

APPROXIMABILITY OF THE INVERSE OF AN OPERATOR

AVRAHAM FEINTUCH

ABSTRACT. Let A be an invertible operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A necessary and sufficient condition is given for A^{-1} to be a weak limit of polynomials in A .

Let A be a bounded linear invertible operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . If \mathcal{H} is finite dimensional then there exists a polynomial P such that $A^{-1} = p(A)$. The expected infinite dimensional analogue is false. If \mathcal{H} is infinite dimensional then A^{-1} is not in general a limit of polynomials in A even in the weak operator topology; a simple example is the bilateral shift. Sufficient conditions for A^{-1} to be a weak (equivalently, strong) limit of polynomials in A were given in [2] and [3]. Here a necessary and sufficient condition is presented in the spirit of Theorem 1 of [2]. The proof was motivated by some results given in [1].

Let \mathfrak{R} denote the weak closure of the algebra of polynomials in A , $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ the usual direct sum of n copies of \mathcal{H} , $A^{(n)}$ the operator on $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ defined by

$$A^{(n)}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle Ax_1, \dots, Ax_n \rangle$$

and $\mathfrak{R}^{(n)} = \{T^{(n)}: T \in \mathfrak{R}\}$. Let $\mathcal{L}A$ will denote the lattice of closed invariant subspaces of A . The characterization of \mathfrak{R} given in Lemma 1 is standard [5, p. 118].

LEMMA 1. $T \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if $\text{Lat } A^{(n)} \subseteq \text{Lat } T^{(n)}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

DEFINITION. The operator T is strictly positive if there exists a real number $\delta > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\text{Re}(Tf, f) \geq \delta \|f\|^2$.

LEMMA 2. If T is strictly positive, so is $T^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 1$.

PROOF. Left to the reader.

THEOREM. Let A be an invertible operator on \mathcal{H} , \mathfrak{R} the weak closure of the algebra of polynomials in A . Then $A^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if there exists $T \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $T^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and TA is strictly positive.

PROOF. If $A^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ just pick $T = A^{-1}$. Suppose $T, T^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and TA is strictly positive. We show $\text{Lat } A \subseteq \text{Lat } A^{-1}$. Let $\mathfrak{N} \in \text{Lat } A$ and P be the

Received by the editors March 4, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47C05.

Key words and phrases. Invertible operator, weakly closed algebra, strict positivity, numerical range.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

orthogonal projection on \mathfrak{N} . We will denote by PSP the operator $PS|_{\mathfrak{N}}$. Then to show $\mathfrak{N} \in \text{Lat } A^{-1}$, it suffices to show that PAP is invertible for if this is the case, $\mathfrak{N} = PAP\mathfrak{N} = A\mathfrak{N}$ (since $\mathfrak{N} \in \text{Lat } A$) and thus $A^{-1}\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$. Since $T, T^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$, PTP is invertible with inverse $PT^{-1}P$. Thus the invertibility of PAP is equivalent to the invertibility of $PTAP = PTPAP$. We show $PTAP$ is invertible.

By our assumption that TA is strictly positive, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{Re}(TAf, f) \geq \delta \|f\|^2$ for all f in \mathfrak{C} . Applied in particular to f in \mathfrak{N} this shows that $PTAP$ is strictly positive and thus invertible. Therefore $\text{Lat } A \subseteq \text{Lat } A^{-1}$.

We complete the proof by noting that the same argument applies to show $\text{Lat } A^{(n)} \subseteq \text{Lat } A^{-1(n)}$ and applying Lemma 1.

Let $\omega(T)$ denote the numerical range of $T = \{(Tx, x) : \|x\| = 1\}$.

COROLLARY. $A^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ if and only if there exists $T \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $T^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $0 \notin \omega(TA)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A Devinatz and M. Shinbrot, *General Wiener-Hopf operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 467–494.
2. A. Feintuch, *On invertible operators and invariant subspaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 123–126.
3. ———, *Algebras generated by invertible operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1977), 66–68.
4. R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in operator theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
5. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF NEGEV, BEER SHEVA, ISRAEL