

REFLEXIVE PRIMES, LOCALIZATION AND PRIMARY DECOMPOSITION IN MAXIMAL ORDERS

J. H. COZZENS AND F. L. SANDOMIERSKI

ABSTRACT. If R is a maximal order and P a reflexive prime ideal of R , then the Goldie localization of R at P is shown to be the classical (partial) quotient ring of R with respect to the Ore set $C(P) = \{r \in R \mid rx \in P \Rightarrow x \in P\}$. This is accomplished by introducing new symbolic powers of the prime P which agree with Goldie's symbolic powers. As a consequence, whenever P is a reflexive prime ideal of R and $P^{(n)}$ the n th (Goldie) symbolic power of P , then an ideal B is reflexive if and only if $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i^{(n_i)}$ for uniquely determined reflexive primes P_i and integers $n_i > 0$. More generally, each bounded essential right (left) ideal is shown to have a reduced primary decomposition and an explicit determination of the components is given in terms of the bound of the ideal.

1. In [67], Goldie gave a method for constructing a local ring R_P given an arbitrary two-sided Noetherian ring R and a prime ideal P , which for commutative R coincides with the usual commutative localization at P . Just as in the commutative case, Goldie's localization at P utilizes the set $C(P) = \{x \in R \mid x + P \text{ is regular in } R/P\}$. There are many instances where $C(P)$ is an Ore set: R any commutative Noetherian ring, R an Asano order (Michler [69], Hajarnavis and Lenagan [71], Kuzmanovich [72]), R a hereditary Noetherian prime ring with P nonidempotent (Chatters and Ginn [72]), R the enveloping algebra of finite dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra (McConnell [68]) and $R = AG$, A a Noetherian prime ring of characteristic 0, G a finite group and P the augmentation ideal of R (Michler [72]).

The object of the first section of this paper is to show that whenever R is a Noetherian maximal order and P a reflexive prime, $C(P)$ is an Ore set of regular elements of R . Such rings include Asano orders and most "classical" maximal orders. To accomplish this, we first define a new symbolic power, denoted $P^{(n)}$, for a reflexive prime ideal of R . Actually, all of the results of this paper are valid for nonmaximal R and reflexive primes P satisfying $P^*P \neq P$ and $PP^* \subseteq R$. Next, we establish the basic properties of these symbolic powers, ultimately showing that they are identical to the H_n of Goldie [67] (see also Michler [72] for another equivalent formulation). Although we use the

Received by the editors February 19, 1975 and, in revised form, October 20, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A08, 16A66; Secondary 16A10, 16A46.

Key words and phrases. Order, partial quotient ring, Ore set, equivalent order, maximal order, Asano order, reflexive module, symbolic power, associated prime, primary decomposition.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

equivalence of our definition and Goldie's to complete the proof of the main theorem, it is easy to prove this result directly using only the $P^{(n)}$'s. For, by Small's theorem, $R/P^{(n)}$ trivially satisfies the Ore condition $\forall n$, a fact necessary for showing the Artin-Rees type condition of Goldie [67, Theorem 5.2]. However, we feel that the major thrust of this approach is the particularly simple, transparent description of the H_n afforded by our assumptions.

Next, we show that the $P^{(n)}$ uniquely determine the reflexive ideals of R . More precisely, B is a reflexive ideal of R if and only if $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i^{(n_i)}$ for uniquely determined reflexive primes P_i and integers $n_i \geq 1$. In fact, the $P_i^{(n_i)}$ provide a reduced primary decomposition for B . As an immediate consequence, we show that each bounded, reflexive, essential right ideal has a primary decomposition and explicitly determine the factors in terms of its bound. This result generalizes analogous results for classical maximal orders due to Riley [65].

Throughout, R will denote a fixed two-sided Noetherian semiprime ring which is a maximal order in its quotient ring Q . By Robson [68, Lemma 5.2], we can assume that R is actually prime. If I is any right R -module and $I^* = \text{hom}_R(I, R)$, there is a canonical map $\varphi: I \rightarrow I^{**}$ defined by $(f)\varphi(x) = f(x) \forall x \in I, f \in I^*$. Whenever φ is an isomorphism, I_R is called *reflexive*. If I_R is an essential R -submodule of Q_R , in particular, an essential right ideal of R , I^* can be identified with the left R -submodule of Q , $\{q \in Q | qI \subseteq R\}$ and hence, I can be identified with the right ideal $I^{**} = \{q \in Q | I^*q \subseteq R\}$ whenever I is reflexive. The two-sided ideal of R , $T = I^*I$ is called the *trace ideal* of I . Since R is a maximal order, if I is any two-sided ideal of R , $\{q \in Q | qI \subseteq R\} = \{q \in Q | Iq \subseteq R\}$ and $O_l(I) = \{q \in Q | qI \subseteq I\} = R = O_r(I) = \{q \in Q | Iq \subseteq I\}$. Hence, for two-sided ideals I of R , I_R is reflexive if and only if ${}_R I$ is reflexive. Thus, II^* is a two-sided ideal of R as well.

Whenever P is a prime ideal of R , $\mathfrak{F}(P) = \{I_R \subseteq R_R | a^{-1}I \cap C(P) \neq \emptyset \forall a \in R\}$ ($a^{-1}I = \{x \in R | ax \in I\}$), where $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}(P)$, is an idempotent topologizing family of right ideals of R (see Goldie [67, p. 99]). The \mathfrak{F} closure of I , $\rho(I)$, is defined to be $\{r \in R | rJ \subseteq I \text{ for some } J \in \mathfrak{F}\}$. Whenever $C(P)$ is an Ore set, it is easily seen that $\rho(I) = \{r \in R | rc \in I \text{ for some } c \in C(P)\}$. Goldie [67] has shown that $\rho(P^n) = H_n$, the n th symbolic power of P .

The first lemma provides a useful module-theoretic characterization of reflexivity for an arbitrary essential right ideal of R .

LEMMA 1.1. *Let R be an order in Q . If I_R is an essential right ideal of R , then I_R is reflexive if and only if $(R/I)_R$ embeds monomorphically in a product of copies of the right R -module $(Q/R)_R$.*

PROOF. If $I = I^{**}$ and $a \in R - I$ then there is an element $q \in I^*$ such that $qa \notin R$, hence q induces by left multiplication an R -homomorphism $R/I \rightarrow Q/R$ which distinguishes $a + I \in R/I$ from 0, thus R/I embeds monomorphically in a product of copies of Q/R .

Conversely, if there is an embedding of R/I in a product of copies of

$Q/R, R/I \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Pi Q/R$, then α followed by each projection $\Pi Q/R \rightarrow Q/R$ is given by some left multiplication by $q \in I^*$. Therefore, there is a subset $B \subseteq I^*$ such that if $a \in R$ and $Ba \subseteq R$, then $a \in I$. Clearly then, if $a \in I^{**}$ then $Ba \subseteq I^*a \subseteq R$ so $a \in I$ and the lemma follows. \square

DEFINITION. Let P be a reflexive prime ideal of R . $P^{(n)} = \{r \in R \mid rP^* \subseteq P^{(n-1)}\}$ where $P^{(0)} = R$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

It is clear that $P^{(1)} = P$ and each $P^{(n)}$ is an ideal of R .

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Let P be a reflexive prime ideal of R . Then:*

- (1) *If $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $r \in R$, $r \in P^{(n+1)}$ if and only if $P^*r \subseteq P^{(n)}$.*
- (2) *$P^{(n)}$ is a reflexive ideal of R for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*
- (3) *$P^n \subseteq P^{(n)} \subsetneq P^{(n-1)}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*
- (4) *$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{(n)} = 0$.*
- (5) *$P^{(n)}$ is P -primary for all $n \geq 1$, that is, if A and B are ideals of R with $AB \subseteq P^{(n)}$, then $A \not\subseteq P$ ($B \not\subseteq P$) $\Rightarrow B \subseteq P^{(n)}$ ($A \subseteq P^{(n)}$).*
- (6) *$C(P) = C(P^{(n)}) \forall n$, where $C(P^{(n)}) = \{r \in R \mid r + P^{(n)} \text{ is regular in } R/P^{(n)}\}$.*

PROOF. We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ being trivial. If $rP^* \subseteq P$ then $P^*rP^*P \subseteq P$ and since $P^*P \not\subseteq P$ by the maximality of R and the reflexivity of P , $P^*r \subseteq P$. By symmetry (1) holds for $n = 1$. Now observe that if (1) holds for all $k \leq n$ with $n \geq 1$ then $rP^* \subseteq P^{(n)}$ if and only if $P^*rP^* \subseteq P^{(n-1)}$. Thus, $r \in P^{(n+1)}$ if and only if $P^*rP^* \subseteq P^{(n-1)}$ and by the inductive assumption, if and only if $P^*r \subseteq P^{(n)}$.

The proof of (2) will be shown via induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is true by hypothesis. Suppose $P^{(n)}$ is reflexive. If $r \in R - P^{(n+1)}$ then $P^*r \not\subseteq P^{(n)}$; thus it follows that $R/P^{(n+1)}$ embeds monomorphically as a right R -module in a product of copies of the right R -module $R/P^{(n)}$ using left multiplication by elements of P^* . It now follows from Lemma 1.1 and symmetry that $P^{(n+1)}$ is reflexive.

Next we show that $P^n \subseteq P^{(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$ by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is obvious. Now suppose $P^n \subseteq P^{(n)}$; then since $P^*P^{n+1} \subseteq P^n \subseteq P^{(n)}$, we have $P^{n+1} \subseteq P^{(n+1)}$.

The inclusions $P^{(n)} \subseteq P^{(n-1)}$ are trivial as $1 \in P^*$. If $P^{(n)} = P^{(n-1)}$ then $P^*P^{(n)} \subseteq P^{(n-1)} = P^{(n)}$ and by the maximality of R , $P^* \subseteq R$ an impossibility, so (3) follows.

For the proof of (4) suppose $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{(n)} \neq 0$; then A contains a regular element d , so

$$(P^{(1)})^* \subsetneq (P^{(2)})^* \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Rd^{-1} \approx_R R$$

by (3) contradicting the assumption that R is Noetherian.

Suppose $AB \subseteq P^{(n)}$ with $A \not\subseteq P$. Then $ABP^* \subseteq P^{(n-1)}$ and since $B \subseteq P$, $BP^* \subseteq P^{(n-1)}$ by induction $\Rightarrow B \subseteq P^{(n)}$. The other case where $B \not\subseteq P$ is handled similarly.

Finally, it is clear that $C(P) \subseteq C(P^{(2)}) \subseteq \dots$. If $c \in C(P^{(n+1)})$ and

$cx \in P^{(n)}$, $cxP \subseteq P^{(n+1)} \Rightarrow xP \subseteq P^{(n+1)} \Rightarrow xPP^* \subseteq P^{(n)}$. Since $P^{(n)}$ is P -primary and $PP^* \not\subseteq P$, $x \in P^{(n)}$. The proof of the left regularity of c is identical. \square

PROPOSITION 1.3. $P^{(n)} = H_n \forall n \geq 1$.

