

THE LARGEST PROPER VARIETY OF LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

W. CHARLES HOLLAND

ABSTRACT. If a lattice ordered group G satisfies any identical relation, other than those satisfied by every lattice ordered group, then G is normal valued, and hence satisfies the relation $ab \leq b^2a^2$ for all $a, b \geq e$.

A lattice ordered group (l -group) G is said to be *normal valued* if whenever M is a convex l -subgroup maximal with respect to missing a fixed element $g \in G$, and K the smallest convex l -subgroup of G containing M and g , then M is a normal subgroup of K . It was shown by Wolfenstein [5] that the normal valued l -groups are characterized by the property that $ab \leq b^2a^2$ for all $a, b \geq e$, and thus constitute a *variety*, or equationally defined class (the inequality is equivalent to the equation $|x||y||x|^{-2}|y|^{-2} \vee e = e$, where $|z| = (z \vee z^{-1})$). It has been observed that the variety of normal valued l -groups is very large; all of the many varieties studied by Martinez [2] are contained in the normal valued variety (with the exception of the variety of *all* l -groups). It will be shown here that every property variety of lattice ordered groups is contained in the normal valued variety. This sheds a new light on several of Martinez's results, and shows that certain l -groups are *generic*. For example, if $A(\mathbf{R})$ denotes the l -group of all order preserving permutations of the real line, and if $A(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies an identical relation, then every l -group must satisfy that relation.

THEOREM. *If a lattice ordered group G satisfies an identical relation which is not satisfied by every lattice ordered group, then G is normal valued.*

The Theorem will be proved in a sequence of lemmas.

If an l -group H is an l -subgroup of the l -group $A(S)$ of all order preserving permutations of a totally ordered set S , H is said to be *o-2-transitive* on S if whenever $s_1 < s_2, t_1 < t_2$ are members of S , there exists $h \in H$ such that $s_i h = t_i$. It follows easily that any such H must in fact be *o- n -transitive* in the sense that whenever $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n, t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ are members of S , there exists $h \in H$ such that $s_i h = t_i$ [4, Lemma 4]. Again, H is said to be *o-primitive* on S if H acts transitively on S and the stabilizer subgroups $H_s = \{h \in H | sh = s\}$ are maximal convex l -subgroups. Finally, H is *periodic* on S if H is transitive on S and there is a period $f \in A(\bar{S})$, where \bar{S} is the Dedekind completion of S , such that $fh = hf$ for all $h \in H$, where $H \subseteq A(\bar{S})$

Received by the editors January 27, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 06A55.

Key words and phrases. Lattice ordered group, variety, normal valued.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

in the natural way, and f has coterminal orbits. It was shown by McCleary [3] that if H is o -primitive on S then either $H_s = \{e\}$ for every $s \in S$, or H is o -2-transitive on S , or H is periodic on S . In the latter case, H_s acts faithfully and o -2-transitively on the interval (s, sf) of S , where f is the period, and s is any member of S .

LEMMA 1. *If the l -subgroup H of $A(S)$ is o -primitive on S but $H_s \neq \{e\}$ for some $s \in S$, then H contains an l -subgroup which is o -2-transitive on some totally ordered set.*

Now suppose that G is an l -group which is not normal valued. There exists, then, a convex l -subgroup M of G , maximal with respect to missing some element $g \in G$, such that M is not a normal subgroup of its cover K . The intersection $\cap k^{-1}Mk$ of all the conjugates of M in K is an l -ideal of K , and the l -group $H = K/\cap k^{-1}Mk$ is l -isomorphic to an o -primitive l -subgroup of order preserving permutations of the totally ordered set S of right cosets of M in K . In this representation, $H_s = M$ for some $s \in S$, and so $H_s \neq \{e\}$ since M is not normal in K . By Lemma 1, H contains an l -subgroup which is o -2-transitive on some set. This subgroup must belong to any variety that contains G . Thus:

LEMMA 2. *If G is an l -group which is not normal valued, then every variety containing G contains an l -group which is an o -2-transitive l -group of order preserving permutations of some totally ordered set.*

Let X be a countably infinite set of letters and $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} | x \in X\}$ a set disjoint from X . Let F be the free l -group on X . The elements of F may be written in the form $\bigvee_A \bigwedge_B \prod_\Gamma x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ where A, B, Γ are finite index sets, $\Gamma = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in X \cup X^{-1} \cup \{e\}$, \prod indicates the group operation, and \bigvee and \bigwedge the lattice operations. There is, in general, nothing unique about the form of a given element of F . An identical relation is, then, a formal expression $w = e$, where $w \in F$. An l -group H is said to satisfy the identical relation $w = e$, where w has the form above, if for every substitution $x_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \mapsto h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ by elements of H , we have $e = \bigvee_A \bigwedge_B \prod_\Gamma h_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, where it is understood that if h is substituted for one occurrence of x , h must also be substituted for all other occurrences of the same x , h^{-1} for x^{-1} , and e (in H) for e (in F), and $\bigvee, \bigwedge, \prod$ indicate the lattice and group operations in H .

LEMMA 3. *Let H be a nontrivial o -2-transitive l -group of order preserving permutations of a totally ordered set S , and $w \in F$ not the identity element of the free l -group F . Then H does not satisfy the identical relation $w = e$.*

To prove Lemma 3, it may first be assumed that F is an l -group of order preserving permutations of a totally ordered set T [1]. There must exist a point $t \in T$ such that $tw \neq t$. Let $w = \bigvee_A \bigwedge_B \prod_\Gamma x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, $\Gamma = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For each $(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B$, define $t(\alpha, \beta, 0) = t$, and for $1 \leq \gamma \leq n$, $t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1)x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Now for each $x \in X$ occurring in w , and each (α, β) , let $P_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \{\gamma | x = x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$ and $N_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \{\gamma | x^{-1} = x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$. Then if $\gamma \in P_{\alpha\beta}(x)$, $t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1)x = t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, while if $\gamma \in N_{\alpha\beta}(x)$, $t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)x = t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1)$.

The set $T' = \{t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) | (\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B, 0 \leq \gamma \leq n\}$ is a finite subset of T . Now choose and label any subset $\{s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) | (\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B, 0 \leq \gamma \leq n\}$ of S

in one-to-one correspondence with T' , so that the correspondence $t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \leftrightarrow s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ preserves order. Since multiplication by x provides a one-to-one order preserving correspondence such that

$$\begin{aligned} t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1) &\mapsto t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in P_{\alpha\beta}(x), \\ t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &\mapsto t(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in N_{\alpha\beta}(x), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that the correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1) &\mapsto s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in P_{\alpha\beta}(x), \\ s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &\mapsto s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in N_{\alpha\beta}(x) \end{aligned}$$

must also be one-to-one and order preserving. As H is o-2-transitive on S , H is also o- n -transitive, and hence there exists $h(x) \in H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1)h(x) &= s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in P_{\alpha\beta}(x), \\ s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)h(x) &= s(\alpha, \beta, \gamma - 1) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in N_{\alpha\beta}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $t = t(\alpha, \beta, 0)$ for each (α, β) , we may let $s = s(\alpha, \beta, 0)$. Then substituting $x \mapsto h(x)$ (and $x^{-1} \mapsto h(x^{-1}) = (h(x))^{-1}$, $e \mapsto e$), we have for each $(\alpha, \beta) \in A \times B$,

$$s \prod_{\Gamma} h(x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = s(\alpha, \beta, n).$$

Since $tw \neq t$,

$$t \neq t \vee_A \wedge_B \prod_{\Gamma} x_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \vee_A \wedge_{B^t} \prod_{\Gamma} x_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \vee_A \wedge_{B^t} t(\alpha, \beta, n),$$

where on the right side of the equation, the lattice operations are taken on the finite chain $\{t(\alpha, \beta, n)\}$, which is in one-to-one order preserving correspondence with the chain $\{s(\alpha, \beta, n)\}$. It follows that

$$s \vee_A \wedge_B \prod_{\Gamma} h(x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = \vee_A \wedge_{B^s} \prod_{\Gamma} h(x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) = \vee_A \wedge_{B^s} s(\alpha, \beta, n) \neq s.$$

Hence $\vee_A \wedge_B \prod_{\Gamma} h(x_{\alpha\beta\gamma}) \neq e$ in H , and H fails to satisfy the identical relation $w = e$, proving Lemma 3.

Now to prove the Theorem, let G be an l -group which is not normal valued and let $w = e$ be an identical relation which is not satisfied by every l -group. By Lemma 2, any variety containing G must contain an l -group H which is o-2-transitive on some totally ordered set. By Lemma 3, H fails to satisfy $w = e$, and hence so does G .

COROLLARY. *The variety of normal valued l -groups is the largest proper variety of l -groups and contains every other proper variety of l -groups.*

In [2], Martinez showed that the variety \mathcal{L} of all l -groups is finitely join irreducible in the lattice of varieties of l -groups. But a much stronger statement is now obvious.

COROLLARY. *The variety \mathcal{L} of all l -groups is completely join irreducible in the lattice of varieties of l -groups.*

COROLLARY (MARTINEZ [2]). *The variety of normal valued l -groups is idempotent.*

For a proof, it suffices to produce an l -group which is not an extension of a normal valued l -group by a normal valued l -group. The l -group $B(\mathbf{R})$ of all order-preserving permutations of the real line \mathbf{R} having bounded support is l -simple [1] and not normal valued, and so serves this purpose.

The free l -group on a countable set is *generic* in the sense that it generates the variety of all l -groups. A much more tractable example is given by

COROLLARY (TO LEMMA 3). *The l -group $A(\mathbf{R})$ of all order-preserving permutations of the real line is generic—if $A(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies a certain identical relation, every l -group satisfies that relation.*

REFERENCES

1. C. Holland, *The lattice-ordered group of automorphisms of an ordered set*, Michigan Math. J. **10** (1963), 399–408. MR **28** #1237.
2. J. Martinez, *Varieties of lattice-ordered groups*, Math. Z. **137** (1974), 265–284.
3. S. H. McCleary, *o -primitive ordered permutation groups*. I, II, Pacific J. Math. **40** (1972), 349–372; **49** (1973), 431–443. MR **47** #1710.
4. ———, *o -2-transitive ordered permutation groups*, Pacific J. Math. **49** (1973), 425–429.
5. S. Wolfenstein, *Valeurs normales dans un groupe réticulé*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) **44** (1968), 337–342. MR **38** #3201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403