

AN $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ COMPLETE AND CONSISTENT THEORY WITHOUT MODELS

M. MAKKAI AND J. MYCIELSKI

ABSTRACT. We simplify the example of Ryll-Nardzewski of an $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ theory with the above properties. We include other facts on the relationship of logic to 01-laws and on the $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ definability of some sets which are meager but of full measure.

As mentioned by Scott [1] the first example of a theory T of this kind was invented by Ryll-Nardzewski in 1962 (unpublished). To make this within a finite similarity type, the proof of Ryll-Nardzewski had a nontrivial inductive argument. Here we give a simpler example and proof.

Let Z be the set of all integers and C be the space of all subsets of Z with the usual topology and measure.

Let T_i be the set of all sentences α of $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ with equality, of the appropriate similarity type, such that the set $S_\alpha = \{P \in C: \langle Z, <, P \rangle \models \alpha\}$ is comeager. Let T_m be defined in the same way except that now S_α is to be of measure 1.

THEOREM. *Both T_i and T_m are*

- (i) *countably satisfiable,*
- (ii) *complete,*
- (iii) *without models.*

PROOF. (i) follows from the theorem of Baire and the countable additivity of measure.

(ii) For every α the set S_α is Borel, invariant under shift, and hence, by the 01-laws, is meager or comeager and of measure 0 or of measure 1. Thus, for every α , either α or $\neg\alpha$ is in T_i and the same is true for T_m .

(iii) Suppose, to the contrary, that M is a model of T_i or T_m . $\langle Z, < \rangle$ is describable up to isomorphism by a sentence of $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ which belongs to T_i and T_m , namely the sentence which says that $<$ is a linear ordering without first or last element, and that for every x, y the set $\{z: x < z < y\}$ is finite. Hence M is isomorphic to a structure of the form $\langle Z, <, P \rangle$, where $P \subseteq Z$. Thus M is countable and, hence, is describable up to isomorphism by its Scott sentence α_M (see [1]). Then all the models of α_M which are of the form $\langle Z, <, P \rangle$ are isomorphic by shifts. Hence S_{α_M} is countable, meager and of measure 0. Thus $\neg\alpha_M \in T_i$ and $\neg\alpha_M \in T_m$, and M cannot be a model of T_i nor of T_m .

Received by the editors May 14, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02B25, 02H05, 02H10.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

REMARK 1. $T_l \neq T_m$.

PROOF. By [1] and [2] for every Borel set $A \subseteq C$ invariant under shifts there exists a sentence α of $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ such that $A = \{P \in C: \langle Z, \langle, P \rangle \models \alpha\}$. Hence there exists a sentence α_0 of $L_{\omega_1\omega}$ such that

$$\langle Z, \langle, P \rangle \models \alpha_0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{card}(P \cap [-n, n])}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

(A direct construction of α_0 is also easy.) Therefore by the strong law of large numbers $\alpha_0 \in T_m$. On the other hand, it is well known and readily seen from a Banach-Mazur game that the set

$$\{P \in C: \forall m \exists n > m [\text{card}(P \cap [-n, n]) < n/2]\}$$

is comeager. Hence $\alpha_0 \notin T_l$.

REMARK 2. Let two sequences r_0, r_1, \dots and p_0, p_1, \dots of positive integers be given. We consider structures of the type $\langle Z, R_i, P_j \rangle_{i,j < \omega}$, where R_i are any fixed relations of ranks r_i , which are invariant under shift, i.e., such that $R_i(x_1, \dots, x_{r_i}) \leftrightarrow R_i(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_{r_i} + 1)$, and P_j are variable relations of fixed ranks p_j . Then for every sentence $\alpha \in L_{\omega_1\omega}$ of the appropriate language the set

$$S_\alpha = \{\langle P_j \rangle_{j < \omega}: \langle Z, R_i, P_j \rangle_{i,j < \omega} \models \alpha\}$$

is meager or comeager and of measure 0 or 1 in the appropriate space. Hence the theories T_l and T_m can be defined again and are countably satisfiable and complete, but may have models. (See also [3].)

REMARK 3. With such a generalization there may exist a *finite* sentence $\alpha_0 \in T_l$ which is not in T_m . In fact consider the structures $\langle Z, R_+, R_\times, P \rangle$, where $R_+, R_\times \subseteq Z^4$,

$$R_+(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow x + y - t = z,$$

$$R_\times(x, y, z, t) \leftrightarrow (x - t)(y - t) + t = z,$$

and $P \subseteq Z$. Then R_+ and R_\times are invariant under shift. The construction of α_0 is similar to the construction in Remark 1, but here we use the fact that the relation $\text{card}(P \cap [2t - x, x]) = y - t$ can be expressed by a finite formula $\varphi(x, y, t)$ of our language. Also $x < y$ can be expressed. Hence we can also express the relation $\text{card}(P \cap [2t - x, x]) < x/2$ by some formula $\psi(x, t)$. Then the sentence $\exists t \forall y (\exists x > y) \psi(x, t)$ can be expressed and, by the 01-laws, the set of its models is comeager but of measure 0.

REFERENCES

1. D. Scott, *Logic with denumerably long formulas and finite strings of quantifiers*, Theory of Models (Proc. 1963 Internat. Sympos. Berkeley), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965, pp. 329-341. MR 34 #32.
2. H. J. Keisler, *Model theory for infinitary logic*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971. MR 49 #8855.

3. J. Mycielski, *Measure and category of some sets of models*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1975), A-475-A-476. Abstract 75T-E43.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309
(Current address of J. Mycielski)

Current address (M. Makkai): Department of Mathematics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada