

ON PERFECT C^* -ALGEBRAS

R. J. ARCHBOLD

ABSTRACT. It is shown that injective C^* -algebras are perfect, as are certain maximal simple C^* -algebras. Some properties of the perfect C^* -algebra A_c arising from a UHF algebra A are obtained by considering a masa with the extension property.

1. Introduction. A notion of perfection for C^* -algebras has been introduced in [17] and studied further in [2, 1]. The definition is as follows. Let A be a C^* -algebra, considered as acting in its universal representation so that we may identify the generated von Neumann algebra with A^{**} [12, 12.1.3]. Let z be the central projection supporting the atomic part of A^{**} , and let $P(A)$ denote the set of pure states of A . Then A_c is defined to be the set of those elements $b \in zA^{**}$ such that b , b^*b and bb^* are continuous on $P(A) \cup \{0\}$. In fact, A_c is a C^* -subalgebra of zA^{**} [17, 2]. The algebra A is said to be *perfect* if $A_c = zA$.

It has been shown in [1] that every separable non-type I C^* -algebra is not perfect. In contrast, we show in Theorem 2.1 that every injective C^* -algebra is perfect. This highlights the importance of the separability assumption in [1], since infinite-dimensional injective von Neumann algebras are examples of perfect, nonseparable, non-type I C^* -algebras.

In §3 we show that certain maximal simple C^* -algebras (in the sense of Birrell [10]) are perfect. Taken with [1, Theorem 3.11], this gives a partial answer to a question raised by Birrell.

In §4 we show that if a C^* -algebra A has a maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebra (masa) with the extension property then this can be used to give information about A_c . This is illustrated in the case where A is a uniformly hyperfinite (UHF) algebra.

2. Injective C^* -algebras. We recall from [13] that a unital C^* -algebra A is said to be *injective* if, given any unital C^* -algebras B and B_1 with $1 \in B \subseteq B_1$ and any unital completely positive linear map $\theta: B \rightarrow A$, there exists a completely positive linear map $\theta_1: B_1 \rightarrow A$ which extends θ . It follows that injectivity is invariant under $*$ -isomorphism. In the special case where $B = A$ and θ is the identity map, θ_1 is a projection of norm one from B_1 onto A .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra which is injective. Then A is perfect.*

PROOF. Since zA is injective there exists a projection of norm one P from A_c onto zA .

Let $\phi \in P(A)$, and let $\bar{\phi}$ denote the normal extension of ϕ to A^{**} and let $\phi \sim \bar{\phi}|_{A_c}$. Let $\phi_1(za) = \phi(a)$ ($a \in A$), so that $\phi_1 \in P(zA)$. Since $\bar{\phi}((1-z)A^{**}) =$

Received by the editors May 2, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05, 46L30.

Key words and phrases. Perfect C^* -algebra, injective, maximal simple, masa, UHF algebra.

$\{0\}$, $\phi^\sim|zA = \phi_1$. Since the extension of ϕ_1 to a state of A_c is unique [2, Proposition 2.9], $\phi^\sim = \phi_1 \circ P$.

Let $x \in A_c$. Then $(\phi_1 \circ P)(x) = \phi^\sim(x)$ and so $\phi^\sim(x - P(x)) = 0$. Since $\{\phi^\sim|\phi \in P(A)\}$ is $\sigma(A_c^*, A_c)$ dense in $P(A_c)$ [2, Proposition 2.9], $\rho(x - P(x)) = 0$ for all $\rho \in P(A_c)$. Thus $x = P(x) \in zA$.

Since type I von Neumann algebras are injective, Theorem 2.1 generalizes [2, Proposition 4.1]. It also follows from Theorem 2.1 that injective factors of type II_1 , or type III, on separable Hilbert space, are examples of infinite-dimensional, simple, perfect C^* -algebras. If A is an injective factor of type II_∞ and J is the norm-closed two-sided ideal generated by the finite projections, then by [2, Corollary 2.28] J is a (simple) perfect C^* -algebra.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is adapted below to show that if $P: B \rightarrow A$ is a projection of norm one from a C^* -algebra B onto a C^* -subalgebra A then B does not contribute in a nontrivial way to A_c .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let B be a unital C^* -algebra and let A be a C^* -subalgebra containing the identity of B . Suppose that there exists a projection of norm one P from B onto A . Then*

$$z_A B z_A \cap A_c = z_A A \quad (\text{in } B^{**})$$

where z_A is the central projection in A^{**} supporting the atomic part of A^{**} .

PROOF. Consider $A \subseteq B \subseteq B^{**}$, acting on the universal Hilbert space for B . Since the identity representation of A is quasi-equivalent to the universal representation of A , we may identify A^{**} with the ultraweak closure of A . Let $\tilde{P} = P^{**}|(z_A B z_A \cap A_c)$. Since P^{**} is a projection of norm one from B^{**} onto A^{**} ,

$$P^{**}(z_A b z_A) = z_A P(b) z_A \in z_A A \quad (b \in B).$$

Thus \tilde{P} is a positive linear map of norm one from $z_A B z_A \cap A_c$ onto $z_A A$.

Let $\phi \in P(A)$. We shall use the notation ϕ_1, ϕ^\sim as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $z_A B z_A \cap A_c$ is a selfadjoint subspace of A_c , containing z_A , $\phi^\sim \circ \tilde{P}$ extends to a state ψ of A_c [12, 2.10.1]. If $a \in z_A A$

$$\psi(a) = (\phi^\sim \circ \tilde{P})(a) = \phi^\sim(a) = \phi_1(a).$$

Hence $\psi = \phi^\sim$ by the uniqueness of extension for ϕ_1 .

Let $x \in z_A B z_A \cap A_c$. Then

$$\phi^\sim(\tilde{P}(x)) = \psi(x) = \phi^\sim(x).$$

As before, $\rho(x - \tilde{P}(x)) = 0$ for all $\rho \in P(A_c)$. Hence $x = \tilde{P}(x) \in z_A A$.

As an example, let B be the Cuntz algebra O_2 and let A be the UHF subalgebra F_2 . There is a projection of norm one from O_2 onto F_2 [11]. It is known that F_2 is not perfect [1], but Proposition 2.2 shows that O_2 does not contribute to this imperfection.

3. Maximal simple C^* -subalgebras. It is shown in [2, Corollary 2.22] that if A is a simple C^* -algebra then A_c is simple. This suggests that if A is in some sense maximal simple then perhaps A is perfect. Birrell [10] has introduced the notion of a maximal simple C^* -subalgebra of a given C^* -algebra (the existence being guaranteed by an application of Zorn's lemma). In particular, Birrell showed

the existence of a maximal simple C^* -subalgebra A of the C^* -algebra $L(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on a certain inseparable Hilbert space H such that A acts irreducibly on H and A is not $*$ -isomorphic to any von Neumann algebra.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A be a C^* -algebra acting irreducibly on a Hilbert space H and suppose that A is a maximal simple C^* -subalgebra of $L(H)$. Then A is perfect.*

PROOF. It is convenient to consider $A \subseteq A^{**}$ and to denote by π the given irreducible representation on H . Let $\bar{\pi}: A^{**} \rightarrow L(H)$ be the unique normal extension of π . Then there is a central projection $p (\leq z)$ in A^{**} such that $\ker \bar{\pi} = A^{**}(1-p)$, and $xp \rightarrow \bar{\pi}(x)$ ($x \in A^{**}$) is a $*$ -isomorphism of $A^{**}p$ onto $\overline{\pi(A)}$ ($= L(H)$).

Since $p(zA) = pA \neq \{0\}$ we have $pA_c \neq \{0\}$, and it follows from the simplicity of A_c [2, Corollary 2.22] that the map $b \rightarrow pb$ ($b \in A_c$) is a $*$ -isomorphism of A_c onto pA_c . By the maximality of $\pi(A)$ amongst simple C^* -subalgebras of $L(H)$, we obtain that $\bar{\pi}(pA_c) = \pi(A)$ and hence $pA_c = pA = p(zA)$. Since $zA \subseteq A_c$ and the map $b \rightarrow pb$ is one-to-one on A_c , it follows that $A_c = zA$.

The following corollary partly answers a separability question raised by Birrell [10, p. 144].

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra acting irreducibly on a Hilbert space H and suppose that A is a maximal simple C^* -subalgebra of $L(H)$. Then either $A = LC(H)$ (the C^* -algebra of compact linear operators on H) or else A is inseparable.*

PROOF. Suppose $A \neq LC(H)$. Since A is simple, $A \not\supseteq LC(H)$. Hence A is not a type I C^* -algebra. Since A is perfect (by Theorem 3.1), it follows from [1, Theorem 3.11] that A must be inseparable.

4. Masas in A and A_c . In this section we show that certain maximal abelian selfadjoint subalgebras (masas) in a C^* -algebra remain maximal when viewed as abelian subalgebras of A_c . In certain cases this can be used to obtain further information about A_c .

We recall that an abelian C^* -subalgebra B of a unital C^* -algebra A is said to have the *extension property* (EP) relative to A [3] if each pure state of B has a unique (pure) state extension to A . If B has the (EP) relative to A then, by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, B is necessarily a masa of A [15, 3]. Furthermore, B has the (EP) relative to A if and only if for each $a \in A$ the set $\overline{\text{co}}^n\{uau^* \mid u \text{ unitary in } B\}$ has nonempty intersection with B ($\overline{\text{co}}^n$ denotes norm-closed convex hull). When these conditions hold there is a unique projection of norm one P from A onto B such that

$$\{P(a)\} = B \cap \overline{\text{co}}^n\{uau^* \mid u \text{ unitary in } B\}$$

for each $a \in A$ [3, 7, 9].

For later use, we also recall now that A is said to have the *Dixmier property* if, for each $a \in A$, $\overline{\text{co}}^n\{uau^* \mid u \text{ unitary in } A\}$ has nonempty intersection with the centre of A [6].

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and let B be a masa with the (EP) relative to A . Then zB has the (EP) relative to A_c . In particular, zB is a masa of A_c .*

PROOF. Let $h \in P(zB)$ and let ψ_1, ψ_2 be states of A_c which extend h . Then $\psi_1|_{zA}$ and $\psi_2|_{zA}$ are state extensions of h . Since zB has the (EP) relative to

zA , $\psi_1|zA = \psi_2|zA \in P(zA)$. Since any pure state of zA has unique state extension to A_c [2, Proposition 2.9], we conclude that $\psi_1 = \psi_2$.

In [1, Theorem 3.11] it is shown that any separable non-type I C^* -algebra is not perfect. This is done by first showing that a UHF algebra is not perfect and then using a version of Glimm’s reduction [16, 6.7.3]. In view of the crucial role played by the UHF algebra in this approach, it seems natural to inquire further as to the nature of A_c for a UHF algebra A (see the example after Proposition 2.2 in this respect). We show below how Proposition 3.1 can be used to gain some information.

Let A be a UHF C^* -algebra. Then A has a masa B with the (EP) relative to A (any ‘diagonal’ masa has the (EP) relative to A [4]). By Proposition 2.1, zB has the (EP) relative to A_c and so there exists a projection of norm one P_c from A_c onto zB such that

$$(1) \quad P_c(x) \in \overline{\text{co}}^n\{uxu^* | u \text{ unitary in } zB\}$$

for each $x \in A_c$.

On the other hand A (and hence zA) has the Dixmier property. This is easy to see directly [5, 6] or may be obtained from the main theorem of [14] which states that for a unital simple C^* -algebra the Dixmier property is implied by the existence of at most one tracial state. Coupling this fact with (1) above we see that for $x \in A_c$

$$(2) \quad \overline{\text{co}}^n\{uxu^* | u \text{ unitary in } zA\} \cap \mathbf{C}z \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus A_c enjoys a strong form of the Dixmier property in which it is possible to use unitaries only from zA to effect the required averaging. It follows from (2) by a well-known argument (see, for example, [6]) that A_c has at most one tracial state. Indeed, a similar argument shows that A_c has at most one state ψ that is zA -central in the sense that

$$\psi(xa) = \psi(ax) \quad (a \in zA, x \in A_c).$$

Let τ be the unique tracial state of A , and let $\tau_1(za) = \tau(a)$ ($a \in A$) so that τ_1 is the unique tracial state of zA . Since zA is nuclear it follows that τ_1 is compressible relative to A_c , in the sense of [8], and so τ_1 has a zA -central extension ψ in the state space of A_c [8, Proposition 3.1]. As shown above, ψ is unique. It follows from (2) that for each $x \in A_c$

$$\{\psi(x)z\} = \overline{\text{co}}^n\{uxu^* | u \text{ unitary in } zA\} \cap \mathbf{C}z.$$

From (1) we obtain that $\psi(P_c(x)) \in \{\psi(x)\}$ for each $x \in A_c$. Thus

$$\psi(x) = \psi(P_c(x)) = \tau_1(P_c(x))$$

and so $\psi = \tau_1 \circ P_c$. It does not seem easy to show directly that $\tau_1 \circ P_c$ is zA -central.

To sum up, $\tau_1 \circ P_c$ extends τ_1 and is the unique zA -central state of A_c . Note that $\tau_1 \circ P_c$ is independent of the choice of B . It would be interesting to know whether or not $\tau_1 \circ P_c$ is a tracial state of A_c . Incidentally, since τ_1 is factorial and ψ is unique, it follows from [8, Propositions 3.1 and 2.5] that $\tau_1 \circ P_c$ is factorial.

Finally we remark that if A is a Bunce-Deddens C^* -algebra or an irrational rotation C^* -algebra then the presence of masas with the (EP) enables similar analyses to be undertaken. In particular A_c has a unique zA -central state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I am grateful to C. A. Akemann for several stimulating discussions on perfect C^* -algebras, and to the U.S.-U.K. Educational Commission for a Fulbright Travel Grant.

ADDENDUM. Charles Batty [*Semi-perfect C^* -algebras and the Stone-Weierstrass problem*, preprint] has recently shown that if A is a separable nuclear C^* -algebra then there is a $*$ -isomorphism Q_A of A_c into A^{**} such that $Q_A(za) = a$ ($a \in A$). With A a UHF C^* -algebra as above, let $\bar{\tau}$ be the unique normal extension of τ to A^{**} . Then $\bar{\tau} \circ Q_A$ is a tracial state of A_c , necessarily equal to the state $\tau_1 \circ P_c$ by the uniqueness result above.

REFERENCES

1. C. A. Akemann, J. Anderson and G. K. Pedersen, *Diffuse sequences and perfect C^* -algebras*, preprint.
2. C. A. Akemann and F. W. Shultz, *Perfect C^* -algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1985), No. 326.
3. J. Anderson, *Extensions, restrictions, and representations of states on C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **249** (1979), 303-329.
4. —, *A conjecture concerning the pure states of $B(H)$ and a related theorem*, Topics in Modern Operator Theory, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981, pp. 27-43.
5. R. J. Archbold, *Certain properties of operator algebras*, Ph.D. Thesis, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, 1972.
6. —, *An averaging process for C^* -algebras related to weighted shifts*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **35** (1977), 541-554.
7. —, *Extensions of states of C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **21** (1980), 351-354.
8. R. J. Archbold and C. J. K. Batty, *Extensions of factorial states of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **63** (1985), 86-100.
9. R. J. Archbold, J. W. Bunce and K. D. Gregson, *Extensions of states of C^* -algebras. II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **92** (1982), 113-122.
10. I. D. Birrell, *Maximal simple C^* -algebras. I*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **6** (1974), 141-144.
11. J. Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173-185.
12. J. Dixmier, *C^* -algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
13. E. G. Effros and E. C. Lance, *Tensor products of operator algebras*, Adv. in Math. **25** (1977), 1-34.
14. U. Haagerup and L. Zsido, *Sur la propriété de Dixmier pour les C^* -algèbres*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **298** (1984), 173-176.
15. R. V. Kadison and I. M. Singer, *Extensions of pure states*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 383-400.
16. G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.
17. F. W. Shultz, *Pure states as a dual object for C^* -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **82** (1982), 497-509.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93106

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE EDWARD WRIGHT BUILDING, ABERDEEN AB9 2TY, SCOTLAND, UNITED KINGDOM (Current address)