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Abstract. Carlitz’s bipartition identity is extended to a multipartite partition identity by the introduction of the summatory maximum function:

\[ \text{smax}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r - (r-1)\min(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r). \]

Let \( \tau_0(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \) denote the number of partitions of \((n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)\) in which the minimum coordinate of each part is not less than the summatory maximum of the next part. Let \( \tau_1(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \) denote the number of partitions of \((n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)\) in which each part has one of the \(2r-1\) forms:

\[ (a+1, a, a, \ldots, a), (a, a+1, a, \ldots, a), \ldots, (a, a, a, \ldots, a+1), \]

\[ (ra + 2, ra + 2, \ldots, ra + 2), (ra + 3, ra + 3, \ldots, ra + 3), \ldots, (ra + r, ra + r, \ldots, ra + r). \]

Theorem: \( \tau_0(n_1, \ldots, n_r) = \tau_1(n_1, \ldots, n_r). \)

1. Introduction. In 1963, L. Carlitz [3], [5] proved the following identity related to the partitions of bipartite numbers. Recall that a bipartite number is an ordered pair \((n, m)\) of nonnegative integers not both zero. We define \( \tau(n, m) \) as the number of partitions of the bipartite \((n, m)\) of the form

\[ (n, m) = (n_1, m_1) + (n_2, m_2) + \cdots + (n_r, m_r) \]

(addition is coordinate-wise) subject to the condition

\[ \min(n_i, m_i) \geq \max(n_{i+1}, m_{i+1}). \]

We define \( \tau^*(n, m) \) as the number of partitions of \((n, m)\) in which each part is one of the forms \((a - 1, a), (a, a - 1),\) or \((2a, 2a)\).

Carlitz’s Theorem. For every bipartite \((n, m)\): \( \tau(n, m) = \tau^*(n, m). \)

Apart from the intrinsic elegance of this theorem, there is also the interesting fact that this is the only bipartition identity that is not merely the consequence of elementary infinite product identities (such as the generalization of Euler’s theorem given by M. S. Cheema [7] and the generalization of Euler pairs given by M. V. Subbarao [10]). Indeed Carlitz’s theorem is more
like the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [1, Chapter 14] in that one partition function $\pi(n, m)$ is concerned with a "difference condition" between the parts while the second $\pi^*(n, m)$ is concerned only with the form of each part individually.

In subsequent papers, L. Carlitz and D. P. Roselle [5], [6], [8], [9] studied an obvious generalization $\pi(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ of $\pi(n, m)$ to multipartite numbers, namely $\pi(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ is the number of partitions of the $r$-partite number $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ of the form

$$(n_1, \ldots, n_r) = (m_{11}, \ldots, m_{1r}) + (m_{21}, \ldots, m_{2r}) + \cdots + (m_{sr}, \ldots, m_{sr})$$

subject to the condition $\min(m_{j1}, \ldots, m_{jr}) \geq \max(m_{j+1,1}, \ldots, m_{j+1,r})$. While many interesting results were obtained for $\pi(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ related to certain generalized Eulerian functions, no other identity like Carlitz's theorem was found.

In this paper we shall generalize Carlitz's theorem to $r$-partite numbers. To do this we define the summatory maximum "smax" of $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r - (r - 1)\min(n_1, \ldots, n_r).$$

Note that $\text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) = \max(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ when $r = 1$ or 2.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let $\pi_0(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ denote the number of partitions of the $r$-partite number

$$(1.2) \quad (n_1, \ldots, n_r) = (m_{11}, \ldots, m_{1r}) + \cdots + (m_{sr}, \ldots, m_{sr})$$

subject to the condition: $\min(m_{j1}, \ldots, m_{jr}) \geq \text{smax}(m_{j+1,1}, \ldots, m_{j+1,r})$.

**DEFINITION 2.** Let $\pi_1(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ denote the number of partitions of $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ in which each part has one of the $2r - 1$ possible forms: $(a + 1, a, a, \ldots, a)$, $(a, a + 1, a, \ldots, a)$, $\ldots$, $(a, a, \ldots, a + 1)$, $(ra + 2, ra + 2, \ldots, ra + 2)$, $(ra + 3, ra + 3, \ldots, ra + 3)$, $\ldots$, $(ra + r, ra + r, \ldots, ra + r)$ (for $a > 0$).

**Theorem 1.** For every $r$-partite number $(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$,

$$\pi_0(n_1, \ldots, n_r) = \pi_1(n_1, \ldots, n_r).$$

We remark that this theorem is trivial when $r = 1$ in that $\pi_0(n) = \pi_1(n) = p(n)$, the number of partitions of $n$. When $r = 2$, Theorem 1 reduces to Carlitz's theorem since $\text{smax}(n_1, n_2) = \max(n_1, n_2)$.

In §2 we shall prove Theorem 1; §3 concludes with a brief discussion of open questions and a closed form expression for

$$\sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \text{smax}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}. $$

Carlitz [2] has treated a similar problem when $\text{smax}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ is replaced by $\max(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ or $\min(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. Our proof is quite similar to that given by Carlitz for bipartite numbers. We begin by noting the algebraic identity

\[(2.1) \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq r} a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_j} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - a_i)\]

which we need later in the proof.

We now define \(\pi(n_1, \ldots, n_r | a_1, \ldots, a_r)\) as the number of partitions (like (1.2)) of \((n_1, \ldots, n_r)\) subject to the conditions of Definition 1 with the added restriction that \(\min(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \geq \text{smax}(m_1, \ldots, m_r)\).

\[(2.2) \xi_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \pi(n_1, \ldots, n_r | a_1, \ldots, a_r) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \]

By classifying the \(r\)-partite partitions admissible under Definition 1 according to their largest part, we immediately deduce

\[(2.3) \xi_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \sum_{\text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) < \min(a_1, \ldots, a_r)} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \xi_{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_r} \]

Furthermore we see from the definition of \(\pi(n_1, \ldots, n_r | a_1, \ldots, a_r)\), that if \(a = \min(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)\), then

\[(2.4) \xi_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r} = \xi_a \]

where we have written \(\xi_a = \xi_{aa \cdots a}\).

Next we let

\[(2.5) F(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n \xi_n \]

Hence

\[(2.6) F(u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n \sum_{\text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \leq n} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \xi_{n_1 \cdots n_r} \]

\[= \sum_{n_1 \geq 0, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \xi_{n_1 \cdots n_r} \sum_{\text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)} u^n \]

\[= \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq r} \sum_{\min(n_1, \ldots, n_r)} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \xi_{n_{i_1} \cdots n_{i_j}} \]

\[= (1 - u)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j \leq r} (ux_1)^{n_1} \cdots (ux_r)^{n_r} u^{-(r-1)n_i} \xi_{n_i} \]
\[
= (1 - u)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j < r} \prod_{i \neq i_1, \ldots, i_j} (1 - ux_i)^{-1} \sum_{n_i = 0}^{\infty} (x_1 \cdots x_r u)^{n_i} \xi_{n_i} \\
= (1 - u)^{-1} F(x_1 \cdots x_r u) \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j < r} \prod_{i \neq i_1, \ldots, i_j} (1 - ux_i)^{-1} \\
= \frac{F(x_1 \cdots x_r u)}{(1 - u)(1 - ux_1) \cdots (1 - ux_r)} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_j < r} (1 - ux_i_1) \cdots (1 - ux_i_j) \\
= \frac{F(x_1 \cdots x_r u)(1 - x_1 \cdots x_r u^r)}{(1 - u)(1 - ux_1) \cdots (1 - ux_r)},
\]

where we have used (2.1) with \(\alpha_k = 1 - ux_k\). Iteration of (2.6) yields

(2.7)
\[
F(u) = \frac{\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - x_1^{n+1} x_2^{n+1} \cdots x_r^{n+1})}{\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - ux_1^n \cdots x_r^n)(1 - ux_1^{n+1} x_2^n \cdots x_r^n) \cdots (1 - ux_1^n x_2^n \cdots x_r^n+1)}.
\]

Finally,

(2.8)
\[
\sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \sigma_0(n_1, \ldots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \\
= \lim_{n \to \infty} \xi_n = \lim_{n \to 1} (1 - u) F(u) \quad \text{(by Abel's Lemma)} \\
= \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x_1^n x_2^n \cdots x_r^n) \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - x_1^{m+1} x_2^m \cdots x_r^m) \cdots (1 - x_1^m x_2^m \cdots x_r^{m+1})} \\
= \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \sigma_0(n_1, \ldots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}.
\]

Theorem 1 now follows from a comparison of the coefficients of \(x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r}\) in equation (2.8).

3. Conclusion. It is natural to ask whether any other partition identities like Theorem 1 exist for multipartite numbers. The technique used here (as pointed out by Carlitz) arose in T. W. Chaundy's work on plane partitions. It is quite conceivable that elementary algebraic identities other than (2.1) might lead to further nice multipartite partition identities through Chaundy's method.

Finally we mention that Carlitz [2] has obtained closed form expressions for the \(r\)-variable generating functions of \(\min(n_1, \ldots, n_r)\) and \(\max(n_1, \ldots, n_r)\). In particular he proved that
\[ \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \min(n_1, \ldots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \]
\[ = \frac{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r}{(1 - x_1) \cdots (1 - x_r)(1 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r)}. \]

From equation (3.1) we obtain immediately the generating function for \( \text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \):

\[ \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} \text{smax}(n_1, \ldots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \]
\[ = \sum_{n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 0} (n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_r - (r - 1) \min(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)) x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \]
\[ = \frac{x_1}{(1 - x_1)^2 (1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_r)} + \frac{x_2}{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2)^2 (1 - x_3) \cdots (1 - x_r)} \]
\[ + \cdots + \frac{x_r}{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_{r-1})} + \frac{(r - 1)x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r}{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r)} \]
\[ = (1 - x_1)^{-1} (1 - x_2)^{-1} \cdots (1 - x_r)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{x_j}{1 - x_j} - \frac{(r - 1)x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r}{1 - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r} \right\}. \]
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