

## THE DIMENSION OF PEAK-INTERPOLATION SETS

EDGAR LEE STOUT<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. The dimension of a peak-interpolation set in the boundary of a strongly pseudoconvex domain in  $C^N$  does not exceed  $N - 1$ .

Recall that a *peak set* for a domain  $D$  in  $C^N$  is a subset  $E$  of  $bD$  for which there is  $f \in A(D)$ , i.e., an  $f$  continuous on  $\bar{D}$ , holomorphic on  $D$ , with  $f = 1$  on  $E$  and  $|f| < 1$  on  $\bar{D} \setminus E$ . The set  $E$  is a *peak-interpolation set* for  $D$  if given a nonzero  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ , there is  $f \in A(D)$  with  $f = \varphi$  on  $E$ ,  $|f| < \sup\{|\varphi(x)| : x \in E\}$  on  $\bar{D} \setminus E$ . For strongly pseudoconvex domains, a peak set is a peak-interpolation set. The general theory of these sets is given in [12].

Very little is known about the structure of peak-interpolation sets for domains in  $C^N$ , but W. Rudin conjectured [11] that a peak-interpolation set for a strongly pseudoconvex domain in  $C^N$  has dimension not more than  $N - 1$ . In [5] it was shown that this dimension cannot exceed  $N$ . The present paper is devoted to a proof of Rudin's conjecture: The correct bound is  $N - 1$ . We obtain this result for a class of domains more extensive than the class of strongly pseudoconvex domains.

The idea of the proof, in  $C^2$ , is that, as shown by Frankl and Pontrjagin [6] (cf. [7 and 2]), a closed, 2-dimensional subset of  $R^3$  disconnects some open connected subset of  $R^3$ . (Curiously, this result seems not to have found a place in the modern texts on dimension theory.) In the case of domains in  $C^N$ , we use a suitable cohomological generalization of this fact given in [10].

We should note explicitly that we understand *dimension* in the topological sense; the example of Tumanov [14] shows that there is no such result for metric dimension. [ADDED IN PROOF. A more definitive example has been obtained by B. S. Henriksen. She has constructed a peak-interpolation set of Hausdorff dimension  $2N - 1$  in the boundary of a strongly pseudoconvex domain in  $C^N$ . See Math. Ann. 259 (1982), 271–277.]

To formulate the result we prove, let us recall that a point  $p$  in the boundary of a convex domain  $\Delta$  is said to be *rstrongly exposed* if there are neighborhoods of  $p$  in  $b\Delta$  of arbitrarily small diameter and of the form  $\{z \in bD : L(z) < 0\}$  where  $L$  is a real-valued, real affine functional on  $C^N$  with  $L(p) = 0$ . Equivalently, it is possible to cut off from  $\bar{\Delta}$  arbitrarily small neighborhoods of  $p$  in  $\bar{\Delta}$  with real hyperplanes. Each point in the boundary of the ball has this property as does each point in the distinguished boundary of the polydisc.

---

Received by the editors January 4, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32E25.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by Grant #MCS 810768.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Delta$  be a bounded open convex set in  $\mathbf{C}^N$ . If  $F \subset b\Delta$  is a peak set that consists entirely of strongly exposed points, then  $\dim F \leq N - 1$ .*

This result implies the corresponding result for smoothly bounded, strongly pseudoconvex domains, for the question is local, and near each point in the boundary of a smoothly bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain  $D$ ,  $bD$  is strictly convex with respect to some set of local holomorphic coordinates.

**PROOF OF THEOREM.** Notice first that the set  $F$  is necessarily polynomially convex. Fix a real hyperplane  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbf{C}^N$  that meets  $\Delta$ , and let  $F^+$  denote the intersection of  $F$  with one of the closed halfspaces determined by  $\Pi$ . The set  $S = (\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup F^+$  is easily seen to be polynomially convex: If  $S$  differs from its polynomially convex hull,  $\hat{S}$ , choose  $p \in \hat{S} \setminus S$ , and let  $\mu$  be a Jensen measure for  $p$  supported on  $S$ . (For Jensen measures, see [4 or 13].) As  $F$  is a peak set for  $A(\Delta)$ , there is  $g \in A(\Delta)$  that vanishes identically on  $F$  and that satisfies  $g(p) = 1$ . From

$$0 = \log|g(p)| \leq \mu(\log|g|)$$

we find that  $\mu$  is concentrated on  $\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}$  whence, by convexity,  $p \in \Pi \cap \bar{\Delta} \subset S$ , a contradiction.

For the sake of clarity, we treat the case  $N = 2$  of our theorem separately from the case of general  $N$ . This not necessary on logical grounds, but it may shed some light on the result.

Assume then that  $N = 2$  and  $\dim F = 2$ . By convexity,  $bD$  is topologically equivalent to the three-sphere  $S^3$ . According to the result of Frankl and Pontrjagin cited above, there is a connected open set  $U$  in  $bD$  such that  $U \setminus F$  is not connected. It follows, from the assumption that the points of  $E$  are strongly exposed, that for some  $p \in F$ , there is a real hyperplane  $\Pi$  that meets  $\Delta$  and that passes so near  $p$  that if  $W$  is the component of  $b\Delta \setminus \Pi$  containing  $p$ , then  $W \setminus F$  is not connected. Thus, the compact, polynomially convex set  $(\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup (W \cap F)$  disconnects the topological three-sphere  $(\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup W = \Sigma$ . The surface  $\Sigma$  is the boundary of a convex domain, viz., one of the components,  $\Delta^+$ , of  $\Delta \setminus \Pi$ . According to a result of Alexander [1], no polynomially convex subset of  $S^{2N-1} = bB_N$ ,  $B_N$  the unit ball in  $\mathbf{C}^N$ , disconnects  $S^{2N-1}$ . Alexander's proof applies verbatim when  $B_N$  is replaced by an arbitrary bounded, open convex domain. Applied to  $b\Delta^+ = (\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup W$  and the polynomially convex set  $(\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup (W \cap F)$ , we see that we have a contradiction. This establishes the case  $N = 2$  of our Theorem.

We now take up the case of general  $N$ . Thus, assume  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{C}^N$  and assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\dim F = N$ . According to Theorem 2 of [10, p. 7], there is a point  $p \in F$  that has a neighborhood  $U_0$  in  $b\Delta$  with the property that for every open  $V$  with  $p \in V \subset U_0$ , the natural map

$$\iota_{VU_0}: H_*^N(V \cap F) \rightarrow H_*^N(U_0 \cap F)$$

is nonzero. (All of our cohomology groups have coefficients in the integers, but we shall suppress the coefficient group from the notation. The star denotes cohomology with compact supports.)

Fix a real hyperplane  $\Pi$  passing through  $\Delta$  and missing  $p$ ,  $\Pi$  so close to  $p$  that the component  $W$  of  $b\Delta \setminus \Pi$  containing  $p$  is contained in  $U_0$ . The set  $F^\dagger = (F \cap \bar{W}) \cup (\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta})$  is polynomially convex, and so

$$H^k(F^\dagger) = 0, \quad k = N, N + 1, \dots$$

(This is essentially due to Andreotti and Narasimhan [3]; for the rather formal deduction of the vanishing of these groups from what is written in [3], see [5].) The set  $(\Pi \cap \bar{\Delta}) \cup W = \Sigma$  is homeomorphic to the sphere  $S^{2N-1}$ ; it is a convex surface. From the exact cohomology sequence [8, p. 190]

$$\dots \rightarrow H^k(\Sigma) \rightarrow H^k(F^\dagger) \rightarrow H_*^{k+1}(\Sigma \setminus F^\dagger) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \dots$$

and the fact that  $H^r(\Sigma) = 0$  for  $0 < r < 2N - 1$ , we find

$$H_*^{k+1}(\Sigma \setminus F^\dagger) \simeq H^k(F^\dagger)$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq 2n - 2$ , and this yields

$$(1) \quad H_*^{k+1}(\Sigma \setminus F^\dagger) = 0, \quad k = N, N + 1, \dots, 2N - 2.$$

