

## EMBEDDINGS IN MINIMAL HAUSDORFF SPACES

J. VERMEER

**ABSTRACT.** We show that not every semiregular space is embeddable as an open and dense set of some minimal Hausdorff space. Also a space is constructed for which it is not decidable in Z.F.C. whether such an embedding exists.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we investigate the following question.

*Question.* Is a semiregular space  $X$  embeddable in a minimal Hausdorff space as a dense and open subset?

(Note that a Hausdorff space is called *minimal Hausdorff* if it contains no strictly coarser Hausdorff topology and that a space  $Y$  is called *semiregular* if  $\{\text{int}(\text{cl } A) : A \subseteq Y\}$  is an open basis for  $Y$ .)

This question appeared in the paper [Ve] and was motivated by the following embedding theorem.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $X$  be a semiregular space. Then:*

- (i) [Ka]  $X$  is embeddable as a dense subset of a minimal Hausdorff space.
- (ii) [Ve]  $X$  is embeddable as an open subset of a minimal Hausdorff space.
- (iii) [Ve] The space  $X \oplus X$ —two disjoint copies of  $X$ —is embeddable as a dense<sup>a</sup> and open subspace of a minimal Hausdorff space.  $\square$

We present two examples which show the following.

**EXAMPLE 1.** There exists a zero-dimensional Lindelöf space  $X$  for which the answer to the question is negative.

**EXAMPLE 2.** There exists a zero-dimensional Lindelöf space  $X$  for which the question cannot be answered in Z.F.C. without additional assumptions.

For these examples we use the following notions.

A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called *irreducible*, whenever  $f$  is surjective and  $f(A) \neq Y$ , for every closed subset  $A \subseteq X$ .

A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called  $\theta$ -continuous if for each  $x \in X$  and each neighborhood  $U$  of  $f(x)$ , there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $f(\text{cl } V) \subseteq U$ .

The *absolute* of a space  $X$  is the unique semiregular and extremally disconnected space  $EX$  which can be mapped onto  $X$  by a perfect, irreducible and  $\theta$ -continuous function  $\pi$ .

---

Received by the editors March 10, 1982 and, in revised form, June 25, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A25, 54A35, 54D25.

*Key words and phrases.* Minimal Hausdorff, semiregular.

If  $\alpha D$  is a minimal Hausdorff extension of a discrete space  $D$ , then  $E\alpha D = \beta D$  and the map  $\pi: E\alpha D \rightarrow \alpha D$  is the (unique)  $\theta$ -continuous extension of  $\text{id}: D \rightarrow \alpha D$  to  $\beta D$ .

The next theorem is the key to the examples.

**THEOREM B [VeW].** *Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a compact and irreducible function onto a set  $Y$ . Then the collection  $\{f(B): B \text{ is a closed subset of } X\}$  is a closed base for a topology  $\theta(f)$  on  $Y$ , the space  $(Y, \theta(f))$  is minimal Hausdorff and the function  $f: X \rightarrow (Y, \theta(f))$  is  $\theta$ -continuous.*

**REMARK.** The definitions of all undefined notions we used in the previous theorem can be found in the excellent survey paper [Wo] of R. G. Woods.

**2. The example.** Let  $D$  be a discrete space with  $\text{card } D \geq 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$  and let  $X = D \cup \{\omega\}$  be the one-point Lindelöfification of  $D$ . In particular, the collection  $\{D' \cup \{\omega\}: D' \text{ a cocountable subset of } D\}$  is a local base at  $\omega$  in  $X$ .

**THEOREM C.** *If  $\text{card } X > 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ , then  $X$  is not embeddable as a dense and open subset in a minimal Hausdorff space.*

**PROOF.** Assume the opposite, say  $X$  is embedded in the minimal Hausdorff space  $Y$  as a dense and open subset.

Then the space  $Y$  can be considered as a minimal Hausdorff extension of the discrete space  $D$ , say  $Y = \alpha D$  and  $D \subset X \subset \alpha D$ .

Then  $E\alpha D = \beta D$  and the absolute function  $\pi: \beta D \rightarrow \alpha D$  is the unique  $\theta$ -continuous extension of  $\text{id}: D \rightarrow \alpha D$  to  $\beta D$ .

We observe the following facts:

(i) Since the function  $\pi: \beta D \rightarrow \alpha D$  is perfect and irreducible and the space  $\alpha D$  is minimal Hausdorff, the collection  $\{\pi(B): B \text{ is a closed subset of } \beta D\}$  is a closed base for the topology on  $\alpha D$ .

(ii)  $\pi^{-1}\{\omega\} = \{\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F} \text{ an ultrafilter on } D \text{ with: } \forall F \in \mathcal{F}: \text{card } F > \omega_0\} \subset \beta D - D$ .

(iii) If  $B$  is a compact subset of  $\beta D$  with  $B \cap \pi^{-1}\omega = \emptyset$ , then it is easy to see that  $\text{card } B \leq 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ .

In particular,  $\text{card } \pi^{-1}\{d\} \leq 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$  for each  $d \in \alpha D - X$ .

(iv) Since  $\text{card } D > 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ ,  $\text{card}(\beta D - D - \pi^{-1}\{\omega\}) > 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ . Since  $X$  is an open subset of  $\alpha D$ , then by (i), there exists a compact subset  $B \subset \beta D$  such that  $\alpha D - X \subset \pi(B) \subset \alpha D - \{\omega\}$ . However, by (iii),  $\text{card } B \leq 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$  and  $\text{card } \pi^{-1}\{p\} \leq 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ , for each  $p \in \alpha D - \{\omega\}$ .

Consequently,  $\text{card } \pi^{-1}(\pi(B)) \leq 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ . We conclude, from (iv), that  $\beta D - D - \pi^{-1}\{\omega\}$  is not a subset of  $\pi^{-1}\pi(B)$ , which contradicts that  $\alpha D - X \subset \pi(B)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**THEOREM D.** *If  $\text{card } X = 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ , the space  $X$  is embeddable as a dense and open subset of a minimal Hausdorff space.*

**PROOF.** We construct such an extension of  $X$  as follows. Consider the space  $\beta D$  and define the closed subset  $A \subset \beta D - D$  by

$$A = \{\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F} \text{ is an ultrafilter on } D \text{ and } \text{card } F > \omega_0 \text{ for each } f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Note that  $\beta D - A = \bigcup \{\text{cl } D' : D' \text{ is a countable subset of } D\}$ . We conclude that  $\text{card}(\beta D - D - A) = (2^{2^{\omega_0}})^{\omega_0} \cdot 2^{2^{\omega_0}} = 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ . Fix a countable set  $N \subset D$ . Then  $\text{cl } N \cap A = \emptyset$  and  $\text{card}(\text{cl } N - N) = 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ . Let  $g: \text{cl } N - N \rightarrow \beta D - D - A - \text{cl } N$  be a bijection between these sets. Define a partition  $E$  of  $\beta D$  by

$$E = \{\{d\} : d \in D\} \cup \{A\} \cup \{\{x, g(x)\} : x \in \text{cl } N - N\}.$$

Let  $Y$  denote the set  $\beta D \text{ mod } E$ . The corresponding quotient function  $f: \beta D \rightarrow Y$  is a compact and irreducible surjection.

Consider the minimal Hausdorff topology  $\theta(f)$  on  $Y$ , as defined in Theorem B. The following properties are easy to verify:

- (i)  $f(D)$  is an open discrete and dense subset of  $Y$ ,
- (ii) the subspace  $f(D) \cup \{A\} = f(D \cup A)$  of  $Y$  is homeomorphic to the space  $X$ ,
- (iii)  $f(D) \cup \{A\}$  is dense in  $Y$  (since  $f(D) \subset f(D \cup A)$ ), and
- (iv)  $f(A) \cup \{A\}$  is open in  $Y$  (since  $Y - f(D \cup A) = f(\text{cl } N - N)$ ).

These properties show that we have embedded the space  $X$  as a dense and open subset of the minimal Hausdorff space  $Y$ .

REMARKS. There are many cardinals  $\chi$ , e.g.  $\chi = 2^{2^{\omega_1}}$ ,  $\chi = \aleph_3$ , for which it is consistent to assume that  $\chi > 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$  and consistent to assume that  $\chi = 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ . Thus, if  $D$  is a discrete space of cardinality  $\chi$ , then  $X$  can be embeddable, by Theorem D, or not embeddable, by Theorem C, as a dense and open subspace of some minimal Hausdorff space depending on set-theoretic assumptions of whether  $\chi = 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$  or  $\chi > 2^{2^{\omega_0}}$ .

I would like to thank the referee for his advice.

#### REFERENCES

- [Il] S. Iliadis, *Absolutes of Hausdorff spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **149** (1963), 22–25 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **41** (1963), 295–298.
- [Ka] M. Katětov, *On  $H$ -closed extensions of topological spaces*, Časopis Pěst. Math. **72** (1947), 17–32.
- [Ve] J. Vermeer, *Minimal Hausdorff and compact like spaces*, Topological Structures. II, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [VeW] J. Vermeer and E. Wattel, *Projective elements in categories with perfect  $\theta$ -continuous maps*, Canad. J. Math. **4** (1981), 872–884.
- [Wo] R. G. Woods, *A survey of absolutes of topological spaces*, Topological Structures. II, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FREE UNIVERSITY, DE BOELELAAN 1081, 1007 MC, AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS