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Abstract. A nonreal preorder of a quaternionic structure $q: G \times G \to B$ is a subgroup $T \subseteq G$ such that $-1 \in T$ and $-1 \neq t \in T$ implies $D(1, t) \subseteq T$. The basic part of $q$ is defined to be the set $B = \{ \pm 1 \} \cup \{ a \in G | a \text{ is not 2-sided rigid} \}$. A. Carson and M. Marshall have shown that if $|G| < \infty$ then every nontrivial nonreal preorder $T$ must contain $B$. The main purpose of this note is to extend this result by replacing $|G| < \infty$ with $[G : T] < \infty$.

1. Introduction. Let $q: G \times G \to Q$ be a quaternionic structure in the terminology of [3]. Recall that this means $G$ is a group of exponent two with a distinguished element $-1$, $Q$ is a set with distinguished point $0$, and $q$ is a surjective mapping satisfying:

1. $q(a, b) = q(b, a)$;
2. $q(a, -a) = 0$ (here as always, $-a$ means $(-1)a$);
3. $q(a, b) = q(a, c) \implies q(a, bc) = 0$; and
4. $q(a, b) = q(c, d) \implies \exists x \in G$ with $q(a, b) = q(a, x)$ and $q(c, d) = q(c, x)$.

For $a \in G$, the set $D(1, a) = \{ b \in G | q(-a, b) = 0 \}$ is a subgroup of $G$ and $1, a \in D(1, a)$. $a \in G$ is said to be rigid if $D(1, a) = \{ 1, a \}$ and we say that $a$ is 2-sided rigid if both $a$ and $-a$ are rigid. The basic part of $G$ is the set $B = \{ \pm 1 \} \cup \{ a \in G | a \text{ is not 2-sided rigid} \}$. In [1], $B$ is shown to be a subgroup of $G$.

Notice that for $-1 \neq b \in B$, $D(1, b) \subseteq B$ (if $x \in D(1, b) \setminus B$ then $-x$ is rigid and $-b \in D(1, -x)$, but $-b \in \{ 1, -x \}$ is a contradiction). Consider any subgroup $T \subseteq G$ satisfying

5. if $-1 \neq t \in T$, then $D(1, t) \subseteq T$.

If $-1 \not\in T$, $T$ is just a preorder. We will call a subgroup $T \subseteq G$ a nonreal preorder if $-1 \in T$ and $T$ satisfies (5).

Examples of nonreal preorders are:

(i) subgroups $T \subseteq G$ containing $-1$ such that $a$ is rigid $\forall a \in T, a \neq -1$;
(ii) subgroups $T \subseteq G$ containing $B$.

Nonreal preorders of type (i) exist if and only if either $1 = -1$ or $1$ is rigid (the implication ($\implies$) is clear, for ($\Leftarrow$) take $T = \{ \pm 1 \}$). A nonreal preorder of this type will be called trivial.

Conjecture (Marshall). Every nontrivial nonreal preorder is of type (ii).
It follows from [2, Corollary 2.7] that the conjecture is true if \(|G| < \infty\). The main concern of this paper will be to prove the conjecture for nontrivial nonreal preorders of finite index in \(G\), but first some elementary remarks on nonreal preorders in general.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \(T \subseteq G\) be a nonreal preorder. If \(\phi = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle (t_1, \ldots, t_n \in T)\) is anisotropic, then \(D(\phi) \subseteq T\).

**Proof.** By induction on \(n\). For \(n = 2\), suppose \(\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle\) is anisotropic and \(x \in D(t_1, t_2)\). Then \(xt_1 \in D(1, t_2t_2)\) and \(t_1t_2 \neq -1\), hence \(xt_1 \in T\) forcing \(x \in T\). In general, if \(x \in D(t_1, \ldots, t_n)\), then \(x \in D(t_1, y)\) for some \(y \in D(t_2, \ldots, t_n)\). By induction \(y \in T\). Now, \(y \neq -t_1\), else \(\phi\) is isotropic, hence \(\langle t_1, y \rangle\) is anisotropic and \(x \in T\).

Let \(s\) denote the level of \(q\), i.e., \(s\) is the smallest positive integer such that \(-1 \in D(s(1))\), if no such \(s\) exists, we let \(s = \infty\).

**Corollary 1.2.** \(D(s(1)) \subseteq T\), where \(T\) ranges over all nonreal preorders of \(G\).

Of course, if \(s = \infty\), by \(D(s(1))\) we mean \(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D(n(1))\).

Notice that \(\cap T\) is itself a nonreal preorder and, hence, it is the smallest nonreal preorder of \(G\). It would be interesting to know necessary and sufficient conditions under which \(D(s(1)) = \cap T\).

Let us, in passing, give a constructive description of \(\cap T\). For any subset \(S \subseteq G\), let \(T_1(S) = S\) and inductively define

\[
T_i(S) = \pm \text{gp}\left[ \bigcup \{ D(1, -z) \mid 1 \neq z \in T_{i-1}(S) \} \right]
\]

where \(\text{gp}(A)\) denotes the group generated by \(A\).

Notice that \(T_{i-1}(S) \subseteq T_i(S)\); thus \(T(S) = \bigcup_i T_i(S)\) is a subgroup of \(G\). In fact \(T(S)\) is the smallest nonreal preorder of \(G\) containing \(S\). It follows then that the smallest nonreal preorder of \(G\) is \(\cap T = T((-1))\).

As in [3, Theorem 5.19] we prove

**Proposition 1.3.** If \(T \subseteq G\) is a nonreal preorder then the restriction \(q_T\) of \(q\) to \(T \times T\) is a quaternionic structure. Moreover, \(W(q_T)\) is a subring of \(W(q)\).

**Proof.** (1)–(3) are clear. To show that (4) holds suppose \(a, b, c, d \in T\) and \(q(a, b) = q(c, d)\). Since (4) holds for \(q\), there exists \(x' \in G\) such that \(q(a, b) = q(a, x')\) and \(q(c, d) = q(c, x')\). It follows that \(q(a, bx') = 0\), forcing \(bx' \in D(1, -a)\). If \(a \neq 1\), then \(bx' \in T\), hence \(x' \in T\). In this case take \(x = x'\). If \(a = 1\), take \(x = 1\).

In either case \(q(a, b) = q(a, x)\) and \(q(c, d) = q(c, x)\). The fact that \(W(q_T)\) is a subring of \(W(q)\) follows from Proposition 1.1, i.e., by Proposition 1.1 the inclusion map is injective.

2. Rigid elements and binary value sets. As remarked in the introduction the main goal of this section is to show that every nontrivial nonreal preorder of finite index in \(G\) is of type (ii). This result will follow after a rather technical discussion of rigid elements and binary value sets. Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 below are generalized versions of arguments extracted from the proof of [2, Theorem 2.4].
For any subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we define sets $X_i = X_i(H)$ in a manner similar to (6). We let $X_1 = H$ and inductively define

$$X_i = \bigcup \{ D\langle 1, -z \rangle | 1 \neq z \in X_{i-1} \}.$$ 

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and let $y \in G$, $y \not\in X_1 X_3 \cup X_1 X_2^2$. Then for $\beta, \gamma \in H$, $\beta \neq \gamma$, we have $HD\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap HD\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle = \{1, -y\} H$.

**Proof.** First let $\alpha \in H$ and suppose $\alpha \ D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Then $\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle - \alpha \langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \simeq \langle 1, -\alpha \rangle - \gamma y \langle 1, -\alpha \beta \gamma \rangle$ is isotropic so by [3, Corollary 2.12] there exists $w \in D\langle 1, -\alpha \beta \gamma \rangle$ with $\gamma y w \in D\langle 1, -\alpha \rangle$. If $\alpha \neq 1$, $\alpha \neq \beta \gamma$, then $w, \gamma y w \in X_2$ so $y = (\gamma)(w)(\gamma y w) \in X_1 X_2^2$, contradicting the choice of $y$. Thus $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = \beta \gamma$. First suppose $\alpha = 1$, and $z \in D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle$. Then $z \in D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle \subseteq D\langle 1, -\beta \gamma \rangle \subseteq X_2$; thus $z \in X_2$ and $\beta y \in D\langle 1, -z \rangle$. If $z \neq 1$ then $\beta y \in X_3$ so $y = \beta (\beta y) \in X_1 X_2$, again contradicting the choice of $y$. Consequently, $z = 1$ and $\alpha \ D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle = \{1\}$. Now suppose $\alpha = \beta \gamma$. Here,

$$\alpha \ D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle = \beta \gamma D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle$$

$$= -\gamma y (D\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle) = -\gamma y \{1\}$$

by the case $\alpha = 1$. It follows that $HD\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle = \{1, -\gamma y\}$. Now

$$HD\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap HD\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle = H(HD\langle 1, -\beta y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\gamma y \rangle)$$

$$= H\{1, -\gamma y\} = H\{1, -y\}.$$

This proves the lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and suppose $y \in G$, $\beta \in H$.

(i) If $y \not\in X_2$ then $\langle 1, -y \rangle$ represents at most one element from each coset of $G$ mod $H$.

(ii) If $y \not\in X_1 X_3 \cup X_1 X_2^2$ and $z \in D\langle 1, -y \rangle$, $z \neq 1$, $-y$, then for each $\alpha \neq 1$ in $H$, $D\langle 1, -\alpha y \rangle \cap z H = \emptyset$.

**Proof.** (i) Suppose $x \in G$, $1 \neq \alpha \in H$ and $x, x\alpha \in D\langle 1, -y \rangle$. Then $\alpha = x(x\alpha) \in D\langle 1, -y \rangle$ so $y \in D\langle 1, -\alpha \rangle \subseteq X_2$, a contradiction.

(ii) By Lemma 2.1, $HD\langle 1, -y \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -\alpha y \rangle \subseteq \{1, -y\} H$. Suppose there is $\beta \in H$ such that $z\beta \in D\langle 1, -\alpha y \rangle$. Then $z\beta \in \{1, -y\} H$. If $z\beta \in H$ then $z \in H$, hence $y \in D\langle 1, -z \rangle \subseteq X_2$, a contradiction. If $z\beta \in -y H$, then $z H = -y H$. But by (i), $z = -y$, again a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and let $y \in G$, $-y \not\in X_1 X_2$. Further, suppose $y$ is rigid and $-1 \neq \alpha \in H$ is a nonrigid element with $D\langle 1, \alpha \rangle \subseteq H$. If $\alpha' \in D\langle 1, \alpha \rangle$ then $\alpha' y$ is rigid.

**Proof.** Let $\rho = \langle 1, \alpha, \alpha' y, \alpha \alpha' y \rangle \simeq \langle 1, \alpha, y, \alpha y \rangle$.

$$D(\rho) = \bigcup \{ D(\langle r, as \rangle | r, s \in D\langle 1, y \rangle)$$

$$= D\langle 1, \alpha \rangle \cup D\langle 1, \alpha y \rangle \cup D\langle y, \alpha \rangle \cup D\langle y, \alpha y \rangle$$

$$= D\langle 1, \alpha \rangle \{1, y\} \cup D\langle 1, \alpha y \rangle \{1, y\}.$$
Since a group cannot be a union of two proper subgroups we are reduced to considering two cases.

Case 1. \(D(1, a) \cdot \{1, y\} \subseteq D(1, a y) \cdot \{1, y\} = D(\rho)\). Here we show \(a\) is rigid, contradicting our hypothesis. Let \(\beta \in D(1, a) \subseteq H\). If \(\beta \in D(1, a y)\) then \(-\alpha y \in D(1, -\beta);\) thus \(\beta = 1\), else \(-\alpha y \in X_2,\) forcing \(-y \in X_1 X_2\). If \(\beta \in D(y, a)\) then \(-\alpha y \in D(1, -\alpha \beta).\)

Consequently, \(\beta = a\), else \(-\alpha y \in X_2\) again. This shows \(a\) is rigid.

Case 2. \(D(1, a y) \cdot \{1, y\} \subseteq D(1, a) \cdot \{1, y\} = D(\rho)\). Here we show \(a' y\) is rigid as desired. Let \(z \in D(1, a' y) \subseteq D(\rho) = D(1, a) \cdot \{1, y\}\). If \(z \in D(1, a)\) then \(z \in H\). But \(-a' y \in D(1, -z)\), hence \(z = 1\), else \(-a' y \in X_2,\) forcing \(-y \in X_1 X_2\). If \(z \in D(y, a y)\) then \(z y \in D(1, a) \subseteq H\).

Consequently, \(z \in y H\). Now \(z, a' y \in D(1, a' y)\) and \(-a' y \in X_2\) so \(z = a' y\) by Lemma 2.2(i). Therefore \(a' y\) is rigid.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \(T \subseteq G\) be a nontrivial nonreal preorder and suppose \(y \in G \setminus T\) is rigid. If \(t \in T \cap B\) then \(t y\) is rigid.

**Proof.** Assume first that \(t \neq \pm 1\). If \(t\) is nonrigid then taking \(H = T\) and \(\alpha = a' = t\) in Lemma 2.3 yields \(t y\) is rigid. If \(t\) is rigid then \(-t\) is nonrigid. Let \(t_1 \in D(1, -t), t_1 \neq 1, -t.\) Then \(t \in D(1, -t_1)\) and by Lemma 2.3 again with \(\alpha = -t_1, a' = t\) we get \(t y\) is rigid. If \(t = 1, ty\) is rigid by hypothesis so suppose \(t = -1\). If there is a nonrigid element \(t' \in T \cap B, t' \neq \pm 1,\) then as above with \(-t'\) playing the part of \(t, -t' y\) is rigid. Taking \(y = -t' y\) and \(\alpha = \alpha' = t'\) in Lemma 2.3 yields \(-y = (t')(t' y)\) is rigid; thus suppose \(T \cap B = \{\pm 1\}.\) Either \(1 = -1\) or \(D(1, 1) = \{1, -1\}.\) If \(1 = -1,\) it is clear. If \(D(1, 1) = \{1, -1\},\) then taking \(\alpha = 1, \alpha' = -1\) in Lemma 2.3 again gives us \(-y\) is rigid.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let \(T \subseteq G\) be a nontrivial nonreal preorder. Every rigid element in \(G \setminus T\) is 2-sided rigid.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let \(T \subseteq G\) be a nontrivial nonreal preorder. If \([G : T] < \infty\) then \(B \subseteq T\).

**Proof.** Assume there is an element \(b \in B \setminus T\). Consider the set \(B T\). By Corollary 2.5, no element in \(b T \cap B\) is rigid. For each element \(z \in b T \cap B,\) let \(b_1 \in D(1, z),\) \(b_1 \neq 1, z.\) By Lemma 2.2(ii), the mapping \(b T \cap B \to G / T\) via \(z \mapsto b_1\) is injective, hence \(b T \cap B\) is finite. Now \(| T \cap B||\ b(T \cap B)| = |b T \cap B| < \infty.\) Hence, \(T \cap B\) is a finite nontrivial, nonreal preorder. Consequently, there exists a nonrigid element \(-1 \neq t \in T \cap B\) with \(|D(1, t)| < \infty.\) By [2, Corollary 2.7], \(B \subseteq B \cap T \subseteq T.\)
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