

## HYPERSPACES HOMEOMORPHIC TO HILBERT SPACE

D. W. CURTIS<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The hyperspace  $2^X$  of a metric space  $X$  is the space of nonempty compact subsets, topologized by the Hausdorff metric. It is shown that  $2^X$  is homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space  $l^2$  if and only if  $X$  is connected, locally connected, separable, topologically complete, and nowhere locally compact. The principal tool in the proof is Toruńczyk's mapping characterization of  $l^2$ .

**1. Hyperspace characterization theorems.** For a metric space  $(X, d)$ , the hyperspace  $2^X$  is the space of nonempty compact subsets of  $X$ , topologized by the Hausdorff metric  $\tilde{d}(A, B) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0: A \subset N(B; \epsilon) \text{ and } B \subset N(A; \epsilon)\}$ . The topology induced by  $\tilde{d}$  is independent of the particular metric  $d$  on  $X$ , since it is the same as the Vietoris finite topology on  $2^X$ . In the finite topology the basic open sets are those of the form  $V(G_1, \dots, G_n) = \{F \in 2^X: F \cap G_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } i \text{ and } F \subset \bigcup_1^n G_i\}$ , where  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are open sets in  $X$ .

In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $X$  for the hyperspace  $2^X$  to be homeomorphic to the separable Hilbert space  $l^2$ . This completes the following list of hyperspace characterization theorems for separable, topologically complete metric spaces.

**THEOREM A** (Wojdyslawski [9], Tasmetov [7]).  $2^X$  is a separable, topologically complete metric AR if and only if  $X$  is connected, locally connected, separable, and topologically complete.

**THEOREM B** (Curtis and Schori [3], [4]).  $2^X$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $Q = \prod_1^\infty [-1, 1]$  if and only if  $X$  is nondegenerate, connected, locally connected, and compact.

**THEOREM C** (Curtis [2]).  $2^X$  is homeomorphic to  $Q \setminus pt \approx Q \times [0, \infty)$  if and only if  $X$  is noncompact, connected, locally connected, and locally compact.

**THEOREM D** ([2]).  $X$  admits a Peano compactification  $\hat{X}$  such that the hyperspace pair  $(2^X, 2^{\hat{X}})$  is homeomorphic to the pair  $(Q, s) = (\prod_1^\infty [-1, 1], \prod_1^\infty (-1, 1))$  if and only if  $X$  is connected, locally connected, separable, topologically complete, nowhere locally compact and admits a metric with Property S. (A metric  $d$  on  $X$  has Property S if there exist finite covers of  $X$  by connected subsets with arbitrarily small diameters.)

---

Received by the editors June 26, 1978 and, in revised form, August 28, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54B20, 57A20; Secondary 54C55, 54D05.

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSF grant MCS 76-06522.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/79/0000-0278/\$02.25

**THEOREM E.**  $2^X$  is homeomorphic to  $l^2$  if and only if  $X$  is connected, locally connected, separable, topologically complete, and nowhere locally compact.

Since the pseudo-interior  $s = \prod_1^\infty (-1, 1)$  of the Hilbert cube is homeomorphic to  $l^2$  (Anderson [1]), Theorem D gives sufficient (but not necessary) conditions on  $X$  for  $2^X$  to be homeomorphic to  $l^2$ . The following examples illustrate the difference between (D) and (E).

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $X = \cup_1^n s_i$ , a finite union of copies of  $s$ , meeting at a single point. Then  $X$  does admit a metric with Property S, and the compactification  $\hat{X} = \cup_1^n Q_i$ , a union of  $n$  copies of  $Q$  meeting at a single point, has the property that  $(2^{\hat{X}}, 2^X) \approx (Q, s)$ . Thus in particular  $2^X \approx s \approx l^2$ . (This result, for  $n = 1$ , was first proved by Kroonenberg [6].)

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $X = \cup_1^\infty s_i$ , a countably infinite union of copies of  $s$  meeting at a single point  $\theta$ . We give  $X$  the uniform topology at  $\theta$  (i.e., if  $f: X \rightarrow s$  is a function such that the restrictions to each  $s_i$  are homeomorphisms onto  $s$ , then the basic neighborhoods of  $\theta$  in  $X$  are of the form  $f^{-1}(U)$ , where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $f(\theta)$  in  $s$ ). This space satisfies the hypotheses of (E), but it is easy to see that there is no metric with Property S. Thus, while  $X$  does have some Peano compactifications, there is no compactification  $\hat{X}$  such that  $(2^{\hat{X}}, 2^X) \approx (Q, s)$ . It was shown by an ad hoc argument in [2] that  $2^X \approx s \approx l^2$ .

**2. Torunczyk's characterization of Hilbert space.** The proof of Theorem E is based on the mapping characterization of  $l^2$  given recently by Torunczyk.

**DEFINITION.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of a space  $Y$ , then maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are said to be  $\mathcal{U}$ -close if, for each  $x$  in  $X$ , there exists an element  $\mathcal{U}_x$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  containing both  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ .

**DEFINITION.** A family  $\{A_i\}$  of closed subsets in a space  $Y$  is *discrete* if each point of  $Y$  has a neighborhood meeting at most one member of  $\{A_i\}$ . (Equivalently, the family  $\{A_i\}$  is pairwise disjoint and locally finite in  $Y$ .)

**THEOREM ([8]).** Let  $Y$  be a separable, topologically complete metric AR. Then  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $l^2$  if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

(\*) For every map  $f: \sum_1^\infty K_i \rightarrow Y$  of a countable disjoint sum of finite complexes, and every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$ , there exists a map  $g: \sum_1^\infty K_i \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close and  $\{g(K_i)\}$  is discrete.

**3. Proof of Theorem E-Part I.** Suppose  $2^X \approx l^2$ . Since  $l^2$  is an AR, Theorem A implies that  $X$  is connected, locally connected, separable, and topologically complete. If some point  $p$  in  $X$  has a compact neighborhood, then  $\{p\}$  has a compact neighborhood in  $2^X$ . But this is impossible since  $l^2$  is nowhere locally compact. Thus  $X$  must also be nowhere locally compact.

Conversely, suppose  $X$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem E. Then  $2^X$  is a separable, topologically complete metric AR, and it remains to verify the condition (\*) of Torunczyk's characterization theorem.

Let a map  $f: \sum_1^\infty K_i \rightarrow 2^X$  and an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $2^X$  be given. For the reader's convenience, we first consider the special case in which each complex  $K_i$  is a point and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the uniform cover by  $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods. (The main idea of the more general proof is particularly transparent in this case.) Thus,  $f$  is simply a sequence  $\{F_i\}$  in  $2^X$ , and we must construct a discrete sequence  $\{G_i\}$  such that  $\tilde{d}(F_i, G_i) < \epsilon$  for each  $i$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a locally finite (therefore countable) open cover of  $X$  with mesh  $\mathcal{V} < \epsilon$ . Since  $X$  is nowhere locally compact, we may choose in each element of  $\mathcal{V}$  an infinite discrete sequence. The union of these sequences over all the elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  is a countable discrete subset  $Z$  of  $X$ . Each subset  $G_i$  will be of the form  $G_i = F_i \cup \{z_i\}$ , for some  $z_i \in Z$ . The points  $z_i$  are chosen inductively so that

- (1)  $d(z_i, F_i) < \epsilon$ ,
- (2)  $z_i \notin \bigcup_{j < i} G_j$ .

This is easily accomplished by considering any element  $V_i$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  meeting  $F_i$ , then choosing  $z_i \in V_i \cap Z \setminus \bigcup_{j < i} G_j$ , which is possible since  $V_i \cap Z$  is an infinite discrete set and  $\bigcup_{j < i} G_j$  is compact. Then  $\tilde{d}(F_i, G_i) = d(z_i, F_i) < \epsilon$  for each  $i$ , and  $G_i \neq G_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . If  $\{G_i\}$  is not discrete, there exists a convergent subsequence  $G_{i_n} \rightarrow G \in 2^X$ . Then the sequence  $\{z_{i_n}\}$  must cluster at some point in  $G$ . But  $\{z_{i_n}\} \subset Z$  is discrete. Thus  $\{G_i\}$  is a discrete sequence.

**4. Proof of Theorem E-Part II.** We now return to the general case in which each  $K_i$  is a finite complex and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an arbitrary open cover of  $2^X$ . A map  $g: \sum_1^\infty K_i \rightarrow 2^X$  must be constructed such that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close and  $\{g(K_i)\}$  is a discrete family in  $2^X$ . This means that  $g(K_i)$  and  $g(K_j)$  have no common elements if  $i \neq j$ , and that every sequence  $\{G_i\}$  in  $2^X$ , where each  $G_i \in g(K_i)$ , is discrete.

For  $A \in 2^X$  and  $\mu > 0$ , let  $N(A; \mu) = \{x \in X: d(x, A) < \mu\}$ , and  $\tilde{N}(A; \mu) = \{F \in 2^X: \tilde{d}(A, F) < \mu\}$ .

*Step 1.* There exists a map  $\mu: 2^X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that, for each  $A \in 2^X$ ,  $\tilde{N}(A; \mu(A))$  is contained in some element of  $\mathcal{U}$ . (Take  $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sup\{\mu > 0: \tilde{N}(A; \mu) \subset U \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .) Thus  $f, g: \sum_1^\infty K_i \rightarrow 2^X$  will be  $\mathcal{U}$ -close provided  $\tilde{d}(f(y), g(y)) < \mu f(y)$  for each  $y$  in  $\sum_1^\infty K_i$ .

*Step 2.* There exists a map  $\eta: 2^X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that if  $x \in N(A; \eta(A))$ , there exists an arc  $J$  in  $X$  connecting  $x$  and  $A$ , with  $\text{diam } J < \frac{1}{2} \mu(A)$ . Necessarily,  $\eta(A) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(A)$ . (Use the local arc-connectedness of  $X$  and the compactness of elements of  $2^X$ . For  $A \in 2^X$  define  $\bar{\eta}(A) = \sup\{\eta > 0: \text{there exists } 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \mu(A) \text{ such that if } x \in N(A; \eta), \text{ there exists an arc } J \text{ in } X \text{ connecting } x \text{ and } A, \text{ with } \text{diam } J < \epsilon\}$ . Then  $\bar{\eta}: 2^X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is lower semi-continuous, and there exists a continuous function  $\eta$  such that  $0 < \eta(A) < \bar{\eta}(A)$  for each  $A \in 2^X$  (see [5, p. 170]).

*Step 3.* Subdivide each complex  $K_i$  so that the given map  $f: \sum_1^\infty K_i \rightarrow 2^X$

satisfies the following conditions for each simplex  $\sigma$ :

- (i)  $\text{diam } f(\sigma) < \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\sigma} \eta f$ , where  $\text{diam } f(\sigma) = \sup\{\tilde{d}(f(y), f(y')) : y, y' \in \sigma\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sup_{\sigma} \eta f < 2 \inf_{\sigma} \eta f$ ,
- (iii)  $\sup_{\sigma} \mu f < \frac{3}{2} \inf_{\sigma} \mu f$ .

*Step 4.* For each  $n$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_n$  be a locally finite (therefore countable) open cover of  $X$  with mesh  $\text{diam } \mathcal{V}_n < 1/n$ . In each element of  $\mathcal{V}_n$  choose an infinite discrete subset of  $X$ . Then the union of such subsets over all the elements of  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is an infinite discrete subset  $Z(n)$  of  $X$ .

*Step 5.* We define  $g$  first at each vertex of the complex  $K_1$ . For a vertex  $v$  let  $n_v$  be the smallest integer such that  $1/n_v < \frac{1}{4} \eta f(v)$ , and choose a point  $z_v$  in  $Z(n_v)$  such that  $d(z_v, f(v)) = \min\{d(z_v, x) : x \in f(v)\} < 1/n_v$ . Set  $g(v) = f(v) \cup \{z_v\}$ .

*Step 6.* We now extend  $g$  over the 1-skeleton  $K_1^{(1)}$  of  $K_1$ . Let  $\tau$  be an edge of  $K_1$ , with endpoints  $v$  and  $w$  and midpoint  $\tau^*$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_v, f(\tau^*)) &\leq d(z_v, f(v)) + \tilde{d}(f(v), f(\tau^*)) \leq \frac{1}{4} \eta f(v) + \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\tau} \eta f \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\tau} \eta f + \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\tau} \eta f \leq \eta f(\tau^*), \end{aligned}$$

there exists an arc  $J_v$  (possibly degenerate) in  $X$  connecting  $z_v$  and  $f(\tau^*)$ , with  $\text{diam } J_v < \frac{1}{2} \mu f(\tau^*)$ . Likewise, there exists an arc  $J_w$  connecting  $z_w$  and  $f(\tau^*)$ , with  $\text{diam } J_w < \frac{1}{2} \mu f(\tau^*)$ . Define  $g(\tau^*) = f(\tau^*) \cup J_v \cup J_w$ . Then  $\tilde{d}(f(\tau^*), g(\tau^*)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu f(\tau^*)$ .

Let  $h: I \rightarrow 2^X$  be a path from  $f(\tau^*)$  to  $g(\tau^*)$  such that  $h(0) = f(\tau^*)$ ,  $h(1) = g(\tau^*)$ , and  $f(\tau^*) \subset h(t) \subset g(\tau^*)$  for each  $t$ . Define  $g$  on the segment  $[v\tau^*]$  (and similarly on the segment  $[w\tau^*]$ ) as follows:

$$g((1-t)v + t\tau^*) = \begin{cases} f((1-2t)v + 2t\tau^*) \cup \{z_v\} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ h(2t-1) \cup \{z_v\} & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $g$  is defined over each edge  $\tau = [v\tau^*] \cup [w\tau^*]$ . We claim that for each  $p \in \tau$ ,  $\tilde{d}(f(\tau^*), g(p)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu f(\tau^*)$ . With  $p = (1-t)v + t\tau^*$ , suppose first that  $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ . Then

$$\tilde{d}(f(\tau^*), f((1-2t)v + 2t\tau^*)) \leq \text{diam } f(\tau) < \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\tau} \eta f < \frac{1}{2} \eta f(\tau^*),$$

and as shown above,  $d(z_v, f(\tau^*)) \leq \eta f(\tau^*)$ . It follows that  $\tilde{d}(d(\tau^*), f((1-2t)v + 2t\tau^*) \cup \{z_v\}) \leq \eta f(\tau^*) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu f(\tau^*)$ . Now suppose  $\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $f(\tau^*) \subset g(p) \subset g(\tau^*)$ , hence  $\tilde{d}(f(\tau^*), g(p)) \leq \tilde{d}(f(\tau^*), g(\tau^*)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu f(\tau^*)$ .

*Step 7.* Extend  $g$  over all of  $K_1$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  denote the hyperspace of subcontinua of the 1-skeleton  $K_1^{(1)}$ . There exists a map  $r: K_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$  such that  $r(p) = \{p\}$  for each  $p$  in  $K_1^{(1)}$ , and such that if  $\sigma$  is the carrier of the point  $y$ , then  $r(y) \subset \sigma^{(1)}$ . (First define  $r$  over the 2-skeleton  $K_1^{(2)}$  as follows. Consider each 2-simplex  $\sigma$  as the cone over its boundary  $\sigma^{(1)}$ , with cone point  $c$ . For each point  $y = tc + (1-t)p$  in  $\sigma$ , where  $p \in \sigma^{(1)}$ , take  $r(y)$  to be a subcon-

tinuum of  $\sigma^{(1)}$  centered at  $p$  and with length proportional to  $t$ . If  $t = 1$ , then  $r(y) = r(c) = \sigma^{(1)}$ . Similarly,  $r$  is extended inductively over the higher-dimensional skeleta.) Now define  $g(y) = \cup \{g(p) : p \in r(y)\}$ .

