

THE LEFSCHETZ FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR COMPACT GROUPS

RONALD J. KNILL

ABSTRACT. It is shown that every compact group G is a Q -simplicial space where Q is any field of characteristic zero. As a consequence it follows that G satisfies a variation of the Lefschetz fixed point theorem.

It has been known for some time that the Lefschetz fixed point theorem applies to a few spaces other than just ANR spaces, especially if some care is taken to use coefficients in certain fields [2]. The case of all compact groups provides a broad class of spaces which may not have local connectivity of any order. It is shown that every compact group G satisfies the Lefschetz fixed point theorem when coefficients for the homology groups are taken in a field of characteristic zero.

1. Compact groups. Suppose that G is a compact group. By the analysis of A. Weil [4, pp. 88–90], G is an inverse limit of compact Lie groups $G = \text{proj lim } G_\mu$ where each G_μ is locally isomorphic to a direct product of an abelian group and a semisimple group. In more detail, the connected component of the center of G_μ is an abelian group A_μ such that for a normal subgroup S_μ which is semisimple, $G_\mu = A_\mu \cdot S_\mu$ where $Z_\mu = A_\mu \cap S_\mu$ is finite. Further $G_\mu \cong (A_\mu \times S_\mu)/Z_\mu$. If $f_{\mu\nu}: G_\nu \rightarrow G_\mu$ is a homomorphism belonging to the inverse system, then $f_{\mu\nu}$ maps A_ν onto A_μ and S_ν onto S_μ . Now each S_μ has a finite cover by a simply connected group \tilde{S}_μ which is a finite product of simply connected simple groups. A homomorphism from one product of simply connected simple groups onto another is just a projection of a product space onto a factor space. In summary we have the following theorem.

1. THEOREM. *Let G be a compact group. Then G is an inverse limit of Lie groups $G = \text{proj lim } G_\mu$ and if $f_{\mu\nu}: G_\nu \rightarrow G_\mu$ is a homomorphism belonging to this inverse system, then there is a commutative diagram of covering compact Lie groups*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{G}_\nu & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}} & \tilde{G}_\mu \\
 p_\nu \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\mu \\
 G_\nu & \xrightarrow{f_{\mu\nu}} & G_\mu
 \end{array}$$

Presented to the Society, January 18, 1974; received by the editors April 18, 1974 and, in revised form, July 6, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 55C20; Secondary 22C05.

Key words and phrases. Compact group, Lefschetz fixed point theorem, Q -simplicial spaces.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

such that the covering groups \tilde{G}_ν and \tilde{G}_μ are finite sheeted covering spaces, and such that $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$ is a projection of a product space onto a factor space.

2. **The Q -simplicial condition.** Let α be a family of subsets of a space X . For a subset B of X let

$$\text{St}_\alpha B = \cup \{A: A \cap B \neq \emptyset \text{ and } A \in \alpha\}.$$

There are two simplicial complexes associated with α . The *first* is the total complex, denoted X_α , whose simplexes are those finite subsets s of X such that for some $A \in \alpha$, s is a subset of A . The *second* is the simplicial complex called the nerve, $N(\alpha)$, of α . Its simplexes are the finite subsets s of α (or of the index set of α) such that the set $\cap \{A: A \in s\}$ is nonempty.

The *support* of a chain c in $C_*(X_\alpha)$ (coefficients in a field Q) is defined to be the smallest closed subset W of X such that c is a chain of $C_*(W_\alpha)$. If c is a chain in $C_*(N(\alpha))$, the *carrier* of c is the smallest subfamily β of α such that c is a chain of $C_*(N(\beta))$ (β need not be a covering of X for this to make sense). Let $\text{sup}(c)$ be the union of those sets in the carrier of c .

According to Dowker [1] the two chain complexes $C_*(X_\alpha)$ and $C_*(N(\alpha))$ are chain homotopy equivalent; in fact his methods show that the following theorem is true.

THEOREM (DOWKER). *Let α be a family of subsets of X . Then there are chain maps*

$$k^\alpha: C_*(N(\alpha)) \rightarrow C_*(X_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad l^\alpha: C_*(X_\alpha) \rightarrow C_*(N(\alpha))$$

which are chain homotopy inverse to each other and which satisfy the two support conditions:

1. $\text{sup}(k^\alpha(c)) \subset \text{sup}(c)$, for $c \in C_*(N(\alpha))$,
2. $\text{sup}(l^\alpha(c)) \subset \text{St}_\alpha \text{sup}(c)$, for $c \in C_*(X_\alpha)$.

DEFINITION 1. A compact space X is said to be " Q -simplicial" if for any open covering α of X there exists an $\alpha^\#$ which is a finite covering of X by open subsets such that $\alpha^\#$ refines α ($\alpha^\# > \alpha$) and such that for any open covering β of X there is a chain map $\omega: C_*(X_{\alpha^\#}) \rightarrow C_*(X_\beta)$ such that $\text{sup}(\omega(c)) \subset \text{St}_\alpha(\text{sup}(c))$, for $c \in C_*(X_{\alpha^\#})$.

This is the form of Q -simplicial which occurs in [2]. There is an equivalent form for nerves which we give below.

DEFINITION 2. A compact space X is said to be " Q -simplicial" if for any open covering α of X there exists an α^* which is a finite covering of X by open subsets such that $\alpha^* > \alpha$ and such that for any open covering β of X there is a chain map $\tau: C_*(N(\alpha^*)) \rightarrow C_*(N(\beta))$ such that $\text{sup}(\tau(c)) \subset \text{St}_\beta(\text{St}_\alpha(\text{sup}(c)))$, for $c \in C_*(N(\alpha^*))$.

PROPOSITION. *Definitions 1 and 2 are equivalent.*

PROOF. Let X be a compact space. Let us prove that Definition 1 implies Definition 2. For an open covering α of X , let $\alpha^* = \alpha^\#$. For any open covering β of X , let ω be a chain map as in Definition 1. Then let τ :

$C_*(N(\alpha^*)) \rightarrow C_*(N(\beta))$ be the composition $\tau = l^\beta \omega k^{\alpha^*}$. One may compute straightforwardly that $\text{sup}(\tau(c)) \subset \text{St}_\beta(\text{St}_\alpha(\text{sup}(c)))$ for $c \in C_*(N(\alpha^*))$. Thus α^* satisfies the conditions of Definition 2, proving 1 implies 2.

To see that Definition 2 implies Definition 1, we again begin with an open covering α of X . By the compactness of X there is an open refinement γ of α such that for any subset B of X ,

$$(1) \quad \text{St}_\gamma(\text{St}_\gamma(\text{St}_\gamma(B))) \subset \text{St}_\alpha(B).$$

Let $\alpha^\# = \gamma^*$, where γ^* is related to γ as α^* is to α in Definition 2. Let β be any open covering of X , and let β' be a common refinement of β and γ . Let $\tau: C_*(N(\alpha^\#)) \rightarrow C_*(N(\beta'))$ be a chain map such that $\text{sup}(\tau(c)) \subset \text{St}_{\beta'}(\text{St}_\gamma(\text{sup}(c)))$ for any chain $c \in C_*(N(\alpha^\#)) = C_*(N(\gamma^*))$. Let π be the inclusion of $C_*(X_{\beta'})$ into $C_*(X_\beta)$. Then define $\omega = \pi k^{\beta'} \tau l^{\alpha^\#}$. Since π and $k^{\beta'}$ reduce supports, then for a chain c in $C_*(X_{\alpha^\#}) = C_*(X_{\gamma^*})$,

$$(2) \quad \text{sup}(\omega(c)) \subset \text{sup}(\tau l^{\alpha^\#}(c)) \subset \text{St}_{\beta'}(\text{St}_\gamma(\text{sup}(c))).$$

Since β', γ and γ^* all refine γ , then by (1) and (2), $\text{sup}\omega(c) \subset \text{St}_\alpha(\text{sup}(c))$. This proves that $\alpha^\#$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 1, completing the proof of the Proposition.

The following theorem is proven in [2] in a more general form including certain noncompact spaces.

THEOREM. *Suppose that X is a compact space which is Q -simplicial. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a map such that the induced homology homomorphism*

$$f_*: H_*(X; Q) \rightarrow H_*(X; Q)$$

has an image which is finite dimensional as a vector space over Q . Then the Lefschetz number

$$\Lambda_Q(f) = \sum_n (-1)^n \text{trace}(f_n)$$

is defined. If $\Lambda_Q(f) \neq 0$ then $f(x) = x$ for some x in X .

3. The main theorem.

THEOREM. *Suppose that G is a compact group and that f is a continuous function of G into itself. Let Q be any field of characteristic zero. If f_* has a finite dimensional image and $\Lambda_Q(f) \neq 0$, then there is a point x in G such that $f(x) = x$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that G is Q -simplicial. We use Definition 2. From the first section G is a projective limit of compact Lie groups $G = \text{proj lim } G_\mu$. Any Lie group is triangulable so assume triangulations are given compatible with the homomorphisms of the inverse system. Let $\nu > \mu$ be indices of this system. Then there is a commutative diagram of onto simplicial maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{G}_\nu & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}} & \tilde{G}_\mu \\
 p_\nu \downarrow & & \downarrow p_\mu \\
 G_\nu & \xrightarrow{f_{\mu\nu}} & G_\mu
 \end{array}$$

such that $(\tilde{G}_\nu, p_\nu, G_\nu)$ and $(\tilde{G}_\mu, p_\mu, G_\mu)$ are finite sheeted covering spaces and such that $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}$ is a projection of a product space onto a factor space. Define a chain map $\tau_{\nu\mu}: C_*(G_\mu) \rightarrow C_*(G_\nu)$ as a composition of chain maps $\tau_{\nu\mu} = p_\nu \tau_1 \tau_2$ where $\tau_1: C_*(\tilde{G}_\mu) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{G}_\nu)$ is any simplicial approximation to a cross section $\tilde{G}_\mu \rightarrow \tilde{G}_\nu$, and $\tau_2: C_*(G_\mu) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{G}_\mu)$ is defined by $\tau_2(s) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ where s is an oriented simplex of G_μ and where s_1, \dots, s_n are the oriented simplexes of \tilde{G}_μ which cover s . The number n is the same for every simplex, and it is clear that τ_2 is a chain map. Since $\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu} \tau_1 = \text{identity}$, and $p_\mu \tau_2 = \text{identity}$, then

(3)
$$f_{\mu\nu} \tau_{\nu\mu} = \text{identity of } G_\mu.$$

It remains to show that G is Q -simplicial. Let $G_\mu^{(m)}$ be the m th barycentric derived complex of G_μ . If v is a vertex of $G_\mu^{(m)}$, then let $\text{St}(v)$ be the star of v in $|G_\mu^{(m)}|$. Let $f_\mu: G \rightarrow G_\mu = |G_\mu^{(m)}|$ be the limit homomorphism, and let

$$\alpha(\mu, m) = \{ f_\mu^{-1}(\text{St}(v)) : v \text{ is a vertex of } G_\mu^{(m)} \}.$$

This is the inverse image under f_μ of the star covering of $|G_\mu^{(m)}|$ and as such is an open covering of G . The family of all such coverings (as μ and m range over their possible values) is cofinal in the directed set of all open coverings of G . Furthermore the nerve $N(\alpha(\mu, m))$ is naturally identified with $G_\mu^{(m)}$. Let c be a chain in $C_*(N(\alpha(\mu, m)))$, and write $v \in c$ if v is a vertex of a simplex s which occurs with nonzero coefficient. If we regard v as a vertex of $G_\mu^{(m)}$, then $\text{St}(v)$ makes sense, and

(4)
$$\text{sup}(c) = f_\mu^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{v \in c} \text{St}(v) \right).$$

It is clear that $\tau_{\nu\mu}$ induces a chain map $\tau_{\nu\mu}^{(m)}: C_*(G_\mu^{(m)}) \rightarrow C_*(G_\nu^{(m)})$; further

$$\text{sup}(\tau_{\nu\mu}^{(m)}(c)) = \text{sup}(c).$$

This is so, since by (3), $\text{sup}(c) = \text{sup}(f_{\mu\nu} \tau_{\nu\mu}^{(m)}(c))$ and $f_{\mu\nu}$ does not change supports (by (4) and the equality $f_\mu = f_{\mu\nu} f_\nu$).

For an open covering α of G , we must show how to choose α^* satisfying the conditions of Definition 2. Choose any μ and m such that $\alpha(\mu, m)$ refines α , and let $\alpha^* = \alpha(\mu, m)$. For any open covering β of G we must define a chain map $\tau: C_*(N(\alpha^*)) \rightarrow C_*(N(\beta))$ such that $\text{sup}(\tau(c)) \subset \text{St}_\beta \text{St}_\alpha(\text{sup}(c))$. In fact we shall show how to define τ so that the stronger statement (5) holds.

(5)
$$\text{sup}(\tau(c)) \subset \text{St}_\beta(\text{sup}(c)).$$

Let ν and n be such that $n \geq m, \nu > \mu$, and $\alpha(\nu, n) > \beta$. Define τ as the composition

$$\tau = \pi \circ \text{Sd} \circ \tau_{\nu\mu}^{(m)}$$

where $\pi: C_*(N(\alpha(\nu, n))) \rightarrow C_*(N(\beta))$ is induced by a projection of coverings, and Sd is the subdivision chain map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sd: C_*(G_\nu^{(m)}) & \longrightarrow & C_*(G_\nu^{(n)}) \\ & \parallel & \parallel \\ & C_*(N(\alpha(\nu, m))) & C_*(N(\alpha(\nu, n))) \end{array}$$

Since $\tau_{\nu\mu}^{(m)}$ and Sd preserve supports and π satisfies $\text{sup}(\pi(c)) \subset \text{St}_\beta(\text{sup}(c))$, then (4) holds. This completes the proof of the theorem.

CONCLUDING COMMENT. It would seem that any compact coset space G/M of a compact group G by a closed subgroup M would satisfy the Lefschetz fixed point theorem, but this question remains open.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. H. Dowker, *Homology groups of relations*, Ann. of Math. (2) **56** (1952), 84–95. MR **13**, 967.
2. R. J. Knill, *Q-simplicial spaces*, Illinois J. Math. **14** (1970), 40–51. MR **41** #2664.
3. S. Lefschetz, *Algebraic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 27, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1942. MR **4**, 84.
4. A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 869, Hermann, Paris, 1940. MR **3**, 198.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TULANE UNIVERSITY, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70118