

TALL α -RECURSIVE STRUCTURES

SY D. FRIEDMAN¹ AND SAHARON SHELAH²

ABSTRACT. The Scott rank of a structure M , $\text{sr}(M)$, is a useful measure of its model-theoretic complexity. Another useful invariant is $\text{o}(M)$, the ordinal height of the least admissible set above M , defined by Barwise. Nadel showed that $\text{sr}(M) \leq \text{o}(M)$ and defined M to be tall if equality holds. For any admissible ordinal α there exists a tall structure M such that $\text{o}(M) = \alpha$. We show that if $\alpha = \beta^+$, the least admissible ordinal greater than β , then M can be chosen to have a β -recursive presentation. A natural example of such a structure is given when $\beta = \omega_1^L$ and then using similar ideas we compute the supremum of the levels at which $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1^L})$ singletons appear in L .

The results in this paper concern structures which are complicated model-theoretically, yet recursion-theoretically simple. Fix a structure M for a language \mathcal{L} of finite similarity type. The Scott rank of M is defined as follows: Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}', \bar{y}', \dots$ range over $|M|^{<\omega}$. By induction define a sequence of relations \sim_{β} on members of $|M|^{<\omega}$ or the same length:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} \sim_0 \bar{y} & \text{ iff } \bar{x}, \bar{y} \text{ realize the same atomic type in } M, \\ \bar{x} \sim_{\beta+1} \bar{y} & \text{ iff } \forall \bar{x}' \exists \bar{y}' (\bar{x} * \bar{x}' \sim_{\beta} \bar{y} * \bar{y}') \text{ and} \\ & \quad \forall \bar{y}' \exists \bar{x}' (\bar{x} * \bar{x}' \sim_{\beta} \bar{y} * \bar{y}') \\ \bar{x} \sim_{\lambda} \bar{y} & \text{ iff } \bar{x} \sim_{\beta} \bar{y} \text{ for all } \beta < \lambda, \lambda \text{ limit.} \end{aligned}$$

In the above, $*$ denotes concatenation of sequences. Finally, Scott rank (M) is the least α such that $\forall \bar{x} \forall \bar{y} (\bar{x} \sim_{\alpha} \bar{y} \rightarrow \bar{x} \sim_{\alpha+1} \bar{y})$. Scott rank (M) is a useful measure of the model-theoretic complexity of M .

Nadel [74] provides a bound on the Scott rank of a structure M in terms of admissible set theory: Scott rank (M) $\leq \text{o}(M)$ where $\text{o}(M)$ is the ordinal height of the least admissible set above M (see Barwise [69]). M is tall if equality holds. This bound is best possible in that for any admissible ordinal α there is a tall structure M such that Scott rank (M) = α .

Let β be a limit ordinal. M is β -recursive if $|M| = \beta$ and all of the relations, functions of M , are β -recursive. (For a definition of β -recursive, see Friedman [78]. In this paper we need only consider those β which are either admissible or the limit of admissible ordinals, in which case β -recursive coincides with $\Delta_1(L_{\beta}, \epsilon)$.) It is

Received by the editors September 25, 1981 and, in revised form, February 5, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03C70; Secondary 03D60.

Key words and phrases. Scott rank, admissible ordinals, Barwise compactness.

¹The first author was supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCS 7906084.

²The second author wishes to thank the Institute for Advanced Studies, Jerusalem.

shown in Nadel [74] that there is an ω -recursive (=recursive) structure of Scott rank ω_1^{ck} . (The example is a recursive linear ordering of ordertype $\omega_1^{ck} + \omega_1^{ck} \cdot \eta_1 \eta =$ ordertype of the rationals.) §1 of the present paper shows that for every limit ordinal β there is a β -recursive structure of Scott rank β^+ , the least admissible ordinal greater than β . Such a structure M_β is tall since it belongs to L_{β^+} and hence $\text{o}(M_\beta) = \beta^+$. Define $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank (M) in exactly the same way as Scott rank (M) except where $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}', \bar{y}', \dots$ now range over $|M|^{<\omega_1}$. §2 focuses on the special case: $\beta = \omega_1$. Using entirely different methods than in §1 a natural example of an ω_1 -recursive structure of $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank ω_1^+ is presented (from this an ω_1 -recursive structure of Scott rank ω_1^+ is easily obtained). Similar techniques are then used to show that $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ -singletons appear cofinally inside L_σ , where σ is the least stable ordinal greater than ω_1 .

1. Game rank versus Scott rank.

The goal of this section is to prove

THEOREM 1. *For any limit ordinal β there is a β -recursive structure of Scott rank $\beta^+ =$ least admissible ordinal greater than β .*

It clearly suffices to treat the case where β is either admissible or the limit of admissible ordinals. It will also be convenient to assume that β is greater than ω (otherwise the result is known).

The proof of Theorem 1 can be outlined as follows: We first show that there is a β -recursive open game with a winning strategy for the “closed player”, but none inside L_{β^+} . This allows one to build a β -recursive tree T of “game rank” β^+ . Then a β -recursive structure M of Scott rank β^+ is obtained by building M so that its Scott analysis is very similar to the “game analysis” of T .

We must first describe the “game rank” of a tree. All trees are subtrees of $\beta^{<\omega} =$ all finite sequences of ordinals less than β . Our definition here is rather nonstandard but is designed to allow the transition from game rank to Scott rank to go smoothly.

Let T be a tree. If $\eta = \langle \eta(0), \eta(1), \dots \rangle \in T$ has even length we let $(\eta)_{\text{even}} = \langle \eta(0), \eta(2), \dots \rangle$. Let $A_k = \{(\eta)_{\text{even}} \mid \eta \in T, l(\eta) = \text{length}(\eta) = 2k\}$. For $v \in A_k$ let $B_v = \{\eta \in T \mid (\eta)_{\text{even}} = v\}$. If $\eta \in T$ has even length we define $\text{Rk}(\eta)$ by

$\text{Rk}(\eta) = 0 \leftrightarrow$ there is $v \supseteq (\eta)_{\text{even}}$ such that η has no extension in B_v , $v \in \bigcup_k A_k$;
 $\text{Rk}(\eta) = \alpha > 0 \leftrightarrow 0 \neq \text{Rk}(\eta) \neq \beta$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ and there is $v \supseteq (\eta)_{\text{even}}$ such that

$$\eta' \supseteq \eta, \quad \eta' \in B_v \rightarrow \text{Rk}(\eta') = \beta \text{ for some } \beta < \alpha,$$

$$\text{Rk}(\eta) = \infty \leftrightarrow \forall \alpha \text{Rk}(\eta) \neq \alpha, \quad \text{Rk}(T) = \sup\{\text{Rk}(\eta) \mid \eta \in T \text{ and } \text{Rk}(\eta) \neq \infty\}.$$

Thus $\text{Rk}(\eta)$ measures how good a position player I is in after η has been played in the following game: Players I and II alternately choose $v_0, \eta_0, v_1, \eta_1, \dots$ with the restrictions that $v_0 \subseteq v_1 \subseteq \dots$, $\eta_0 \subseteq \eta_1 \subseteq \dots$, $\eta_i \in B_{v_i}$, $v_i \in \bigcup_k A_k$. Player I wins if at some stage player II can make no legal move. Otherwise player II wins.

LEMMA 2. *There is a β -recursive tree T such that $\text{Rk}(T) = \beta^+$.*

PROOF. We use some ideas from β -logic. Enlarge the language of set theory by adjoining (Henkin) constants c_0, c_1, \dots and a name β' for each ordinal $\beta' \leq \beta$.

Formulas in this language can be easily coded by ordinals less than β . Let S consist of the following sentences in this language:

- (a) Axioms for admissibility,
- (b) β' is an ordinal, $\beta_1 \in \beta_2$ (whenever $\beta_1 < \beta_2 \leq \beta$).

Then the tree T consists of all sequences of sentences $\langle \phi_0, \phi_1, \dots \rangle$ such that

- (i) if $\phi_{2n} = \sim \psi$ then $\phi_{2n+1} = \psi$ or $\sim \psi$,
- (ii) if $\phi_{2n} = \exists x \psi$ then $\phi_{2n+1} = \psi(c_k)$ some k or $\sim \phi_{2n}$,
- (iii) if $\phi_{2n} = \psi_1 \vee \psi_2$ then $\phi_{2n+1} = \psi_1$ or ψ_2 or $\sim \phi_{2n}$,
- (iv) if $\phi_{2n} = "c_k \in \beta"$ then $\phi_{2n+1} = "c_k = \beta'"$ some $\beta' < \beta$ or $\sim \phi_{2n}$,
- (v) $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_3 \wedge \phi_5 \wedge \dots$ is consistent with S .

Since $\beta > \omega$ condition (v) is β -recursive.

CLAIM. $\text{Rk}(T) = \beta^+$.

PROOF OF CLAIM. As the inductive definition of Rk can be carried out in L_{β^+} it is clear that $\text{Rk}(T) \leq \beta^+$. By absoluteness we can assume that β is countable. As S has a model where β is standard, $\text{Rk}(\phi) = \infty$. Now suppose $\text{Rk}(T) = \gamma < \beta^+$. Let ψ_0, ψ_1, \dots be a listing of the sentences in this language. Define ϕ_0, ϕ_1, \dots by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{2n} &= \psi_n, \\ \phi_{2n+1} &= \text{least } \phi \text{ such that } \langle \phi_0, \dots, \phi_{2n}, \phi \rangle \text{ has } \text{Rk} \geq \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

As $\{\eta \in T \mid \text{Rk}(\eta) \geq \gamma\} \in L_{\beta^+}$ the sequence $\langle \phi_0, \phi_1, \dots \rangle \in L_{\beta^+}$. But $\{\phi_{2n+1} \mid n \in \omega\}$ describes the complete Henkin theory of an end extension of L_{β^+} . This is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

We can now describe the structure M to satisfy Theorem 1. Let T be as in Lemma 2. Define A_k, B_v for $v \in \bigcup_k A_k = A$ as before. Let $P_v =$ all finite subsets of B_v , for $v \in A$. Endow each P_v with a distinct \emptyset_v so that $v_1 \neq v_2 \rightarrow P_{v_1} \cap P_{v_2} = \emptyset$. The universe of $M = |M| = \bigcup \{P_v \mid v \in A\}$. Introduce predicates for each P_v .

We now provide P_v with an "affine" group structure; that is, a group structure without a distinguished identity. Note that P_v is a group under the operation Δ of symmetric difference. For $w \in P_v$ let $S_{v,w} = \{(w_1, w_2) \mid w_1 \Delta w_2 = w\}$.

Notice that with these relations, any automorphism of P_v is determined by its action at a single argument.

Finally, we introduce functions connecting the different P_v 's. If $v * (\alpha) \in A_n$ then $f_{v*(\alpha)}$ is defined by: $f_{v*(\alpha)}(w) = \{\eta \upharpoonright 2n - 2 \mid \eta \in w\}$ for $w \in P_{v*(\alpha)}$; $f_{v*(\alpha)}(w) = w$ otherwise. Thus any automorphism of $P_{v*(\alpha)}$ has a unique extension to P_v preserving the function $f_{v*(\alpha)}$.

Thus the desired structure is $M = \langle |M|, P_v, S_{v,w}, f_{v*(\alpha)} \rangle$, $v \in A$, $w \in P_v$. It remains to compute the Scott rank of M .

For any collection G of partial functions from M to M define $G\text{-Rk}(g)$ for $g \in G$ by

$$\begin{aligned} G\text{-Rk}(g) \geq 0 &\leftrightarrow g \in G; \\ G\text{-Rk}(g) \geq \alpha + 1 &\leftrightarrow \forall m \in |M| \exists h \in G (g \subseteq h, m \in \text{Dom}(h), G\text{-Rk}(h) > \alpha) \text{ and} \\ \forall m \in |M| \exists h \in G (g \subseteq h, m \in \text{Range}(h), G\text{-Rk}(h) \geq \alpha); \\ G\text{-Rk}(g) \geq \lambda &\leftrightarrow \forall \alpha < \lambda G\text{-Rk}(g) \geq \alpha \text{ for limit } \lambda; \\ G\text{-Rk}(g) = \infty &\leftrightarrow G\text{-Rk}(g) \geq \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Also let $\text{Rk}(G) = \sup\{G\text{-Rk}(g) \mid g \in G, G\text{-Rk}(g) < \infty\}$. Thus we are interested in showing that $\text{Rk}(G_0) = \beta^+$ where $G_0 =$ all finite partial isomorphisms of M .

For any $D \subseteq |M|$ let $\bar{D} = \text{closure}(D) = \bigcup \{P_v \mid \text{For some } v' \supseteq v, D \cap P_{v'} \neq \emptyset\}$. As remarked earlier any partial isomorphism of M with domain D has a unique

extension to a partial isomorphism with domain (and range) \overline{D} . Thus it suffices to show that $\text{Rk}(G_1) = \beta^+$ where $G_1 = \{g \in G_0 \mid \text{Dom}(g) = \overline{\text{Dom}(g)}\}$.

Now if $g \in G_1$ then g is uniquely determined by g^* which is defined by $\text{Domain}(g^*) = \{v \mid P_v \subseteq \text{Dom}(g)\}$, $g^*(v) = g(\mathcal{O}_v)$. Moreover, g^* satisfies

$$(*) \quad f_{v*(\alpha)}(g^*(v * (\alpha))) = g^*(v).$$

Conversely, any function h with domain a finite $t \subseteq A$ closed under initial segments, obeying $(*)$ must be of the form g^* for some g . Let $H = \{g^* \mid g \in G_1\}$. Then $\text{Rk}(G_1) = \text{Deg}(H)$ which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Deg}(h) \geq 0 &\leftrightarrow h \in H; \\ \text{Deg}(h) \geq \alpha + 1 &\leftrightarrow \forall v \in A \exists h_1 \supseteq h(v \in \text{Dom}(h_1), \text{Deg}(h_1) \geq \alpha); \\ \text{Deg}(h) \geq \lambda &\leftrightarrow \forall \alpha < \lambda \text{Deg}(h) \geq \alpha \text{ for limit } \lambda; \\ \text{Deg}(h) = \infty &\leftrightarrow \text{Deg}(h) \geq \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha, \text{Deg}(H) = \sup\{\text{Deg}(h) \mid \text{Deg}(h) < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that $\text{Deg}(H) = \beta^+$.

Our final claim establishes the theorem by relating Deg (defined on H) to Rk (defined on $\eta \in T$, $\text{length}(\eta)$ even).

CLAIM. For $h \in H$, $\text{Deg}(H) = \min\{\text{Rk}(\eta) \mid \eta \in h(v) \text{ for some } v\}$.

PROOF. By induction on α we show that $\text{Deg}(h) \geq \alpha$ iff $\text{Rk}(h) \geq \alpha$ iff $\text{Rk}(\eta) \geq \alpha$ for all $\eta \in \bigcup \text{Range}(h)$. This is trivial for $\alpha = 0$ or for limit α (by induction). Let $\alpha = \gamma + 1$. Suppose $\text{Rk}(\eta) \geq \gamma + 1$ for all $\eta \in \bigcup \text{Range}(h)$ and $v \in A$. We show that $\exists h_1 \supseteq h$ ($v \in \text{Dom}(h_1)$ and $\text{Rk}(\eta) \geq \gamma$ for all $\eta \in \bigcup \text{Range}(h_1)$). Let $v_0 \subseteq v$ be maximal, $v_0 \in \text{Dom}(h)$. For each $\eta \in h(v_0)$ choose $\eta' \supseteq \eta$, $\eta' \in B_v$ so that $\text{Rk}(\eta') \geq \gamma$ (this is possible since $\text{Rk}(\eta) \geq \gamma + 1$). Then set $h_1(v') = h(v')$ for $v' \in \text{Dom}(h)$, $h_1(v \upharpoonright k) = \{\eta' \upharpoonright 2k \mid \eta \in h(v_0)\}$ for $k \leq \text{length}(v)$.

Conversely suppose $\text{Deg}(h) \geq \gamma + 1$, $\eta \in \bigcup \text{Range}(h)$. We show that for all $v \supseteq (\eta)_{\text{even}}$ there is $\eta' \supseteq \eta$ such that $\eta' \in B_v$, $\text{Rk}(\eta') \geq \gamma$. For, given $v \supseteq (\eta)_{\text{even}}$ let $h_1 \supseteq h$, $v \in \text{Dom}(h_1)$, $\text{Deg}(h_1) \geq \gamma$. By induction, $\text{Rk}(\eta') \geq \gamma$ for all $\eta' \in h_1(v)$. But η has an extension $\eta' \in h_1(v)$ as $h_1 \in H$. Q.E.D.

Finally as $\text{Rk}(T) = \beta^+$ we conclude $\text{Deg}(H) = \beta^+$ and hence the theorem.

2. ω_1 -recursive trees. We use here Gödel condensation methods to build an ω_1 -recursive tree T of $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank ω_1^+ = least admissible ordinal greater than ω_1 . For simplicity assume $\omega_1 = \omega_1^L$. The general case follows from the fact that the proof given below can be easily adapted to any L -cardinal κ such that κ is regular in L_α , α = least admissible greater than κ

Let $S = \{\alpha < \omega_1 \mid \alpha \text{ admissible, } L_\alpha \models \omega_1 \text{ exists and is the largest admissible}\}$. A typical member of S is α where L_α is the transitive collapse of a countable elementary submodel of $L_{\omega_1^+}$.

We first define the tree $T' = \{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \mid \text{For all } i, \alpha_i \in S, \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} \text{ and there exists } \Pi: L_{\alpha_i} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha_{i+1}}\}$. Note that Π as above must be the identity on $\omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}}$ and every element of L_{α_i} is definable over L_{α_i} from ordinals $\leq \omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}}$. Thus if Π exists in the definition of T' then Π^{-1} must be the transitive collapse of $H = \text{Skolem hull of } \omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}} \text{ inside } L_{\alpha_{i+1}}$. This proves that T' is ω_1 -recursive.

The desired tree T is obtained via a minor modification of T' . This modification is needed to eliminate certain inhomogeneities on T' . Define $T = \{((\alpha_0, i_0), \dots, (\alpha_n, i_n)) \mid \text{For all } k, \alpha_k \in S, i_k \in \omega, \alpha_k \leq \alpha_{k+1} \text{ and there exists } \Pi: L_{\alpha_k} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha_{k+1}}\}$. (Thus an

ordinal $\alpha \in S$ can be “repeated” countably often.) As before T is ω_1 -recursive. Our goal is to show that T has $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank ω_1^+ . (We shall in fact show that T is isomorphic to the tree \mathcal{T} in §1 of Friedman [81].)

We begin by analyzing the structure of T . We show that the structure of T below $((\alpha_0, i_0), \dots, (\alpha_n, i_n))$ is determined by the S -rank (α_n) . This is defined by

- $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) \geq 0 \leftrightarrow \alpha \in S$;
- $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) \geq \gamma + 1 \leftrightarrow$ For uncountably many $\alpha' \exists \Pi : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha'}, S\text{-rk}(\alpha') \geq \gamma$;
- $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) \geq \lambda \leftrightarrow S\text{-rk}(\alpha) \geq \gamma$ for all $\gamma < \lambda$, for limit λ ;
- $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) = \infty \leftrightarrow S\text{-rk}(\alpha) \geq \gamma$ for all γ .

Also set $\text{Rank}(S) = \sup\{S\text{-rk}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in S, S\text{-rk}(\alpha) < \infty\}$.

We can also define $\text{rk}((\alpha_0, i_0), \dots, (\alpha_n, i_n)) = S\text{-rk}(\alpha_n)$, when $((\alpha_0, i_0), \dots, (\alpha_n, i_n)) \in T$. Then a node on T of rk 0 has exactly ω -many immediate extensions on T . A node on T of rk $\gamma > 0$ has exactly ω -many immediate extensions of rk γ and ω_1 -many immediate extensions of rk δ for $\delta < \gamma$. A node on T of rk ∞ has ω_1 -many immediate extensions of rk ∞ .

Our main goal is to show that for each $\sigma_0 \in T$, $\text{rk } \sigma_0 = \infty$ or $\sigma_0 = \emptyset$, $\{\sigma \in T \mid \sigma \supseteq \sigma_0 \text{ and } \text{rk } \sigma = \infty\} \notin L_{\omega_1^+}$. From this it follows that $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank of $T = \omega_1^+$: Note that the inductive definition of rk as well as the inductive analysis of the $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank of T can be carried out in $L_{\omega_1^+}$. If $\sigma_0 \in T$, $\text{rk } \sigma_0 = \infty$ then σ_0 must have immediate extensions of rk γ for each $\gamma < \omega_1^+$ as otherwise $\{\sigma \in T \mid \sigma \supseteq \sigma_0 \text{ and } \text{rk } \sigma = \infty\} = \{\sigma \in T \mid \sigma \supseteq \sigma_0 \text{ and } \text{rk } \sigma \geq \gamma\}$ for some $\gamma < \omega_1^+$ and this latter set is a member of $L_{\omega_1^+}$. Thus we can conclude that if two nodes on T lie on the same level and have the same rk, they can be mapped to each other by an automorphism of T . Thus determining the $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -type of nodes on T is nothing more than determining their rk and the level of T on which they lie. If $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank of T is less than ω_1^+ then $\{\sigma \in T \mid \text{rk } \sigma = \infty\} = \{\sigma \in T \mid \text{rk } \sigma \geq \gamma\}$ for some $\gamma < \omega_1^+$ and this latter set belongs to $L_{\omega_1^+}$. This contradicts our main claim.

CLAIM. $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) = \infty \leftrightarrow \alpha < \omega_1$ and $\exists \Pi : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\omega_1^+}$.

From this claim it is clear that $\{\sigma \in T \mid \sigma \supseteq \sigma_0, \text{rk } \sigma = \infty\} \notin L_{\omega_1^+}$ when $\text{rk } \sigma_0 = \infty$ or $\sigma_0 = \emptyset$, as otherwise $\{\alpha < \omega_1 \mid \exists \Pi : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\omega_1^+}\} \in L_{\omega_1^+}$ which is impossible.

PROOF OF CLAIM. Clearly if $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $\exists \Pi : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\omega_1^+}$ then $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) = \infty$ as if X is the set of all such α 's then X is uncountable and each element of X can be elementarily embedded in all larger elements of X . For the converse suppose $\alpha \in S$, $S\text{-rk}(\alpha) = \infty$. Choose $\beta > \alpha$, $\exists \Pi : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\omega_1^+}$. Now inductively define $L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha_1} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \dots$ and $L_\beta \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\beta_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \dots$ such that $S\text{-rk } \alpha_i = S\text{-rk } \beta_i = \infty$ for each i and $\beta_i < \alpha_i < \beta_{i+1}$. (This is possible by the definition of $S\text{-rk}$.) If $\text{Direct Lim}\langle L_{\alpha_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is well-founded then it is isomorphic to some $L_{\alpha'}$. If $\text{Direct Lim}\langle L_{\beta_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is well-founded then it is isomorphic to some $L_{\beta'}$. But $\omega_1^{L_{\alpha'}} = \omega_1^{L_{\beta'}}$ so $\alpha' = \beta'$ since $\alpha', \beta' \in S$. We conclude that $\exists \Pi_\alpha : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha'}$, $\Pi_\beta : L_\beta \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha'}$, so $\Pi_\beta^{-1} \circ \Pi_\alpha : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_\beta$ (since Π_α, Π_β is just the inverse of the transitive collapse of the Skolem hull of $\omega_1^{L_\alpha}, \omega_1^{L_\beta}$ in $L_{\alpha'}$). So $\exists \Pi : L_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\omega_1^+}$.

It remains to justify the well-foundedness of the direct limits. This is provided by our final subclaim.

SUBCLAIM. $\text{Direct Lim}\langle L_{\alpha_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is well-founded if $L_{\alpha_1} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\alpha_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \dots$ with $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots$ in S .

PROOF. Let $M = \text{Direct Limit}\langle L_{\alpha_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ and we identify $\text{sp}(M) = \text{standard part of } M$ with some L_γ . Note that $\omega_1^M = \sup\{\omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}} \mid i < \omega\} < \gamma$. But γ is admissible as either $L_\gamma = M$ or L_γ is the standard part of a model of KP . As $M \models \omega_1$ is the largest admissible, we can conclude that $\gamma = (\omega_1^M)^+$.

Now suppose $L_\gamma \neq M$ and choose i and $\Pi: L_{\alpha_i} \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ so that $\text{Range}(\Pi) \not\subseteq L_\gamma$. Let $\lambda < \alpha_i$ be so that $\Pi(\lambda) \notin L_\gamma$. Then $\omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}} < \lambda$. $L_{\alpha_i} \models \omega_1$ is the largest admissible, we may choose $\eta \in T$ such that $\text{Rk}(\eta) = \lambda$ where T is the $\omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}}$ -recursive tree constructed in Lemma 2 (where $\beta = \omega_1^{L_{\alpha_i}}$). Note that for arbitrary $\eta' \in T$, $\text{Rk}(\eta') < \infty$ if and only if player I has a winning strategy at position η' for the game described immediately before Lemma 2.

If $T' = \text{tree obtained from Lemma 2 when } \beta = \omega_1^M$ then $\Pi(T) = T'$ and $\Pi(\eta) = \eta$ has nonstandard $\text{Rk}' (= \text{Rk for } T')$. But then player II has a winning strategy in the T' -game. This easily yields a winning strategy for player II in the T -game, contradicting $\text{Rk}(\eta) < \infty$. Q.E.D.

Thus we have established

THEOREM 3. T is an ω_1 -recursive tree of $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank ω_1^+ .

An ω_1 -recursive structure of Scott rank ω_1^+ can now be obtained by considering $T^\omega = \text{infinite direct product of } \omega\text{-many copies of } T$. For then the analysis of $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank for T reduces to the Scott analysis of T^ω .

We end with an observation concerning $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ -singletons. Assume $V = L$. A function $f: L_{\omega_1} \rightarrow L_{\omega_1}$ is a $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ -singleton if it is the unique solution to a $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ formula $\phi(f)$ with a single variable for a total function. An ω_1 -recursive tree with a unique branch of length ω_1 yields a $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ -singleton. We will show that for any $\beta < \sigma = \text{least stable} > \omega_1$ there is an ω_1 -recursive tree with a unique branch of length ω_1 which is constructed in L past β . Note that any $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ -singleton must be a member of L_σ .

Note that $L_\sigma = \Sigma_1$ Skolem hull $(L_{\omega_1} \cup \{L_{\omega_1}\})$. Thus we can choose a Σ_1 formula $\phi(x, y, z)$ and $p \in L_{\omega_1}$ such that β is the unique solution to $\phi(x, \omega_1, p)$. Let α be the least admissible such that $\beta < \alpha$, $L_\alpha \models \phi(\beta, \omega_1, p)$ and $\alpha^* = \Sigma_1$ projection of $\alpha = \omega_1$.

We describe now an ω_1 -recursive tree T whose unique path f consists of an ω_1 -sequence of elementary submodels of L_α . This will suffice as clearly $f \notin L_\beta$. S consists of all $\bar{\alpha} < \omega_1$ such that

- (a) $L_{\bar{\alpha}} \models KP + \omega_1$ exists, $\bar{\alpha}^* = \omega_1^{L_{\bar{\alpha}}}$;
- (b) $p \in L_{\bar{\gamma}}$ where $\bar{\gamma} = \omega_1^{L_{\bar{\alpha}}}$, $L_{\bar{\alpha}} \models \phi(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\gamma}, p)$ for some $\bar{\beta} < \bar{\alpha}$;
- (c) $L_{\bar{\alpha}} \models$ There are no admissible $\delta > \beta$ s.t. $\delta^* = \omega_1$.

Then the tree $T = \{\langle \bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots \rangle \in \omega_1^{<\omega_1} \mid \bar{\alpha}_\delta \in S \text{ for all } \delta, \bar{\alpha}_\delta = \text{greatest } \bar{\alpha} < \bar{\alpha}_{\delta+1} \text{ s.t. } \exists \Pi: L_{\bar{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\bar{\alpha}_{\delta+1}}, \omega_1^{L_{\bar{\alpha}}} = \bigcup\{\omega_1^{L_{\bar{\alpha}_\delta}} \mid \delta < \lambda\}, \lambda \text{ limit, } \sim \exists \bar{\alpha} < \bar{\alpha}_0 \exists j: L_{\bar{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{\bar{\alpha}_0}\}$. It is not hard to check that Π as above is uniquely determined as every element of $L_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is definable over $L_{\bar{\alpha}}$ from $\bar{\beta}$ together with ordinals $\leq \omega_1^{L_{\bar{\alpha}}}$, for $\bar{\alpha} \in S$. So T is ω_1 -recursive.

Now define an ω_1 -sequence of elementary submodels $M_0 < M_1 < \dots$ of L_α by: $M_0 = \text{Skolem hull of } \{p, \omega_1, \beta\}$ in L_α , $\gamma_0 = M_0 \cap \omega_1$; $M_{\delta+1} = \text{Skolem hull of } \gamma_\delta \cup \{p, \omega_1, \beta\}$ inside L_α , $\gamma_{\delta+1} = M_{\delta+1} \cap \omega_1$; $M_\lambda = \bigcup\{M_\delta \mid \delta < \lambda\}$, $\gamma_\lambda = \bigcup\{\gamma_\delta \mid \delta < \lambda\}$ for limit λ . Then $\langle \bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots \rangle$ forms an ω_1 -branch through T where $\bar{\alpha}_\delta = \text{transitive collapse } (M_\delta)$.

If f is an ω_1 -branch through T then there are elementary embeddings $L_{f(0)} \xrightarrow{\cong} L_{f(1)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \dots$ and we can form the direct limit $L_{\alpha'}$. Now α' must be the least μ such that μ is admissible, $\mu^* = \gamma'$, $\mu > \beta'$, $L_\mu \models \phi(\beta', \gamma', p)$ for some $\beta' < \alpha'$, $\gamma' = \omega_1^{L_{\alpha'}}$. But $\gamma' = \omega_1$. So $\beta' = \beta$ since β is the unique solution to $\phi(x, \omega_1, p)$. It follows that $\alpha' = \alpha$ and hence $f(\delta) = \bar{\alpha}_\delta$ for all δ . Thus T has a unique ω_1 -branch.

We have shown that $\Pi_1(L_{\omega_1})$ -singletons are constructed in L cofinally in the least stable ordinal $\sigma > \omega_1$. By way of contrast all $\Pi_1(L_\omega)$ -singletons are constructed in L before $\omega^+ = \omega_1^{CK}$. The disparity here is due to the fact that well-foundedness is easily expressible over L_{ω_1} .

FINAL NOTE. The second author has found a way to modify the construction in §1 to produce an ω_1 -recursive structure of $L_{\infty\omega_1}$ -rank ω_1^+ . The key to the argument is in establishing the existence of an ω_1 -recursive tree of ω_1 -Rk ω_1^+ , where ω_1 -Rk is defined in analogy to our earlier definition of Rk. Then the appropriate structure is obtained from such a tree much as the structure M was obtained from T in §1.

REFERENCES

- [69] Jon Barwise, *Admissible sets and structures*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1969.
- [78] Sy D. Friedman, *An introduction to β -recursion theory*, Generalized Recursive Theory. II (Fenstad, Gandy, Sacks, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [81] ———, *Model theory for $L_{\infty\omega_1}$* (Proc. 1980–81 Logic Year, Jerusalem) (to appear).
- [74] Mark Nadel, *Scott sentences and admissible sets*, Ann. Math. Logic 7 (1974), 267–294.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL