

## INCIDENCE RINGS WITH SELF-DUALITY

JOEL K. HAACK

**ABSTRACT.** An artinian ring  $R$  is said to have self-duality if there is a Morita duality between the categories of left and right finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Here it is shown that the incidence ring of a finite preordered set over a division ring has self-duality. This is accomplished in part by calculating their injective modules.

Theorems of Azumaya [3], Morita [11], and Tachikawa [13] in the late 1950's give necessary and sufficient conditions to insure that the category of finitely generated left modules over a (necessarily left artinian) ring  $R$  is dual to the category of finitely generated right modules over a ring  $S$ . An open question in the subject of Morita duality for artinian rings is that of characterizing those artinian rings, other than artin algebras and QF rings, that have *self-duality*, that is, those for which there exists a duality between their categories of left and right finitely generated modules. Recently, artinian rings with self-duality have been shown to include certain factors of skew polynomial rings [12], hereditary artinian tensor rings satisfying the Dlab-Ringel duality conditions [2], rings with quivers that are trees [8] and many serial rings [9]. Here we prove that the incidence ring of a finite preordered set over a division ring (hereafter called a *finite incidence ring*) has self-duality. This class of rings properly contains the class of hereditary serial rings and, indeed, all artinian rings with quivers that are trees [8]. The self-duality constructed is weakly symmetric, so we may apply [9, (4.1)] to show that any factor ring of a finite incidence ring has a (weakly symmetric) self-duality.

A finite incidence ring over a division ring  $D$  can be characterized as a (unital) subring of the  $(n \times n)$ -matrix ring over  $D$  satisfying  $R = \sum \{DI_{kl} | I_{kk}RI_{ll} \neq 0\}$ , where  $I_{kl}$  is the matrix unit with 1 in the  $(k, l)$ -position and 0 elsewhere. (Thus, finite incidence rings coincide with Mitchell's tic tac toe rings over division rings [10].) To prove that an artinian ring  $R$  has self-duality, it is sufficient to show that the basic ring  $eRe$  of  $R$  is isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of the minimal left injective cogenerator over  $eRe$  [3], [11]. It is not hard to show that the basic ring of a finite incidence ring is a finite incidence ring over the same division ring. Henceforth, we let  $R$  be a basic indecomposable  $(n \times n)$ -finite incidence ring over the division ring  $D$ . We shall consider  $D$  as the subring of constant diagonal matrices in  $R$ . Let  $e_k = I_{kk}$  be the matrix unit with 1 in the  $(k, k)$ -position and 0 elsewhere. Then  $e_k \in R$ . If  $I_{kl} \in R$ , let  $e_{kl} = I_{kl}$ ; if not, let  $e_{kl} = 0$ . The radical of  $R$  is

$$J = J(R) = \sum \{De_{ij} | i \neq j\}.$$

---

Received by the editors March 13, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A46, 16A49; Secondary 16A42, 16A52.

Key words and phrases. Morita duality, incidence rings, injective modules.

We denote the composition length of a module  $N$  by  $c(N)$  and its injective envelope by  $E(N)$ . Let  $M$  be the set of  $(n \times 1)$ -column vectors over  $D$ , with a left  $R$ -structure given by considering  $R$  as a subring of the  $(n \times n)$ -matrix ring over  $D$ . Let  $m_k = (\delta_{k,i})_i \in M$ . Define a monomorphism  $\iota_k: Re_k \rightarrow M$  by  $\iota_k: re_k \mapsto re_k m_k = rm_k$ . Since  $c(e_k Re_k e_k M) = 1$ , we conclude from [4] that  $M$  and hence also  $Re_k$  are distributive (have a distributive lattice of submodules) for all  $k$ . Similarly,  $e_k R$  is distributive for each  $k$ .

Now consider any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , and let  $x \in N$  with  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k m_k$ . If  $d_k \neq 0$ , then  $e_k d_k^{-1} x = e_k d_k^{-1} d_k m_k = m_k$ , so  $m_k \in N$ . Thus  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k m_k = \sum_{m_k \in N} d_k m_k$ , so

$$N = \sum \{ Dm_k | m_k \in N \}.$$

Define submodules  $L_k \subset M$  by  $L_k = \sum \{ Rm_j | e_k Re_j = 0 \}$ . If  $e_k Re_j \neq 0$ , then  $e_{kj} \neq 0$ ; thus  $e_{kj} = 0$  implies  $m_j \in L_k$ . Conversely, suppose that  $m_j \in L_k$  and write  $m_j = \sum r_i m_i$  with  $e_{ki} = 0$  and  $r_i \in R$ . Then  $e_j m_j = \sum e_j r_i m_i$ , so there exists  $i$  with  $e_j r_i e_i m_i \neq 0$  and  $e_{ki} = 0$ . Thus  $e_j r_i e_i \neq 0$ , so  $e_{ji} \neq 0$ . But now if  $e_{kj} \neq 0$ , then  $0 \neq e_{kj} e_{ji}$  and  $e_{ki} \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $m_j \in L_k$  implies  $e_{kj} = 0$ , and

$$L_k = \sum \{ Dm_j | e_{kj} = 0 \}.$$

Let  $E_k = M/L_k$  and let  $\eta_k$  be the natural epimorphism.

1. PROPOSITION. *The module  $E_k = M/L_k$  is the injective envelope of  $Re_k/Je_k$ .*

PROOF. We first show that  $\text{Soc}(E_k) \cong Re_k/Je_k$ . Let  $x = \sum d_j m_j + L_k$  be any nonzero element of  $E_k$  and suppose that  $d_i \neq 0$  with  $i \neq k$  and  $e_{ki} \neq 0$ . Then  $0 \neq d_i m_k + L_k = e_{ki} \sum d_j m_j + L_k \in Jx$ . Therefore  $x \notin \text{Soc}(E_k)$ . So  $e_i \text{Soc}(E_k) = 0$  if  $e_{ki} \neq 0$  and  $k \neq i$ , and of course  $e_i \text{Soc}(E_k) = 0$  if  $e_{ki} = 0$  (so that  $m_i \in L_k$ ). Since  $\text{Soc}(E_k) \neq 0$ , we must have  $e_k \text{Soc}(E_k) \neq 0$ . Since  $M$  is distributive, so also is  $E_k$ ; thus  $\text{Soc}(E_k) \cong Re_k/Je_k$ . To conclude we may apply [7, Lemma 5] and [6, Lemma 2.3] to see that the lattice of submodules of  $E(Re_k/Je_k)$  is isomorphic to that of  $e_k R_R$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c(E(Re_k/Je_k)) &= c(e_k R_R) = \sum_{j=1}^n c(e_k Re_j e_j Re_e) \\ &= \# \{ j | e_k Re_j \neq 0 \} = n - \# \{ j | e_k Re_j = 0 \} = c(E_k). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\text{Soc}(E_k) \cong Re_k/Je_k$ ,  $E_k$  is a submodule of  $E(Re_k/Je_k)$  of the same length as  $E(Re_k/Je_k)$ , so  $E_k = E(Re_k/Je_k)$ .

Next we show that  $\text{End}(M)$  is a division ring.

2. PROPOSITION. *Let  $g: M \rightarrow M$ .*

- (1) *If  $\ker g \neq 0$  then  $g = 0$ .*
- (2) *If  $\text{im } g \neq M$  then  $g = 0$ .*

*Thus  $\text{End}(M)$  is a division ring. Moreover,  $\text{End}(M) \cong D$ .*

