

## THE SPECTRUM OF THE LAPLACIAN OF KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

BANG-YEN CHEN AND LIEVEN VANHECKE

**ABSTRACT.** We strengthen some results on the spectrum of the Laplacian for 0- and 1-forms [8], [9] on Kähler manifolds and give some new results for the 2-forms.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(M, J, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Kähler manifold (all manifolds are assumed to be compact, connected and of complex dimension  $n > 1$ ) with complex structure  $J$  and Kähler metric  $g$ . By  $\Delta$  we denote the Laplacian acting on  $p$ -forms on  $M$ . Then we have the spectrum for each  $p$ ,

$$\text{Spec}^p(M, g) = \{0 \geq \lambda_{1,p} \geq \lambda_{2,p} \geq \cdots \downarrow -\infty\},$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated as many times as its multiplicity indicates. It is well known that  $\text{Spec}^p(M, g) = \text{Spec}^{2n-p}(M, g)$  and, immediate from Hodge theory, that  $0 \in \text{Spec}^p(M, g)$  if and only if the  $p$ th Betti number  $\beta_p(M) \neq 0$  (and 0 has multiplicity  $\beta_p \neq 0$ ).

We denote by  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$  the  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space with the standard complex structure  $J_0$  and the Fubini-Study metric  $g_0$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ . One of the most interesting problems on spectrum is as follows: "Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a Kähler manifold with  $\text{Spec}^p(M, g) = \text{Spec}^p(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0)$  for a fixed  $p$ . Is it true that  $(M, J, g)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ ?"

In [1], [5] it is proved that if  $(M, J)$  is  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0)$ , then the answer to the problem is affirmative for  $p = 0$ . In [8], [9] Tanno has proved that the answer is affirmative if  $p = 0$  and  $n \leq 6$  or if  $p = 1$  and  $8 \leq n \leq 51$ .

The main purpose of this note is to prove the following.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(M, J, g)$  be a Kähler manifold with  $\text{Spec}^2(M, g) = \text{Spec}^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0)$ . If  $n \neq 8$ , then  $(M, J, g)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ .*

The method we use in the proof is different from the previous authors since the second cohomology group  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  and the generalized Chern classes  $c_1\omega^{n-1}$  and  $c_1^2\omega^{n-2}$  play very important roles in the proof.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $M$  be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$ . If  $(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n)$  form a local field of unitary coframes, the Kähler metric  $g$  and the fundamental 2-form  $\Phi$  are given respectively by  $g = \frac{1}{2}\sum(\theta^i \otimes \bar{\theta}^i + \bar{\theta}^i \otimes \theta^i)$  and  $\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-1}\sum\theta^i \wedge \bar{\theta}^i$ . Let  $\Omega_j^i = \sum R_{j\bar{k}i}^k \theta^k \wedge \bar{\theta}^l$  be the curvature form of  $M$ . Then the curvature tensor  $R$  is the tensor field with local components  $R_{j\bar{k}i}^l$ . The Ricci tensor

Received by the editors December 5, 1978 and, in revised form, January 30, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 53C55; Secondary 58G99.

Key words and phrases. Spectrum, Laplacian, Kähler manifolds.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/80/0000-0216/\$02.25

$S$  and the scalar curvature  $\rho$  are given respectively by  $S = \frac{1}{2}\sum(R_{i\bar{j}}\theta^i \wedge \bar{\theta}^j + \bar{R}_{i\bar{j}}\bar{\theta}^i \wedge \theta^j)$  and  $\rho = 2\sum R_{i\bar{i}}$  where  $R_{i\bar{j}} = 2\sum R_{ik\bar{j}}$ . We denote by  $\|R\|$  and  $\|S\|$  the length of  $R$  and  $S$  respectively.

We define the  $k$ th scalar curvature  $\rho_k$  by

$$\det(\delta_{ij} + tR_{i\bar{j}}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \rho_k t^k. \tag{2.1}$$

It is clear that  $\rho_0 = 1, \rho_1 = \rho/2n,$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{1}{4n(n-1)}(\rho^2 - 2\|S\|^2), \dots, \rho_n = \det(R_{i\bar{j}}).$$

Let  $\omega$  be the cohomology class represented by the fundamental form  $\Phi$ . Then  $\omega$  is called the fundamental class and the last de Rham cohomology group  $H^{2n}(M, \mathbf{R})$  is generated by  $\omega^n$ . Let  $c_1$  be the first Chern class of  $M$ . We put

$$\omega^{n-k} c_1^k = \alpha_k \omega^n, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.2}$$

Then, the  $\alpha_k$  are real numbers. We state the following lemma for later use [3] (see [6] for the cohomologically Einstein case).

LEMMA 1. *Let  $M$  be a Kähler manifold. Then we have*

$$\int_M \rho_k * 1 = (2\pi)^k \alpha_k \int_M * 1. \tag{2.3}$$

The Minakshisundaram-Pleijel-Gaffney formula for  $\text{Spec}^p(M, g)$  is given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_k p t} \underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i,p} t^i$$

where

$$a_{0,p} = \binom{2n}{p} \int_M * 1, \tag{2.4}$$

$$a_{1,p} = \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \binom{2n}{p} - \binom{2n-2}{p-1} \right\} \int_M \rho * 1, \tag{2.5}$$

$$a_{2,p} = \int_M \{ c_1(2n, p)\rho^2 + c_2(2n, p)\|S\|^2 + c_3(2n, p)\|R\|^2 \} * 1 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$c_1(2n, p) = \frac{1}{72} \binom{2n}{p} - \frac{1}{6} \binom{2n-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n-4}{p-2}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$c_2(2n, p) = -\frac{1}{180} \binom{2n}{p} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n-2}{p-1} - 2 \binom{2n-4}{p-2}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$c_3(2n, p) = \frac{1}{180} \binom{2n}{p} - \frac{1}{12} \binom{2n-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n-4}{p-2}. \tag{2.9}$$

The coefficients  $a_{1,p}$  and  $a_{2,p}$  are determined by Patodi [7].

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** If  $p = 2$ , the first three coefficients in the asymptotic expansion are given by

$$a_{0,2} = n(2n - 1) \int_M \rho * 1, \quad (3.1)$$

$$a_{1,2} = \frac{2n^2 - 13n + 12}{6} \int_M \rho * 1, \quad (3.2)$$

$$a_{2,2} = \frac{1}{360} \int_M \{5(2n^2 - 25n + 60)\rho^2 - 2(2n^2 - 181n + 540)\|S\|^2 + 2(2n^2 - 31n + 120)\|R\|^2\} * 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Now, assume that  $\text{Spec}^2(M, g) = \text{Spec}^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0)$ . Then we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n, \quad \dim H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = \dim H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{R}) = 1, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\int_M \rho * 1 = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^n} \rho * 1, \quad \int_M \rho' * 1 = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^n} \rho' * 1, \quad (3.5)$$

$$a_{2,2} = a'_{2,2}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\rho', a'_{2,2}, \dots$  etc. are the corresponding quantities for  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ .

From Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_M \rho * 1 = 4n\pi\alpha_1 \int_M \rho * 1, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\int_M (\rho^2 - 2\|S\|^2) * 1 = 16n(n - 1)\pi^2\alpha_2 \int_M \rho * 1. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, since the second Betti number of  $M$  is 1,  $c_1 = a\omega$  for some real number  $a$ . Hence we have  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1^2$ . Consequently, (3.7) and (3.8) imply

$$(n - 1) \left( \int_M \rho * 1 \right)^2 = n \left( \int_M \rho * 1 \right) \int_M (\rho^2 - 2\|S\|^2) * 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Since  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$ , we have

$$\rho' = n(n + 1)c, \quad \|S'\|^2 = n(n + 1)^2 c^2 / 2, \quad \|R'\|^2 = 2n(n + 1)c^2. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.5), (3.7) and (3.10) we find  $\alpha_1 = (n + 1)c/4\pi$ . Using (3.3), (3.5) and (3.10) we have

$$a'_{2,2} = \frac{1}{360} n(n + 1)(10n^4 - 117n^3 + 362n^2 - 183n - 60)c^2 \int_M \rho * 1$$

and so

$$a'_{2,2} = \frac{16n\pi^2}{360(n + 1)} (10n^4 - 117n^3 + 362n^2 - 183n - 60)\alpha_1^2 \int_M \rho * 1.$$

