

## THE CROSSED PRODUCT OF A $C^*$ -ALGEBRA BY AN ENDOMORPHISM

WILLIAM L. PASCHKE<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $A$  be a unital, strongly amenable  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\sigma: A \rightarrow pAp$  a \*-isomorphism (where  $p$  is a proper projection of  $A$ ), and  $S$  an isometry such that  $SxS^* = \sigma(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $A$ . If  $A$  has no nontrivial  $\sigma$ -invariant ideals, then  $C^*(A, S)$  is simple. Furthermore,  $C^*(A, S)$  is isomorphic to a corner of the crossed product of  $A \otimes (\text{compacts})$  by an automorphism.

J. Cuntz showed in [5] that the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by any countable collection of isometries on Hilbert space with range projections summing to 1 is simple. An important step in his proof was the observation that this  $C^*$ -algebra is generated by an AF algebra  $A$  together with a single isometry  $S$  normalizing  $A$  (in the sense that  $SAS^*$  and  $S^*AS$  are both contained in  $A$ ). Actually, the simplicity of  $C^*(A, S)$  does not depend very much on the special circumstances of [5], and in fact follows from fairly mild assumptions on  $A$  and  $S$ . Our theorem to this effect may be regarded as a generalization of Cuntz's result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A$  be a strongly amenable unital  $C^*$ -algebra acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a nonunitary isometry (i.e.  $S^*S = 1 \neq SS^*$ ) in  $L(H)$  such that*

- (i)  *$SAS^*$  and  $S^*AS$  are both contained in  $A$ ; and*
  - (ii) *the only proper (two-sided) ideal  $J$  of  $A$  for which  $SJS^* \subseteq J$  is the zero ideal.*
- Then  $C^*(A, S)$  is simple.*

Our procedure for proving this is quite similar in outline to that followed in [5]: construct a well-behaved projection of norm one of  $C^*(A, S)$  onto  $A$ , do the same for the enveloping  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  of the \*-algebra generated by  $A$  and  $S$ , let the circle group act on  $B$  by fixing  $A$  and multiplying  $S$  by scalars of modulus 1, and then exploit this action to show that the natural map from  $B$  to  $C^*(A, S)$  is an isomorphism. What is new here is how the desired norm-one projection of  $C^*(A, S)$  onto  $A$  is shown to exist. This is taken care of in Lemmas 2 and 3 below. After that, the argument proceeds essentially as in [5].

Let  $A$  and  $S$  be as in Theorem 1. We set  $p = SS^*$ , so  $p$  is a projection in  $A$  strictly less than 1. The map  $\sigma: A \rightarrow A$  defined by  $\sigma(x) = SxS^*$  is a \*-isomorphism of  $A$  with  $pAp$ , with left inverse  $\sigma^*$  given by  $\sigma^*(x) = S^*xS$ . For  $k > 1$ , we let

---

Received by the editors August 27, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46L05.

Key words and phrases.  $C^*$ -algebra, crossed product, simple.

<sup>1</sup>Research partially supported by NSF grant MCS 77-01850.

$p_k = (S^k)(S^*)^k = \sigma^k(1)$ , so  $\{p_k\}$  is a decreasing sequence of projections in  $A$ . Our notation for the natural left and right actions of a  $C^*$ -algebra on its conjugate space is:  $(a \cdot g)(b) = g(ba)$ ,  $(g \cdot a)(b) = g(ab)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *There is a state  $f_0$  on  $C^*(A, S)$  whose restriction to  $A$  is faithful and which satisfies  $f_0(AS^k) = (0)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Our assumption that  $A$  is strongly amenable implies that for any state  $g$  on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  containing  $A$ , there is a state  $f$  in the  $w^*$ -closed convex hull of  $\{u \cdot g \cdot u^*: u \text{ unitary in } A\}$  which is centralized by  $A$  (i.e.  $f \cdot x = x \cdot f$  for  $x$  in  $A$ ) [2]. In particular,  $A$  has a tracial state. No tracial state of  $A$  can vanish at the projection  $p$ ; the left (= right) kernel of such a state would be a proper ideal containing  $pAp$  ( $= SAS^*$ ), contrary to (ii). This permits us to consider the map of the set of tracial states of  $A$  into itself which sends a tracial state  $\tau$  to the tracial state  $\tau(p)^{-1}(\tau \circ \sigma)$ . The Schauder fixed point theorem [7] gives us a tracial state  $\tau_0$  of  $A$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $\tau_0 \circ \sigma = r\tau_0$ . It follows from (ii) that  $\tau_0$  is faithful and hence, because  $1 - p \neq 0$ , we must have  $r < 1$ . Extend  $\tau_0$  to a state  $g$  on  $C^*(A, S)$ . All of the states  $u \cdot g \cdot u^*$  ( $u$  unitary in  $A$ ) also extend  $\tau_0$ . Using the strong amenability of  $A$ , we thus obtain a state extension  $f$  of  $\tau_0$  to  $C^*(A, S)$  which centralizes  $A$ . Let  $K$  denote the (convex,  $w^*$ -compact) set of all such  $A$ -centralizing state extensions of  $\tau_0$ . If  $f$  belongs to  $K$ , then so does  $r^{-1}(S^* \cdot f \cdot S)$ , because

$$r^{-1}(S^* \cdot f \cdot S)(x) = r^{-1}\tau_0(\sigma(x)) = \tau_0(x) \quad (x \text{ in } A)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (S^* \cdot f \cdot S)(xY) &= f(SxS^*SYS^*) = f(\sigma(x)SYS^*) \\ &= f(SYS^*\sigma(x)) = (S^* \cdot f \cdot S)(Yx) \quad (Y \text{ in } C^*(A, S)). \end{aligned}$$

Another application of the Schauder fixed point theorem now yields a state  $f_0$  on  $C^*(A, S)$  extending  $\tau_0$ , centralizing  $A$ , and satisfying  $f_0 = r^{-1}(S^* \cdot f \cdot S)$ . This is the state we want because for  $x$  in  $A$  and  $k \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(xS^k) &= r^{-k}f_0(S^kxS^k(S^*)^k) \\ &= r^{-k}f_0(xp_kS^k) = r^{-k}f_0(xS^k). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $0 < r < 1$ , this means that  $f_0(xS^k) = 0$ , and the lemma is proved.

Let  $B_0$  be the  $*$ -algebra generated by  $A$  and  $S$ . Algebraic manipulations using (i) show that finite sums of the form

$$\sum_1^N (S^*)^k x_{-k} + x_0 + \sum_1^N x_k S^k \quad (x \text{'s in } A) \tag{*}$$

constitute a  $*$ -algebra. (For instance, with  $k > j > 0$ , we have  $(S^*)^k x_{-k} x_j S^j = (S^*)^{k-j} [(S^*)^j x_{-k} x_j S^j]$ , where the factor in brackets belongs to  $A$ .) Hence every operator in  $B_0$  can be written in the form (\*).

**LEMMA 3.** (a) *There is a projection  $E: C^*(A, S) \rightarrow A$  of norm one satisfying  $E(AS^k) = (0) = E((S^*)^k A)$  for  $k \geq 1$ .*

(b) *If  $X$  in  $B_0$  is written in the form (\*), the coefficients  $x_j$  are uniquely determined by  $X$  if we require that  $x_k p_k = x_k$  and  $p_k x_{-k} = x_{-k}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ).*

(c) If  $\pi: A \rightarrow L(\tilde{H})$  is a \*-representation and  $\tilde{S}$  in  $L(\tilde{H})$  is an isometry such that  $\tilde{S}\pi(x)\tilde{S}^* = \pi(\sigma(x))$ , then  $B_0$  and the \*-algebra  $\tilde{B}_0$  generated by  $\pi(A)$  and  $\tilde{S}$  are \*-isomorphic (with the isomorphism sending  $x \rightarrow \pi(x)$  and  $S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ ).

PROOF. (a) It will suffice to show that if  $X$  in  $B_0$  is written in the form (\*), then  $\|X\| > \|x_0\|$ . For this, consider the GNS representation  $(\pi_0, \xi_0, H_0)$  of  $C^*(A, S)$  associated with the state  $f_0$  of Lemma 1. Let  $H'_0 = \overline{\pi_0(A)}\xi_0$ . The norm of the compression of  $\pi_0(X)$  to  $H'_0$  is

$$\sup\{|f_0(w^* X y)| : w, y \in A, f_0(w^* w) < 1, f_0(y^* y) < 1\}.$$

The “zero term” of  $w^* X y$  is  $w^* x_0 y$  (since  $w^*(S^*)^k = (S^*)^k \sigma^k(w^*)$  and  $S^k y = \sigma^k(y) S^k$ ), so  $f_0(w^* X y) = f_0(w^* x_0 y)$ . The supremum above is therefore just the norm of the image of  $x_0$  under the GNS representation of  $A$  arising from  $f_{0|_A}$ . This is  $\|x_0\|$ , since  $f_{0|_A}$  is faithful, and so we have  $\|X\| > \|\pi_0(X)\| > \|x_0\|$ , proving part (a).

(b) This follows immediately from the observation that  $E(X(S^*)^k) = x_k p_k$  and  $E(S^k X) = p_k x_{-k}$  ( $k \geq 1$ ).

(c) Notice that the kernel of  $\pi$  is a  $\sigma$ -invariant ideal, so  $\pi$  is faithful by (ii). We have  $\tilde{S}\tilde{S}^* = \pi(p)$ , and thus  $\tilde{S}^*\pi(x)\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^*\pi(pxp)\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}^*\tilde{S}\pi(\sigma^{-1}(pxp))\tilde{S}^*\tilde{S} = \pi(\sigma^*(x))$  for  $x$  in  $A$ . Everything we have proved so far about  $A$  and  $S$  is true also of  $\pi(A)$  and  $\tilde{S}$ . In particular, application of (b) above to  $B_0$  and  $\tilde{B}_0$  shows that there is a bijective linear map  $\alpha: B_0 \rightarrow \tilde{B}_0$  such that  $\alpha((S^*)^k x) = (\tilde{S}^*)^k \pi(x)$  and  $\alpha(xS^k) = \pi(x)\tilde{S}^k$  for  $k \geq 0$  and  $x$  in  $A$ . This is obviously a \*-map, and a direct computation shows that it is multiplicative.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $B$  be the completion of  $B_0$  in its greatest  $C^*$ -norm. (This makes sense because for any  $X$  in  $B_0$  and any \*-representation  $\pi$  of  $B_0$  on Hilbert space,  $\|\pi(X)\|$  does not exceed the sum of the norms of the coefficients  $x_j$  in (\*).) We have a \*-monomorphism  $\theta: A \rightarrow B$ , an isometry  $T$  in  $B$  (normalizing  $\theta(A)$  in the same way that  $S$  normalizes  $A$ ) such that  $B = C^*(\theta(A), T)$ , and, because of the universal property of  $B$ , a \*-homomorphism  $\pi: B \rightarrow C^*(A, S)$  such that  $\pi \circ \theta = \text{id}_A$  and  $\pi(T) = S$ . Using part (c) of Lemma 3 and, again, the universal property of  $B$ , we obtain a homomorphism  $\rho$  from the circle group into the group of \*-automorphisms of  $B$  such that  $\rho_\lambda(T) = \lambda T$ ,  $\rho_\lambda(\theta(x)) = \theta(x)$  ( $|\lambda| = 1$ ,  $x$  in  $A$ ). Checking first on  $B_0$  shows that the map  $\lambda \rightarrow \rho_\lambda(Y)$  is norm-continuous for each  $Y$  in  $B$ . We can therefore define a norm-one projection  $F: B \rightarrow \theta(A)$  by

$$F(Y) = \int_{|\lambda|=1} \rho_\lambda(Y) d\lambda.$$

(The reason that the range of  $F$  is precisely  $\theta(A)$  is that  $F(B_0) = \theta(A)$ .) This projection is faithful ( $F(Y^* Y) = 0$  implies  $Y = 0$ ) and one checks readily that  $\pi \circ F = E \circ \pi$ , where  $E: C^*(A, S) \rightarrow A$  is as in Lemma 3. It is now easy to show that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, we have  $\pi(F(\ker \pi)) = E(\pi(\ker \pi)) = (0)$ , and since  $\pi$  is injective on  $F(B) = \theta(A)$ , this means that  $F(\ker \pi) = (0)$ . But  $F$  is faithful, so  $\ker \pi = 0$ . Suppose finally that  $\pi'$  is an arbitrary \*-representation of  $B$ . By (ii), the restriction of  $\pi'$  to  $\theta(A)$  is faithful, so  $\pi'(B)$  is generated by an isomorphic copy of  $A$  and a normalizing isometry  $\pi(S)$ . Using the norm-one

projection  $E': \pi'(B) \rightarrow \pi'(\theta(A))$  that one obtains from Lemma 3 in place of  $E$  in the argument above, we deduce that  $\pi'$  must be faithful. Hence  $C^*(A, S)$ , which is isomorphic to  $B$ , is simple.

The algebra  $C^*(A, S)$  is always amenable [9], hence nuclear [4] (see also [3]), but does not admit a tracial state and therefore cannot be strongly amenable. One can think of  $C^*(A, S)$  as the crossed product of  $A$  by the endomorphism  $\sigma$ . Indeed, given any “ $\sigma$ -covariant” pair  $(\pi, \tilde{S})$  as in Lemma 3(c), that lemma and the proof of Theorem 1 ensure that  $C^*(A, S)$  and  $C^*(\pi(A), \tilde{S})$  are isomorphic in a natural way. We remark that if  $A$  is any unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $p$  a nonzero projection in  $A$ , and  $\sigma: A \rightarrow pAp$  a \*-isomorphism (should one exist), then there exists a  $\sigma$ -covariant representation of  $A$ . An easy way to see this is to define  $\sigma^*: A \rightarrow A$  by  $\sigma^*(x) = \sigma^{-1}(pxp)$ , note that composition with  $\sigma^*$  takes states of  $A$  to states, and use the Schauder fixed point theorem to obtain a state  $f$  of  $A$  such that  $f \circ \sigma^* = f$  (and hence  $f \circ \sigma = f$ ). If  $(\pi, \xi, H)$  is the GNS representation of  $A$  associated with  $f$ , one checks readily that the equation  $\tilde{S}\pi(x) = \sigma(x)\xi$  defines an isometry  $\tilde{S}$  satisfying  $\tilde{S}\pi(x)\tilde{S}^* = \pi(\sigma(x))$  for  $x$  in  $A$ .

It turns out that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1,  $C^*(A, S)$  can be obtained from a crossed product of the standard sort. An explicit construction is given in [5] to show this for the situation considered there; our result below is of necessity somewhat less detailed. Here,  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  and  $S$  be as in Theorem 1. There exist a \*-automorphism  $\theta$  of  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and a projection  $Q$  in the crossed product  $C^*(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \theta)$  such that  $C^*(A, S)$  is isomorphic to  $QC^*(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \theta)Q$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $B = C^*(A, S)$ . From the proof of Theorem 1, we know that there is a continuous action  $\rho$  of the circle group on  $B$  such that  $\rho_\lambda(x) = x$  and  $\rho_\lambda(S) = \lambda S$  ( $|\lambda| = 1$ ,  $x$  in  $A$ ). Recall that the crossed product  $C^*(B, \rho)$  of  $B$  by the action  $\rho$  is the completion in the greatest  $C^*$ -norm of  $C(T, B)$ , the space of continuous  $B$ -valued functions on the circle group, considered as a \*-algebra with multiplication and involution defined by

$$(FG)(\lambda) = \int_{|\mu|=1} F(\mu)\rho_\mu(G(\bar{\mu}\lambda)) d\mu \quad (F^*)(\lambda) = \rho_\lambda(F(\bar{\lambda})^*).$$

Let  $P$  in  $C(T, B)$  be the function with constant value 1. It is immediate that  $P$  is a projection, and, using the fact that  $A$  is the fixed-point algebra of  $(B, \rho)$ , one checks (see [10]) that  $A$  and  $PC^*(B, \rho)P$  are isomorphic via the map that sends  $x$  in  $A$  to the function in  $C(T, B)$  with constant value  $x$ . We now claim that the closed two-sided ideal  $L$  of  $C^*(B, \rho)$  generated by  $P$  is all of  $C^*(B, \rho)$ . For this, consider products of the form  $FPG$ , where  $F$  is the function constantly equal to some given  $X$  in  $B$ , and  $G(\lambda) = \rho_\lambda(Y)$  for some  $Y$  in  $B$ . The convolution formula gives  $(FPG)(\lambda) = X\rho_\lambda(Y)$ . In particular, if  $X = (S^*)^k$  and  $Y = yS^j$  ( $k, j > 0$ ,  $y$  in  $A$ ), then if  $j > k$ , we have  $(FPG)(\lambda) = \lambda^j(\sigma^*)^k(y)S^{j-k}$ , while if  $j < k$ , we obtain  $(FPG)(\lambda) = \lambda^j(S^*)^{k-j}(\sigma^*)^j(y)$ . Since  $\sigma^*$  is surjective, it follows that all functions of

the form

$$\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^m (S^*)^n x \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^m x S^n \quad (n > 0, m > 0, x \text{ in } A)$$

belong to  $L$ . To show that  $m < 0$  is also allowed here, take  $X = xS^k$  and  $Y = (S^*)^j y$  and suppose that  $j \geq k$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (FPG)(\lambda) &= X\rho_\lambda(Y) = \lambda^{-j} x p_k (S^*)^{j-k} y \\ &= \lambda^{-j} (S^*)^{j-k} \sigma^{j-k}(x) p_j y. \end{aligned}$$

Now as  $x$  ranges over  $A$ ,  $(S^*)^{j-k} \sigma^{j-k}(x) p_j$  ranges over  $(S^*)^{j-k} p_{j-k} A p_{j-k} p_j (= (S^*)^{j-k} A p_j)$ . By part (ii) of the assumption on  $A$  and  $S$ , the set  $\{w p_j y : w, y \in A\}$  spans  $A$ . (This is because  $\sigma(p_j) = p_{j+1} \leq p_j$ .) For  $n > 0, m < 0$ , and any  $x$  in  $A$ , the function  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^m (S^*)^n x$  belongs to  $L$ . A similar argument with  $j < k$  shows that the same is true of the function  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^m x S^n$ . It now follows that for any continuous  $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the functions

$$\lambda \rightarrow f(\lambda) (S^*)^n x \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \rightarrow f(\lambda) x S^n$$

belong to  $L$ . A straightforward partition-of-unity argument shows that these functions span a sup-norm dense subspace of  $C(T, B)$ . But the sup-norm on  $C(T, B)$  dominates the  $L^1$ -norm, which in turn dominates the greatest  $C^*$ -norm, so  $L = C^*(B, \rho)$  as claimed. In other words, the “corner”  $PC^*(B, \rho)P$  of  $C^*(B, \rho)$  to which  $A$  is isomorphic is a full corner. By Corollary 2.6 of [1], then,  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  and  $C^*(B, \rho) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  are isomorphic. (Application of this result requires that  $C^*(B, \rho)$  have a strictly positive element. This is not a problem here. Any scalar-valued function in  $C(T, B)$  all of whose Fourier coefficients are positive is a strictly positive element of  $C^*(B, \rho)$ .) Let  $\hat{\rho}$  be the \*-automorphism that generates the action dual to  $\rho$  on  $C^*(B, \rho)$  (see [11]), and let  $\theta$  be the automorphism of  $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$  corresponding to  $\hat{\rho} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$ . By H. Takai’s duality theorem [11], the crossed product  $C^*(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \theta)$  is isomorphic to  $B \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ , so  $B$  is isomorphic to  $QC^*(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \theta)Q$  for an appropriate projection  $Q$  in  $C^*(A \otimes \mathcal{K}, \theta)$ .

We conclude with a remark about KMS states for what may be termed the “natural” dynamics on  $C^*(A, S)$ . Let  $A, S, \sigma, E$ , and  $\rho$  be as in Theorem 1 and its proof. (Regard  $\rho$  as a  $2\pi$ -periodic action of  $\mathbb{R}$  on  $C^*(A, S)$ :  $\rho_t(x) = x$  for  $x$  in  $A$ ,  $\rho_t(S) = \exp(it)S$ .) An appropriate modification of the argument in [8] shows that for  $0 < \beta < \infty$ , the  $\beta$ -KMS states (if there are any) of the  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C^*(A, S), \rho)$  are precisely those of the form  $\tau \circ E$ , where  $\tau$  is a tracial state on  $A$  such that  $\tau \circ \sigma = \exp(-\beta)\tau$ . (See also the last paragraph of [6].) In light of this, it would appear that the algebras we have investigated here could serve as a useful source of examples of  $C^*$ -dynamical systems exhibiting various sorts of unusual KMS-phenomena.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 335–348.
2. J. Bunce, *Representations of strongly amenable  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (1972), 241–246.
3. J. Bunce and W. L. Paschke, *Quasi-expectations and amenable von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1978), 232–236.

4. A. Connes, *On the cohomology of operator algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **28** (1978), 248–253.
5. J. Cuntz, *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.
6. J. Cuntz and G. K. Pedersen, *Equivalence and KMS states on  $C^*$ -dynamical systems*, preprint, 1978.
7. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
8. D. Olesen and G. K. Pedersen, *Some  $C^*$ -dynamical systems with a single KMS state*, Math. Scand. **42** (1978), 111–118.
9. J. Rosenberg, *Amenability of crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 187–191.
10. \_\_\_\_\_, *Appendix to O. Bratteli's paper on “Crossed products of UHF algebras”*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), 25–26.
11. H. Takai, *On a duality for crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **19** (1975), 25–39.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KANSAS 66045