On the other hand, we have, by the choice of  $W$ , that

$$H_*^N(W \cap F^\dagger) = H_*^N(W \cap F) \neq 0.$$

Consider the exact cohomology sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_*^N(W) \rightarrow H_*^N(W \cap F^\dagger) \rightarrow H_*^{N+1}(W \setminus F^\dagger) \rightarrow H_*^{N+1}(W) \rightarrow \dots$$

As  $W$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}^{2N-1}$ , we have  $H_*^N(W) = 0$  whence the nonzero group  $H_*^N(W \cap F^\dagger)$  injects into the group  $H_*^{N+1}(W \setminus F^\dagger)$ . Since the sets  $\Sigma \setminus F^\dagger$  and  $W \setminus F^\dagger$  coincide, we have reached a contradiction to (1). Thus,  $\dim F < N$ .

Notice that the proof just given does not require that the set  $F$  be a peak-interpolation set; it need only be a peak set. In the case of strongly pseudoconvex domains the two notions coincide, but it is not known that they do in the geometric setting of the theorem.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $\Delta$  is a bounded, convex domain in  $\mathbf{C}^N$ , and if  $f$  is a nonconstant element of  $A(\Delta)$  bounded by one in modulus, then the set  $M = \{z \in b\Delta: |f(z)| = 1\}$  has dimension no more than  $N$  if it consists entirely of strongly exposed points.*

**PROOF.** By hypothesis,  $f$  maps  $\bar{\Delta}$  to the closed unit disc  $\bar{U}$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $M = f^{-1}(bU)$ . According to the Theorem,  $\dim F^{-1}(z) \leq N - 1$  for all  $z \in bU$ , and so, as  $\dim bU = 1$ ,  $\dim M \leq N$  follows from [9, Theorem V1.7].

For strongly pseudoconvex domains, this result was given in [5].

REFERENCES

1. H. Alexander, *A note on polynomial hulls*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 389–391.
2. P. Alexandroff, *Dimensionstheorie. Ein Beitrag zur Geometrie der abgeschlossenen Mengen*, Math. Ann. **106** (1932), 161–238.
3. A. Andreotti and R. Narasimhan, *A topological property of Runge pairs*, Ann. of Math. (2) **76** (1962), 499–509.

4. E. Bishop, *Holomorphic completions, analytic continuation, and the interpolation of seminorms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 468–500.
5. T. Duchamp and E. L. Stout, *Maximum modulus sets*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **31** (1981), 37–69.
6. F. Frankl and L. Pontrjagin, *Ein Knotensatz mit Anwendung auf die Dimensionstheorie*, Math. Ann. **102** (1930), 785–789.
7. F. Frankl, *Charakterisierung der  $n - 1$ -dimensionalen abgeschlossen Mengen der  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Math. Ann. **103** (1930), 784–787.
8. R. Godement, *Théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1964.
9. H. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1948.
10. V. I. Kuz'minov, *Homological dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **23** (5) (1968), 1–45.
11. W. Rudin, *Peak-interpolation sets of class  $C^1$* , Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), 267–279.
12. \_\_\_\_\_, *Function theory in the unit ball of  $\mathbf{C}^N$* , Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 1980.
13. E. L. Stout, *The theory of uniform algebras*, Boyden and Quigley, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, N. Y., 1971.
14. A. E. Tumanov, *A peak set for the disc algebra of metric dimension 2.5 in the three-dimensional unit sphere*, Math. USSR Izv. **11** (1977), 353–359.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195