ORIENTABILITY OF FIXED POINT SETS

ALLAN L. EDMONDS

Abstract. It is proved that the fixed point set of a smooth involution which preserves orientation and a spin structure on a smooth manifold is necessarily orientable. As an application it is proved that a simply connected spin 4-manifold with nonzero signature admits no involution which acts by multiplication by \(-1\) on its second rational homology group.

Consider a smooth orientation-preserving action of the cyclic group of order 2, \(\mathbb{Z}_2\), on a manifold \(M\). As is well known, in contrast to the case of odd order group actions, the fixed point set \(F\) is a manifold which need not be orientable. The simplest example is that of complex conjugation on \(\mathbb{C}P^2\) which fixes \(\mathbb{R}P^2\). In this note we shall show that if in addition the action preserves a spin structure on \(M\), then \(F\) is necessarily orientable. This result will then be applied to show that a closed simply connected spin 4-manifold \(M^4\) of nonzero signature does not support a smooth \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) action which acts by multiplication by \(-1\) on \(H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})\).

The crux of the argument is in the following proposition about \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) vector bundles over the 2-sphere.

**Proposition 1.** Let \(\xi\) be a \(k\)-dimensional \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) vector bundle over \(S^2\), where \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) acts on \(S^2\) by reflection through the equator \(S^1\). Then the fixed subbundle \((\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) is orientable if and only if \(\xi\) is stably trivial as a vector bundle.

**Proof.** If \(\dim(\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \dim(\xi|S^1)\), then any nonequivariant trivialization of \(\xi|D^2_+\), where \(D^2_+\) is the upper hemisphere of \(S^2\), extends to an equivariant trivialization of \(\xi\). So in this case both \(\xi\) and \((\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) are trivial.

Next consider the case when \(\xi\) is 2-dimensional and \((\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) is 1-dimensional. Let \(S^2 \subset E(\xi)\) denote the zero section. Then \(\xi\) is stably trivial if and only if the self-intersection number \(S^2 \cdot S^2 \equiv 0 \mod 2\). To compute \(S^2 \cdot S^2\) we carefully perturb \(S^2\) to a section \(\tilde{S}^2\) transverse to \(S^2\) and count \(S^2 \cap \tilde{S}^2\).

Suppose that \((\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) is trivial. First perturb the equator \(S^1\) in \(E(\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) to a nonvanishing section \(\tilde{S}^1\). This extends to some section \(\tilde{D}^2_+\) of \(\xi|D^2_+\), transverse to \(D^2_+\). By equivariance this extends to a section \(\tilde{D}^2_+\) of \(\xi|D^2_+\), transverse to \(D^2_+\). Then \(\tilde{S}^2 = \tilde{D}^2_+ \cup \tilde{D}^2_-\) is a topological section transverse to \(S^2\). Clearly \(\tilde{S}^2 \cap S^2\) consists of an even number of points.

Now suppose \((\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) is nontrivial. Then we may choose a section \(\tilde{S}^1\) of \((\xi|S^1)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) which vanishes at exactly one point of transverse intersection with the zero section \(S^1\). This section extends to a section \(\tilde{D}^2_+\) of \(\xi|D^2_+\) whose interior meets \(D^2_+\) in
finitely many points of transverse intersection. By equivariance extend this to a topological section \(S^2\) of \(\xi\). Clearly \(\overline{S}^2 \cap S^2\) consists of an odd number of points. It remains only to observe that \(\overline{S}^2\) is topologically transverse to \(S^2\). To see this consider a standard model for a neighborhood of \(\{x\} = S^1 \cap \overline{S}^1\) in \(E(\xi)\), as follows: Coordinatize a neighborhood by \(C \times C\), where the first factor is the base and the second factor is the fiber, and \(Z_2\) acts by complex conjugation in each factor. Then \(S^1\) corresponds to \(R \times 0\) and \((\xi||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle)^{Z_2}\) corresponds to \(R \times R\). Simply perturb \(R \times 0\) to \(\overline{R} = \{(x, x): x \in R\}\). This may be accomplished by perturbing \(C \times 0\) to \(\overline{C} = \{(z, z): z \in C\}\). Then clearly \(\overline{R}\) is transverse to \(R \times 0\) in \(R \times R\) and \(\overline{C}\) is transverse to \(C \times 0\) in \(C \times C\). Since the perturbation \(\overline{S}^2\) of \(S^2\) above may be chosen this way near \(\overline{S}^1 \cap S^1\), we see that \(\overline{S}^2\) is indeed transverse to \(S^2\) as claimed.

It remains to reduce the general case to the cases already considered. First of all, we may assume \((\xi||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle)^{Z_2}\) has positive dimension by adding to \(\xi\) a trivial bundle \(S^2 \times R\) with trivial \(Z_2\) action in the fiber, if necessary. Clearly this does not affect the orientability of \((\xi||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle)^{Z_2}\) or the stable triviality of \(\xi\).

Decompose \(\xi||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle = \xi_{1, -1} \oplus \xi_{1, +1}\) into eigenbundles for the eigenvalues \(\pm 1\), where \(r + s = k\). We have arranged that \(s > 1\). The first case considered was when \(r = 0\); so we may also assume \(r > 1\). The two eigenbundles can be destabilized to line bundles:

\[
\xi_{-1} \approx \varepsilon_{-1} \oplus \xi_{1, -1} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{+1} \approx \varepsilon_{+1} \oplus \xi_{1, +1}.
\]

Let \(\xi_0 = \xi_{1, -1} \oplus \xi_{1, +1}\) and \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{-1} \oplus \varepsilon_{+1}\). We claim that the equivariant destabilization \(\xi||S^1\rangle = \xi_0 \oplus \varepsilon^{k-2}\) extends to an equivariant destabilization of \(\xi\) over \(S^2\), \(\xi^2 \oplus \varepsilon\).

To accomplish this extension it suffices to show that an invariant trivial line bundle \(\mu \subseteq \xi||S^1\rangle\) extends to a (necessarily trivial) invariant line bundle \(\mu \subseteq \xi\) over \(S^2\). To do this, choose a nonvanishing section of \(\xi||S^1\rangle\) lying in \(\lambda\). This section extends to a nonvanishing section of \(\xi||D^2\rangle\), since the obstruction to such an extension lies in \(\pi_1(R^k - \{0\})\), which is 0 since \(k > 3\). This determines a line bundle \(\mu_+ \subseteq \xi||D^2\rangle\) extending \(\lambda\). Then \(\mu_- = T(\mu_+)\) is a line bundle over \(D^2\), which extends \(\lambda\) since \(T\) preserves \(\lambda\). Thus \(\mu_+ \cup \mu_-\) is the desired line bundle.

Finally \(\xi\) is stably trivial if and only if \(\xi^2\) is; and \((\xi||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle)^{Z_2}\) is orientable if and only if \((\xi^2||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle)^{Z_2}\) is. Reference to the second case considered completes the proof.

For subsequent use we note that Proposition 1 also holds, by the same proof, for orientable vector bundles over any closed surface on which \(Z_2\) acts by reflection through a separating simple closed curve.

An alternative proof of half of Proposition 1 assuming \(\xi\) is a trivial vector bundle over \(S^2\) might go as follows: Equivalence classes of \(Z_2\) vector bundle structures on \(S^2 \times R^k\) correspond bijectively with the set of homotopy classes of maps \([D^2, S^1]; O_k, O_k^Z]\), where \(Z_2\) acts on the orthogonal group \(O_k\) by inversion (see Bredon [3, VI.11.1]). The triviality of \((\xi||S^1\rangle\langle S^1\rangle)^{Z_2}\) in this case is the equivalent to saying \(\pi_2(O_k, O_k^Z) = 0\) for all choices of base point—i.e., that the above set of homotopy classes corresponds bijectively with the components of \(O_k^Z\), a collection of Grassmann manifolds.
In order to apply this we need a short discussion of spin structures (see Atiyah-Bott [1] and Milnor [7]). A spin structure on an oriented manifold $M$ is a (stable) reduction of its tangent bundle $\tau_M$ from the special orthogonal group $SO$ to its double covering $Spin$. The only obstruction to the existence of a spin structure is the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(M) \in H^2(M; Z_2)$. If $w_2(M) = 0$, the distinct spin structures are in one-to-one correspondence with $H^1(M; Z_2)$. Alternatively a spin structure on $M$ is an element $\omega \in H^1(FM; Z_2)$, where $FM$ is the tangent frame bundle of $M$, such that $\omega$ restricts nontrivially to the fiber $SO$. Then a diffeomorphism preserves $\omega$ if $(dT)^*(\omega) = \omega$.

More geometrically, a spin structure $\omega$ on $M$ may be viewed as a framing $\mathcal{F}$, or trivialization, of the restriction of the tangent bundle $t_M$ to a neighborhood of the 2-skeleton of some triangulation of $M$. And a diffeomorphism preserves $\omega$ if it preserves the framing $\mathcal{F}$.

In applications of Proposition 1 the following lemma will allow us to assume our manifold $M$ is 1-connected.

**Lemma 2.** Let $Z_2$ act smoothly, preserving orientation and a spin structure on an $n$-manifold $M^n$, $n > 4$, with fixed point set $F$. Then there is a cobordism $W^{n+1}$ from $M^n$ to a manifold $N^n$ on which $Z_2$ acts preserving a spin structure extending the spin structure on $M^n$ with the properties that

1. $\pi_1(N^n) = 0$ and
2. $\text{Fix}(Z_2, W) = F \times [0, 1]$.

**Proof.** Let $T: M^n \to M^n$ be the generator of the $Z_2$-action. Let $\{x_i\} \subset \pi_1(M^n)$ be a finite set of (normal) generators for $\pi_1(M^n)$. By general position, these elements $x_i$ can be represented by disjoint embedded circles $C_i \subset M^n$ such that $T(C_i) \cap C_j = \emptyset$ for all $i, j$.

As in Milnor [6, Theorems 2, 3], and, especially, Kervaire and Milnor [5, Lemma 6.2], there are unique framings $\mathcal{F}_i$ of the normal bundles $v(C_i, M^n)$ so that the given spin structure extends over the trace of surgery on $\{C_i\}$, using the $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$. Similarly there are unique framings $\mathcal{S}_i$ of $v(TC_i, M^n)$ such that the given spin structure extends over handles attached to $M \times I$ along these framed circles. But since $T$ preserves the given spin structure, it follows that $\mathcal{S}_i = T^*\mathcal{F}_i$. From this, it then follows that one can surger $\{C_i\} \cup \{TC_i\}$ simultaneously and equivariantly using framings $\{\mathcal{F}_i\} \cup \{T^*\mathcal{F}_i\}$, and that the trace of the surgery has the desired properties.

An instructive example is a $Z_2$ action on $S^1 \times S^3$ fixing a Klein bottle: simply double a regular neighborhood of a nontrivial circle in $RP^2 \subset CP^2$, invariant under complex conjugation. In this case the $Z_2$ action switches the two spin structures on $S^1 \times S^3$, Equivariant surgery to kill the fundamental group leads to $CP^2 \# - CP^2$, rather than $S^2 \times S^2$.

**Theorem 3.** If $Z_2$ acts smoothly on an $n$-manifold $M^n$, preserving orientation and a spin structure, then the fixed point set $F = M^{Z_2}$ is orientable.

**Proof.** We may assume that $M$ is connected and $Z_2$ acts nontrivially. Since the action preserves orientation, $M^{Z_2}$ has even positive codimension. Therefore we
need only consider the case when \( n > 4 \). By Lemma 2 we may also assume \( M \) is simply connected. Suppose \( F \) is nonorientable. Then there is an orientation-reversing loop \( f_0 : S^1 \to F \). Since \( \pi_1(M) = 0 \), \( f_0 \) extends to a map \( f_+ : D^2_+ \to M \). By equivariance \( f_+ \) extends to an equivariant map \( f : S^2 \to M \), where, as usual, \( S^2 \) acts on \( S^2 \) by reflection through \( S^1 \). Define \( \xi = f^*(\tau_M) \), where \( \tau_M \) is the tangent bundle of \( M \). Since \( f_0(S^1) \) is orientation-reversing, \( (\xi \mid S^1)^Z = f_0(\tau_M \mid F)^Z = f_0(\tau_F) \) is nontrivial. By Proposition 1, \( \xi \) is stably nontrivial. On the other hand \( w_2(\xi) = f^*w_2(\tau_M) = f^*(0) = 0 \), since \( M \) is a spin manifold, implying that \( \xi \) is stably trivial. This contradiction completes the proof.

The same line of proof applies to the following consequence of Proposition 1, which concerns involutions which do not necessarily preserve a spin structure.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( Z_2 \) act smoothly on a spin manifold \( M \) with fixed point set \( F \). Then the orientation class \( w_1(F) \) lies in the image of the restriction homomorphism \( H^1(M; Z_2) \to H^1(F; Z_2) \).

**Proof.** If \( w_1(F) \) is not in the image of the restriction homomorphism, then there is an orientation-reversing loop \( f_0 : S^1 \to F \) which bounds in \( M \) mod 2. So \( f_0 \) extends to a map \( f_+ : V^2_+ \to M \) for some possibly nonorientable surface \( V^2_+ \) with boundary \( S^1 \). This yields an equivariant map \( f : V^2 \to M \) where \( V^2 = V^2_+ \cup S^1 V^- \) and \( V^- \) is another copy of \( V^2_+ \), and \( Z_2 \) acts on \( V \) by reflection through \( S^1 \).

Now the proof proceeds just as that of Theorem 3, applying the version of Proposition 1 described at the conclusion of the proof of the proposition.

As an application of the preceding results we have the following theorem which was the original motivation of this work.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( Z_2 \) act smoothly on an orientable closed smooth spin 4-manifold \( M \) preserving orientation and a spin structure. Suppose \( H_2(M; Q) \otimes \overline{Z}^2 = 0 \). Then \( M \) has signature \( \sigma M = 0 \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 2 we may assume that \( M \) is simply connected and hence has a unique spin structure. By a result\(^2\) of Atiyah and Bott [1, 5.7] (see also Bredon [2, Theorem III]), the fixed point set \( F \), if nonempty, has constant dimension 0 or 2. Suppose first that \( F \) consists of isolated points. Then \( \chi(M) = 2\chi(M/Z_2) - \# F \). But \( H_4(M/Z_2; Q) \approx H_4(M; Q)^{Z_2} \approx H_4(S^4; Q) \), so \( 2 + \dim H_2(M; Q) = 4 - \# F \). Therefore \( \dim H_2(M; Q) < 2 \); and \( \sigma(M) = 0 \) since a spin 4-manifold of nonzero signature has \( \dim H_2(M; Q) \) at least 8 (in fact 16, by Rochlin’s Theorem).

Now consider the case when each component of \( F \) has dimension 2. Then the orbit space \( M/Z_2 \) is a manifold and the orbit map \( M \to M/Z_2 \) can be viewed as a smooth branched covering branched over the smooth surface \( F \subset M/Z_2 \). The surface \( F \) is orientable by Theorem 3. As before \( M/Z_2 \) is a rational homology sphere.

\(^2\)As Atiyah has pointed out in a private communication, the italicized statement on p. 487 of [1] preceding Proposition 5.7 should be modified in the nonsimply connected case to say that a fixed point free involution preserving a spin structure \( \omega \) on a manifold \( M \) has even type with respect to \( \omega \) if and only if \( \omega \) is induced from a spin structure on the orbit space. In particular the antipodal involution on \( S^4 \) has odd type with respect to the spin structure which extends over a disk and even type with respect to the other one.
The normal bundle $v(F, M/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is classified by its Euler class $e(v)$ in $H^2(F; \mathbb{Z})$, which is torsion free. But the Euler class must be the restriction of a class in $H^2(M/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z})$, which is finite. Therefore $e(v) = 0$ and $v(F, M/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is trivial. Since $v(F, M/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is trivial a specialization of the Atiyah-Bott-Singer fixed point theorem (cf. Hirzebruch [4, p. 255]), says that $\sigma(M) = 2\alpha(M/\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Hence $\sigma(M) = 0$.

Finally, if $F = \emptyset$, then, just as in the preceding case, $\sigma(M) = 2\alpha(M/\mathbb{Z}_2)$; and $\alpha(M/\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, since $H_2(M/\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Q}) \approx H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})^2 = 0$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 5 should be viewed as a nonrealizability statement about equivariant bilinear forms. For example, no complex hypersurface of even degree greater than 2 in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ admits an involution which acts on its middle homology by multiplication by $-1$. In particular no simply connected closed spin 4-manifold of nonzero signature can be a 2-fold branched covering of the 4-sphere.

REFERENCES


DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47405