

## CONVERGENT SEQUENCES OF $\tau$ -SMOOTH MEASURES

SURJIT SINGH KHURANA

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that every  $\tau$ -smooth, group-valued Borel measure on a regular Hausdorff space is regular; also it is proved that if a sequence of  $\tau$ -smooth Borel measures on a regular Hausdorff space is convergent for regular open sets, then it is convergent for all Borel sets. For a completely regular Hausdorff space, it is proved that if a sequence of Borel  $\tau$ -smooth measures is convergent for exactly open sets then it is convergent for all Borel sets.

In this paper  $X$  denotes a regular Hausdorff topological space,  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}(X)$  all Borel subsets of  $X$ ,  $G$  an Hausdorff Abelian complete topological group, and  $\mu: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  a countably additive measure. We say that  $\mu$  is  $\tau$ -smooth if for any increasing net  $\{V_\alpha\}$  of open subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mu(\cup V_\alpha) = \lim \mu(V_\alpha)$  [1], [10], [11]. We say that  $\mu$  is regular if for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and a 0-neighborhood (0-nbd)  $W$  of  $G$ , there exist a closed set  $C \subset B$  and an open set  $U \supset B$  such that  $\mu(P) \in W$  for any  $P \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $P \subset U \setminus C$ . An open set  $U$ , in  $X$ , is called regular if  $U = (\bar{U})^\circ$  (here ‘ $-$ ’ and ‘ $^\circ$ ’ denote, respectively, the closure and interior in  $X$  [1], [6], [9]). By an exact open set [1] (or a positive set [11]), we mean a set of the form  $\{x \in X: f(x) > 0\}$  for some nonnegative real-valued continuous functions on  $X$ . In this paper we prove that every  $\tau$ -smooth countably additive  $\mu: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  is regular. Also for a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  of countably additive  $\tau$ -smooth measures, we prove that if  $\{\mu_n(A)\}$  is convergent for any regular open subset of  $X$ , then  $\{\mu_n(B)\}$  is convergent for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ ; if  $X$  is completely regular we prove that if  $\{\mu_n(A)\}$  is convergent for any exact open set  $A$  then  $\{\mu_n(B)\}$  is convergent for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  – in both cases the limits are proved to be countably additive,  $\tau$ -smooth measures. This generalizes results of [1] (see also [7]).

It should be noted that for any open set  $U$ ,  $(U)^\circ$  is a regular open set [9] and so the class of regular open sets is quite rich. For a family  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $G$ -valued measures on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , a class  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{B}$  is called a convergence determining class for  $\mathfrak{M}$  if every sequence  $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , which is pointwise convergent on  $\mathcal{C}$ , is pointwise convergent on  $\mathfrak{B}$ . In this terminology we prove that for  $\tau$ -smooth measures on  $X$ , regular open sets is a convergence determining class [9]. For a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  every regular Borel  $G$ -valued measure

---

Received by the editors June 11, 1976 and, in revised form, August 13, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 60B05, 46G10, 28A25; Secondary 60B10, 28A45.

*Key words and phrases.*  $\tau$ -smooth measures, regular open sets, submeasures, exhaustive submeasures, finitely additive exhaustive measures.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

is  $\tau$ -smooth [7]; in [11] many examples of  $\tau$ -smooth measures are given.

We shall make use of the theory of submeasures developed in [3]. A mapping  $\| \cdot \|: G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a norm on  $G$  if (i)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ , (ii)  $\|x\| = \| - x\|$ , (iii)  $\|x\| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ , for every  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$  [3, p. 270]. A group with topology generated by a norm will be called a normed group. It is well known that every complete Abelian Hausdorff topological group is a closed subgroup of a product of complete normed Abelian groups. (To prove this let  $V$  be a symmetric 0-neighborhood in  $G$ . By induction there exists a sequence  $\{U_n\}$  of symmetric 0-neighborhoods such that  $U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} + U_{n+1} \subset U_n$  and  $U_1 = V$ . Proceeding as in [12, Chapter IX, §3.1, Proposition 2] we get a continuous pseudo-norm  $p_V$  on  $G$ .  $G_V = p_V^{-1}\{0\}$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $G$  is embedded in  $\prod\{G/G_V: V \text{ a symmetric 0-nbd}\}$ , considering  $G/G_V$  a normed group with norm coming from the pseudo-norm  $p_V$ .)

For a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , of subsets of a set  $Y$ , a finitely additive measure  $\lambda: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow G$  is called exhaustive [3] if for any disjoint sequence  $\{B_i\} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\lambda(B_i) \rightarrow 0$ , in  $G$ . If  $\lambda$  is countably additive then it is evidently exhaustive. A class  $\lambda_\alpha: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow G$  is called uniformly exhaustive if for any disjoint sequence  $\{A_i\} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha(A_i) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\alpha$ . If  $(H, \| \cdot \|)$  is a normed group and  $\lambda: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow H$  is an exhaustive finitely additive measure, then we get an exhaustive submeasure  $\bar{\lambda}: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}(B) = \sup\{\|\lambda(A)\|: A \subset B, A \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ ,  $\forall B \in \mathfrak{A}$  (it is proved in [3, Corollary 4.11, p. 279], that  $\bar{\lambda}$  is finite valued).

We first present the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let  $\lambda_n: 2^N \rightarrow G$  be a sequence of countably additive measures such that  $\{\lambda_n(M)\}$  converges,  $\forall M \subset N$ . Then  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is uniformly convergent on  $2^N$ .

PROOF. This lemma is proved in [7] (cf. [4]).

LEMMA 2. Let  $\lambda_n: 2^N \rightarrow G$  be a sequence of finitely additive, exhaustive measures. If  $\{\lambda_n(M)\}$  is convergent,  $\forall M \subset N$ , then  $\lambda_n$ 's are uniformly exhaustive.

PROOF. This is proved in [4, p. 726].

LEMMA 3. Let  $\mu: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  be countably additive and  $\tau$ -smooth and  $(G, \| \cdot \|)$  be normed. Then for any open set  $V$ , in  $X$ , there exists an increasing sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of closed sets,  $C_n \subset V$ ,  $\forall n$ , such that  $\mu \equiv 0$  on  $(V \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty C_n) \cap \mathfrak{B}$ . Dually for any closed set  $C$ , in  $X$ , there is a decreasing sequence  $\{V_n\}$  of open sets, such that  $V_n \supset C$ ,  $\forall n$  and  $\mu \equiv 0$  on  $(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n \setminus C) \cap \mathfrak{B}$ .

PROOF. We split the proof into several steps.

(i) For an open set  $U$  and  $\epsilon < 0$ , there exists a closed set  $C \subset U$  such that  $\|\mu(U \setminus C)\| \leq \epsilon$ . Suppose this is not true. Since  $\mu$  is  $\tau$ -smooth there exists an open set  $U_1 \subset U$  such that  $\bar{U}_1 \subset U$ ,  $\|\mu(U \setminus U_1)\| < \epsilon/8$  (we are using that  $X$  is regular), and  $\|\mu(U \setminus \bar{U}_1)\| > \epsilon$ . This implies that  $\|\mu(\bar{U}_1 \setminus U_1)\| > \epsilon/2$ .

Similarly we get an open set  $U_2 \subset U_1$ ,  $\bar{U}_2 \subset U_1$  such that  $\|\mu(U_1 \setminus U_2)\| < \varepsilon/8^2$ . This means

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mu(\bar{U}_2 \setminus U_2) \right| &> \left\| \mu(U \setminus \bar{U}_2) \right\| - \|\mu(U \setminus U_1)\| + \|\mu(U_1 \setminus U_2)\| \\ &> \varepsilon - \varepsilon/2 - \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

In this fashion we get a sequence  $\{U_n\}$  of open sets such that  $\bar{U}_{n+1} \subset U_n$  and  $\|\mu(\bar{U}_n \setminus U_n)\| > \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $\{\bar{U}_n \setminus U_n\}$  are mutually disjoint, this is a contradiction.

(ii) For an open set  $U$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a closed set  $C \subset U$  such that  $\sup\{\|\mu(P)\|: P \text{ closed}, P \subset U \setminus C\} \leq \varepsilon$ . An indirect proof may be obtained by routine arguments.

REMARK. If the above result holds for a closed set  $C$ , then it also holds for a bigger closed set.

(iii) If for any open set  $U$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sup\{\|\mu(P)\|: P \text{ closed}, P \subset U\} \leq \varepsilon$ , then  $\sup\{\|\mu(V)\|: V \text{ open}, V \subset U\} \leq \varepsilon$ .

PROOF. Use (i).

(iv) For any open set  $V$  there exists an increasing sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of closed sets,  $C_n \subset V$ ,  $\forall n$  such that  $\mu \equiv 0$  on  $(V \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) \cap \mathfrak{B}$ .

PROOF. By (ii), there exists an increasing sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of closed sets,  $C_n \subset V$ ,  $\forall n$  such that  $\sup\{\|\mu(P)\|: P \text{ closed}, P \subset V \setminus C_n\} \leq 1/n$ ,  $\forall n$ . By (iii), for any open set  $U$ ,  $\|\mu(U \cap (V \setminus C_n))\| \leq 1/n$ ,  $\forall n$  and so

$$\mu \left[ U \cap \left( V \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \right) \right] = 0.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \{U \cap (V \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n): U \text{ open in } X\}$  is a lattice, by [8, p. 188],  $\mu \equiv 0$  on the algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{A}_0$  and so  $\mu \equiv 0$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{A}_0$ . This proves  $\mu \equiv 0$  on  $(V \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) \cap \mathfrak{B}$ . The dual statement is proved by routine arguments.

LEMMA 4. Let  $\mu: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  be a countably additive  $\tau$ -smooth measure,  $G$  being normed. Then:

(i)  $\bar{\mu}$  is order continuous (i.e., for any sequence  $\{B_i\} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ , with  $B_i \downarrow \emptyset$ ,  $\bar{\mu}(B_i) \downarrow 0$ ), exhaustive,  $\sigma$ -subadditive, and finite-valued submeasure ([3, Corollary 4.11, p. 279], also §5).

(ii)  $\bar{\mu}$  is regular, i.e., for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a closed set  $C$  and an open  $U$  such that  $C \subset B \subset U$  and  $\bar{\mu}(U \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon$ .

(iii) For a closed set  $C \subset X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a regular open set  $U \supset C$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(U \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon$ .

PROOF. (i) Proof of this part is straightforward (see [3]).

(ii) Take any open set  $V$  and fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Lemma 3 there exists an increasing sequence  $\{C_n\}$  of closed sets such that on  $(V \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) \cap \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mu \equiv 0$ . Thus  $\bar{\mu}(V \setminus V_0) = 0$ , where  $V_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Since  $\bar{\mu}$  is order continuous there exists an  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $\mu(V_0 \setminus C_{n_0}) < \varepsilon$ . Now

$$\bar{\mu}(V \setminus C_{n_0}) \leq \bar{\mu}(V \setminus V_0) + \bar{\mu}(V_0 \setminus C_{n_0}) < \varepsilon.$$

Similarly for a closed set  $C$ , there exists an open set  $U \supset C$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(U \setminus C) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathfrak{B} : \text{Given } \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ a closed set } C \text{ and an open set } U, C \subset A \subset U, \text{ with } \bar{\mu}(U \setminus C) < \varepsilon\}$ . Proceeding exactly as in [2, p. 93], we see that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, containing all closed sets and all open sets. Thus  $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{B}$ .

(iii) Suppose this is not true. Take a  $V_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ , the class of all regular open sets in  $X$ ,  $V_1 \supset C$ . Since  $\bar{\mu}(V_1 \setminus C) > \varepsilon$ , by (ii) there exists an open set  $W_1 \subset V_1 \setminus C$  such that  $\|\mu(W_1)\| > \varepsilon$ . Using (ii) and  $\tau$ -smoothness of  $\mu$ , there exists a  $U_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\bar{U}_1 \subset W_1$  and  $|\mu(U_1)| > \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is closed under finite intersection,  $V_1 \cap (X \setminus \bar{U}_1) \in \mathcal{Q}$  [5, p. 92, Problem 22]. Proceeding as above we get a disjoint sequence  $\{U_i\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ , such that  $|\mu(U_i)| > \varepsilon, \forall i$ . This is a contradiction.

**THEOREM 5.** *Every countably additive  $\tau$ -smooth measure  $\mu: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  is regular.*

**PROOF.** Since  $G$  is a subgroup of a product of normed groups the result follows from Lemma 4.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\mu_n: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  be a sequence of countable additive  $\tau$ -smooth measures such that  $\lim \mu_n(A)$  exists for any regular open set  $A$ . Then*

$$\lim \mu_n(B) = \mu(B)$$

*exists for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mu$  is also countably additive  $\tau$ -smooth.*

**PROOF.** The result will be proved if proved under the assumption that  $G$  is normed. Let  $\{U_i\}$  be a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , the class of regular open sets in  $X$ ; then  $\lambda_n: 2^N \rightarrow G, \lambda_n(M) = \mu_n(\overline{\bigcup_{i \in M} U_i^\circ})$  is a sequence of exhaustively finitely additive measures (exhaustivity is the consequence of the fact that for any disjoint sequence  $\{M_n\}$  in  $2^N, \{\overline{\bigcup_{i \in M_n} U_i^\circ}\}$  is a disjoint sequence) such that  $\lim \lambda_n(M)$  exists for every  $M \subset N$ . By Lemma 2,  $\lambda_n$ 's are uniformly exhaustive. We claim that given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a closed set  $C$  there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\bar{\mu}_n(U \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon, \forall n$ . Suppose this is not true. Take a  $V_1 \in \mathcal{Q}, V_1 \supset C$ , such that  $\bar{\mu}_1(V_1 \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon$ . This means, using regularity, there exists an open set  $W_1 \subset V_1 \setminus C_1$  and an  $n(1) \in N, n(1) > 1$ , such that  $\|\mu_{n(1)}(W_1)\| > \varepsilon$ . Since  $X$  is regular and  $\mu_{n(1)}$  is  $\tau$ -smooth, there exists a  $U_1 \in \mathcal{Q}, U_1 \subset W_1$  such that  $\|\mu_{n(1)}(U_1)\| > \varepsilon$ . Since  $V_1 \cap (X \setminus \bar{U}_1) \in \mathcal{Q}$  [5, p. 92, Problem 22], proceeding as above we get a disjoint sequence  $\{U_i\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n(i)\} \subset N$ , such that  $\|\mu_{n(i)}(U_i)\| > \varepsilon, \forall i$ . This is a contradiction since in the above terminology  $\lambda_{n(i)}$ 's are uniformly exhaustive.

For any open set  $U$  and  $\varepsilon > 0, \exists$  a closed set  $C \subset U$  such that  $\bar{\mu}_n(U \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon, \forall n$ , for otherwise, proceeding as above, we get a disjoint sequence  $\{U_i\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\{n(i)\} \subset N$ , such that

$$\bar{U}_{i+1} \subset X \setminus \bar{U}_i \text{ and } \|\mu_{n(i)}(U_i)\| > \varepsilon, \forall i,$$

a contradiction. Using these facts we see that  $\{\mu_n(U)\}$  is convergent for any open set  $U$ . For an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a disjoint sequence  $\{C_i\}$  of closed sets, take a sequence  $\{U_i\}$  of open sets such that  $\bar{\mu}_n(U_i \setminus C_i) \leq \varepsilon/2^{i+2}$ , for every  $i$  and for every  $n$ . From

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (\mu_m - \mu_n) \left( \bigcup_{i \in M} C_i \right) \right\| &\leq \left\| (\mu_m - \mu_n) \left( \bigcup_{i \in M} U_i \right) \right\| \\ &\quad + \bar{\mu}_m \left( \bigcup_{i \in M} (U_i \setminus C_i) \right) + \bar{\mu}_n \left( \bigcup_{i \in M} (U_i \setminus C_i) \right) \\ &\leq \left\| (\mu_m - \mu_n) \left( \bigcup_{i \in M} U_i \right) \right\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\mu_n(\bigcup_{i \in M} C_i)$  is convergent  $\forall M \subset N$ , and so by Lemma 1,  $\mu_n(C_i) \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $n$ . Using this result and proceeding in a similar way we prove that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\exists$  a closed set  $C \subset B$  such that  $\bar{\mu}_n(B \setminus C) \leq \varepsilon$ . This proves  $\{\mu_n(B)\}$  is convergent for any  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  (see [7], [9]).

The countable additivity of the limit measure  $\mu$  follows from Lemma 1. We will prove that  $\mu_n$ 's are uniformly  $\tau$ -smooth; this will prove that the limit measure is  $\tau$ -smooth. Let  $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be an increasing net of open sets with  $V = \bigcup V_\alpha$ . If  $\{\mu_n\}$  are not uniformly  $\tau$ -smooth, by taking subsequences if necessary there exists an increasing sequence  $\alpha(n) \subset I$ , such that

$$\|\mu_n(V_{\alpha(n+1)} \setminus V_{\alpha(n)})\| > \varepsilon,$$

for every  $n$ , for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{V_{\alpha(n+1)} \setminus V_{\alpha(n)}\}$  are mutually disjoint and  $\{\mu_n(B)\}$  is convergent,  $\forall B \in \mathfrak{B}$ , this is a contradiction, by Lemma 1.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space and  $\mu_n: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow G$  a sequence of  $\tau$ -smooth, countably additive measure. If  $\{\mu_n(U)\}$  is convergent for every exactly open set  $U$  in  $X$ , then  $\{\mu_n(B)\}$  is convergent for every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ .*

**PROOF.** As before, we can assume  $G$  to be normed. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$  all Borel subsets of  $\tilde{X}$ .  $\bar{\mu}: \tilde{\mathfrak{B}} \rightarrow G$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_n(B) = \mu_n(B \cap X)$ ,  $B \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ , are countably additive and  $\tau$ -smooth. By Lemma 4,  $\{\bar{\mu}_n\}$  are regular. Thus given  $m$  and  $n$ , in  $N$ , and an open set  $V$ , in  $\tilde{X}$ , there exists a compact set  $C_{m,n} \subset V$  such that  $\bar{\mu}_n(V \setminus C_{m,n}) < 1/m$ . Take any exact open set  $U_{m,n}$ ,  $C_{m,n} \subset U_{m,n} \subset V$ . This means  $\bar{\mu}_n(V \setminus U) = 0$ , where  $U = \bigcup_{m,n} U_{m,n}$ . Since  $\|\bar{\mu}_n(V \setminus U)\| \leq \bar{\mu}_n(V \setminus U) = 0$ ,  $\forall n$  and  $U$  is exactly open we get that  $\{\bar{\mu}_n(V)\}$  is convergent for every open set  $V$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . By Theorem 6,  $\{\bar{\mu}_n(B)\}$  is convergent,  $\forall B \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ . From this it follows that  $\{\mu_n(B)\}$  is convergent  $\forall B \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

I am grateful to the referee for making several useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. W. Adamski, P. Gänssler and S. Kaiser, *On compactness and convergence in the space of measures*, Math. Ann. **220** (1976), 193-210.

2. N. Dinculeanu and P. W. Lewis, *Regularity of Baire measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 92–94. MR **41** #5588.
3. L. Drewnowski, *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration*. I, II, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 269–276; 277–286. MR **46** #5558.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *Equivalence of Brooks-Jewett, Vitali-Hahn-Saks and Nikodym theorems*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 725–731. MR **47** #431.
5. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966. MR **33** #1824.
6. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1963. MR **29** #4713.
7. S. S. Khurana, *Convergent sequences of regular measures*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **24** (1976), 37–42.
8. B. J. Pettis, *On the extension of measures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **54** (1951), 186–197. MR **13**, 19.
9. L. Rogge, *The convergence determining class of regular open sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 581–585. MR **47** #434.
10. F. Topsøe, *Topology and measure*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol.133, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
11. V. S. Varadarajan, *Measures on topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. **55(97)** (1961), 35–100; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **48** (1965), 161–228. MR **26** #6342.
12. N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. General Topology*. Part 2, Hermann, Paris; English transl., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966. MR **34** #5044b; erratum, **40**, p. 1704.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242