

## A NOTE ON EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

TAKASHI NOIRI

**ABSTRACT.** A topological space  $X$  is said to be locally  $S$ -closed if each point of  $X$  has an open neighborhood which is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X$ . In this note it is shown that every locally  $S$ -closed weakly Hausdorff (or almost-regular) space is extremally disconnected.

**1. Introduction.** In 1976, T. Thompson [11] introduced the concept of  $S$ -closed spaces. Recently, the following sufficient conditions for an  $S$ -closed space to be extremally disconnected have been obtained.

**THEOREM A (HERRMANN [3]).** *An  $S$ -closed weakly Hausdorff space is extremally disconnected.*

**THEOREM B (HERRMANN [3]).** *An  $S$ -closed almost-regular space is extremally disconnected.*

**THEOREM C (CAMERON [1]).** *A maximal  $S$ -closed space is extremally disconnected.*

In [7], the present author introduced the concept of locally  $S$ -closed spaces which is strictly weaker than that of  $S$ -closed spaces. The purpose of the present note is to show that the condition " $S$ -closed" in the theorems above stated can be replaced by " $locally\ S$ -closed".

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $S$  a subset of  $X$ . The closure of  $S$  and the interior of  $S$  in  $(X, \tau)$  are denoted by  $Cl_X(S)$  and  $Int_X(S)$ , respectively. A subset  $S$  of  $X$  is said to be *regular open (regular closed)* if  $Int_X(Cl_X(S)) = S$  (resp.  $Cl_X(Int_X(S)) = S$ ). A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *extremally disconnected* if  $Cl_X(U) \in \tau$  for every  $U \in \tau$ . A subset  $S$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *semiopen* [4] if there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $U \subset S \subset Cl_X(U)$ . The family of all semiopen sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $SO(X, \tau)$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  *$S$ -closed* [11] if for every semiopen cover  $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $X$  there exists a finite subfamily  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \cup \{Cl_X(U_\alpha) | \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ .

A subset  $S$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  *$S$ -closed* if it is  $S$ -closed as the subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ . A subset  $S$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  *$S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$*  [6] if for every cover  $\{U_\alpha | \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $S$  by semiopen sets of  $(X, \tau)$  there exists a finite subfamily  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $S \subset \cup \{Cl_X(U_\alpha) | \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ .

---

Received by the editors November 9, 1978 and, in revised form, March 19, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D20; Secondary 54G05.

Key words and phrases.  $S$ -closed, locally  $S$ -closed, extremally disconnected.

© 1980 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/80/0000-0285/\$02.00

DEFINITION 2.2. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *locally  $S$ -closed* [7] if each point of  $X$  has an open neighborhood which is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

Every  $S$ -closed space is locally  $S$ -closed. However, the converse is not true in general because an infinite discrete space is locally  $S$ -closed but not  $S$ -closed. The following lemmas shown in [7] will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$  and  $B$  is regular open, then  $A \cap B$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$ .*

LEMMA 2.4. *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $S$ -closed.
- (2) For each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$ .
- (3) For each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $\text{Int}_X(\text{Cl}_X(U))$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$ .

### 3. The results.

DEFINITION 3.1. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *weakly Hausdorff* [10] if each point of  $X$  is an intersection of regular closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$ .

THEOREM 3.2. *If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $S$ -closed and weakly Hausdorff, then it is extremally disconnected.*

PROOF. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is not extremally disconnected. Then, there exists a regular open set  $G$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\text{Cl}_X(G) - G \neq \emptyset$  and  $X - \text{Cl}_X(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in \text{Cl}_X(G) - G$ . By Lemma 2.4, there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $V$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$ . Put  $A = G \cap V$ , then by Lemma 2.3,  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is weakly Hausdorff and  $x \notin A$ , for each  $a \in A$  there exists a regular closed set  $F(a)$  such that  $x \notin F(a)$  and  $a \in F(a)$ . Since  $F(a) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ , there exists a finite subset  $A_0$  of  $A$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup \{F(a) | a \in A_0\}$ . We have  $A \subset V \cap \text{Cl}_X(G) \subset \text{Cl}_X(V \cap G) = \text{Cl}_X(A)$ . Therefore,  $x \in \text{Cl}_X(A) \subset \bigcup \{F(a) | a \in A_0\}$ . On the other hand,  $x \notin F(a)$  for any  $a \in A_0$  and hence  $x \notin \bigcup \{F(a) | a \in A_0\}$ . This contradiction implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is extremally disconnected.

COROLLARY 3.3. *A weakly Hausdorff space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S$ -closed if and only if it is locally  $S$ -closed and quasi  $H$ -closed.*

DEFINITION 3.4. A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *almost-regular* [9] if for each  $x \in X$  and each regular closed set  $F$  not containing  $x$  there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $F \subset V$ .

THEOREM 3.5. *If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $S$ -closed and almost-regular, then it is extremally disconnected.*

PROOF. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is not extremally disconnected. Then there exists a regular open set  $G$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\text{Cl}_X(G) - G \neq \emptyset$  and  $X - \text{Cl}_X(G) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in \text{Cl}_X(G) - G$ . Then, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a regular open neighborhood  $O$  of  $x$  which is  $S$ -closed relative to  $\tau$ . Put  $A = O \cap G$ , then by Theorem 1.2 of [6]

and Lemma 2.3,  $A$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}(x)$  be the family of all neighborhoods at  $x$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \{V \cap A \mid V \in \mathfrak{B}(x)\}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a filter base on  $A$  and hence, by Theorem 2 of [11],  $\mathfrak{F}$   $s$ -accumulates to some point  $a \in A$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is almost-regular and  $A$  is regular open, there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $a \in U \subset \text{Cl}_X(U) \subset A$  [9, Theorem 2.2]. Since  $x \notin A$ ,  $(X - \text{Cl}_X(U)) \cap A \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $a \in U \in \text{SO}(A)$ . Moreover, we have  $[(X - \text{Cl}_X(U)) \cap A] \cap \text{Cl}_A(U) = \emptyset$  which contradicts that  $\mathfrak{F}$   $s$ -accumulates to  $a \in A$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is extremally disconnected.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *An almost-regular space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $S$ -closed if and only if it is locally  $S$ -closed and quasi  $H$ -closed.*

**DEFINITION 3.7.** A locally  $S$ -closed space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *maximal locally  $S$ -closed* if  $\tau = \theta$  whenever a topological space  $(X, \theta)$  is locally  $S$ -closed and  $\theta$  is stronger than  $\tau$ .

A function  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \theta)$  is said to be *irresolute* [2] if  $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  for every  $V \in \text{SO}(Y, \theta)$ .

**LEMMA 3.8.** *Let  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \theta)$  be an irresolute function. If  $G \in \tau$  and  $G$  is  $S$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $f(G)$  is  $S$ -closed in  $(Y, \theta)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $f_G: G \rightarrow f(G)$  be a function defined by  $f_G(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in G$ , where  $G$  (resp.  $f(G)$ ) is the subspace of  $(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $(Y, \theta)$ ). We shall show that  $f_G$  is irresolute. For any  $V_0 \in \text{SO}(f(G))$ , there exists  $V \in \text{SO}(Y, \theta)$  such that  $V_0 = V \cap f(G)$  [8, Theorem 3.2]. Since  $f$  is irresolute and  $G \in \tau$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \cap G \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  and hence, by Theorem 1 of [5],  $f_G^{-1}(V_0) = f^{-1}(V) \cap G \in \text{SO}(G)$ . This shows that  $f_G$  is irresolute. Since  $G$  is  $S$ -closed, it follows from Theorem 3.5 of [12] that  $f_G(G) = f(G)$  is  $S$ -closed.

**THEOREM 3.9.** *If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is maximal locally  $S$ -closed, then it is extremally disconnected.*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is not extremally disconnected. Then, there exists a regular closed set  $B$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $B \notin \tau$ . Put  $\tau(B) = \{U \cup (V \cap B) \mid U, V \in \tau\}$ , then  $\tau(B)$  is a topology on  $X$  which is strictly stronger than  $\tau$ . We shall show that  $(X, \tau(B))$  is locally  $S$ -closed. Let  $i_X: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau(B))$  be the identity function. Then, it is obvious that  $i_X$  is open. For each  $U \cup (V \cap B) \in \tau(B)$ ,  $V \cap B \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$  and hence  $U \cup (V \cap B) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ . This shows that  $i_X$  is semicontinuous. Therefore,  $i_X$  is irresolute [5, Theorem 7]. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is locally  $S$ -closed, for each  $x \in X$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $U$  is  $S$ -closed. By Lemma 3.8,  $i_X(U)$  is  $S$ -closed in  $(X, \tau(B))$ . Moreover,  $i_X(U)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $(X, \tau(B))$  because  $i_X$  is open. This shows that  $(X, \tau(B))$  is locally  $S$ -closed. This contradicts that  $(X, \tau)$  is maximal locally  $S$ -closed. Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is extremally disconnected.

The author is sincerely grateful to the referee for many valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. D. E. Cameron, *Properties of S-closed spaces*, Proc. Oklahoma Topology Conf., Oklahoma, 1978.
2. S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math. **74** (1972), 233–254.
3. R. A. Herrmann, *A nonstandard approach to S-closed spaces (RC-convergence)*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1978), A–364.
4. N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41.
5. T. Noiri, *On semi-continuous mappings*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) **54** (1973), 210–214.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *On S-closed spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles Sér. I. **91** (1977), 189–194.
7. \_\_\_\_\_, *On S-closed subspaces*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) **64** (1978), 157–162.
8. V. Pipitone and G. Russo, *Spazi semiconnessi e spazi semiaperti*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **24** (1975), 273–285.
9. M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya, *On almost-regular spaces*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III (4) **24** (1969), 89–99.
10. T. Soundararajan, *Weakly Hausdorff spaces and the cardinality of topological spaces*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra. III, Proc. Conf. Kampur, 1968, Academia, Prague, 1971, pp. 301–306.
11. T. Thompson, *S-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1976), 335–338.
12. \_\_\_\_\_, *Semicontinuous and irresolute images of S-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1977), 359–362.

YATSUSHIRO COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, YATSUSHIRO, KUMAMOTO, 866 JAPAN