

## ON A. HURWITZ' METHOD IN ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITIES

ISAAC CHAVEL

**ABSTRACT.** We show that if  $M$  is complete simply connected with nonpositive sectional curvatures,  $\Omega$  a minimal submanifold of  $M$  with connected suitably oriented boundary  $\Gamma$  then  $\lambda^{1/2}V/A < (n-1)^{1/2}/n$  where  $V$  is the volume of  $\Omega$ ,  $A$  the volume of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda$  the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian of  $\Gamma$ , and  $n (> 2)$  is the dimension of  $\Omega$ .

In this note we prove the following extension of A. Hurwitz' [7] argument in his proof of the classical isoperimetric inequality.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional complete simply connected Riemannian manifold all of whose sectional curvatures are nonpositive. Let  $\Omega$  be an  $n$ -dimensional,  $n \geq 2$ , submanifold of  $M$  with suitably oriented and connected boundary  $\Gamma$ . If  $n < m$  assume  $\Omega$  is minimal in  $M$ , i.e., its mean curvature vector vanishes identically. Let  $V$  denote the  $n$ -volume of  $\Omega$ ,  $A$  the  $(n-1)$ -volume of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\lambda$  the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian acting on functions on  $\Gamma$ . Then*

$$\sqrt{\lambda} V/A \leq \sqrt{n-1} / n. \quad (1)$$

Clearly the inequality (1) is sharp, since any  $n$ -disk in  $R^m$  will yield equality in (1). We shall present a characterization of equality in (1) for two cases only.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $n = m$  then equality in (1) implies that  $\Omega$  is isometric to a disk in  $R^n$  endowed with the usual flat metric.*

*If  $n < m$  and  $M$  is  $R^m$  endowed with the usual flat metric then equality in (1) implies that  $\Omega$  is the intersection of a disk in  $R^m$  with an  $n$ -dimensional affine space.*

In particular, Theorems 1 and 2 recapture T. Carleman's theorem [2] (also cf. [3]), that for a minimal surface  $\Omega$  in  $R^3$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  consisting of a smooth Jordan curve, we have the inequality

$$L^2 - 4\pi A \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

where, just for the moment,  $A$  is the area of  $\Omega$  and  $L$  the length of  $\Gamma$ . The

---

Received by the editors October 25, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 53C20; Secondary 49G05, 49F25.

Key words and phrases. Sectional curvatures, minimal submanifold, volume, area, eigenvalues of Laplacian.

© American Mathematical Society 1978

point is that since  $\Gamma$  is 1-dimensional Wirtinger's inequality implies  $\lambda = 4\pi^2/L^2$ . Equality in (2) is achieved if and only if  $\Omega$  is a flat disk in  $R^3$ .

We note that the first to free Carleman's result and its generalizations from considerations of complex function theory was W. T. Reid [9], who proved the result in 3-space via J. H. Jellet's formula (cf. (4) below), and C. C. Hsiung [6] who generalized Reid's argument to surfaces in  $n$ -space. We wish to thank R. Osserman for helpful conversations, guesses, and references. We mention R. C. Reilly [10, esp. Corollary 1] has also obtained results using A. Hurwitz' method as the starting point. Finally we refer the reader to the inequalities of D. Hoffman and J. Spruck [5] which, although not sharp, relate the volume and area alone.

**1. The inequality.** The manifolds  $M, \Omega, \Gamma$  will be  $C^\infty$ , as will be the Riemannian metric under consideration. In general we will use *differentiable* for  $C^\infty$ .

We require some notation. Let  $TM$  denote the tangent bundle of  $M$ , and let  $\nabla$  denote covariant differentiation in  $M$ . For tangent vectors  $\xi, \eta$  in the same fiber in  $TM$  we denote their inner product by  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  and the associated norm of  $\xi$ , by  $|\xi|$ .

For an open set  $U$  in  $\Omega$  with coordinates chart  $x: U \rightarrow R^n$  we let  $\{\partial_1^x, \dots, \partial_n^x\}$  denote the natural basis of tangent spaces to  $\Omega$  at points of  $U$  associated with  $x$ . We set

$$h_{jk} = \langle \partial_j^x, \partial_k^x \rangle, \quad \mathcal{H} = (h_{jk}), \quad \mathcal{H}^{-1} = (h^{jk}),$$

$j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . The mean curvature vector of  $\Omega$  in  $M$  will be denoted by  $H$  (if  $n = m$  then  $H = 0$  by definition),  $dV$  will denote the volume element of  $\Omega$ , and  $dA$  that of  $\Gamma$ .

For a differentiable vector field  $\xi: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow TM$  ( $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ ) on  $\bar{\Omega}$  we let  $\xi^T$  denote the projection of  $\xi$  onto  $T\bar{\Omega}$ . For any open neighborhood  $U$  in  $\Omega$  with coordinate chart  $x$  as above we define the *divergence* of  $\xi$ ,  $\text{div}_\Omega \xi$ , by

$$\text{div}_\Omega \xi = \sum_{j,k=1}^n h^{jk} \langle \nabla \partial_j^x \xi, \partial_k^x \rangle.$$

It is standard that the above definition is independent of the coordinate chart on  $\Omega$ . Furthermore the infinitesimal version of the first variation of area reads as [5, p. 719]

$$\text{div}_\Omega \xi^T = \text{div}_\Omega \xi + \langle \xi, H \rangle. \tag{3}$$

Thus if  $\nu$  denotes the outward normal vector field of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $\Omega$  then

$$\int \int_\Omega \{ \text{div}_\Omega \xi + \langle \xi, H \rangle \} dV = \int_\Gamma \langle \xi, \nu \rangle dA. \tag{4}$$

We note that if  $M$  is  $R^n$  with the flat metric and  $X$  is the position vector of points in  $R^m$  suitably identified with a tangent vector field on  $R^m$  then for  $\xi = X|_\Omega$  we have  $\text{div}_\Omega \xi = n$  on all of  $\Omega$  and the resulting formula (4) is that of J. H. Jellet [8].

Next we let  $p \in M$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $M_p$  and  $y: M \rightarrow R^m$  the Riemannian normal coordinates on  $M$  determined by  $(p; e_1, \dots, e_m)$ . Our assumptions concerning  $M$  imply by the Hadamard-Cartan theorem that  $y$  is indeed defined on all of  $M$  and is a diffeomorphism of  $M$  onto  $R^m$ . It is standard that geodesics emanating from  $p$  map onto rays emanating from the origin of  $R^m$ .

LEMMA 1. *We may choose  $p, \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  so that the respective coordinate functions  $y^D: M \rightarrow R, D = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , of  $y: M \rightarrow R^m$  satisfy*

$$\int_{\Gamma} y^D dA = 0. \tag{5}$$

PROOF. Our argument is an easy adaptation of one given by H. F. Weinberger [13, p. 635].

Parallel translate the frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  along every geodesic emanating from  $p$  and thereby obtain a differentiable orthonormal frame field  $\{E_1, \dots, E_m\}$  on  $M$ . Let  $y_q: M \rightarrow R^m$  denote the Riemann normal coordinates of  $M$  determined by  $\{E_1, \dots, E_m\}$  at  $q$ , and let  $(y_q)^D, D = 1, \dots, m$  be the coordinate functions of  $y_q$ . Then

$$Y(q) = \sum_{D=1}^m \left\{ \int_{\Gamma} (y_q)^D dA \right\} E_D(q)$$

is a continuous vector field on  $M$ . If we restrict  $Y$  to a geodesic disk  $B$  containing  $\Omega$  then the convexity of  $B$  implies that on the boundary of  $B$ ,  $Y$  points into  $B$ . The Brouwer fixed point theorem then implies that  $Y$  has zero on  $B$ .

So we may assume that  $p, \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  actually satisfies (5). Let  $X$  be the vector field on  $M$  given by

$$X = \sum_{D=1}^m y^D \partial_D^y$$

where  $\{\partial_D^y, D = 1, \dots, m\}$  is the natural basis of tangent spaces associated with the coordinate chart  $y$ . Of course if  $M$  is  $R^m$  with its usual flat metric then  $X$  is naturally identified with the position vector. Next set  $\xi = X|_{\Omega}$ , i.e. the restriction of  $X$  to  $\Omega$ . Then standard arguments using the Rauch comparison theorem [5, p. 721] imply

$$n \leq \operatorname{div}_{\Omega} \xi. \tag{6}$$

Thus, if  $H = 0$  on  $\Omega$ , then (4), (5), (6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} nV &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \langle \xi, \nu \rangle dA \leq \int_{\Gamma} |\xi| dA \\ &\leq A^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma} |\xi|^2 dA \right\}^{1/2} = A^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma} \sum_D (y^D)^2 dA \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq (A/\lambda)^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma} \sum_D |\operatorname{grad}_{\Gamma} y^D|^2 dA \right\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

The expression  $\text{grad}_\Gamma y^D$  denotes the gradient of  $(y^D|\Gamma)$  in  $\Gamma$ . The last inequality is, combined with (5), Lord Rayleigh's characterization of the first nonzero eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of the Laplacian on  $\Gamma$ .

