

## ALMOST EUCLIDEAN QUOTIENT SPACES OF SUBSPACES OF A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL NORMED SPACE

V. D. MILMAN

**ABSTRACT.** The main result of this article is Theorem 1 which states that a quotient space  $Y$ ,  $\dim Y = k$ , of a subspace of any finite dimensional normed space  $X$ ,  $\dim X = n$ , may be chosen to be  $d$ -isomorphic to a euclidean space even for  $k = \lfloor \lambda n \rfloor$  for any fixed  $\lambda < 1$  (and  $d$  depending on  $\lambda$  only).

The following theorem is proved.

**1. Theorem.** *For every  $d > 1$  there exists  $\lambda(d) > 0$  such that every  $n$ -dimensional normed space  $X$  contains a  $k$ -dimensional quotient space  $F$  of a subspace  $E \subset X$  which satisfies*

- (i)  $d(F, l_2^k) \leq d$ ,
- (ii)  $\dim F = k \geq \lambda(d)n$ .

(Here  $d(F, l_2^k)$  denotes a Banach-Mazur distance between two normed spaces; i.e.,

$$d(X, Y) = \inf\{\|T\| \cdot \|T^{-1}\| \text{ over all linear isomorphisms } T: X \rightarrow Y\}.$$

Moreover,  $\lambda(d) \rightarrow 1$  if  $d \rightarrow \infty$  and, for large  $d$ ,  $\lambda(d) \approx 1 - 3\sqrt{6}/\ln \ln d$ .

**REMARK 1.** It is enough to prove Theorem 1 for large  $d$  only, because, as proved in [M<sub>1</sub>], any  $d$ -isomorphic copy of  $l_2^m$  contains, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -isomorphic copy of  $l_2^k$ , where  $k \geq \kappa(\epsilon)m/d^2$  and  $\kappa(\epsilon) > 0$  depends on  $\epsilon > 0$  only.

**REMARK 2.** Of course, the theorem states that the dual  $E^*$  to  $E \subset X$  contains a subspace  $F^* \subset E^*$  which satisfies (i) and (ii) of the theorem.

**REMARK 3.** In [M<sub>2</sub>] the theorem was proved with a logarithmic factor, and this theorem was formulated as a problem. We refer the reader to this paper for relevant discussion.

**2. Notations.** Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional normed space, i.e.,  $R^n$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $(x, y)$  be an inner product on  $X$ ; consequently,  $|x| = (x, x)^{1/2}$  is a euclidean norm on  $X$ . For any  $x \in X$  let  $(1/a)|x| \leq \|x\| \leq b|x|$  and  $M_r = \int_{x \in S^{n-1}} \|x\|^r d\mu(x)$ , where  $S^{n-1} = \{x \in X: |x| = 1\}$  and  $\mu(x) \equiv \mu_{n-1}(x)$  is the normalized invariant (Haar) measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . Let  $\|x\|^* = \sup_{y \neq 0} (|(x, y)|/\|y\|)$ . Then  $(1/b)|x| \leq \|x\|^* \leq a|x|$ , and we define  $M_{r,*} = \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|^* d\mu(x)$ .

---

Received by the editors July 1, 1984 and, in revised form, August 25, 1984.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20, 46B25, 46C99.

*Key words and phrases.* Finite-dimensional spaces, euclidean spaces.

Let  $K = \{x \in X: \|x\| \leq 1\}$ ,  $K^* = \{x \in X: \|x\|^* \leq 1\}$ , and  $D = \{x \in X: |x| \leq 1\}$ . We consider also the usual ( $n$ -dimensional) Lebesgue measure ( $\text{Vol}_n$ ) on  $R^n$  normalized (for example) so that the induced measure on  $S^{n-1}$  coincides with  $\mu(x)$ ; that is,

$$\text{Vol}_n(D) = (1/n)\mu(S^{n-1}) = 1/n.$$

We will use the following geometrical inequalities:

(1)  $(\text{Vol}_n K / \text{Vol}_n D)^{1/n} \leq M_{r^*}$  (the Urysohn inequality [U]),

and

(2)  $\text{Vol}_n K \cdot \text{Vol}_n K^* \leq (\text{Vol}_n D)^2$  (the Santalo inequality [S]).

Also define  $M_r \cdot M_{r^*} = M$ .

3. We prove the following proposition (see also [M<sub>2</sub>]).

PROPOSITION. For every  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , there exists a subspace  $E \subset X$ ,  $\dim E \geq \lambda n$ , such that  $E^*$  contains a subspace  $F \subset E^*$ ,  $\dim F = k \geq \lambda^2 n$ , such that

$$d(F, l_2^k) \leq [C_1(M + 1)]^{1/(1-\lambda)^2},$$

where  $C_1$  is an absolute constant (say  $\sim 8\pi$ ).

PROOF. We start with a general argument valid for an arbitrary euclidean norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $R^n$ . This norm will be defined in §§5 and 6. We introduce an additional norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  on  $X$  such that

$$K_1 = \{x \in X: \|x\|_1 \leq 1\} = \text{Conv}(K \cup D).$$

Then  $K_1^* = \{x \in X: \|x\|_1^* \leq 1\} = K^* \cap D$  (i.e.,  $\|x\|_1^* = \max(\|x\|^*, |x|)$ ). Therefore,

$$M_{r_1^*} = \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_1^* d\mu(x) \leq M_{r^*} + 1.$$

Since  $\|x\|_1 \leq |x|$ , the so-called volume ratio of the pair  $(K_1; D)$  is

$$\text{vr}(K_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\text{Vol}_n K_1 / \text{Vol}_n D)^{1/n} \leq M_{r^*} + 1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A$$

(by (1)). Next we use the following statement, which is an immediate consequence of the technique of Szarek's proof [Sz] of Kashin's theorem [K] (for details see [M<sub>2</sub>]).

4. Statement. Let  $\text{vr}(K_1) \leq A$ . Fix  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Then for any  $k \leq \lambda n$  there exists a subspace  $E$ ,  $\dim E = k$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{2}(2\pi A)^{-\theta}|x| \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|,$$

where  $\theta = 1/(1 - \lambda)$ . The normalized Haar measure  $\nu_{n,k}$  of such subspaces in the Grassmann manifold  $G_{n,k}$  of  $k$ -dimensional subspaces of  $R^n$  is at least  $1 - 1/2^{n-1}$ .

5. We return to the proof of Proposition 3 and consider the normalization  $M_r = 1$ . Let  $\omega = \{x \in S: \|x\| \leq 2\}$ . Then obviously  $\mu(\omega) \geq 1/2$ . Fix  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $B = \{\xi \in G_{n,k}: \mu_\xi(\omega \cap \xi) \geq \delta\}$ . Again, obviously,  $\nu_{n,k}(B) = \gamma \geq (\frac{1}{2} - \delta)/(1 - \delta)$ . Then for any  $\xi \in B$ ,

$$\frac{\text{Vol}_\xi(K \cap \xi)}{\text{Vol}_\xi(D \cap \xi)} = \int_{S \cap \xi} \frac{1}{\|x\|^k} d\mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \delta.$$

Choose  $\delta = 1/4$  and so  $\gamma \geq 1/3$ .

Therefore, there exists a subspace  $E_0$  (and actually a large measure of subspaces) as in Statement 4 such that

$$(3) \quad \text{Vol}_k(K \cap E_0)/\text{Vol}_k D(E_0) \geq 1/2^k \cdot 1/4,$$

where  $k = \dim E_0$ . Consider now  $E_0^*$ . Then for any  $x \in E_0^*$ ,  $\|x\|^* \leq 2(2\pi A)^\theta |x|$ , and by, (3) and the Santalo inequality (2),

$$\text{Vol}_k(K \cap E_0)^*/\text{Vol}_k D(E_0) \leq 4 \cdot 2^k.$$

Therefore, introducing a new norm on  $E_0$ :  $\|x\|_2 = \|x\|^*/2(2\pi A)^\theta$ , we have that  $K_2 = \{x: \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$  has the volume ratio

$$\text{vr}(K_2) \leq A_1 = 4(2\pi A)^\theta \cdot 4^{1/k} \quad (\text{and } \|x\|_2 \leq |x|).$$

So we may use Statement 4 one more time for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  to finish the proof of Proposition 3.

6. Proposition 3 contains a number  $M$  which depends on the choice of a euclidean norm in  $R^n$ . It is known [F. T.] that for every  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  there exists a euclidean norm  $|\cdot|$  such that  $M + 1 \leq c_2 \|\text{Rad}_X\|$ , where  $c_2$  is an absolute constant and  $\|\text{Rad}_X\|$  is the norm of the so-called Rademacher projection of  $L_2(X)$  onto  $\text{Rad } X$ , which, as G. Pisier [P] has proved, may be estimated by

$$(4) \quad \|\text{Rad}_X\| \leq c_3 \ln(d_X + 1),$$

where  $c_3$  is an absolute constant and  $d_X = d(X, l_2^n) \leq \sqrt{n}$ . Therefore, in particular,

$$\|\text{Rad}_X\| \leq c_3 \ln(n + 1).$$

7. Using 6, we may write in Proposition 3 that

$$(5) \quad d(F, l_2^k) \leq [c \ln(d_X + 1)]^{1/(1-\lambda)^2} \leq [c \ln(n + 1)]^{1/\lambda(1-\lambda)^2},$$

where  $c$  is a universal constant. We now use Proposition 3 and (5) consecutively many times starting with  $\lambda_1$ , obtaining a space  $F_1$  (as in Proposition 3),  $\dim F_1 = k_1 \geq \lambda_1^2 n$  with

$$d_1 = d(F_1, l_2^{k_1}) \leq [c \ln(n + 1)]^{1/\lambda_1(1-\lambda_1)^2}.$$

For the second step we apply the same Proposition 3 to space  $F_1$  (instead of  $X$ ) with  $\lambda_2$  and obtain a space  $F_2$ ,  $\dim F_2 = k_2 \geq (\lambda_2 \lambda_1)^2 n$  with

$$d_2 = d(F_2, l_2^{k_2}) \leq [c \ln(d_1 + 1)]^{1/\lambda_2(1-\lambda_2)^2},$$

and so on.

It remains to state how we choose  $\lambda_t, t = 1, 2, \dots$ . The notations  $\ln^{(t)}A$  will be used for the  $t$ -times iterated logarithm of  $A$  (so  $\ln^{(2)}A = \ln \ln A$ ) if for any  $k \leq t$ , the  $k$ -iterated logarithm of  $A$  is at least 2 and just 2 in the opposite case. With such an agreement we write, in (5),  $\ln^{(1)}d_X (= \ln d_X)$  and  $\ln^{(1)}n$  instead of  $\ln(d_X + 1)$  and  $\ln(n + 1)$ .

For every  $t \geq 1$ , take  $\lambda_t = 1 - \sqrt{6} / \ln^{(t+1)}n$ , and we obtain, by using Proposition 3  $t$ -times, a space  $F_t$ ,

$$(6) \quad \dim F_t = k_t \geq \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \sqrt{6} / \ln^{(i+1)}n)^2 n$$

and

$$d_t = d(F_t, l_2^{k_t}) \leq (c \ln d_{t-1})^{(\ln^{(t+1)}n)^2/6}.$$

We assume now that  $c < \ln d_{t-1}$ , and we just stop our iteration in the opposite case,  $d_{t-1} \leq e^c$ . Therefore,

$$d_t \leq (\ln d_{t-1})^{(\ln^{(t+1)}n)^2/3}$$

and

$$(7) \quad \ln d_t \leq ((\ln^{(t+1)}n)^2/3) \ln^2 d_{t-1}.$$

Now,

$$\ln d_1 \leq (\ln^2 n)^3/3 \leq (\ln^2 n)^3, \quad \ln d_2 \leq (\ln^3 n)^3,$$

and, in general,

$$(8) \quad \ln d_t \leq (\ln^{(t+1)}n)^3.$$

Now take  $d$  from the statement of Theorem 1. By Remark 1 we may assume  $d$  large enough; so let  $d > e^c$  and  $a = (\ln \ln d)/3 > \sqrt{6} \cdot 2$ . We stop our iteration procedure for  $t$  such that for the last time,  $\ln^{(t+1)}n \geq a$  (i.e.,  $e^a > \ln^{(t+1)}n$ ), which implies, by (8) and (7),  $d_t \leq \exp(e^{3a}) = d$ . Of course, the iteration could have stopped before because of the first condition if, for some  $j < t$ ,  $d_j \leq e^c < d$ . Therefore, in both cases we have found a space  $F_j, j \leq t$ , such that  $d(F_j, l_2^{k_j}) \leq d$ . It remains to estimate  $\dim F_t = k_t$  using (6). On the last step of the iteration we have  $\ln^{(t+1)}n \geq a (> 2\sqrt{6})$ , and, therefore,  $\lambda_t \geq (1 - \sqrt{6}/a)$ ,  $\lambda_{t-1} \geq (1 - \sqrt{6}/e^a)$ , and so on; so it is enough to estimate from below the infinite product

$$(1 - \sqrt{6}/a) \cdot (1 - \sqrt{6}/e^a) \cdots (1 - \sqrt{6}/e^{\dots^a}) \cdots \geq \prod_{t=0}^{\infty} (1 - \sqrt{6}/a^{2^t}) = f(a) \rightarrow 1$$

if  $a \rightarrow \infty$ , and, therefore, we prove the principal part of Theorem 1. It is also clear that the main part of this product is the first term  $f(a) \approx 1 - \sqrt{6}/a = 1 - 3\sqrt{6}/\ln \ln d$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was completed during my stay at the University Paris VI, and I thank this University and, especially, Professor G. Pisier for their hospitality.

ADDED IN PROOF. I have now obtained the following better estimate for the function  $\lambda(d)$  in Theorem 1:

$$\lambda(d) \geq 1 - c\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{d}} \quad \text{for large } d.$$

REFERENCES

[F. T.] T. Figiel and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Projections onto Hilbertian subspaces of Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math 33 (1979), 155–171.  
 [K] B. S. Kashin, *Diameters of some finite dimensional sets and of some classes of smooth functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. 41 (1977), 334–351. (Russian)

[M<sub>1</sub>] V. D. Milman, *New proof of the theorem of Dvoretzky on sections of convex bodies*, Functional Anal. Appl. **5** (1971), 28–37. (Russian)

[M<sub>2</sub>] ———, *Geometrical inequalities and mixed volumes in local theory of Banach spaces*, Colloque Laurent Schwartz, Soc. Math. France, 1985.

[P] G. Pisier, *Remarques sur un resultat non publie de B. Maurey*, Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle, 1980–1981, Exp. V.

———, *K-convexity*, Proc. Res. Workshop on Banach Space Theory (Univ. of Iowa, 1981), pp. 139–151.

[S] L. A. Santalo, *Un invariante afin para los cuerpos convexos de espacios de  $n$  dimensiones*, Portugal. Math. **8** (1949).

[Sz] S. J. Szarek, *Volume estimates and nearly Euclidean decompositions for normed spaces*, Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle, 1979–1980, Exp. XXV.

[U] P. S. Urysohn, *Mean width and volume of convex bodies in an  $n$ -dimensional space*, Mat. Sb. **31** (1924), 477–486.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

*Current address:* IHES, 91 Bures sur Yvette, 91440, France