

## MONOTONE AND OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF $y^{(n)} + py = 0$

W. J. KIM

**ABSTRACT.** Monotone and oscillatory behaviors of the solutions with the property that  $y(x)/x^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  or  $y(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  are discussed. For example, it is shown that every nonoscillatory solution  $y$ , such that  $y(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , monotonically tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , provided  $n$  is odd,  $p \geq 0$ , and  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-1}p(x) dx = \infty$ .

The differential equation to be considered is

$$(E) \quad y^{(n)} + py = 0,$$

where  $p$  is continuous and of one sign on an interval  $[a, \infty)$ . Since the behaviors of solutions of (E) depend on the parity of  $n$  and the sign of  $p$ , it is natural to classify (E) into the following four cases:

- (i)  $n$  even,  $p \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $n$  odd,  $p \geq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $n$  even,  $p \leq 0$ ,
- (iv)  $n$  odd,  $p \leq 0$ .

In the sequel,  $(E_i)$ , for example, shall denote the equation (E) satisfying condition (i). Likewise,  $(E_{ii})$ ,  $(E_{iii})$ , and  $(E_{iv})$  denote equation (E) satisfying (ii), (iii), and (iv), respectively.

A nontrivial solution of (E) is said to be *oscillatory* on  $[a, \infty)$  if it has an infinity of zeros on  $[a, \infty)$ ; otherwise, it is said to be *nonoscillatory* on  $[a, \infty)$ . If every solution of (E) is nonoscillatory on  $[a, \infty)$ , (E) is said to be *nonoscillatory* on  $[a, \infty)$ . On the other hand, if (E) has an oscillatory solution, (E) is said to be *oscillatory*.

Oscillatory and nonoscillatory behaviors of (E) have been extensively studied by a number of authors [1]–[13]. In particular, Anan'eva and Balaganski [1] proved the following statements: If  $p > 0$  and  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-2}p(x) dx = \infty$ , then every solution of (E) is oscillatory if  $n$  is even, while every nonoscillatory

---

Received by the editors September 22, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34C10.

Key words and phrases. Monotone and oscillatory solutions, asymptotic behavior, linear equations, ordinary,  $n$ th-order, real-valued continuous coefficients

© American Mathematical Society 1977

solution of (E) with odd  $n$  satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y^{(n-1)}(x) = \dots = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y'(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y(x) = 0,$$

where the signs of  $y, y', \dots, y^{(n)}$  are preserved for sufficiently large  $x$  and successively alternating. Similar results were obtained by Kondrat'ev [4] under different conditions imposed on the coefficient  $p$ . Central to the proofs of these results is that any solution  $y$  with  $y(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  is oscillatory, provided equation (E) meets certain requirements.

It is our aim to improve and extend some aspects of the above results. For example, it will be shown that every nontrivial solution  $y$  of  $(E_i)$  or  $(E_{iv})$  such that  $y(x)/x^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , either is oscillatory or satisfies a sequence of inequalities, which has interesting consequences. We shall also prove that every nonoscillatory solution  $y$  of  $(E_{ii})$  [ $(E_{iii})$ ] such that  $y(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-1} p(x) dx = \infty$  [ $-\infty$ ].

**THEOREM 1.** Assume that  $p \neq 0$  on  $[a_1, \infty)$  for every  $a_1 \geq a$ . If  $y$  is a nontrivial solution of  $(E_i)$  or  $(E_{iv})$  such that  $y \geq 0$  and  $y(x)/x^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} y(x) &\geq 0, \quad y'(x) > 0, \quad y''(x) < 0, \\ y'''(x) &> 0, \dots, (-1)^n y^{(n-1)}(x) > 0, \quad x \in [a, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and  $y^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow 0$  monotonically as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ .

**PROOF.** Put  $y_1 = y, y_2 = y', \dots, y_n = y^{(n-1)}$  and let  $b$  be an arbitrary point of  $[a, \infty)$ . Then  $y$  satisfies the system

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(x) &= y_1(b) + \int_b^x y_2(t) dt, \\ &\dots \\ y_{n-1}(x) &= y_{n-1}(b) + \int_b^x y_n(t) dt, \\ y_n(x) &= y_n(b) - \int_b^x p(t) y_1(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $y = y_1$  is a solution of  $(E_i)$ . Then  $\int_b^x p(t) y_1(t) dt$  is a nondecreasing, nonnegative function of  $x$  and clearly is positive on an interval  $[c, \infty)$  for some  $c > b$ . We claim that  $y_n(b) > 0$ . To prove this, assume the contrary:  $y_n(b) \leq 0$ . Then,  $y_n(x)$  is nonpositive, nonincreasing on  $[b, \infty)$  and

$$y_n(c) = y_n(b) - \int_b^c p(t) y_1(t) dt < 0,$$

that is,  $y_n(x) \leq y_n(c) < 0, x \in [c, \infty)$ . Consequently,  $y_{n-1}(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , irrespective of  $y_{n-1}(b)$ . This in turn implies  $y_{n-2}(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and successively  $y_k(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , regardless of the value  $y_k(b), k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . In particular,  $y_1(x) = y(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , contrary to the

hypothesis that  $y \geq 0$  on  $[a, \infty)$ . This contradiction proves  $y_n(b) > 0$ . Since  $b$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $y_n(x) > 0$ ,  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . It is now easy to see that  $y_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for  $n > 2$ . If this were not the case, there would exist a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $y_n(x) > K$ ,  $x \in [c_1, \infty)$ , for some  $c_1 \geq a$ . However, this implies that  $y(x) > K_1 x^{n-1}$  on  $[c_2, \infty)$  for some constants  $K_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > c_1$ , contradicting the asymptotic behavior  $y(x)/x^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Next, we shall prove that  $y_{n-1}(x) < 0$  if  $n > 2$ . Evidently,  $y_{n-1}(x)$  is a monotonically increasing function. If  $y_{n-1}(b) \geq 0$ , then  $y_{n-1}(x) \geq 0$  on  $[b, \infty)$ , and there would exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $d > b$  such that  $y_{n-1}(x) > C$ ,  $x \in [d, \infty)$ . However, for  $n > 2$ , this again leads to the contradiction that  $y(x) > Cx^{n-2}$ ,  $x \in [d_1, \infty)$ , for some  $d_1 > d$ . Thus,  $y_{n-1}(b) < 0$ , and  $y_{n-1}(x) < 0$  since  $b$  is arbitrary. Moreover, we must have  $y_{n-1}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for otherwise we would again be led to the contradiction that  $y(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . In this way, we can successively establish the inequalities

$$y_n(x) > 0, \quad y_{n-1}(x) < 0, \quad \dots, \quad y_4(x) > 0, \quad y_3(x) < 0, \quad x \in [a, \infty),$$

with the property that  $y_k(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k = 3, 4, \dots, n$ . Continuing this process, we deduce  $y_2(x) > 0$  and  $y_1(x) \geq 0$ ,  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . This proves the theorem for (E<sub>i</sub>). The proof for (E<sub>iv</sub>) is similar; in this case, we first prove that  $y_n(x) < 0$  and  $y_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and continue as in the case of (E<sub>i</sub>).

In a somewhat similar fashion, we can prove

**THEOREM 2.** Assume that  $p \neq 0$  on  $[a_1, \infty)$  for every  $a_1 \geq a$ . If  $y$  is a nontrivial solution of (E<sub>ii</sub>) or (E<sub>iii</sub>) such that  $y \geq 0$  on  $[a, \infty)$  and  $y(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$(2) \quad y(x) > 0, \quad y'(x) < 0, \quad y''(x) > 0, \quad \dots, \quad (-1)^n y^{(n-1)}(x) < 0, \\ x \in [a, \infty),$$

and  $y^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow 0$  monotonically as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

In order to characterize the behaviors of solutions, we may reformulate Theorem 1 as follows:

**COROLLARY 1.** Suppose  $p \neq 0$  on  $[a_1, \infty)$  for every  $a_1 \geq a$ . Let  $y$  be a nontrivial solution of (E<sub>i</sub>) or (E<sub>iv</sub>) such that  $y(x)/x^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then either

- (a)  $y$  is oscillatory on  $[a, \infty)$ , or else
- (b)  $y \geq 0$  [ $\leq 0$ ] on  $[b, \infty)$ , for some  $b \geq a$ , and  $y$  [ $-y$ ] satisfies the inequalities in (1) of Theorem 1. In particular,  $y$  [ $-y$ ] increases [decreases] monotonically on  $[b, \infty)$ .

If  $y$  is a nontrivial solution of (E<sub>i</sub>) or (E<sub>iv</sub>) such that  $y(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , it cannot satisfy the inequalities in (1) of Theorem 1. Thus, we conclude by Corollary 1 that  $y$  is oscillatory.

**THEOREM 3.** If  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-1} p(x) dx = \infty$  [ $-\infty$ ], every nonoscillatory solution of (E<sub>i</sub>) [(E<sub>iv</sub>)] is unbounded on  $[a, \infty)$ .

**PROOF.** Assume the contrary. Suppose there exists a nontrivial solution  $y$  which is bounded and positive on  $[b, \infty)$ , for some  $b \geq a$ . Since  $y$  increases monotonically by Theorem 1, there exist two positive constants  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that  $M_1 \leq y(x) \leq M_2$ ,  $x \in [b, \infty)$ . Multiplying (E) by  $x^{n-1}$  and integrating  $n$  times by parts, we get

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} - (n-1)x^{n-2}y^{(n-2)} + (n-1)(n-2)x^{n-3}y^{(n-3)} \\ & - \dots + (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!y = - \int_b^x t^{n-1}p(t)y(t) dt + C, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

If  $y$  is a solution of  $(E_i)$ , then  $n$  is even,  $p \geq 0$ , and we get from (3),

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} - (n-1)x^{n-2}y^{(n-2)} + \dots \\ & + [(n-1)(n-2) \dots 2]xy' - (n-1)!M_2 \\ & < -M_1 \int_b^x t^{n-1}p(t) dt + C, \quad x \in [b, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $y^{(n-1)} > 0$ ,  $y^{(n-2)} < 0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $y' > 0$  by Theorem 1, the left-hand side of (4) cannot tend to  $-\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , while the right-hand side tends to  $-\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, inequality (4) cannot hold throughout  $[b, \infty)$ . This incompatibility proves that the solution  $y$  must be unbounded on  $[a, \infty)$ .

If  $y$  is a solution of  $(E_{iv})$ , then  $n$  is odd,  $p \leq 0$ , and we get from (3),

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & x^{n-1}y^{(n-1)} - (n-1)x^{n-2}y^{(n-2)} + \dots \\ & - [(n-1)(n-2) \dots 2]xy' + (n-1)!M_2 \\ & > -M_1 \int_b^x t^{n-1}p(t) dt + C, \quad x \in [b, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

In this case,  $y^{(n-1)} < 0$ ,  $y^{(n-2)} > 0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $y' > 0$ , due to Theorem 1. For this reason, the left-hand side of (5) cannot approach  $\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , while the right-hand side approaches  $\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, inequality (5) cannot hold throughout  $[b, \infty)$ , and our assumption that  $y$  is bounded must be false.

Theorem 3 may be restated as follows: If  $(E_i)$   $[(E_{iv})]$  has a bounded nonoscillatory solution on  $[a, \infty)$ , then  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-1}p(x) dx < \infty$  [ $> -\infty$ ]. However, the above inequality is known to guarantee the nonoscillation of  $(E_i)$   $[(E_{iv})]$  on  $[a, \infty)$  [4], [11]. Thus, we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $(E_i)$   $[(E_{iv})]$  has a bounded nonoscillatory solution defined on  $[a, \infty)$ , then  $(E_i)$   $[(E_{iv})]$  is nonoscillatory on  $[a, \infty)$ .*

According to a result of Kondrat'ev [4], any solution  $y$  of  $y^{(n)} + py = 0$ ,  $p \in C[a, \infty)$ ,  $p \geq 0$ , such that  $y(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y(b) = 0$ , for some  $b \in [a, \infty)$ , is oscillatory on  $[a, \infty)$ . In this connection, we have the following corollary of Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 3.** Suppose  $p \not\equiv 0$  on  $[a_1, \infty)$  for every  $a_1 \geq a$ . Let  $y$  be a nontrivial solution of  $(E_{ii})$  or  $(E_{iii})$  such that  $y(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $y$  violates any of the inequalities in (2) of Theorem 2, then it is oscillatory on  $[a, \infty)$ . In particular, if  $y^{(k)}(b) = 0$  for some  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ , and some point  $b \in [a, \infty)$ , then  $y$  is oscillatory on  $[a, \infty)$ .

It is well known that equation  $(E_{ii})$  and  $(E_{iii})$  have a nontrivial solution  $y$  satisfying the inequalities

$$(6) \quad (-1)^j y^{(j)}(x) \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad x \in [a, \infty),$$

which is due to Hartman and Wintner [2]. In a recent paper [9, Theorem 4], Read showed that any solution  $z = z(x)$  of  $(E_{iii})$  satisfying (6), tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $\int_a^\infty t^{n-1} p(t) dt = -\infty$ . We shall establish a similar result for  $(E_{ii})$ .

**LEMMA.** Suppose  $y$  is a nontrivial solution of  $(E_{ii})$  which satisfies (6). Then  $y(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-1} p(x) dx = \infty$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose  $y(x)$  does not approach 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that  $y(x) > M$ ,  $x \in [a, \infty)$ . Using (3) with  $b$  replaced by  $a$ , and recalling that  $n$  is odd and  $p \geq 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{n-1} y^{(n-1)} - (n-1)x^{n-2} y^{(n-2)} + \dots \\ & \quad - [(n-1)(n-2) \cdots 2] x y' + (n-1)! M \\ & < -M \int_a^x t^{n-1} p(t) dt + C_1, \quad x \in [a, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is a constant. Since the left-hand side of this inequality is positive on  $[a, \infty)$  because of (6),  $\int_a^x t^{n-1} p(t) dt$  cannot tend to  $\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the Lemma.

In view of Theorem 2, Theorem 4 of Read [9], and the Lemma, we have

**THEOREM 4.** If  $\int_a^\infty x^{n-1} p(x) dx = \infty [-\infty]$ , every nonoscillatory solution  $y$  of  $(E_{ii})$  [ $(E_{iii})$ ] such that  $y(x)/x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  tends monotonically to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Furthermore, if  $(E_{ii})$  [ $(E_{iii})$ ] has two linearly independent solutions  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y_1(x)/x = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y_2(x)/x = 0,$$

then  $(E_{ii})$  [ $(E_{iii})$ ] is oscillatory. If either  $y_1$  or  $y_2$  is oscillatory, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, consider the solution defined by  $u(x) = y_2(a)y_1(x) - y_1(a)y_2(x)$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x)/x = 0$  and  $u(a) = 0$ , the solution  $u$  is oscillatory by Corollary 3.

Every nonoscillatory solution  $y = y(x)$  of  $(E_i)$  or  $(E_{ii})$  tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , provided

$$(7) \quad \int_a^\infty x^{n-2} p(x) dx = \infty$$

or

$$(8) \quad p(x) \geq (\bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon)/x^n, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}$  is defined to be the maximum of the local maxima of the function  $f(x) \equiv -x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-n+1)$  [1], [4]. Using this fact and Corollary 1, we can easily conclude that every solution of  $(E_i)$  is oscillatory if (7) or (8) is satisfied [1], [4]. Similarly, we can show that  $(E_{ii})$  has a fundamental system consisting of  $n$  oscillatory solutions, provided either (7) or (8) is satisfied. For example, let  $y_i$  be the solution defined by the initial conditions  $y_i^{(j-1)}(a) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  is such a system (Corollary 3). Since equation  $(E_{ii})$  is known to have a nonoscillatory solution [2], it also has a fundamental system consisting of one nonoscillatory solution and  $n-1$  oscillatory solutions if (7) or (8) is fulfilled. Kondrat'ev obtained similar results for  $(E_{iii})$  and  $(E_{iv})$  [4].

#### REFERENCES

1. G. V. Anan'eva and V. I. Balaganskii, *Oscillation of the solutions of certain differential equations of high order*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **14** (1959), no. 1 (85), 135-140. (Russian) MR **21** #1428.
2. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *Linear differential and difference equations with monotone solutions*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 731-743. MR **15**, 221.
3. A. Kneser, *Untersuchen über die reellen Nullstellen der Integrale linearer Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Ann. **42** (1893), 409-435.
4. V. A. Kondrat'ev, *Oscillatory properties of solutions of the equation  $y^{(n)} + p(x)y = 0$* , Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **10** (1961), 419-436. (Russian) MR **25** #5239.
5. W. Leighton, *The detection of the oscillation of solutions of a second order linear differential equation*, Duke Math. J. **17** (1950), 57-61. MR **11**, 248; 871.
6. Z. Nehari, *Non-oscillation criteria for  $n$ -th order linear differential equations*, Duke Math. J. **32** (1965), 607-615. MR **32** #4338.
7. ———, *Disconjugate linear differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (1967), 500-516. MR **36** #2860.
8. ———, *Disconjugacy criteria for linear differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **4** (1968), 604-611. MR **38** #1329.
9. T. T. Read, *Growth and decay of solutions of  $y^{(2n)} - py = 0$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1974), 127-132. MR **49** #726.
10. W. Simons, *Monotonicity in some nonoscillation criteria for differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **13** (1973), 124-126. MR **48** #11671.
11. I. M. Sobol', *On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of linear differential equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **61** (1948), 219-222. (Russian) MR **10**, 40.
12. C. A. Swanson, *Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1968.
13. A. Wintner, *A criterion of oscillatory stability*, Quart. Appl. Math. **7** (1949), 115-117. MR **10**, 456.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11794