PROOF. Clearly, $P^{(n)} \subseteq H_n \forall n$. By Goldie [67, Proposition 3.3], there exist ideals $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ satisfying $GH_{n+1}F \subseteq PH_n$ which we can assume is contained in $P^{(n+1)}$ by induction. By definition of $P^{(n+1)}$, $GH_{n+1}FP^* \subseteq P^{(n)} = H_n$. Since $H_{n+1}F \subseteq P$, $H_{n+1}FP^*$ is a two-sided ideal of R . Moreover, $G \not\subseteq P$ by definition of \mathfrak{G} . Consequently, $H_{n+1}FP^* \subseteq P^{(n)}$ since $P^{(n)} = H_n$ is P -primary (Goldie [67, bottom of p. 95]), implying $H_{n+1}F \subseteq P^{(n+1)}$ by definition of $P^{(n+1)}$. By symmetry, $H_{n+1} \subseteq P^{(n+1)}$ completing the proof of the proposition. \square

THEOREM 1.4. *If R is a two-sided Noetherian prime ring which is a maximal order in its quotient ring and P is a reflexive prime ideal of R , then R satisfies the Ore condition with respect to $C(P)$ and its quotient ring (with respect to $C(P)$) is a Noetherian local ring.*

PROOF. By Goldie [67, Theorem 5.2], all that has to be shown is given any essential right ideal E of R , there exists an $n > 0$ such that $E \cap H_n \subseteq \rho(EP)$ where $\rho(EP) = \{x \in R \mid xF \subseteq EP \text{ for some } F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$.

To demonstrate this, we borrow an argument used by Chatters and Ginn [72]. Thus, consider the right ideal

$$I = \sum_{i \geq 1} (E \cap P^{(i)}) \{P^{-(i)} = \text{hom}_R(P^{(i)}, R)\},$$

which is finitely generated, say, $I = \sum_{i=1}^n (E \cap P^{(i)})P^{-(i)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (E \cap P^{(n+1)})P^{-(n+1)} \subseteq I &\Rightarrow (E \cap P^{(n+1)})T_{n+1} \\ &\subseteq IP^{(n+1)} \subseteq EP \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{n+1} = \text{trace } P^{(n+1)}$. Since $T_n \not\subseteq P \forall n$, $x^{-1}T_{n+1} \cap C(P) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow T_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{F}$, completing the proof. \square

2. The structure of reflexive ideals. Henceforth, \mathfrak{P} will denote the set of all reflexive primes of R . These primes are necessarily minimal by Cozzens and Sandomierski [75]. The following lemma lists some results which will be needed in the proof of 2.2.

LEMMA 2.1. (1) *If I_R is an essential right ideal of R and $P \in \mathfrak{P}$, then $(IR_P)^+ = R_P I^*$, where $(IR_P)^+$ denotes the R_P dual of IR_P . Moreover, $(IR_P) \cap R = \rho(I)$ is a reflexive right ideal of R .*

(2) *If $\{B_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of reflexive ideals of R with $B_n \supseteq B_{n+1}$ for all n , then $\bigcap B_n = 0$. In addition, if B is an ideal of R , then B is contained in only finitely many $P \in \mathfrak{P}$.*

(3) *If B is an ideal of R and $B \subseteq P \in \mathfrak{P}$, then there is an index n such that*

$B \subseteq P^{(n)}$ but $B \not\subseteq P^{(n+1)}$. Also $BR_P \cap R \not\subseteq P'$ for all $P' \in \mathfrak{P}$ with $P' \neq P$.

PROOF. (1) Clearly $R_P I^* \subseteq (IR_P)^+$. If $q \in (IR_P)^+$ then $qI \subseteq R_P$. Since qI is a finitely generated right R -submodule of R_P there is a $c \in C(P)$ such that $cqI \subseteq R$, hence $cq \in I^*$ and, therefore, $q \in R_P I^*$.

That $(IR_P) \cap R = \rho(I)$ is evident by previous remarks. Since R_P is a bounded Asano order, R_P is hereditary (Michler [69]), so $\rho(I)R_P$ is a finitely generated right ideal of R_P and, therefore, $\rho(I)R_P = (\rho(I)R_P)^{++} = \rho(I)^{**}R_P = IR_P$ where the second equality follows from the first part and the third is evident, hence $\rho(I)^{**} \subseteq \rho(I)$ and (1) follows.

(2) If $\cap B_n \neq 0$, then since R is prime, $\cap B_n$ contains a regular element d ; this gives rise to

$$B_1^* \subseteq B_2^* \subseteq \dots \subseteq B_n^* \subseteq \dots \subseteq Rd^{-1} \approx {}_R R,$$

contradicting the fact that R is Noetherian, and the first part is shown.

Suppose B is contained in infinitely many distinct $P \in \mathfrak{P}$, say $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n, \dots$. Let $B_n = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$, then B_n is reflexive by 1.1, and $B_n \not\subseteq B_{n+1}$, since if $B_n = B_{n+1}$, $P_1 P_2 \dots P_n \subseteq B_n = B_{n+1} \subseteq P_{n+1}$, an impossibility. Thus, $B \subseteq \cap B_n = 0$ by the first part which is also absurd and (2) is verified.

(3) There is an index n such that $B \subseteq P^{(n)}$ but $B \not\subseteq P^{(n+1)}$ since $\cap P^{(n)} = 0$ by 1.2(4). Set $B_P = BR_P$ and $\bar{B} = B_P \cap R$. Clearly, $\bar{B}_P = B_P$ and since \bar{B}_P is an ideal of the bounded Asano order R_P , $\bar{B}_P = P_P^n$ for some integer $n > 0$. Consequently, $\bar{B} = P_P^n \cap R = P^{(n)}$ (see Goldie [67]). That $P^{(n)} \not\subseteq P' \forall P' \in \mathfrak{P}$ with $P' \neq P$, is now clear. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *If B is a reflexive ideal of R then B is uniquely expressible as $B = P_1^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap P_k^{(n_k)}$ with P_1, \dots, P_k distinct in \mathfrak{P} . Furthermore, if $B \subseteq P \in \mathfrak{P}$, then $BR_P \cap R = P^{(n)}$ where $B \subseteq P^{(n)}$ but $B \not\subseteq P^{(n+1)}$.*

PROOF. By 2.1(2), B is contained in only finitely many $P \in \mathfrak{P}$, say P_1, \dots, P_k . Let $n_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, be such that $B \subseteq P_i^{(n_i)}$ and $B \not\subseteq P_i^{(n_i+1)}$. Now $A = B(P_1^{-(n_1)} + \dots + P_k^{-(n_k)})$ is an ideal of R and since $BP_i^{-(n_i)} \subseteq A$, A is not contained in any $P \in \mathfrak{P}$ by 2.1(3), hence $A^* = R$. Since

$$(P_1^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap P_k^{(n_k)})B^* \subseteq A^* = R, \quad P_1^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap P_k^{(n_k)} \subseteq B^{**} = B$$

and thus $B = P_1^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap P_k^{(n_k)}$. The uniqueness of the decomposition will follow from the second part.

Since $BR_{P_i} \cap R$ is a reflexive ideal of R by 2.1(1), it follows that $BR_{P_i} \cap R = P_i^{(m_i)}$ for some i . Clearly $m_i = n_i$. \square

3. Primary decomposition. If M is a right R -module, the associated primes of M , $\text{Ass } M$ consist of all prime ideals P of R satisfying the following property: there exists a submodule N of M such that P is the annihilator of all nonzero submodules of N . $\text{Ass } M$ is the set of primes associated with M in the sense of the Lesieur-Croisot tertiary decomposition theory. For $x \in R$, $Nx = 0$ for some submodule of M if and only if x belongs to some $P \in \text{Ass } M$. Such an

x is called an *annihilating element* of M . Further properties of the Lesieur-Croisot theory and axiomatic decomposition theory can be found in Riley [65].

When I is a right ideal of R , a finite set $\{I_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of right ideals of R each containing I is called a *tertiary decomposition* for I if $I = \bigcap_{j=1}^n I_j$ and $\text{Ass}(R/I_i) = \{P_i\}$ for each i . The decomposition is called *reduced* if $P_i \neq P_j, \forall i \neq j$, and *primary* if, in addition, each I_j contains some power of P_j .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let I be a reflexive essential right ideal of R . Then each associated prime of R/I is reflexive.*

PROOF. Let $P \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$. Then $I'P \subseteq I$ for some right ideal $I' \supseteq I$. If $P^* = R$, then $(I'P)^* = I'^* \Rightarrow I' \subseteq I'^* = (I'P)^{**} \subseteq I^{**} = I$, a contradiction. Thus, $P^* \supsetneq R$ implying that P is reflexive by Cozzens and Sandomierski [75]. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Let I be a bounded, essential right ideal of R with $B = \text{bound } I$. Then:*

- (1) *I is reflexive if and only if the associated primes of R/I are all reflexive.*
- (2) *If I is reflexive, B is reflexive and $\text{Ass } R/I = \text{Ass } R/B = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ where $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i^{(n_i)}$.*
- (3) *When I is reflexive, the set $\{IR_{P_i} \cap R, i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a reduced primary decomposition for I .*

PROOF. (1) Since $\text{Ass}(I^{**}/I) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R/I) \subseteq \text{Ass}(I^{**}/I) \cup \text{Ass}(R/I^{**})$, the associated primes of I^{**}/I are reflexive if and only if the primes of R/I are reflexive by 3.1. Since $I_p^{**} = I_p, \forall P \in \mathcal{P}, I^{**} = I$ if and only if the primes of R/I are reflexive.

(2) That B is reflexive when I is reflexive, is trivial. Let x be an annihilating element of R/B , then $B'x \subseteq B$ for a two-sided ideal $B' \supseteq B$. Since $B' \not\subseteq I, x$ is an annihilating element for $R/I \Rightarrow x$ belongs to some $P \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$. Since the primes in $\text{Ass}(R/I)$ are minimal, $\text{Ass}(R/B) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R/I)$. Conversely, if $P \in \text{Ass}(R/I), I_P \neq R_P \Rightarrow B_P \neq R_P \Rightarrow P \in \text{Ass}(R/B)$.

That $\text{Ass}(R/B) = \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$ when $B = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_i^{(n_i)}$ is clear.

(3) If $I_i = IR_{P_i} \cap R, i = 1, \dots, m$, each I_i is reflexive by 2.1(1), $\text{Ass}(R/I_i) = \{P_i\}$ and $I = \bigcap I_i$ since I is reflexive. \square

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. It has been brought to the attention of the authors that there is some overlap between this paper and that of M. Chamarie, *Localisations dans les ordres maximaux*, Comm. Algebra (4) 2 (1974), 279–293.

REFERENCES

72. A. W. Chatters and S. M. Ginn, *Localisation in hereditary rings*, J. Algebra 22 (1972), 82–88. MR 46 #7282.
 75. J. H. Cozzens and F. L. Sandomierski, *Maximal orders and localization*. I, J. Algebra (to appear).
 67. A. W. Goldie, *Localization in non-commutative noetherian rings*, J. Algebra 5 (1967), 89–105. MR 34 #7562; erratum, 35, p. 1577.

71. C. R. Hajarnavis and T. H. Lenagan, *Localisation in Asano orders*, J. Algebra **21** (1972), 441–449. MR **46** #9090.
72. J. Kuzmanovich, *Localizations of Dedekind prime rings*, J. Algebra **21** (1972), 378–393. MR **47** #260.
68. J. C. McConnell, *Localisations in enveloping rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 421–428. MR **37** #4112.
69. G. O. Michler, *Asano orders*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **19** (1969), 421–443. MR **40** #188.
72. ———, *Right symbolic powers and classical localization in right Noetherian rings*, Math. Z. **127** (1972), 57–69. MR **46** #9106.
65. John A. Riley, *Reflexive ideals in maximal orders*, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 451–465. MR **32** #7585.
68. J. C. Robson, *Non-commutative Dedekind rings*, J. Algebra **9** (1968), 249–265. MR **38** #177.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RIDER COLLEGE, TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08602

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OHIO 44242