

REPRESENTATIONS OF SOLVABLE LIE  
ALGEBRAS. IV: AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF  
THE  $(U/P)_E$ -STRUCTURE THEOREM

J. C. MC CONNELL

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we give a shorter and much more elementary proof of a theorem which describes the structure of certain localisations of the enveloping algebra of a completely solvable Lie algebra. Such a localisation is shown to be a twisted group algebra where the group is free abelian of finite rank and the coefficient ring is a polynomial extension of a Weyl algebra.

**Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a completely solvable Lie algebra over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero,  $P$  a prime ideal of the enveloping algebra  $U = U(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $E$  the semicentre of  $U/P$  (see §1 below) and  $(U/P)_E$  the corresponding quotient ring. (The interest of  $(U/P)_E$  is that any simple  $U$ -module with annihilator  $P$  is naturally a  $(U/P)_E$ -module and  $(U/P)_E$  is a simple algebra.) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent then  $E$  is the centre of  $U/P$  and  $(U/P)_E$  is a Weyl algebra  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , where

$$A_n = K[y_1, \dots, y_n, \partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_n],$$

the “ring of differential operators with polynomial coefficients”. In [4] it was shown that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely solvable then  $(U/P)_E$  may be regarded as a ring of differential operators in which the multiplication has been altered by a 2-cocycle. In [5] the cohomology group involved was determined and it followed readily that such a “twisted” ring of differential operators had a much more elementary presentation as a “group algebra” of a free abelian group in which the group elements induce automorphisms on the coefficient ring. This group algebra is constructed (see §1) from the data  $(V, \delta, G)$  (and is denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$ ) where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space,  $\delta$  is an alternating bilinear form on  $V$  and  $G$  is a finitely generated subgroup of the additive group of the dual space  $V^*$ . The proof that  $(U/P)_E$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  as given in [4] and [5] is rather complicated. In particular, the proofs in [4] depend on results on smash products from [3]. In this paper we give an elementary proof that  $(U/P)_E \cong \mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$ , which is completely independent of [3]. In order to make the whole argument intelligible we briefly sketch those

---

Received by the editors September 8, 1976.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 17B35; Secondary 16A08.

*Key words and phrases.* Completely solvable Lie algebra, universal enveloping algebra, ring of differential operators, Weyl algebra, twisted group ring.

parts of the proof which remain essentially unchanged.

1. **Preliminaries.** If  $M$  is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module then  $E(M)$  is the set of elements of  $M$  which generate one-dimensional  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules.  $U$  or  $U/P$  will be regarded as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module via the adjoint representation [2, 2.2.21]. Thus with notation as above,

$$E(U/P) = \{x \in U/P: [g + P, x] \in kx \text{ for all } g \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

If  $x \in E(U/P)$  then there exists  $\lambda_x \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $(\text{ad } g)(x) = \lambda_x(g)x$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ .  $\lambda_x$  is called the *weight* of  $x$ . Also  $xU/P = U/Px$  and so, since  $P$  is a prime,  $E(U/P)$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $U/P$ . (For all of this compare [4, 2.2].) (Note that in [2, 4.3], the semicentre of  $U/P$  is the subalgebra  $S$  generated by  $E(U/P)$  and not  $E(U/P)$  itself.)

Let  $(V, \delta, G)$  be as in the introduction.  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  is constructed as follows. Let  $S_\delta(V)$  denote the  $k$ -algebra with 1 generated by  $V$  subject to  $vw - wv = \delta(v, w)1$ ,  $v, w \in V$ . If  $\lambda \in G$ , the map  $v \mapsto v + \lambda(v)1$ ,  $v \in V$ , extends to an automorphism  $\theta_\lambda$  of  $S_\delta(V)$ . The subgroup  $\{\theta_\lambda: \lambda \in G\}$  of  $\text{Aut } S_\delta(V)$  is again denoted by  $G$  and  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  is the twisted group algebra  $S_\delta(V) \# G$ . Set  $V^G = \bigcap_{\lambda \in G} \text{Ker } \lambda$  and  $V^\delta = \{v | \delta(v, V) = 0\}$ . If  $V^G \cap V^\delta = 0$  then  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  is a simple algebra of [5, Theorem 4.6].

The proof of the structure theorem falls into two parts which occupy the next two sections. Throughout  $k$  is of characteristic zero and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely solvable.

2. **Reduction to the abelian by abelian case.** Let  $P$  be a prime of  $U = U(\mathfrak{g})$ . By passing to  $U(\mathfrak{g}/P \cap \mathfrak{g})$  we may assume that  $P \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the maximum nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a complementary subspace to  $\mathfrak{n}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\pi$  be the canonical homomorphism  $U \rightarrow U/P$  and denote  $\pi(U(\mathfrak{n}))$  by  $N$  and the centre of  $N$  by  $Z(N)$ . If  $N \neq Z(N)$  then by [1, 6.8] (or [4, 4.2]) there exists  $e \in E \cap Z(N)$  such that  $N_e \cong A_n \otimes Z(N)_e$  for some  $n > 0$ ,  $Z(N)_e$  is a finitely generated algebra and is the centre of  $N_e$ . Thus  $(U/P)_e$  is generated by  $A_n \otimes Z(N)_e$  and  $\pi(\mathfrak{a})$ . If  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  then  $x \mapsto [\pi(a), x]$  is a derivation on  $A_n \otimes Z(N)_e$  and by [4, 2.16], there exists a  $k$ -linear map  $\rho: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow N_e = A_n \otimes Z(N)_e$  such that  $\pi(a) - \rho(a)$  commutes with  $A_n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}'$  denote the subspace of  $U/P$  spanned by  $\{\pi(a) - \rho(a) | a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ . If  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{a}'$  then  $[a_1, a_2] \in A_n \otimes Z(N)_e$  and hence to  $Z(N)_e$ , the centraliser of  $A_n$  in  $N_e$ . Thus  $(U/P)_e$  is generated by  $A_n$  and  $B$ , where  $B$  is the subalgebra generated by  $Z(N)_e \cup \mathfrak{a}'$  and  $A_n$  and  $B$  commute elementwise. So  $(U/P)_e \cong A_n \otimes B$  by [2, 4.6.7].

If  $a' = \pi(a) - \rho(a) \in \mathfrak{a}'$  then  $a'$  induces the same derivation on  $Z(N)_e$  as  $\pi(a)$  does.  $N$  is a union of finite-dimensional ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules and hence so also is  $Z(N)$  and  $Z(N)_e$ . Thus  $Z(N)_e$  is a union of finite-dimensional ad  $\mathfrak{a}'$ -submodules. Since  $[\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{a}'] \subset Z(N)_e$ , there is a finite-dimensional ad  $\mathfrak{a}'$ -submodule  $W$  of  $Z(N)_e$  such that  $[\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{a}'] \subset W$  and  $W$  generates the algebra  $Z(N)_e$ . So  $B$  is a homomorphic image of  $U(\mathfrak{h})$  where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h} = W + \mathfrak{a}'$ . Since  $N$  is a trigonalisable ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (i.e.  $N$  is a

union of finite-dimensional ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules whose Jordan-Hölder factors are one-dimensional), so also is  $Z(N)$  and  $Z(N)_e$  and hence  $\mathfrak{h}$  is completely solvable. So  $B \cong U(\mathfrak{h})/P'$  for some prime ideal  $P'$  and  $(U/P)_e \cong A_n \otimes B \cong A_n \otimes U(\mathfrak{h})/P'$ . We may assume that  $P' \cap \mathfrak{h} = 0$ .

It can happen that the maximum nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is nonzero (see §4). We may repeat the above process of localising and splitting off Weyl algebras. This process must stop (by a noetherian or Krull dimension argument). So there exists  $e \in E(U/P)$  such that  $(U/P)_e \cong A_n \otimes U(\mathfrak{h})/P'$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a completely solvable Lie algebra whose maximum nilpotent ideal is abelian.

**3. The abelian by abelian case.** From §2 it is sufficient now to consider the case when the maximum nilpotent ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is abelian and  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $P \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$ . Consider  $\mathfrak{n}$  as an ad  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ )-module.  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a direct sum of weight spaces for  $\mathfrak{g}$  and we let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be the nonzero weights of ad  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . (By the weight space corresponding to  $\lambda$  we mean the space  $V^\lambda$  of [2, 1.2.13].) Since  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the maximum nilpotent ideal,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^t \text{Ker } \lambda_i = \mathfrak{n}$ . The weights of ad  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  are the elements of  $\mathbb{N}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{N}\lambda_t$ . (See [1, 6.6].) Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_t$ , the additive subgroup of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  generated by  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ . Since  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $G$  is a finitely generated torsion-free group and hence is a free abelian group whose rank will be denoted by  $m$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , let  $e_i \in \mathfrak{n}$  be an ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -eigenvector of weight  $\lambda_i$ , i.e.  $[g, e_i] = \lambda_i(g)e_i$  for all  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Set  $e = e_1 \cdots e_t$ , which is an ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -eigenvector of weight  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_t$ . No power of  $e$  belongs to  $P$  since otherwise some  $e_i \in P$  (as  $P$  is prime), which contradicts  $P \cap \mathfrak{g} = 0$ . Since  $e \in E(U/P)$  we may form  $(U/P)_e$ . The action of ad  $\mathfrak{g}$  extends from  $U/P$  to  $(U/P)_e$  and the weights of ad  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $(U/P)_e$  are exactly the elements of  $G = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_t$ .

Choose  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in G$  such that  $G = \mathbb{Z}\mu_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\mu_m$  (recall  $m = \text{rank } G$ ) and let  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  be monomials in  $\{e_1, \dots, e_t, e_1^{-1}, \dots, e_t^{-1}\}$  whose weights are  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$ , respectively. Then  $E_1^{n_1} \cdots E_m^{n_m}$  ( $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) has weight  $n_1\mu_1 + \dots + n_m\mu_m$ . Thus distinct monomials in the elements of  $\mathfrak{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_m, E_1^{-1}, \dots, E_m^{-1}\}$  correspond to distinct weights and so the subgroup of the units of  $(U/P)_e$  generated by  $\mathfrak{E}$  is isomorphic to  $G$  and will also be denoted by  $G$ . (The notation of Greek letters for weights and Roman letters for elements of  $(U/P)_e$  makes it clear whether we are discussing the additive group of weights or the corresponding multiplicative group of eigenvectors.) The subalgebra of  $(U/P)_e$  generated by  $\mathfrak{E}$  will be denoted by  $kG$  as it is the group algebra of  $G$ .

If  $f \in E(U/P)$  then there exists  $g \in G$  with the same weight and so there is a  $c \in C = \text{Centre}(U/P)$  such that  $f = cg$ . Hence the subalgebra of  $(U/P)_e$  generated by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_t, e^{-1}\}$  is generated by  $kG$  and elements of  $C$ . (This gives Lemma 5.4 of [4].) Thus  $(U/P)_E = ((U/P)_e)_C$ . The centre of  $(U/P)_E$  is  $K$ , the quotient field of  $C$ , and we consider  $(U/P)_E$  as a  $K$ -algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a supplementary subspace to  $\mathfrak{n}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and set  $l = \dim_k \mathfrak{a}$ .  $l$  is also the dimension of the subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  spanned by the elements of  $G$ .

LEMMA 3.1. (i) *The  $K$ -subalgebra of  $(U/P)_E$  generated by  $kG$  is isomorphic to  $KG$  (the group algebra of  $G$  over  $K$ ).*

(ii) *The  $K$ -subspace of  $(U/P)_E$  spanned by  $\mathfrak{a}$  has dimension  $l$  and will be denoted by  $K\mathfrak{a}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l \in G$  be a basis for the subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  spanned by  $G$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathfrak{a}$  be a dual basis to the  $\mu$ 's and  $g_1, \dots, g_l \in G$  be  $\mathfrak{g}$ -eigenvectors with weights  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l$ , respectively. Then  $[a_i, g_j] = \delta_{ij}g_j$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^l k_i a_i = 0$ , where  $k$ 's  $\in K$ , then  $0 = [\sum k_i a_i, g_j] = k_j g_j$  and so  $k_j = 0$  which proves (ii). From (ii), the standard basis of  $kG$  over  $k$  must be linearly independent over  $K$ , which proves (i).  $\square$

Thus  $(U/P)_E$  contains a subspace  $K\mathfrak{a}$  and a subalgebra  $KG$  and  $\text{ad } K\mathfrak{a}$  acts faithfully as semisimple derivations on  $KG$ . We now construct another subalgebra of  $(U/P)_E$  on which  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$  (or  $\text{ad } K\mathfrak{a}$ ) act as locally nilpotent derivations. Let  $\lambda$  be a weight of  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda$  the corresponding weight space and  $v_1, \dots, v_l$  a basis for  $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda$  such that  $[g, v_i] = \lambda(g)v_i$  modulo  $kv_1 + \dots + kv_{i-1}$ , for all  $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then for  $i \geq 1$ ,  $[g, v_i^{-1}v_i] \in kv_1^{-1}v_1 + \dots + kv_{i-1}^{-1}v_{i-1}$ . Let  $B$  be the  $K$ -subalgebra of  $(U/P)_E$  generated by  $\cup \{v_i^{-1}v_i : i \geq 2\}$ , where the union is over the set of weights of  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then the elements of  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$  or  $\text{ad } K\mathfrak{a}$  act as locally nilpotent derivations on  $B$ . Since every nonzero central element of  $(U/P)_E$  is a unit, by [4, Lemma 5.5],  $B \cup S(W)$ , the symmetric algebra on a  $K$ -vector space  $W$ , such that for  $a \in K\mathfrak{a}$  and  $w \in W$ ,  $[a, w] \in K$  and no element of  $W$  commutes with  $K\mathfrak{a}$ . (Thus the  $K$ -subalgebra of  $(U/P)_E$  generated by  $K\mathfrak{a}$  and  $S(W)$  is a homomorphic image of the tensor product of a Weyl algebra and a commutative polynomial algebra.)

Summarising our work so far, we have that  $(U/P)_E$  is generated by the subalgebras  $KG$  and  $S(W)$  and the subspace  $K\mathfrak{a}$ .  $K(N_e)$ , the  $K$ -subalgebra generated by  $N_E (= \pi(U(\mathfrak{n}))_e)$  is generated by  $KG$  and  $S(W)$  and  $K\mathfrak{a}$  acts faithfully as an abelian Lie algebra of semisimple derivations on  $KG$  and (possibly nonfaithfully) as an abelian Lie algebra of locally nilpotent derivations on  $S(W)$ . Also for  $a_1, a_2 \in K\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $[a_1, a_2] \in K(N_e)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Regard  $S(W) \otimes KG$  as a  $K\mathfrak{a}$ -module where the elements of  $K\mathfrak{a}$  act as derivations extending the given action on  $KG$  and on  $S(W)$ . The only ideals of  $S(W) \otimes KG$  which are  $K\mathfrak{a}$ -submodules are  $0$  and  $S(W) \otimes KG$ .*

PROOF. Let  $g \in G$  and  $\mu \in (K\mathfrak{a})^*$  be the weight of  $g$ . Then the  $\mu$ -weight space for  $K\mathfrak{a}$  on  $S(W) \otimes KG$  is  $S(W) \otimes g$ , since each element of  $K\mathfrak{a}$  acts as a locally nilpotent derivation on  $S(W)$ . Hence, if  $I$  is a nonzero  $K\mathfrak{a}$ -submodule of  $S(W) \otimes KG$  then  $I = \sum_{g \in G} I \cap (S(W) \otimes g)$ , and so for some  $g \in G$ ,  $I \cap S(W) \otimes g \neq 0$ . Thus, if  $I$  is an ideal then  $I \cap S(W) \neq 0$ . The only nonzero ideal of  $S(W)$  which is a  $K\mathfrak{a}$ -submodule is  $S(W)$  itself, since  $S(W) = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , say, and there exist elements of  $K\mathfrak{a}$  acting as  $\partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_n$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *The subalgebra of  $(U/P)_E$  generated by  $S(W)$  and  $KG$  is isomorphic to  $S(W) \otimes KG$ .  $\square$*

Finally we consider the cohomology involved here. For  $a_1, a_2 \in K\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $[a_1, a_2] \in K(N_e) = S(W) \otimes KG$  and the map:  $K\mathfrak{a} \times K\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow S(W) \otimes KG$  defined by  $(a_1, a_2) \mapsto [a_1, a_2]$  is an element of  $Z^2(K\mathfrak{a}, S(W) \otimes KG)$ . By [5, Theorem 3.2] we may assume that this cocycle is an element of  $Z^2(K\mathfrak{a}, K)$ .

It remains to show that  $(U/P)_E$  is an  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  as defined in §1. Let  $V$  be the  $K$ -vector space  $W \oplus K\mathfrak{a} \subset (U/P)_E$ . For  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ ,  $[v_1, v_2] \in K$  and  $(v_1, v_2) \mapsto [v_1, v_2]$  is an alternating bilinear form  $\delta$  on  $V$ . For  $v \in V$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $[g, v] = \lambda_g(v)g$  where  $\lambda_g \in V^*$ .  $\bigcap_{g \in G} \text{Ker } \lambda_g = W$  and if  $g_1 \neq g_2$  then  $\lambda_{g_1} \neq \lambda_{g_2}$ . Thus  $\{\lambda_g, g \in G\}$  is a subgroup of  $V^*$  isomorphic to  $G$ . We now have the data  $(V, \delta, G)$  as in §1.  $V^G = W$  and  $V^\delta \subseteq K\mathfrak{a}$  so  $V^G \cap V^\delta = 0$  and so  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  is a simple algebra [5, Theorem 4.6]. Now  $(U/P)_E$  is generated by  $V$  and  $KG$ , so by the universal property of  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  [5, Theorem 4.3] there is an algebra homomorphism from  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$  onto  $(U/P)_E$ , which is an isomorphism since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is simple.

**4. An example.** We give an example to illustrate §2 and show that after splitting off the Weyl algebra arising from the maximum nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to get  $(U/P)_E \cong A_n \otimes U(\mathfrak{h})/P'$ , there may be further Weyl algebras arising from  $U(\mathfrak{h})/P'$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the six-dimensional Lie algebra with basis  $x, y, z, v, w, t$  and multiplication table,  $[x, y] = z$ ,  $[t, x] = x$ ,  $[t, y] = -y$ ,  $[t, v] = w$ . Thus the maximum nilpotent ideal  $n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is five-dimensional and is the direct sum of a three-dimensional nilpotent and a two-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

$$U(\mathfrak{n})_z = k[z, z^{-1}, v, w] \otimes A_1 \quad \text{where } A_1 = k[x, z^{-1}y].$$

$t' = t + xz^{-1}y$  commutes with  $A_1$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  has basis  $z, z^{-1}, v, w, t'$  and multiplication table  $[t', v] = w$ . So  $(U(\mathfrak{g}))_{zw} \cong k[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}] \otimes A_2$ .  $U_E \cong A_2(K)$  but the maximum nilpotent ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contributed just one of the  $A_1$ 's.

**REMARK.** One of the open questions of [5] (viz. whether rank  $\delta$  is an invariant of  $\mathcal{Q}(V, \delta, G)$ ) has been given an affirmative answer in [6].

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Borho, P. Gabriel and R. Rentschler, *Primideale in Einhüllenden auflösbarer Lie Algebren*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 357, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
2. J. Dixmier, *Algèbres enveloppantes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1974.
3. J. C. McConnell and M. Sweedler, *Simplicity of smash products*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **23** (1971), 251–266.
4. J. C. McConnell, *Representations of solvable Lie algebras and the Gelfand-Kirillov conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974), 453–484.
5. ———, *Representations of solvable Lie algebras. II: Twisted group rings*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **8** (1975), 157–178.
6. P. Tauvel, *Dimension de Krull des algèbres de McConnell*, Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI (Preprint).