It remains to verify the estimate

$$\sum_D |\text{grad}_\Gamma y^D|^2 \leq n - 1 \tag{7}$$

on all of  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $q \in \Gamma$ ,  $u: G \rightarrow R^{n-1}$  be a coordinate chart on  $\Gamma$  about  $q$ ,  $\{\partial_\alpha^u, \alpha = 1, \dots, n - 1\}$  the natural basis of tangent spaces to  $\Gamma$  at points of  $G$  such that  $\{\partial_1^u, \dots, \partial_{n-1}^u\}$  is orthonormal at  $q$ . For  $y: M \rightarrow R^m$  let  $g_{AB} = \langle \partial_A^y, \partial_B^y \rangle$ ; then the Rauch comparison theorem implies that the eigenvalues of  $(g_{AB})$  are all  $\geq 1$ . Thus at  $q$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{D=1}^m |\text{grad}_\Gamma y^D|^2 &= \sum_{D=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} (\partial y^D / \partial u^\alpha)^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \sum_{C,D=1}^m \frac{\partial y^C}{\partial u^\alpha} \delta_{CD} \frac{\partial y^D}{\partial u^\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \sum_{C,D=1}^m \frac{\partial y^C}{\partial u^\alpha} g_{CD} \frac{\partial y^D}{\partial u^\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \langle \partial_\alpha^u, \partial_\alpha^u \rangle = n - 1, \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 1 is proven.

**2. The case of equality for  $m = n$ .** If  $m = n$  and we have equality in (1) then  $|\xi|$  is constant on  $\Gamma$  from which one concludes that  $\Gamma$  is a geodesic sphere bounding the geodesic disk  $\Omega$ . Furthermore  $\text{div}_\Omega \xi = n$  on all of  $\Omega$ . But this in turn implies by [1, pp. 253-257; 5, *ibid.*] that  $\Omega$  is isometric to a disk in  $R^n$ .

**3. The case of equality for  $n < m$ ,  $M = R^m$ .** Since  $M$  is  $R^m$  we identify  $\xi$  at  $q$  with the position vector at  $q$ , and note that equality in (1) implies that  $\Gamma$  is contained in some sphere  $S^{m-1}(\rho)$  of radius  $\rho$  about the origin and that, furthermore, that the outward normal,  $\nu$ , of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $\Omega$  is  $\rho^{-1}\xi$ .

Next we note that equality in (1) implies, by the Rayleigh characterization of eigenvalues, that the functions  $y^D|\Gamma$  are eigenvalues of  $\Delta_\Gamma$ , the Laplacian of  $\Gamma$ , with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , for  $D = 1, \dots, m$ . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (1/2)\Delta_\Gamma \sum_D (y^D|\Gamma)^2 = (1/2) \sum_D \Delta_\Gamma (y^D|\Gamma)^2 \\ &= \sum_D \left\{ (y^D|\Gamma)\Delta_\Gamma (y^D|\Gamma) + |\text{grad}_\Gamma (y^D|\Gamma)|^2 \right\} = -\lambda\rho^2 + (n - 1); \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\lambda = (n - 1)/\rho^2$  and by T. Takahashi's theorem [12]  $\Gamma$  is minimal in  $S^{m-1}(\rho)$ .

It is standard that if  $\Gamma$  is minimal in  $S^{m-1}(\rho)$  then the cone over  $\Gamma$  through the origin is minimal in  $R^m$  (cf. e.g., [11, p. 97]). However  $\Omega$  and the cone over  $\Gamma$  are tangent along  $\Gamma$ . By the Cauchy-Kowalewsky theorem [4, p. 39]  $\Omega$  is the cone over  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\Omega$  is assumed to have no singularities, the cone must therefore be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $R^m$ . Thus Theorem 2 is proven.

REMARK. The differentiability of  $\Omega$  is crucial. Indeed given *any* minimal

submanifold  $\Gamma$  of  $S_{(\rho)}^{n-1}$ , let  $\Omega$  be the cone over  $\Gamma$  through the origin of  $R^m$ . One easily sees that equality is obtained in (1).

## REFERENCES

1. R. L. Bishop and R. J. Crittenden, *Geometry of Manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
2. T. Carleman, *Zur theorie der Minimalflächen*, Math. Z. **9** (1921), 154–160.
3. I. Chavel and E. A. Feldman, *Isoperimetric inequalities on curved surfaces*, Advances in Math. (to appear).
4. R. E. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, Vol. II, Interscience, New York, 1962.
5. D. Hoffman and J. Spruck, *Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1974), 715–727.
6. C. C. Hsiung, *Isoperimetric inequalities for two dimensional manifolds with boundary*, Ann. of Math. **73** (1961), 213–220.
7. A. Hurwitz, *Sur le problème des isopérimètres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **132** (1901), 401–403.
8. J. H. Jellet, *Sur la surface dont la courbure moyenne est constante*, J. Math. Pure Appl. **18** (1853), 163–167.
9. W. T. Reid, *The isoperimetric inequality and associated boundary problems*, J. Math. Mech. **8** (1959), 571–581.
10. R. C. Reilly, *On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977).
11. J. Simons, *Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Math. **88** (1968), 62–105.
12. T. Takahashi, *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 380–385.
13. H. F. Weinberger, *An isoperimetric inequality for the n-dimensional free membrane problem*, J. Rational Mech. Anal. **5** (1956), 633–636.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY COLLEGE, CUNY, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10031  
(Current address)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL