

## THE NONEXISTENCE OF MAXIMAL INVARIANT MEASURES ON ABELIAN GROUPS

ANDRZEJ PELC

ABSTRACT. It is shown that every  $\sigma$ -additive  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure on an abelian group has a proper  $\sigma$ -additive invariant extension.

We consider  $\sigma$ -finite countably additive measures which vanish on points and are nonidentically zero. Throughout this paper the word "measure" will mean a measure enjoying all the above properties. A measure  $m$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $S$  of subsets of  $X$  is called invariant with respect to a group  $G$  of bijections of  $X$  if for any  $T \in G$  and  $A \in S$  the image  $T^*(A)$  is an element of  $S$  and  $m(T^*(A)) = m(A)$ . A measure  $m$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a group  $G$  is called invariant if it is invariant with respect to left translations.

Sierpiński (quoted in Szpilrajn [7]) asked whether there exists in Euclidean  $n$ -dimensional space  $E^n$  a maximal extension of the Lebesgue measure invariant with respect to the group of isometries of  $E^n$ . Hulanicki [2] proved that if  $|X|$  is less than the first real-valued measurable cardinal,  $|G| \leq |X|$ , and  $m$  is a measure on  $X$  invariant with respect to  $G$  and vanishing on sets of cardinality  $< |X|$ , then there exists a proper extension of  $m$  invariant with respect to  $G$ . Thus he solved Sierpiński's problem under additional set theoretic assumptions. Harazišvili [1] gave a negative answer to this question for  $n = 1$  without any extra hypotheses. He also proved that there is no maximal measure invariant with respect to translations on any Euclidean space. In other words the group of translations of  $E^n$  does not carry maximal invariant measures. Our theorem is a generalisation of the above result.

**THEOREM.** *Every invariant measure on an abelian group  $(G, +)$  has a proper invariant extension.*

**PROOF.** We start with the following lemma, essentially due to Szpilrajn [7]. The easy proof is left to the reader.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $m$  be an invariant measure on  $G$ . If there exists a set  $E \subset G$  such that:*

1.  *$E$  is not a set of  $m$  measure zero.*
2. *For every sequence  $\{g_n: n \in \omega\}$  of elements of  $G$ , there exists a sequence  $\{h_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of elements of  $G$  such that for distinct  $\alpha, \beta$ ,*

$$m \left( \left[ h_\alpha + \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (g_n + E) \right] \cap \left[ h_\beta + \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (g_n + E) \right] \right) = 0,$$

*then the measure  $m$  has a proper invariant extension.*

---

Received by the editors November 1, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28C10, 43A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Invariant measure, abelian group.

©1984 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Hence it suffices to show a set  $E$  with the above properties. Without loss of generality we assume that  $m$  is a complete measure (i.e. subsets of measure zero sets are measurable).

*Case 1. Additive groups of linear spaces over a countable field* (cf. Harazišvili [1] and Pelc [4]). Let  $V$  be a linear space over a countable field  $K$  and  $m$  any measure on  $V$  invariant with respect to addition. Fix a linear basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$  of  $V$  over  $K$  and let  $V_n$  denote the set of those elements of  $V$  which have  $n$  summands in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  representation.

Hence  $V = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} V_n$  and there exists the least number  $n_0$  for which  $V_{n_0}$  does not have measure 0. We claim that  $V_{n_0}$  also satisfies condition 2 of the lemma.

Let  $\{g_n: n \in \omega\}$  be a countable sequence of elements of  $V$  and

$$D = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (g_n + V_{n_0}).$$

As  $\{h_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\}$  for the lemma take any subset of  $\mathcal{B}$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$  whose elements do not appear in the  $\mathcal{B}$ -representation of  $g_i - g_j$  where  $(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega$ . Then  $w = h_\alpha + g_i + w_1 = h_\beta + g_j + w_2$ , where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are in  $V_{n_0}$ , if and only if  $g_i - g_j = h_\beta - h_\alpha + w_2 - w_1$ . Since  $h_\beta$  and  $h_\alpha$  are not used in the  $\mathcal{B}$ -representation of  $g_i - g_j$  and they are distinct, then either  $w_1 = kh_\alpha + w'$  or  $w_2 = kh_\alpha + w'$  for some  $k \in K$  and  $w'$  in  $V_{n_0-1}$ . Hence  $w = k'h_\alpha + g_i + w'$  or  $w = h_\beta + g_j + k'h_\alpha + w'$  for some  $k' \in K$  and  $w' \in V_{n_0-1}$  so that for  $\alpha \neq \beta$  the set  $(h_\alpha + D) \cap (h_\beta + D)$  is a subset of a countable union of translations of  $V_{n_0-1}$ . Therefore  $(h_\alpha + D) \cap (h_\beta + D)$  has  $m$  measure zero. Hence the set  $V_{n_0}$  satisfies the conditions of the lemma.

*Case 2. Torsion-free abelian groups.* Let  $G$  be a torsion-free abelian group. There exists a homomorphic embedding of  $G$  into the additive group of a linear space  $V$  over the field  $Q$  of rationals such that a certain basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_\alpha: \alpha < \kappa\}$  of  $V$  consists of elements of  $G$ . Let  $m$  be any invariant measure on  $G$ .

For any finite sequence  $s = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  of nonzero rationals let  $V_s$  be the set of elements of  $V$  of the form  $q_1 v_{\alpha_1} + \dots + q_n v_{\alpha_n}$  where  $\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_n$  and  $v_{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $s_0 = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$  be a sequence for which the set  $E = G \cap V_{s_0}$  is not a set of  $m$  measure 0. In order to check that  $E$  also satisfies condition 2 of the lemma, let  $\{g_n: n \in \omega\}$  be any sequence of elements in  $G$ . Take any uncountable set of elements  $w_\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  which do not appear in the  $\mathcal{B}$ -representation of any element  $g_n$ . Let  $k$  be a natural number different from all  $r_i, r_i - r_j$  ( $i, j \leq n$ ) and  $h_\alpha = kw_\alpha$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . We claim that

$$\left[ h_\alpha + \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (g_n + E) \right] \cap \left[ h_\beta + \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (g_n + E) \right] = \emptyset.$$

Indeed, suppose  $x$  is an element of the set on the left side. Then

$$x = kw_\alpha + g_n + r_1 v_{\alpha_1} + \dots + r_n v_{\alpha_n} = kw_\beta + g_m + r_1 v_{\beta_1} + \dots + r_n v_{\beta_n}.$$

Since  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $w_\alpha, w_\beta$  do not appear in the representation of  $g_n, g_m$ , we get that either  $k = r_i$  or  $k + r_i = r_j$  for some  $i, j \leq n$ , contradiction.

*Case 3. Arbitrary groups.* Let  $G$  be an arbitrary abelian group and  $m$  an invariant measure on  $G$ . By  $H$  denote the torsion subgroup of  $G$ . If  $m(H) = 0$  we define a measure  $m_1$  on  $G/H$ , putting  $m_1(\{a + H: a \in A\}) = m(\bigcup_{a \in A} (a + H))$

for  $A \subset G$  such that  $\bigcup_{a \in A} (a + H)$  is  $m$ -measurable. The measure  $m_1$  is clearly invariant (and vanishes on points since  $m(H) = 0$ ). The group  $G/H$  is torsion-free and, hence, by Case 2 there exists a set  $E_1 \subset G/H$  satisfying both conditions from the lemma for  $G/H$  and  $m_1$ . It is not hard to see that the set  $E = \bigcup E_1$  satisfies the conditions from the lemma for  $G$  and  $m$ .

If  $H$  is not a set of  $m$  measure 0 then let  $H_n$  (for  $n \geq 1$ ) denote the subgroup of  $H$  consisting of those elements whose orders divide  $n$ . Clearly  $H = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} H_n$  and let  $n_0$  be the least natural number for which  $H_{n_0}$  is not a set of  $m$  measure 0. We will prove the existence of a subset of  $H_{n_0}$  satisfying the conditions of our lemma by induction on the number of prime divisors of  $n_0$  (counting multiple divisors many times). If  $k = 1$  then  $n_0$  is prime and  $H_{n_0}$  is the additive group of a linear space over the field  $F_{n_0}$ . Next we proceed as in Case 1 and show that the set constructed there is as required (for  $G$  and  $m$ ).

Suppose that for  $n_0$  having  $k$  prime divisors there exists a set  $E \subset H_{n_0}$  satisfying the lemma. Now let  $n_0 = p_1 \cdots p_{k+1}$  ( $p_i$ -primes,  $k \geq 1$ ) and let  $H'$  be the subgroup of  $H_{n_0}$  consisting of elements of order  $p_1$ . Since  $m(H') = 0$ , we can define an invariant measure  $m'$  on  $G/H'$  just as before.  $H_{n_0}/H'$  is a subgroup of  $G/H'$  all of whose elements have orders dividing the number  $p_2 \cdots p_{k+1}$ . By definition  $H_{n_0}/H'$  is not a set of  $m'$  measure 0. Hence by the inductive hypothesis there exists a set  $E' \subset H_{n_0}/H'$  which satisfies the conditions of the lemma for the group  $G/H'$  and measure  $m'$ . It is easy to see that set  $E = \bigcup E'$  is now good for  $G$  and  $m$ , which finishes the proof in the general case.

#### REFERENCES

0. P. Erdős and R. D. Mauldin, *The nonexistence of certain invariant measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1976), 321–322.
1. A. B. Harazišvili, *On Sierpiński's problem concerning strict extendibility of an invariant measure*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **18** (1977), 71–74.
2. A. Hulanicki, *Invariant extensions of the Lebesgue measure*, Fund. Math. **51** (1962), 111–115.
3. A. Pelc, *Semiregular invariant measures on abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **86** (1982), 423–426.
4. —, *The nonexistence of maximal invariant extensions of the Lebesgue measure*, preprint.
5. —, *Invariant measures and ideals on discrete groups* (to appear).
6. C. Ryll-Nardzewski and R. Telgarsky, *The nonexistence of universal invariant measures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 240–242.
7. E. Szpilrajn, *Sur l'extension de la mesure lebesguienne*, Fund. Math. **25** (1935), 551–558.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, PKIN IXP, 00-901, WARSAW, POLAND