We claim that  $\bar{d}(f(y), g(y)) \leq \mu f(y)$  for each  $y$  in  $K_1$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the carrier of  $y$ , and set  $F_y = \cup \{f(\tau^*) : \tau \text{ is an edge of } \sigma \text{ meeting } r(y)\}$ . Then  $\bar{d}(f(y), g(y)) \leq \bar{d}(f(y), F_y) + \bar{d}(F_y, g(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\sigma} \eta f + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\sigma} \mu f \leq \frac{1}{4} \inf_{\sigma} \mu f + \frac{3}{4} \inf_{\sigma} \mu f \leq \mu f(y)$ .

Thus  $g: K_1 \rightarrow 2^X$  is  $\mathcal{Q}$ -close to the restriction  $f: K_1 \rightarrow 2^X$ , and for each  $y$  in  $K_1$  with carrier  $\sigma$ ,  $g(y)$  contains the point  $z_v$  in  $Z(n_v)$  for at least one vertex  $v$  of  $\sigma$ . Replacing  $g(y)$  by  $f(y) \cup g(y)$ , we may assume also that  $f(y) \subset g(y)$  for each  $y$ .

*Step 8.* The map  $g$  is defined similarly over each complex  $K_i$ , with the stipulation that the points  $z_v$  are chosen from  $Z(n_v) \setminus \cup \{g(y) : y \in K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_{i-1}\}$ . This insures that the images  $g(K_1), g(K_2), \dots$  in  $2^X$  are disjoint.

We claim that  $\{g(K_i)\}$  is a discrete family in  $2^X$ . Suppose not. Then there exists a convergent sequence  $g(y_n) \rightarrow G \in 2^X$ , where  $y_n \in K_{n_i}$  for each  $i$ . For convenience assume  $n_i = i$ ; thus  $g(y_i) \rightarrow G$ . Each  $g(y_i)$  contains a point  $z_i$  of  $Z(n_{v_i})$ , for some vertex  $v_i$  of the carrier  $\sigma_i$  of  $y_i$ . Since every finite union  $\cup_{n=1}^k Z(n)$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ , and since every subsequence of  $\{z_i\}$  must cluster at some point of  $G$ , we must have  $n_{v_i} \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $n_{v_i}$  was specified to be the smallest integer for which  $1/n_{v_i} < \frac{1}{4} \eta f(v_i)$ , it follows that  $\eta f(v_i) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $f(y_i) \subset g(y_i)$  for each  $i$ , some subsequence  $\{f(y_{i_j})\}$  must converge to a subset  $F$  of  $G$ . Thus  $\eta f(y_{i_j}) \rightarrow \eta(F) > 0$ . But then  $\eta f(v_{i_j}) \geq \inf_{\sigma_{i_j}} \eta f > \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\sigma_{i_j}} \eta f \geq \frac{1}{2} \eta f(y_{i_j}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \eta(F) > 0$ , hence  $\eta f(v_i) \not\rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. D. Anderson, *Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 515-519.
2. D. W. Curtis, *Hyperspaces of noncompact metric spaces*, Compositio Math. (to appear).
3. D. W. Curtis and R. M. Schori,  *$2^X$  and  $C(X)$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 927-931.
4. ———, *Hyperspaces of Peano continua are Hilbert cubes*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 19-38.
5. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966.
6. N. Kroonenberg, *Pseudo-interiors of hyperspaces*, Compositio Math. **32** (1976), 113-131.
7. U. Tasmotov, *On the connectedness of hyperspaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974), 502-504.
8. H. Torunczyk, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology* (preprint).
9. M. Wojdyslawski, *Retracts absolut et hyperspaces des continus*, Fund. Math. **32** (1939), 184-192.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803