

ON THE EXISTENCE OF ω -POINTS

ANDRZEJ SZYMAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. Without additional axioms to ZFC it is shown that each point of a dense-in-itself Hausdorff space in which nonempty G_δ 's have nonempty interiors is an ω -point.

For a cardinal number γ and a point p of a topological space X , we say that p is a γ -point of X if p lies simultaneously on the boundaries of (at least) γ pairwise disjoint open subsets of X . N. Hindman [5] has shown that if the Continuum Hypothesis ($\omega^+ = 2^\omega$) is assumed, then every point of $\beta\omega - \omega$, the space of nonprincipal ultrafilters on the countably infinite discrete space ω , is a 2^ω -point. The same conclusion has been established by E. van Douwen [4] from the hypothesis $P(2^\omega)$: If \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of ω , the cardinality of \mathcal{F} ($|\mathcal{F}|$) is less than 2^ω and $\bigcap \mathcal{F}$ is infinite for each finite subfamily $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$, then there is an infinite $S \subset \omega$ such that $S - F$ is finite for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The hypothesis $P(2^\omega)$ follows from Martin's Axiom [1], which is a consequence of the Continuum Hypothesis, and is essentially weaker than Martin's Axiom [6]. As a generalization of Hindman's result to higher cardinals can be seen a result by K. Prikry [8], who proved that if α is regular and $\alpha^+ = 2^\alpha$, then every point of $U(\alpha)$, the space of all uniform ultrafilters on α , is a 2^α -point of $U(\alpha)$.

In the proofs of all known results concerning the problem of whether each point of $U(\alpha)$ is a γ -point of $U(\alpha)$ for a $\gamma \geq 2$ and an $\alpha \geq \omega$, some additional set-theoretic assumptions were used. This naturally leads to the question of whether that problem can be solved without any special set-theoretic assumptions. Some results in this direction were recently obtained by W. W. Comfort and N. Hindman [2]. Here we show that each nonisolated point of a Hausdorff space X , in which nonempty G_δ 's have nonempty interiors, is an ω -point of X whenever the cellularity of X or the character of that point is not Ulam-measurable. This implies that each point of $\beta\omega - \omega$ is an ω -point of $\beta\omega - \omega$, which is an answer to a question raised by W. W. Comfort (a letter), E. van Douwen [4] and R. Telgársky (oral communication).

I would like to express my thanks to the referee and to Eric van Douwen. Their comments on this paper were of great help to the author.

Received by the editors December 30, 1975 and, in revised form, August 20, 1976 and March 29, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54G99, 54A25.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

Results. Before, the following definitions are needed.

A P^* -point of a space X is a point which lies in the interior of every intersection of countable many regularly open sets containing the point.

A family \mathcal{U} of pairwise disjoint open subsets of a space X is a *cellular family for p* , $p \in X$, if $p \notin \text{cl } U$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p \in \text{cl } \cup \mathcal{U}$. If p is a nonisolated point of a Hausdorff space X , then there exists a cellular family for p of cardinality not greater than $\chi(p, X)$, the character of p , and there exists a cellular family for p of cardinality not greater than $c(X)$, the cellularity of X .

A cardinal α is *Ulam-measurable* if there is a nonprincipal ultrafilter ξ on α such that $\cap \mathcal{F} \in \xi$ whenever $\mathcal{F} \subset \xi$ and $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \omega$. Let us recall some known facts (see [7]) which will be used in the sequel without explicit mention.

- (1) ω is not Ulam-measurable;
- (2) if α is not Ulam-measurable and $\beta \leq \alpha$, then β , as well as 2^α , are not Ulam-measurable.

LEMMA 1. *Let p be a P^* -point of a space X and let \mathcal{U} be a cellular family for p . If $|\mathcal{U}|$ is not Ulam-measurable, then there exists $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $p \in \text{cl } \cup \mathcal{U}' \cap \text{cl } \cup (\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}')$.*

PROOF. Consider the subfamilies \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{U} such that $p \notin \text{cl } \cup \mathcal{A}$, and then consider the set ξ consisting of all subfamilies $\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{A}$ of \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{A} as above. If $F \subset \xi$ and F is countable, then $\cap F \in \xi$. Since $\mathcal{U} - \{U\} \in \xi$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $|\mathcal{U}|$ is not Ulam-measurable, ξ is not an ultrafilter on \mathcal{U} . Therefore, there exists $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $\mathcal{U}' \notin \xi$ and $\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}' \notin \xi$. There is $p \in \text{cl } \cup \mathcal{U}' \cap \text{cl } \cup (\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}')$.

LEMMA 2. *Let p be a P^* -point of a space X and let \mathcal{U} be a cellular family for p . If $|\mathcal{U}|$ is not Ulam-measurable, then p is an ω -point of X .*

PROOF. If $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{U}$, then $|\mathcal{F}|$ is not Ulam-measurable. Using Lemma 1, we construct inductively a sequence $\mathcal{U}_1 \supset \mathcal{U}_2 \supset \dots$ of subfamilies of \mathcal{U} such that $p \in \text{cl } \cup \mathcal{U}_n \cap \text{cl } \cup (\mathcal{U}_{n-1} - \mathcal{U}_n)$ for each n . Since the members of \mathcal{U} are pairwise disjoint, the sets $V_n = \cup (\mathcal{U}_{n-1} - \mathcal{U}_n)$ are pairwise disjoint and $p \in \text{cl } V_n$ for each n . Thus p is an ω -point.

THEOREM. *Let p be a nonisolated point of a Hausdorff space X in which nonempty G_δ 's have nonempty interior. If $c(X)$ or $\chi(p, X)$ is not Ulam-measurable, then p is an ω -point of X .*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{N} be a maximal, possibly empty, family of pairwise disjoint open subsets of X such that

- (1) $p \in \text{cl } U$ for each $U \in \mathcal{N}$;
- (2) each $U \in \mathcal{N}$ is contained in an F_δ -subset of X which does not contain p .

Then p clearly is an ω -point of X if \mathcal{N} is infinite. If \mathcal{N} is finite (or even countable), then it easily follows from (1) and (2)

that p is an accumulation point of the set $I = \text{Int} \cap \{X - U : U \in \mathfrak{N}\}$, since nonempty G_δ 's have nonempty interiors. Let Y be the subspace $\{p\} \cup I$ of X . Clearly, $c(Y) = c(I) \leq c(X)$, and $\chi(p, X) \geq \chi(p, Y)$. Hence there exists a cellular family for p in Y having not Ulam-measurable cardinality, since $c(X)$ or $\chi(p, X)$ is not Ulam-measurable. So if we can show that p is a P^* -point of Y , then it follows from Lemma 2 that p is an ω -point of Y , hence of X , since $Y - \{p\} = I$ is open in X .

It remains to show that p is a P^* -point of Y . Suppose not. Then there is a family $\{V_n : n < \omega\}$ of regularly open subsets of Y , each containing p , such that $p \notin \text{Int}_Y \cap \{V_n : n < \omega\}$, but then also

$$p \notin \text{Int}_Y \cap \{\text{cl}_Y V_n : n < \omega\} = \text{Int}_Y(Y \cap \cap \{\text{cl} V_n : n < \omega\}).$$

Hence the set

$$W = Y - \cap \{\text{cl} V_n : n < \omega\} = I - \cap \{\text{cl} V_n : n < \omega\}$$

is an open subset of X with $p \in \text{cl} W$. As $W \subset I$ and $W \subset \cup \{X - V_n : n < \omega\}$, this contradicts the maximality of \mathfrak{N} . This completes the proof.

REMARK. It might be worthwhile to point out, according to a comment by the referee, that in the main theorem the condition that $c(X)$ or $\chi(p, X)$ be not Ulam-measurable is superfluous if p is not a P^* -point.

COROLLARY. *If α is not Ulam-measurable, then each point of $\beta(\alpha) - \alpha$ is an ω -point of $\beta(\alpha) - \alpha$.*

PROOF. The space $\beta(\alpha) - \alpha$ is a dense-in-itself (compact) Hausdorff space in which nonempty G_δ 's have nonempty interiors and $c(\beta(\alpha) - \alpha) \leq 2^\alpha$ [3].

REFERENCES

1. D. Booth, *Ultrafilters on a countable set*, Ann. Math. Logic 2 (1970), 1–24.
2. W. W. Comfort and N. Hindman, *Refining families for ultrafilters*, Math. Z. 149 (1976), 189–199.
3. W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., Bd. 211, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
4. E. van Douwen, *Martin's axiom and pathological points in $\beta X - X$* (preprint).
5. N. Hindman, *On the existence of c -points in $\beta N - N$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 227–280.
6. K. Kunen and F. D. Tall, *Between Martin's axiom and Souslin's hypothesis* (preprint).
7. K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, *Set theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam; PWN, Warsaw, 1967.
8. K. Prikry, *Ultrafilters and almost disjoint sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 209–212.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI, UL. BANKOWA 14, 40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND