

ULTIMATELY CLOSED PROJECTIVE RESOLUTIONS AND RATIONALITY OF POINCARÉ-BETTI SERIES

GEORGE V. WILSON

ABSTRACT. A condition on the syzygies of a module is given which implies the rationality of certain Poincaré-Betti series.

Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field F . All modules considered here will be finitely generated left A -modules, and we will write $|M|$ for $\dim_F M$.

Given an ordered pair (M, N) of A -modules, the Poincaré-Betti series for (M, N) is the formal power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T)^k | \text{Ext}^k(M, N) |$. In certain special cases, it has been conjectured that these series are rational. The most notable such special case is when A is a commutative, local, noetherian algebra (not necessarily finite dimensional) and $M = N = F$, where F is given the trivial module structure. Despite many results showing rationality, Anick [1] has given an example of an algebra A where the Poincaré-Betti series for (F, F) is not rational. Roos [4] gives an excellent survey of results in this direction.

For finite-dimensional algebras, we give a condition which implies the rationality of certain Poincaré-Betti series. We also provide some information about when this condition is satisfied.

Let M be a finitely generated A -module with the minimal projective resolution

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} Q_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} Q_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0.$$

As usual, we denote the k th syzygy module by $\Omega^k M = \text{im } d_k = \text{Ker } d_{k-1}$.

DEFINITION (JANS [3]). Let M be a finitely generated A -module. We say that M has an ultimately closed projective resolution if there is an $n > 0$ such that each indecomposable summand of $\Omega^n M$ is already a summand of $\Omega^k M$ for some $k < n$.

Since for finite-dimensional algebras A , every finitely generated A -module decomposes uniquely into a direct sum of indecomposable modules, one can see immediately that M has an ultimately closed resolution if and only if it satisfies the following condition.

(*) The set $\{X \in \text{mod } A: X \text{ is an indecomposable summand of } \Omega^k M, k \geq 0\}$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes of modules.

We will use this formulation in proving the following

THEOREM. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra and M an indecomposable module with an ultimately closed projective resolution. Then for any A -module Y , the Poincaré-Betti series for (M, Y) , $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T)^k | \text{Ext}^k(M, Y) |$, is rational.*

Received by the editors May 24, 1982.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16A62.

©1983 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/82/0000-1263/\$01.75

PROOF. Let $S = \{M = N_1, \dots, N_L\}$ be a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the modules in $\{X \in \text{mod } A : X \text{ is an indecomposable summand of } \Omega^k M \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}$. We notice that $\Omega^n(\Omega^j M) = \Omega^{n+j} M$, so all summands of $\Omega^n N_i$ are also in S . In particular, all summands of $\Omega^1 N_i$ are in S . Now for each N_i , write the beginning of a projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} N_j \rightarrow P(N_i) \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow 0$$

where $a_{ji} \geq 0$. We see that for all $k > 1$ and any module Y ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^k(N_i, Y) &= \text{Ext}^{k-1}(\Omega N_i, Y) = \text{Ext}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} N_j, Y \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} \text{Ext}^{k-1}(N_j, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Writing $r_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T)^k |\text{Ext}^k(N_i, Y)|$, this formula shows that for each i ,

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= |\text{Ext}^0(N_i, Y)| - T |\text{Ext}^1(N_i, Y)| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-T)^k |\text{Ext}^k(N_i, Y)| \\ &= |\text{Hom}(N_i, Y)| - T |\text{Ext}^1(N_i, Y)| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-T)^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} |\text{Ext}^{k-1}(N_j, Y)| \right) \\ &= |\text{Hom}(N_i, Y)| - T |\text{Ext}^1(N_i, Y)| - T \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-T)^m |\text{Ext}^m(N_j, Y)| \right) \\ &= |\text{Hom}(N_i, Y)| - T |\text{Ext}^1(N_i, Y)| - T \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} (r_j - |\text{Hom}(N_j, Y)|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^L (\delta_{ji} + T a_{ji}) r_j = |\text{Hom}(N_i, Y)| - T |\text{Ext}(N_i, Y)| + T \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} |\text{Hom}(N_j, Y)|.$$

Let $\mu \in M_L(\mathbf{Z}[T])$ be the matrix $(\delta_{ji} + T a_{ji})$. Direct computation shows that $\det \mu = 1 + T p(T)$ for some $p \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$, so μ is invertible over the integral power series ring $\mathbf{Z}[[T]]$. Further, Cramer's rule shows that μ^{-1} has rational coordinates. In $(\mathbf{Z}[[T]])^L$ we have the equation $(r_1, \dots, r_L) \mu = (p_1, \dots, p_L)$, where

$$p_i = |\text{Hom}(N_i, Y)| - T |\text{Ext}(N_i, Y)| + T \sum_{j=1}^L a_{ji} |\text{Hom}(N_j, Y)|.$$

Multiplying both sides by μ^{-1} , we see that the vector $(r_1, \dots, r_L) = (p_1, \dots, p_L) \mu^{-1}$ has rational coordinates. In particular, $r_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T)^k |\text{Ext}^k(M, Y)|$ is rational, as claimed.

In order to apply the Theorem, we need some examples of modules with ultimately closed projective resolutions. First, we recall the definition [2] of stable equivalence.

The stable module category $\underline{\text{mod}} A$ has as its objects the modules without projective summands. For modules X and Y without projective summands, we write $P(X, Y)$ for the group of maps factoring through a projective module. We then define the morphisms in $\underline{\text{mod}} A$ by $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}(X, Y)/P(X, Y)$. Given two algebras A and B , we say that A is stably equivalent to B if the categories $\underline{\text{mod}} A$ and $\underline{\text{mod}} B$ are equivalent.

PROPOSITION. *In the following cases, all A -modules have ultimately closed projective resolutions. (i) A has finite representation type, i.e. there are only finitely many nonisomorphic indecomposable A -modules.*

(ii) $\text{rad}^2 A = 0$,

(iii) A is stably equivalent to a hereditary algebra.

(iv) *There are only finitely many nonisomorphic indecomposable, torsionless A -modules.*

(v) *The set $S_k = \{X \in \text{mod } A : X \text{ is an indecomposable summand of } \Omega^k M \text{ for some } M\}$ has only finitely many isomorphism classes for some $k \geq 0$.*

PROOF. We begin by noting the following dependences among the conditions: (i) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (v).

That (i) implies (iv) is trivial. Any summand of a syzygy $\Omega^k M$ is torsionless, so (iv) implies (v). Auslander and Reiten [2] show that (ii) implies (iii) and also that, for any A stably equivalent to a hereditary algebra, every indecomposable torsionless module is either simple or projective, hence (iii) implies (iv). Clearly, it suffices to show that if A satisfies (v), all A -modules have ultimately closed projective resolutions.

Assume that A satisfies (v). Given any module M , there are only finitely many indecomposable summands of $\Omega^0 M, \Omega^1 M, \dots, \Omega^{k-1} M$. For $i \geq k, \Omega^i M = \Omega^k(\Omega^{i-k} M)$ so all summands of $\Omega^i M$ are in the finite set S_k . Hence, M satisfies condition (*) and must have an ultimately closed projective resolution.

COROLLARY. *In cases (i)–(v), the Poincaré-Betti series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-T)^k |\text{Ext}^k(M, N)|$ is rational for all M and N .*

REFERENCES

1. D. J. Anick, *A counterexample to a conjecture of Serre*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), 1–33.
2. M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Stable equivalence of Artin algebras*, Conference on Orders, Group Rings and Related Topics, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 353, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1973, pp. 8–71.
3. J. P. Jans, *Some generalizations of finite projective dimension*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 334–344.
4. J.-E. Roos, *Relations between the Poincaré-Betti series of loop spaces and local rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 740, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1979, pp. 285–322.