

**GENERIC EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION  
FOR A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION  
IN A SCALE OF BANACH SPACES**

TOMÁS DOMÍNGUEZ BENAVIDES

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\{X_s : \alpha \leq s \leq \beta\}$  be a scale of Banach spaces,  $J$  a real interval,  $U$  an open subset of  $J \times X_s$  for some  $s$ . In this paper we prove that the existence of solutions for

$$x' = A(t)x + f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

is a generic property, when  $A(t)$  is an operator satisfying

$$|A(t)|_{L(X_{s'}, X_s)} \leq M(s' - s)^{-1} \quad (M > 0 \text{ independent of } s, s', t)$$

in the scale  $\{X_s\}$  and  $f: J \times U \rightarrow X_\beta$  is continuous.

**1. Introduction.** A property is said to be generic in a Baire space  $E$  if it holds in a residual subset of  $E$ . Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space,  $\mathbf{R}$  the set of real numbers and  $V$  an open subset of  $\mathbf{R} \times X$ . Denote by  $C(V; X)$  the set of all continuous mappings from  $V$  into  $X$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. Lasota and Yorke [7] proved that the existence of solutions for the differential equation

$$(I) \quad x' = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

is a generic property in  $C(V; X)$ . The generic existence of solutions is also studied in [12, 1, 3] for ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, and in [2, 4, 10] for integral and functional equations.

In this paper we study the corresponding problem for differential equations in a scale of Banach spaces. These equations were introduced by Ovcyannikov [8] who proved the existence of solutions for the linear differential equation

$$x' = A(t)x, \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

where  $A(t)$  is a linear operator satisfying condition (1) (see §2) in a scale  $\{X_s : \alpha \leq s \leq \beta\}$  of Banach spaces. Treves [11] considered the equation

$$(II) \quad x' = A(t)x + g(t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

where  $g: J \rightarrow X_\beta$  is a continuous mapping on a real interval  $J$ , and he applied this equation to solve a Cauchy-Kowaleska problem. Deimling [5, p. 26] proved the existence of solutions for the more general equation

$$(III) \quad x' = A(t)x + f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

---

Received by the editors January 19, 1981 and, in revised form, November 2, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34G20; Secondary 54C50.

*Key words and phrases.* Differential equation, scale of Banach spaces, Baire category, residual subset, generic property.

where  $f: J \times D \rightarrow X_\beta$  is a bounded, uniformly continuous mapping,  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz with respect to the second variable, and  $D$  denotes a closed ball in  $X_s$  for some fixed  $s$  in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $J \times X_s$  and denote by  $C(U; X_\beta)$  the set of all continuous mappings from  $U$  in  $X_\beta$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. In this paper (Theorem 8) we prove that problem (III) has a unique solution for every function  $f$  in a residual subset of the Baire space  $C(U; X_\beta)$ . In order to better clarify the significance of this theorem, notice that the subset of  $C(U; X_\beta)$  formed by all locally  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz mappings is of Baire first category [7, Theorem 2] and that for the easier problem (I) there exists a dense subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $C(V; X)$  such that (I) has no solution for every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  [9].

**2. Minimal interval of existence of solution and continuous dependence.** In order to prove the generic existence of solutions we need to know some fundamental properties of the solutions of (III). In the following we will assume that  $\{x_s: \alpha \leq s \leq \beta\}$  is a scale of Banach spaces such that  $X_{s'} \subset X_s$  and  $|x|_s \leq |x|_{s'}$  for  $s < s'$  and  $x \in X_{s'}$ , where  $|x|_s$  denotes norm in  $X_s$ . For some fixed positive number  $a$ , we will denote by  $J$  the interval  $(t_0 - a, t_0 + a)$ ,  $t_0$  being an arbitrary real number. If  $X$  is a metric space,  $x$  is in  $X$ , and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , we denote by  $d(x, A)$  the number  $\inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}$  and by  $B(x, r)$  ( $\bar{B}(x, r)$ ) the open (closed) ball centered at  $x$  with radius  $r$ . Finally if  $X, Y$  are metric spaces and  $Y$  is complete,  $C(X; Y)$  will denote the complete metric space of all continuous mappings from  $X$  into  $Y$  with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \sup\{\min\{1, d(f(x), g(x))\} : x \in X\}.$$

LEMMA 1 [5, THEOREM 1.2]. *Let  $\{X_s: \alpha \leq s \leq \beta\}$  be a scale of Banach spaces,  $g: J \rightarrow X_\beta$  continuous.  $x_0 \in X_\beta$ ,  $A: J \rightarrow L(X_{s'}; X_s)$  a continuous mapping for every pair  $(s', s)$ ,  $\alpha \leq s < s' \leq \beta$ , satisfying*

$$(1) \quad |A(t)|_{L(X_{s'}, X_s)} \leq M(s' - s)^{-1} \quad (M > 0 \text{ independent of } s, s', t).$$

*Then for every  $s \in [\alpha, \beta]$  problem (II) has a unique solution  $\phi: (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \rightarrow X_s$  where  $\delta = \min\{a, (\beta - s)/Me\}$  and*

$$(2) \quad |\phi(t) - x_0|_s \leq \left( |x_0|_\beta + (\beta - s)M^{-1} \max_{[t_0, t] \text{ or } [t, t_0]} |g(\tau)|_\beta \right) \times Me|t - t_0|(\beta - s - Me|t - t_0|)^{-1}.$$

Let  $A(t)$  be as in Lemma 1,  $\Omega$  an open subset of  $X_s$ ,  $x_0$  a point in  $\Omega \cap X_\beta$ ,  $f: J \times \Omega \rightarrow X_\beta$  continuous and  $\phi$  a solution of (III) defined on an interval  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  contained in  $J$ . We recall [7] that  $\phi$  is said to be unlimited if there does not exist  $\lim(t, \phi(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow \tau_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) in  $J \times \Omega$ .

THEOREM 2. *Let  $A(t)$ ,  $x_0$  be as in Lemma 1,  $\Omega$  an open subset of  $X_s$  which contains  $x_0$ ,  $f: J \times \Omega \rightarrow X_\beta$  continuous and bounded, and  $c$  a bound for  $f$ .*

(a) *If  $\phi$  is a solution of (III) defined on  $l = [t_0 - b, t_0 + b]$  for some  $b < \min\{a, (\beta - s)/Me\}$ , then  $\phi(t)$  is in  $X_{s'}$  for every  $t$  in  $l$  and  $s' \in [s, \beta - Meb]$ . Furthermore one has*

$$(3) \quad |\phi(t) - x_0|_{s'} \leq N(s', b, x_0) = (|x_0|_\beta + c(\beta - s)M^{-1})Meb(\beta - s' - Meb)^{-1},$$

*and for every  $\epsilon \in \beta - s' - Meb$  one has*

$$(4) \quad |\phi(t) - \phi(\bar{t})|_{s'} \leq P(s', \epsilon, b, x_0)|t - \bar{t}| \quad (t, \bar{t} \in l),$$

where  $P(s', \epsilon, b, x_0) = (|x_0|_\beta + N(s' + \epsilon, b, x_0))(M\epsilon^{-1} + c)$ .

(b) If  $\psi$  is an unlimited solution of (III), then  $\psi$  is defined at least on the interval  $(t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ ,  $\delta = \min\{a, (\beta - s)/Mhe\}$  where

$$h = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = d(x_0, \Omega^c) = +\infty, \\ (|x_0|_\beta + cM^{-1}(\beta - s) + d)d^{-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. (a) Define  $g(t) = f(t, \phi(t))$ ,  $t \in l$ . From Lemma 1 we know that for every  $s' \in [s, \beta - M\epsilon b]$  problem (II) has a unique solution  $\bar{\phi}: (t_0 - \delta', t_0 + \delta') \rightarrow X_{s'}$  where  $\delta' = \min\{a, (\beta - s')/Me\} > b$ . Since  $\phi$  and  $\bar{\phi}$  are solutions of (II) in  $X_s$  we derive from Lemma 1 that  $\phi(t) = \bar{\phi}(t)$  for every  $t$  in  $(t_0 - \delta', t_0 + \delta')$ . Thus  $\phi(t)$  belongs to  $X_{s'}$  for every  $s' \in [s, \beta - M\epsilon b]$ . Inequality (3) follows quite immediately from (2). Condition (1) for  $(s', s' + \epsilon)$ , applied to the integral equation for (III), implies (4).

(b) Assume  $\psi$  is defined on  $(\tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $\tau = \min\{|t_0 - \tau_1|, |t_0 - \tau_2|\} < \delta$ . Since  $\psi$  is defined on  $(t_0 - \tau, t_0 + \tau)$  we obtain from (4)

$$|\psi(t) - \psi(\bar{t})|_s \leq P(s + \epsilon, \epsilon, \tau, x_0)|t - \bar{t}| \quad (t, \bar{t} \in (t_0 - \tau, t_0 + \tau))$$

for some  $\epsilon < \beta - s - M\epsilon\tau$ , i.e.  $\psi$  satisfies a Cauchy condition. Hence there exists  $\lim \psi(t_0 + t) = \rho$  as  $t \rightarrow \tau$  or  $t \rightarrow -\tau$ , and we claim that  $\rho$  is in  $\Omega$ . Indeed, from (3) we derive  $|\rho - x_0|_s \leq N(s, \tau, x_0)$ . Using  $\beta - s \geq h\delta Me > h\tau Me$  and the definition of  $h$  for  $d < +\infty$  we have

$$|\rho - x_0|_s < (|x_0|_\beta + cM^{-1}(\beta - s))(h - 1)^{-1} = d.$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $A(t)$ ,  $x_0$  be as in Lemma 1 and assume the following hold:

- (i)  $D = \bar{B}(x_0, R)$  is a closed ball in  $X_s$  for some fixed  $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$ .
- (ii)  $f_0: J \times D \rightarrow X_\beta$  is continuous, bounded and Lipschitz-continuous with respect to the second variable with modulus  $L$ .
- (iii)  $\{(t_n, x_n)\}$  is a sequence which converges to  $(t_0, x_0)$  in  $J \times X_\beta$ .
- (iv)  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence which converges to  $f_0$  in  $C(J \times D; X_\beta)$ .
- (v) For every nonnegative integer  $n$ ,  $\phi_n$  is an unlimited solution of

$$(IV) \quad x' = A(t)x + f_n(t, x), \quad x(t_n) = x_n.$$

Let  $c$  be any real number greater than  $\sup\{|f(t, x)|_\beta: (t, x) \in J \times D\}$  and set  $h = 2(|x_0|_\beta + R + c(\beta - s)M^{-1})R^{-1}$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for every  $\gamma$ ,  $0 < \gamma \leq \min\{a/4, (\beta - s)/4hMe, (4Le)^{-1}\}$  and for every  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\phi_n$  is defined from  $l = [t_0 - \gamma, t_0 + \gamma]$  into  $X_{s'}$  and  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi_0$  in  $C(l; X_{s'})$  for every  $s', s \leq s' < \beta - 3M\epsilon\gamma$ .

PROOF. Assume  $n$  large enough so that  $|f_n(t, x)|_\beta \leq c$  and  $|x_n - x_0|_\beta < R/2$ . Since  $|x_n|_\beta < |x_0|_\beta + 2^{-1}R$  and  $d(x_n, D^c) > 2^{-1}R$  we obtain from Theorem 2(b) that  $\phi_n$  is defined on  $J \cap (t_n - 4\gamma, t_n + 4\gamma)$ . Hence for  $n$  large enough,  $\phi_n$  is defined on  $l$ . Set  $\gamma' = \gamma + |t_n - t_0|$ . Since  $l$  is contained in  $[t_n - \gamma', t_n + \gamma']$  we deduce from Theorem 2(a) that  $\phi_n(t)$  belongs to  $X_{s'}$  ( $t \in l$ ) for every  $s' < \beta - M\epsilon\gamma'$ . Thus for  $n$  large enough so that  $|t_n - t_0| < \gamma$ , say  $n \geq n_0$ , we have that  $\phi_n(t)$  belongs to  $X_{s'}$  ( $t \in l, n \geq n_0$ ) for every  $s' < \beta - 2M\epsilon\gamma$ . Denote by  $D_{s'}$  the closed set  $D \cap X_{s'}$  in  $X_{s'}$  and define for every  $u$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$  a mapping  $T_n u$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ) by:  $T_n u$  is the unique solution on  $l$  of

$$(V) \quad x' = A(t)x + f_n(t, u(t)), \quad x(t_n) = x_n.$$

Notice that Lemma 1 assures the existence and uniqueness of  $T_n u$ . Furthermore by Theorem 2(a),  $T_n u(t)$  belongs to  $X_{s'}$  for every  $t \in l$  and  $s' < \beta - 2Me\gamma < \beta - Me\gamma$ .

CLAIM 1.  $T_n u$  belongs to  $C(l; D_{s'})$  for every  $u$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$ ,  $s \leq s' < \beta - 2Me\gamma$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ .

Choose  $\epsilon < \beta - s' - 2Me\gamma < \beta - s' - Me\gamma$ . From (4) we have

$$|T_n u(t) - T_n u(\bar{t})|_{s'} \leq P(s', \epsilon, \gamma', x_n) |t - \bar{t}|.$$

Thus  $T_n u$  is a continuous mapping. Hence it suffices to prove that  $T_n u(t)$  is in  $D$  for every  $t \in l$ . From (3) we have

$$|T_n u(t) - x_n|_s \leq N(s, \gamma', x_n) < N(s, 2\gamma, x_n).$$

Using  $|x_n|_\beta < |x_0|_\beta + R/2$ ,  $\beta - s \geq 2hMe\gamma$ , the definition of  $h$ , and following an argument as in the final part of Theorem 2 we derive  $N(s, 2\gamma, x_n) < R/2$ . Hence  $|T_n u(t) - x_0|_\beta < R$ .

CLAIM 2. For  $u$  in  $C(l; D)$  denote  $\|u\| = \sup\{|u(t)|_s : t \in l\}$ . Then for every  $s'$ ,  $s \leq s' < \beta - 2Me\gamma$ ,  $u, v$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$  and  $t \in l$  we have  $|T_0 u(t) - T_0 v(t)|_{s'} \leq 2^{-1} \|u - v\|$ .

Since  $T_0 u - T_0 v$  is a solution of the equation

$$x' = A(t)x + f_0(t, u(t)) - f_0(t, v(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

we obtain from (2)

$$|T_0 u(t) - T_0 v(t)|_{s'} \leq (\beta - s') M^{-1} L \max_{\tau \in l} |u(\tau) - v(\tau)|_s Me\gamma (\beta - s' - Me\gamma)^{-1}$$

that is less than  $2^{-1} \|u - v\|$ . Here we use  $-Me\gamma \geq -(\beta - s')/2$  and the definition of  $\gamma$ .

CLAIM 3. For every  $s, s \leq s' < \beta - 3Me\gamma$  the sequence  $\{T_n\}$  converges to  $T_0$  uniformly on  $C(l; D_{s'})$ .

Let  $s'$  satisfy  $s \leq s' < \beta - 3Me\gamma$  and choose  $s'' < \beta - 2Me\gamma$  such that  $s'' - s' > Me\gamma$ . Since for every  $u$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$ ,  $T_n u - T_0 u$  is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= A(t)x + f_n(t, u(t)) - f_0(t, u(t)); \\ x(t_0) &= y_n = x_n - x_0 + \int_{t_n}^{t_0} [A(\tau)T_n u(\tau) + f_n(\tau, u(\tau))] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

in the scale  $\{X_r : \alpha \leq r \leq s''\}$  (notice that  $y_n$  belongs to  $X_{s''}$ ) we obtain from (2),

$$\begin{aligned} &|T_n u(t) - T_0 u(t)|_{s'} \\ &\leq |y_n|_{s'} + \left( |y_n|_{s''} + M^{-1}(s'' - s') \max_{t \in l} |f_0(t, u(t)) - f_n(t, u(t))|_{s''} \right) \\ &\quad \times Me\gamma (s'' - s' - Me\gamma)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f_0$  uniformly, it suffices to prove that  $|y_n|_{s''} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $u$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$ . From (3) there exists a constant  $N$  such that  $|T_n u(t)|_{s'' + \epsilon} < N$  for some small  $\epsilon > 0$  and for every  $u$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$ ,  $t \in l$ . Hence condition (1) applied to  $(s'', s'' + \epsilon)$  implies

$$|y_n|_{s''} \leq |x_n - x_0|_{s''} + |t_n - t_0| (MN\epsilon^{-1} + c)$$

and the right-hand side of this inequality converges to 0 and is independent of  $u$ .

To complete the proof of the lemma, fix  $s'$ ,  $s \leq s' < \beta - 3Me\gamma$ . Let  $\eta$  be an arbitrary positive number and  $n_0$  large enough so that  $|T_n u(t) - T_0 u(t)|_{s'} < \eta/2$  for

every  $n \geq n_0, t \in l$  and  $u \in C(l; D_{s'})$ . Since  $\phi_n$  is a fixed point of  $T_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in l} |\phi_n(t) - \phi_0(t)|_{s'} &= \sup_{t \in l} |T_n \phi_n(t) - T_0 \phi_0(t)|_{s'} \leq 2^{-1} \|\phi_n - \phi_0\| + \eta/2 \\ &\leq 2^{-1} \sup_{t \in l} |\phi_n(t) - \phi_0(t)|_{s'} + \eta/2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi_0$  in  $C(l; D_{s'})$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $A(t)$  be as in Lemma 1, and assume the following hold:*

- (i)  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $X_s$  for some fixed  $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$ .
- (ii)  $f_0: J \times \Omega \rightarrow X_\beta$  is locally Lipschitz-continuous and bounded.
- (iii)  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence which converges to  $f_0$  in  $C(J \times \Omega, X_\beta)$ .
- (iv)  $\{(t_n, x_n)\}$  is a sequence which converges to  $(t_0, x_0)$  in  $J \times X_\beta$ .
- (v) For any nonnegative integer  $n$ ,  $\phi_n$  is an unlimited solution of (IV).

Then, for every real number  $b < \delta$  where  $\delta = \min\{a, (\beta - s)/Mh\}$ ,  $h$  defined as in Theorem 2, one has: There exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\phi_n$  is defined on  $l = [t_0 - b, t_0 + b]$  and  $\{\phi_n\}$  converges to  $\phi_0$  in  $C(l; X_{s'})$  for every  $s', s \leq s' < \beta - Meb$ .

**PROOF.** From Theorem 2(b),  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_n$  are defined on  $l$  for  $n$  large enough. Using Theorem 2(a) it is easy to prove that  $\phi_0(t)$  and  $\phi_n(t)$  belong to  $X_{s'}$  for every  $t \in l, s' \in [s, \beta - Meb]$  and  $n$  large enough, say  $n \geq n_0$ . Let  $s_1, s_2$  be real numbers,  $s \leq s_1 < s_2 < \beta - Meb$ . It is easy to prove from (4) that there exist a constant  $P$ , independent of  $n$ , such that

$$(5) \quad |\phi_n(t) - \phi_n(\bar{t})|_{s_2} \leq P|t - \bar{t}|, \quad t, \bar{t} \in l, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Define the set  $S = \{t \leq b: \{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi_0 \text{ in } C([t_0 - t, t_0 + t]; X_{s'}) \text{ for some } s', s_1 < s' \leq s_2\}$ . Since zero is in  $S$  we have  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Furthermore, the equicontinuity condition (5) implies that  $S$  is a closed set. Set  $\sigma = \sup S$ . Then  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi_0$  in  $C([t_0 - \sigma, t_0 + \sigma]; X_{s'})$  for some  $s', s_1 < s' \leq s_2$ . We claim that  $\sigma = b$ . Otherwise set  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap X_{s_1}$  and notice that  $f_0: J \times \Omega_1 \rightarrow X_\beta$  satisfied the hypotheses of Lemma 3 in a neighborhood of  $(t_0 + \sigma, \phi_0(t_0 + \sigma))$  for the sequences  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f_0$  in  $C(J \times \Omega_1; X_\beta)$  and  $\{(t_0 + \sigma, \phi_n(t_0 + \sigma))\}$  converging to  $(t_0 + \sigma, \phi_0(t_0 + \sigma))$  in  $X_{s'}$ . Therefore we can apply Lemma 3 (where  $s$  is replaced by  $s_1$ , and  $\beta$  by  $s'$ ) to prove that  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi_0$  in  $C([t_0 + \sigma - \gamma, t_0 + \sigma + \gamma]; X_{s''})$  for some  $\gamma > 0$  and  $s'', s_1 < s'' < s'$ . Since the same argument holds for the point  $(t_0 - \sigma, \phi_0(t_0 - \sigma))$  we get a contradiction. Thus  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi_0$  in  $C(l; X_{s'})$  for some  $s' > s_1$  and "a fortiori" in  $C(l; X_{s_1})$ .

**3. Generic existence of solutions.** To prove the generic existence of solutions of (3) we follow the pattern developed in [7] for ordinary differential equations. In the following  $U$  will denote a subset of  $\mathbf{R} \times X_s$  for some fixed  $s \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $u_0 = (t_0, x_0)$  a point in  $U$  ( $x_0 \in X_\beta$ ) and  $X$  the Baire space  $C(U; X_\beta)$ . If  $u = (t, x)$  and  $\bar{u} = (\bar{t}, \bar{x})$  are in  $U$   $d(u, \bar{u})$  will mean  $\max\{|x - \bar{x}|_s, |t - \bar{t}|\}$ . For some number  $k, 0 < k < 1$ , choose a number  $\bar{s}$  in  $(s, ks + (1 - k)\beta)$ . Let  $f$  be a mapping in  $X, c'_f = |f(u_0)|_\beta + 1, U_f = \text{int}\{(t, x) \in U: |f(t, x)|_\beta \leq c'_f\}, c_f = c'_f + 1, D_f = d(u_0, U_f)$  and  $\delta_f = \min\{D_f, (\beta - s)/Meh_f\}$  where

$$h_f = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D_f = +\infty, \\ (|x_0|_\beta + c_f M^{-1}(\beta - s) + D_f)D_f^{-1} & \text{if } D_f < +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $K_f$  the interval  $(t_0 - \delta_f, t_0 + \delta_f)$  and by  $J_f$  the interval  $[t_0 - k\delta_f, t_0 + k\delta_f]$ . Notice that  $\bar{s}$  is in  $(s, \beta - Mek\delta_f)$  for every  $f$  in  $X$ .

Let  $f_1, f_2$  be mappings in  $X$ ;  $u_i = (t_i, x_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) points in  $J \times X_\beta$ . If  $\phi_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are solutions in  $X_{\bar{s}}$  of

$$(VI) \quad x' = A(t)x + f_i(t, x), \quad x(t_i) = x_i,$$

defined on  $J_f$  we denote

$$\begin{aligned} d_f(\phi_1, \phi_2) &= \sup\{|\phi_1(t) - \phi_2(t)|_{\bar{s}} : t \in J_f\}, \\ \mu(f, \delta) &= \sup\{d_f(\phi_1, \phi_2) : f_1 \in B(f, \delta); u_i \in B(u_0, \delta), \\ &\quad \phi_i \text{ unlimited solutions of (VI) defined on } J_f\}, \\ V(f) &= \limsup \mu(f, \delta) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. Let  $f$  be a mapping in  $X$  such that  $V(f) = 0$ . Then

- (i) there exists a solution  $\phi: J_f \rightarrow X_s$  of (III);
- (ii) if  $\bar{\phi}$  is another solution of (III) defined on  $J_f$ , then  $\phi(t) = \bar{\phi}(t)$  for every  $t$  in  $J_f$ ;
- (iii) the solution  $\phi$  depends continuously on  $f$  and  $u$ ; i.e. if  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  in  $X$ ,  $\{u_n\} \rightarrow u_0$  in  $J \times X_\beta$  and  $\phi_n$  is an unlimited solution of (IV), then there exist a positive integer  $n_0$ , such that  $\phi_n$  is defined on  $J_f$  if  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi$  in  $C(J_f; X_s)$ .

PROOF. From [7, Lemma 1] we can choose a sequence of locally Lipschitz mappings  $\{f_n\}$  which converges to  $f$  in  $X$  assuming without loss of generality  $|f_n(t, x)|_\beta < c_f$  on  $U_f$ . From Theorem 2 the unique unlimited solution  $\psi_n$  of (IV) (whose existence is given by [5, Theorem 2.4] is defined from  $J_f$  into  $X_{s'}$ ,  $s' \in [s, \beta - Mek\delta_f]$  for  $n$  large enough. Since  $V(f) = 0$  we derive that  $\{\psi_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C(J_f; X_{\bar{s}})$  and thus  $\{\psi_n\}$  converges in  $C(J_f; X_{\bar{s}})$  to a mapping  $\phi$ . Using the integral equation for (IV) we obtain that  $\phi$  is a solution of (III) in  $X_s$ . (Recall that  $A(t)$  is a continuous operator from  $X_{\bar{s}}$  into  $X_s$ .) Since  $V(f) = 0$  it is easy to prove (ii). In order to prove (iii) notice that  $\phi_n$  is defined on  $J_f$  for large  $n$ . Then  $V(f) = 0$  implies that  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi$  in  $C(J_f; X_{\bar{s}})$  and "a fortiori" in  $C(J_f; X_s)$ .

The next lemma follows immediately from Theorem 4.

LEMMA 6. Let  $f$  be a locally Lipschitz mapping in  $X$ . Then  $V(f) = 0$ .

LEMMA 7.  $V: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous on the set  $V^{-1}(\{0\})$ .

PROOF. Assume  $V(f) = 0$ . If  $V$  is not continuous at  $f$ , there exists a positive number  $\eta$  and a sequence  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  such that  $V(f_n) > \eta$ . For each  $n$  there exists a sequence of positive numbers  $\delta_{mn} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\delta_{mn} < 1/m$ , such that  $\mu(f_n, \delta_{mn}) > \eta/2$ . Hence there are sequences  $\{\phi_{imn}\}, \{f_{imn}\}, \{u_{imn}\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that  $\phi_{imn}$  is an unlimited solution of  $x' = A(t)x + f_{imn}(t, x)$ ,  $x(t_{imn}) = x_{imn}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined on  $J_{f_n}$ ,  $f_{imn}$  is in  $B(f_n, \delta_{mn})$ ,  $u_{imn}$  is in  $B(u_n, \delta_{mn})$  and  $d_{f_n}(\phi_{1mn}, \phi_{2mn}) > \eta/2$ . Since  $\delta_{mn} < 1/m$  the diagonal sequences  $\{f_{inn}\}, \{u_{inn}\}$  converge to  $f$  and  $u_0$  respectively, and  $d_{f_n}(\phi_{1nn}, \phi_{2nn}) > \eta/2$ . We will now prove that  $d_f(\phi_{1nn}, \phi_{2nn}) > \eta/4$ , which is a contradiction because  $V(f) = 0$ . Since the unlimited solutions  $\phi_{inn}$  are defined on  $J_f$  for  $n$  large enough, it suffices to prove

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sup\{|\phi_{1nn}(t) - \phi_{2nn}(t)|_{\bar{s}} : t \in J_{f_n} - J_f\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\phi_{1nn}(t) - \phi_{2nn}(t)|_{\bar{s}} : t \in J_f\} + \eta/4. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (6) we let  $\theta$  be any positive number. If  $D_f = d(u_0, U^c)$  it is clear that  $D_{f_n} \leq D_f$  for every  $n$  and therefore  $D_{f_n} < D_f + \theta$ . If  $D_f < d(u_0, U^c)$  there exists a

point  $u_1$  in  $U$  such that  $d(u_0, u_1) < D_f + \theta/2$  and  $u_1$  is in  $U_f^c$ . Hence there exists  $\bar{u}$  in the ball  $B(u_1, \theta/2)$  such that  $|f(\bar{u})|_\beta > |f(u_0)|_\beta + 1$ . Since  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  we can find  $n_0$  large enough so that  $|f_n(\bar{u})|_\beta > |f_n(u_0)|_\beta + 1$  for  $n \geq n_0$ , which implies  $D_{f_n} \leq d(u_0, \bar{u}) < D_f + \theta$ . Therefore for every  $\epsilon > 0$  we have  $\delta_{f_n} < \delta_f + \epsilon$  for  $n$  large enough. From (4) it is easy to prove that there exists a constant  $P$  independent of  $n$ , such that

$$(7) \quad |\phi_{inn}(t) - \phi_{inn}(\bar{t})|_{\bar{s}} \leq P|t - \bar{t}| \quad (t, \bar{t} \in J_{f_n}).$$

Assume  $n$  is large enough so that  $\delta_{f_n} - \delta_f < \eta(8P)^{-1}$ . Since for every  $\bar{t}$  in  $J_{f_n} - J_f$  there exists  $t \in J_f$  such that  $|\bar{t} - t| < \eta(8P)^{-1}$ , it is easy to prove (6) from (7).

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the subset of  $X$  formed by all mappings which satisfy (A) problem (3) has a unique solution  $\phi$  that is defined at least on  $K_f$ ;*  
 (B) *if  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  in  $X$ ,  $\{u_n\} \rightarrow u_0$  in  $J \times X_\beta$  and (4) has an unlimited solution  $\phi_n$  then for every compact set  $K \subset K_f$  there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $\phi_n$  is defined on  $K$  for  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\{\phi_n\} \rightarrow \phi$  in  $C(K; X_s)$ .*  
 Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a residual subset of  $X$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $n$  be any positive integer. From Lemma 7 we see that  $V^{-1}([0, 1/n])$  contains an open set  $G_n$  which contains  $V^{-1}(\{0\})$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{A}_k = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty G_n$  (recall that  $V$  depends on a constant  $k$ ,  $0 < k < 1$ ) is a dense  $G_\delta$  in  $X$ , because locally Lipschitz mappings are dense in  $X$  [7, Lemma 2]. Furthermore, if  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_k$  we have  $V(f) = 0$ . Consequently, by Lemma 5  $f$  satisfies (A) and (B) when  $K_f$  is replaced by  $J_f$ .

Consider a sequence  $\{k_n\} \rightarrow 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{A}_{k_n}$  is also a dense  $G_\delta$  and every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (A) and (B) on  $K_f$ .

Generic existence also can be studied in the set  $U \times X$ . In this case we define for every pair  $(u, f) \in U \times X$  the function  $W: U \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $W(u, f) = V(f)$  for the initial point  $u$ . The arguments in Lemmas 5-7 apply equally well and we can state

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the subset of  $U \times X$  formed by all pairs  $(u, f)$  which satisfy (A) and (B) for the mapping  $f$  and the initial condition  $u$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is a residual subset of  $U \times X$ .*

When  $U$  is separable we can apply a result of Kuratowski-Ulam [6] and state

**THEOREM 10.** *There exists a residual subset  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  such that for every  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists a residual subset  $U'$  of  $U$  such that (A) and (B) are satisfied for the mapping  $f$  and every initial condition  $u$  in  $U'$ .*

REFERENCES

1. F. S. De Blasi and J. Myjak, *Generic properties of differential equations in a Banach space*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. **26** (1978), 395-400.
2. —, *Some generic properties of functional differential equations in a Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **67** (1978), 437-451.
3. —, *Orlicz type category results for differential equations in Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. (to appear).
4. F. S. De Blasi, M. Kwapisz and J. Myjak, *Generic properties of functional equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **2** (1977), 239-249.

5. K. Deimling, *Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 596, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1977.
6. K. Kuratowski and M. Ulam, *Quelques propriétés topologiques du produit combinatoire*, Fund. Math. **19** (1932), 248–251.
7. A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke, *The generic property of existence of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, J. Differential Equations **13** (1973), 1–12.
8. L. V. Ovcyannikov, *Singular operators in Banach scales*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **6** (1965), 1025–1028.
9. G. Pianigiani, *A density result of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. **26** (1978), 791–793.
10. S. Szufła, *The generic property of existence of solutions of integral equations in Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr. **93** (1979), 305–312.
11. F. Trèves, *Basic linear partial differential equation*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
12. G. Vidossich, *Existence, uniqueness and approximation of fixed points as a generic property*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **5** (1974), 17–29.

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, SEVILLA, SPAIN