Hence (3.7) implies

$$a'_{2,2} = \frac{1}{360n(n + 1)} (10n^4 - 117n^3 + 362n^2 - 183n - 60) \frac{(\int_M \rho * 1)^2}{\int_M \rho * 1}$$

and using (3.9) we obtain

$$a'_{2,2} = \frac{1}{360(n^2 - 1)}(10n^4 - 117n^3 + 362n^2 - 183n - 60) \int_M (\rho^2 - \|S\|^2) * 1.$$

Then from (3.6) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \{ (n^2 - 1)(2n^2 - 31n + 120)\|R\|^2 \\ & \quad + 4(2n^4 + 16n^3 - 44n^2 - 91n + 120)\|S\|^2 \\ & \quad - 2(2n^3 + 18n^2 - 77n + 60)\rho^2 \} * 1 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (n^2 - 1)(n - 8)(2n - 15) \int_M \left\{ \|R\|^2 - \frac{2}{n(n + 1)} \rho^2 \right\} * 1 \\ & \quad + 4(2n^4 + 16n^3 - 44n^2 - 91n + 120) \int_M \left\{ \|S\|^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{2n} \right\} * 1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Since  $\|R\|^2 > (2/n(n + 1))\rho^2$  and  $\|S\|^2 > \rho^2/2n$ , equation (3.12) gives  $\|R\|^2 = (2/n(n + 1))\rho^2$  and  $\|S\|^2 = \rho^2/2n$  if  $n \neq 8$ , from which we conclude that  $(M, J, g)$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature, say  $\bar{c}$ . From (3.5) it follows then that  $c = \bar{c}$ . Thus,  $(M, J, g)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ .

**4. Remarks.**

**REMARK 1.** A Kähler manifold  $(M, J, g)$  is said to be *cohomologically Einsteinian* if  $c_1 = a\omega$  for some real number  $a$ . By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we may prove the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $(M, J, g)$  is a cohomological Einstein Kähler manifold and*

$$\text{Spec}^0(M, g) = \text{Spec}^0(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0),$$

*then  $(M, J, g)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $(M, J, g)$  is a cohomological Einstein Kähler manifold and*

$$\text{Spec}^1(M, g) = \text{Spec}^1(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0), \quad n > 7,$$

*then  $(M, J, g)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ .*

**REMARK 2.** If  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $M$  is automatically cohomologically Einsteinian.

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $(M, J, g)$  is a Kähler manifold with  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$  and*

$$\text{Spec}^0(M, g) = \text{Spec}^0(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0) \quad (\text{or } \text{Spec}^1(M, g) = \text{Spec}^1(\mathbb{C}P^n, g_0) \text{ and } n > 7),$$

*then  $(M, J, g)$  is holomorphically isometric to  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, J_0, g_0)$ .*

**REMARK 3.** All complete intersections of dimension  $n > 3$ , all complete Kähler manifolds of positive curvature or of holomorphic pinching greater than  $\frac{1}{2}$  [2] and all complete Kähler manifolds of positive holomorphic bisectional curvature [4] satisfy  $H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ .

REMARK 4. From the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 we may also see that we may replace the condition of “cohomologically Einsteinian” by the weaker condition “ $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1^2$ ”.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Berger, P. Gauduchon and E. Mazet, *Le spectre d'une variété riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 194, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
2. R. L. Bishop and S. I. Goldberg, *On the topology of positively curved Kaehler manifolds. II*, Tôhoku Math. J. **17** (1965), 310–318.
3. B. Y. Chen, *Characterizations of Einstein Kaehler manifolds and applications*, Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. **61** (1976), 592–595.
4. S. I. Goldberg and S. Kobayashi, *Holomorphic bisectional curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967), 225–233.
5. A. Lascoux and M. Berger, *Variétés Kählériennes compactes*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 154, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970.
6. K. Ogiue, *Generalized scalar curvatures of cohomological Einstein Kaehler manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **10** (1975), 201–205.
7. V. K. Patodi, *Curvature and the fundamental solution of the heat operator*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **34** (1970), 269–285.
8. S. Tanno, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. **25** (1973), 391–403.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, *The spectrum of the Laplacian for 1-forms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 125–129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KATHOLIEKE UNIVERSITEIT LEUVEN, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, B-3030 LEUVEN, BELGIUM