PROOF. If  $\ker g \neq 0$ , choose  $e_{j_0}$  so that  $e_{j_0} \ker g \neq 0$ , i.e., so that  $m_{j_0} \in \ker g$ . Let  $m_h$  be given. We will show that  $m_h g = 0$ . Since  $R$  is indecomposable, there exist  $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_l}$  and  $e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_l} = e_h$  such that  $e_{j_{k-1}} Re_{i_k} \neq 0 \neq e_{j_k} Re_{i_k}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, l$ . (See [1, §7].) Suppose that  $m_{j_{k-1}} g = 0$ . Then also  $m_{i_k} g = 0$ , for if  $m_{i_k} g \neq 0$ , then  $0 \neq e_{j_{k-1} i_k} e_{i_k} (m_{i_k} g) = m_{j_{k-1}} g$ . And now  $m_{j_k} g = (e_{j_k i_k} m_{i_k}) g = e_{j_k i_k} (m_{i_k} g) = 0$ . Thus by induction  $m_h g = 0$  for all  $h$  and  $Mg = 0$ .

For (2), note that if  $\text{im } g \neq M$ , then  $\ker g \neq 0$ , so by (1),  $g = 0$ .

For the moreover part, let  $f: M \rightarrow M$  and let  $S = Rm_k$  be a simple submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is distributive,  $\text{Soc}(M)$  is square-free so  $Sf \subset S$ . Thus we may regard  $f|_S: S \rightarrow S$  as an  $e_k Re_k$ -map. But then for some  $d \in D$ ,  $d_k m_k f|_S = d_k d m_k$  for all  $d_k m_k \in S$ . Let  $f': M \rightarrow M$  via  $f': m \mapsto md$ . Then  $0 \neq S \subset \ker(f' - f)$ , so by (1),  $f' = f$  and the ring monomorphism  $\Phi: D \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$  via  $\Phi(d): m \mapsto md$  is onto. Hence  $D \cong \text{End}(M)$ .

In our proof that finite incidence rings have self-duality, the main technique is that of changing the range or domain of a function. For example, if  $N$  is a distributive artinian module,  $L$  is a submodule of  $N$  and  $f$  is a map  $f: L \rightarrow N$ , then  $\text{im } f \subset L$ , so we may regard  $f$  as a map from  $L$  to  $L$ . Dually, if  $N$  is a distributive noetherian module,  $L$  is a submodule of  $N$  and  $f$  is any map  $f: N \rightarrow N/L$ , then  $\ker f \supset L$ , so we may regard  $f$  as a map from  $N/L$  to  $N/L$  by the factor theorem. (See [5, §4.1].) These results allow us to develop the principal tool used in the proof of Theorem 4.

3. LEMMA. (1) *Let  $L$  be a nonzero indecomposable submodule of  $M$  and let  $f: L \rightarrow M$ . Then there exists a unique map  $f': M \rightarrow M$  such that  $lf' = lf$  for all  $l \in L$ .*

(2) *Let  $L = M/K$  be a nonzero indecomposable factor of  $M$  and let  $f: M \rightarrow L$ . Then there exists a unique map  $f': M \rightarrow M$  such that  $mf' + K = mf$  for all  $m \in M$ .*

PROOF. (1) Let  $L$  be a nonzero indecomposable submodule of  $M$  and let  $e = \sum\{e_j | e_j L \neq 0\}$ . Since  $c(e_{e_j Re_j} M) = 1$  for all  $j$ , either  $e_j L = 0$  or  $e_j L = e_j M$ . Thus  $L = eM$ . Let  $f: L \rightarrow M$ . By the remarks preceding the lemma,  $Lf \subset L$ . Let  $f^*$  denote  $f$  with range restricted to  $L$ . Now  $eRe$  is a finite incidence ring over  $D$ ,  $L = eM$  plays the role of  $M$  for  $eRe$  and  $f^* \in \text{End}(e_{eRe} eM)$ . Since  $eM$  is indecomposable over  $R$ , it is an indecomposable module over  $eRe$ . Since  $eM$  is also faithful over  $eRe$ ,  $eRe$  is an indecomposable ring and we may apply Proposition 2 to see that  $f^*$  is right multiplication by some  $d \in D$ . Define  $f': M \rightarrow M$  via  $f': m \mapsto md$ . Then  $f'$  extends  $f$ . If  $g: M \rightarrow M$  also extends  $f$ , then  $0 \neq L \subset \text{Ker}(g - f')$ , so by Proposition 2,  $g = f'$  and  $f'$  is unique.

(2) Let  $L = M/K$  be a nonzero indecomposable submodule of  $M$ , let  $e = \sum\{e_j | e_j L \neq 0\}$  and let  $f: M \rightarrow L$ . By the remarks preceding the lemma,  $K \subset \ker f$ , so we may define a map  $f^*: L \rightarrow L$  via  $f^*: m + K \mapsto mf$ . Now  $eRe$  is a finite incidence ring over  $D$ ,  $L = e(M/K)$  plays the role of  $M$  for  $eRe$  and  $f^* \in \text{End}(e_{eRe} L)$ . Since  $L$  is indecomposable over  $R$ , it is an indecomposable module over  $eRe$ . Since  $L$  is also faithful over  $eRe$ ,  $eRe$  is indecomposable and we may apply Proposition 2 to see that for some  $d \in D$ ,  $(m + K)f^* = md + K$  for all  $m \in M$ . Define  $f': M \rightarrow M$  via  $f': m \mapsto md$ . Then  $mf' + K = md + K = (m + K)f^* = mf$

for all  $m \in M$ . If  $g: M \rightarrow M$  also satisfies  $mg + K = mf$  for all  $m \in M$ , then  $m(g - f) \in K$  for all  $m$ , so  $\text{im}(g - f) \neq M$ . Thus by Proposition 2,  $g = f$ .

A duality  $D'$  between the categories of left and right finitely-generated  $R$ -modules is said to be *weakly symmetric* if for  $J = \text{rad}(R)$  and for  $e$  any primitive idempotent of  $R$ ,  $D'(Re/Je) \cong eR/eJ$ . It is not hard to see that a ring isomorphism  $\Phi: R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(E)$  such that  $E\Phi(e) = E(Re/Je)$ , for each primitive idempotent  $e \in R$ , induces such a weakly symmetric duality if  $R$  is artinian and  ${}_R E$  is an injective cogenerator [9, (3.1)].

4. THEOREM. *Let  $R$  be a finite incidence ring over a division ring. Then  $R$  has a weakly symmetric duality.*

PROOF. We may assume that  $R$  is basic and indecomposable. Let the  $R$ -module  $M$  be defined as it has been throughout. Let  $\iota_i: Re_i \rightarrow M$  be the natural monomorphism and  $\eta_i: M \rightarrow M/L_i = E_i$  be the natural epimorphism as before. Let  $E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E_i$  be the minimal injective cogenerator of  $R\text{-mod}$  and let  $S = \text{End}_R(E)$  with  $f_i \in S$  the natural projection onto  $E_i$ . Define  $\theta: S \rightarrow R$  via  $\theta: \sum f_i s f_j \mapsto \sum e_i r e_j$ , where  $e_i r e_j$  is defined below.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Re_i & \xrightarrow{\iota_i} & M & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & E_i \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 e_i r e_j & & \delta & & f_i s f_j \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 Re_j & \xrightarrow{\iota_j} & M & \xrightarrow{\eta_j} & E_j
 \end{array}$$

By Lemma 3 there exists a unique  $\delta: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $n_i f_i s f_j = \delta \eta_j$ . If  $f_i s f_j = 0$ , then  $\delta = 0$  and  $e_i r e_j = 0$  is the only choice for  $e_i r e_j$  to make the diagram commute. If  $f_i s f_j \neq 0$ , then  $e_j E_i \neq 0$ , so also  $0 \neq e_i R e_j = \text{Hom}(Re_i, Re_j)$  [6, Theorem 2.4]. Thus  $e_{ij} \neq 0$ , so  $m_i = e_{ij} m_j$  and  $\text{im } \iota_i \delta \subset R m_i \subset R m_j$ . Therefore the map  $e_i r e_j$  exists uniquely with  $e_i r e_j \iota_j = \iota_i \delta$ . Thus,  $\theta$  is a well-defined function. To see that  $\theta$  is bijective, let  $e_i r e_j \neq 0$  be given. By Lemma 3 there exists a unique  $\delta: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $e_i r e_j \iota_j = \iota_i \delta$ . Now  $e_i r e_j \neq 0$  implies that  $e_{ij} \neq 0$ , so that if  $e_{jk} \neq 0$  then also  $e_{ik} = e_{ij} e_{jk} \neq 0$ . Thus  $e_{ik} = 0$  implies  $e_{jk} = 0$ , so  $L_i \subset L_j$ . Since  $M$  is distributive,  $L_i \delta \subset L_i \subset L_j \subset \text{Ker } \delta \eta_j$ . Hence  $\delta \eta_j$  factors uniquely through  $\eta_i$ . Let  $\eta_i f_i s i_j = \delta \eta_j$ . Thus  $\theta$  is bijective.

A simple argument shows that  $\delta + \delta'$  and  $e_i r e_j + e_i' r' e_j$  are the maps associated with  $f_i s f_j + f_i' s' f_j$ , and it follows that  $\theta$  is additive. Since for each  $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $f_i s f_j s' f_k$  corresponds to  $\delta \delta'$  and then to  $e_i r e_j r' e_k$ ,  $\theta$  is multiplicative. Thus  $\theta$  is a ring isomorphism. Also,  $f_i$  corresponds to  $1_M$  corresponds to  $e_i$ , so  $\theta(f_i) = e_i$ , and  $R$  has a weakly symmetric duality.

If  $R$  is an artinian ring with a weakly symmetric duality and the primitive right (or left) ideals of  $R$  are distributive, then any factor ring of  $R$  has a weakly symmetric duality [9, (4.1)]. Since the primitive right (and left) ideals of a finite incidence ring are distributive, we may apply Theorem 4 to conclude that factor rings of finite incidence rings also have self-duality.

5. COROLLARY. *Any factor ring of a finite incidence ring over a division ring has a weakly symmetric duality.*

We note here that a finite incidence ring over a division ring is a tensor ring iff it is hereditary, and an artinian tensor ring is a finite incidence ring iff every principal right and left ideal generated by a primitive idempotent is distributive. Nonhereditary finite incidence rings are nontrivial factors of tensor rings with the same quivers, but since such tensor rings do not satisfy the hypotheses of [9, (4.1)], one cannot apply a result of Auslander, Platzeck, and Reiten [2], namely, that hereditary artinian tensor rings satisfying the Dlab-Ringel duality conditions have self-duality, to show directly that finite incidence rings have self-duality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This paper constitutes a portion of a Ph.D. thesis written under the supervision of Professor K. R. Fuller and submitted to the graduate faculty of the University of Iowa. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Fuller for his encouragement and helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. 13, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.
2. M. Auslander, M. I. Platzeck and I. Reiten, *Coxeter functors without diagrams*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **250** (1979), 1–46.
3. G. Azumaya, *A duality theory for injective modules*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 249–278.
4. V. P. Camillo, *Distributive modules*, J. Algebra **36** (1975), 16–25.
5. P. M. Cohn, *Free rings and their relations*, Academic Press, London and New York, 1971.
6. K. R. Fuller, *On indecomposable injectives over Artinian rings*, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1969), 115–135.
7. \_\_\_\_\_, *Rings of left invariant module type*, Comm. Algebra **6** (1978), 153–167.
8. K. R. Fuller and J. Haack, *Rings with quivers that are trees*, Pacific J. Math. **76** (1978), 371–379.
9. J. K. Haack, *Self-duality and serial rings*, J. Algebra **59** (1979), 345–363.
10. B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1965.
11. K. Morita, *Duality of modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku **6** (1958), 85–142.
12. B. Roux, *Modules injectifs indécomposables sur les anneaux artiniens et dualité de Morita*, Sémin. P. Dubreil (26e année 1972/73), Algèbre, Exp. No. 10, Secrétariat Mathématique, Paris, 1973, 19 pp.
13. H. Tachikawa, *Duality theorem of character modules for rings with minimum condition*, Math. Z. **68** (1958), 479–487.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074