contradicts Fubini’s theorem. \square

DEFINITION. Let $n \in \omega$. ξ n -captures $\alpha \Leftrightarrow \forall \beta (\xi < \lambda_n^\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is } \Delta_n^1 \text{ in } \beta)$.

THEOREM 1. Assume PD. Let $n \in \omega$, $n \geq 1$. Then

- (i) $C_{2n+1} = \{\alpha | \exists \xi (\xi < \lambda_n^\alpha \text{ and } \xi \text{ } n\text{-captures } \alpha)\}$,
- (ii) $C_{2n+2} = \{\alpha | \exists \xi (\xi \text{ } n\text{-captures } \alpha)\}$.

PROOF. Again take $n = 1$.

(i) Suppose $\alpha \in C_3$. Let i be such that $C_3 = \{\beta | (i, \beta) \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Then by ‘Martin’s Theorem’ on Σ_{odd}^1 sets, $\varphi(i, \alpha)$ captures α : Given $\varphi(i, \alpha) < \lambda_3^\beta$, choose j such that $\varphi(j, \beta) \geq \varphi(i, \alpha)$; then $\{\gamma | \varphi(i, \gamma) \leq \varphi(j, \beta)\}$ is Δ_3^1 in β and thin, but contains α . Suppose conversely, that $\xi < \lambda_3^\alpha$ and ξ captures α . Let j be such that $(j, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\xi \leq \varphi(j, \alpha)$. Let A be $\{\beta | (j, \beta) \in \mathcal{P}\}$ and Ψ be the norm on A induced by φ (i.e., $\Psi(\beta) = \varphi(j, \beta)$). Then $\alpha \in \min(\Psi)$, because $(\beta \in A \text{ and } \Psi(\alpha) = \Psi(\beta)) \Rightarrow \varphi(j, \alpha) = \varphi(j, \beta) \Rightarrow \xi < \lambda_3^\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \leq_3 \beta$.

(ii) The inclusion from left to right follows from part (i) because $\alpha \in C_4 \Leftrightarrow \exists \beta \in C_3 (\alpha \leq_7 \beta)$. To prove the other inclusion let $B = \{\alpha | \exists \gamma, i (\forall \beta,$

$j(\varphi(\beta, j) = \varphi(\gamma, i) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq_3 \beta))$. Then B is Σ_4^1 and contains every real which is capturable. It will suffice to show that B contains no perfect subset. Let

$$\Psi(\alpha) \simeq \inf\{\varphi(\gamma, i) \mid \forall \beta, j(\varphi(\beta, j) = \varphi(\gamma, i) \Rightarrow \alpha \leq_3 \beta)\}.$$

Then Ψ is a Σ_5^1 norm on B each of whose levels is countable. Now repeat the argument in the lemma. \square

Theorem 1 is a generalization of the following level one fact: Say that α is Δ_1^1 in ξ iff ξ is a countable ordinal and $\forall \beta(\beta$ codes $\xi \Rightarrow \alpha$ is Δ_1^1 in $\beta)$. Then $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{\alpha \mid \exists \xi < \omega_1^\alpha (\alpha \text{ is } \Delta_1^1 \text{ in } \xi)\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 = \{\alpha \mid \exists \xi (\alpha \text{ is } \Delta_1^1 \text{ in } \xi)\}$. For further information about this notion, see [Kechris, 2].

THEOREM 2. *Assume PD. Let $n \in \omega$. Then,*

$$\{\alpha \mid \forall \beta (\lambda_{2n+1}^\alpha \leq \lambda_{2n+1}^\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is } \Delta_{2n+1}^1 \text{ in } \beta)\} = \mathcal{C}_{2n+1}.$$

PROOF. Yet again, let $n = 1$. That $\mathcal{C}_3 \subseteq \Lambda_3$ is immediate from Theorem 1. For the converse suppose that $\alpha \in \Lambda_3$. Let α' be the Δ_3^1 jump of α , i.e., the characteristic function of $\{i \mid (i, \alpha) \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

Claim. α' is captured by λ_3^α .

PROOF. Suppose that $\lambda_3^\alpha < \lambda_3^{\alpha'}$. Then, since $\alpha \in \Lambda_3$, $\alpha \leq_3 \beta$. But then the ‘‘Spector criterion’’ (see [Kechris, 1]) implies that $\alpha' \leq_3 \beta$. This proves the Claim. By Theorem 1, $\alpha' \in \mathcal{C}_3$. But α and α' have the same Q_3 degree, and \mathcal{C}_3 is closed under Q_3 equivalence. (See [Kechris, 1].) \square

Here is an external characterization of \mathcal{C}_{2n+1} proved along the same lines:

THEOREM 3. *Assume PD. Let B_{2n+1} = the Boolean algebra generated by the Π_{2n+1}^1 subsets of \mathbf{R} . Then, \mathcal{C}_{2n+1} is the largest countable element of B_{2n+1} . (Note: if using only Δ_{2n+2}^1 determinacy, ‘‘countable’’ would have to be replaced by ‘‘thin’’.)*

PROOF. Every element of B_3 can be written in disjunctive normal form as $(A_1 \cap B_1) \cup \dots \cup (A_k \cap B_k)$, with each $A_i \in \Pi_3^1$, each $B_i \in \Sigma_3^1$. It will therefore suffice to show that $A \in \Pi_3^1$, $B \in \Sigma_3^1$, and $A \cap B$ countable, together imply that $A \cap B \subseteq \mathcal{C}_3$. Let A and B be so and Ψ be a Π_3^1 norm on A . Then $A \cap B \subseteq \min(\Psi)$. (‘Martin’s Theorem’ again: Let $\alpha \in A \cap B$ and $\Psi(\beta) = \Psi(\alpha)$. Let $C = \{\gamma \in B \mid \Psi(\gamma) \leq \Psi(\beta)\}$. Then $\alpha \in C$; and since C is a countable set Σ_3^1 in β , every element of C is $\leq_3 \beta$.)

REFERENCES

[Kechris, 1] *The theory of countable analytic sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **202** (1975), 259–297.
 [Kechris, 2] *Countable ordinals and the analytic hierarchy*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, AMHERST, NEW YORK 14226

Current address (David Guaspari): Department of Mathematics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409

Current address (Leo Harrington): Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720