

## ON THE ERGODIC HILBERT TRANSFORM FOR LAMPERTI OPERATORS

RYOTARO SATO

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the proof of almost everywhere existence of the ergodic Hilbert transform for a class of Lamperti operators.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $T$  a bounded linear operator on  $L_p = L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  $T$  is called a *Lamperti operator* if it maps functions with disjoint support to the same. It is known (see e.g. [7, 9]) that Lamperti operators include  $L_p$  isometries,  $p \neq 2$ , and positive  $L_2$  isometries. If  $T$  is invertible, then by considering known results about the classical discrete Hilbert transform, the following question arises: Does the limit

$$(1) \quad Hf = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f \quad (f \in L_p)$$

exist in any sense? (Here the prime means that the term with zero denominator is omitted.) Under the assumption that  $T$  is induced by an invertible measure preserving transformation, Cotlar [3] proved that if  $1 < p < \infty$ , then (1) exists almost everywhere and in the strong operator topology; if  $p = 1$ , then (1) exists almost everywhere (see also Calderón [2] and Petersen [10]). In this paper we shall assume that  $T$  is an invertible Lamperti operator such that  $\sup\{\|T^n\|_p : -\infty < n < \infty\} < \infty$ , and prove that if  $1 < p < \infty$ , then (1) exists almost everywhere and in the strong operator topology; if  $p = 1$ , then, under the additional hypothesis that  $\sup\{\|T^n\|_\infty : -\infty < n < \infty\} < \infty$ , (1) exists almost everywhere. It is interesting to note that the author proved in [11] that if  $T$  is an invertible *positive* operator on  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , such that  $\sup\{\|T^n\|_p : -\infty < n < \infty\} < \infty$  then (1) exists almost everywhere and in the strong operator topology.

**2. Results.** The following maximal theorem is a key lemma to prove the almost everywhere existence of the ergodic Hilbert transform.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be an invertible Lamperti operator on  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , such that  $\sup\{\|T^n\|_p : -\infty < n < \infty\} = M < \infty$ . Define the ergodic maximal Hilbert transform  $H^*$ , associated with  $T$ , as*

$$(2) \quad H^* f = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left| \sum'_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f \right|.$$

---

Received by the editors September 6, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 47A35.

*Key words and phrases.* Ergodic Hilbert transform, Lamperti operators,  $L_p$  isometries, measure preserving transformations.

Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $M$ , such that  $\|H^* f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in L_p$ .

PROOF. It follows from Lamperti [9] (cf. also Kan [8]) that there exists a  $\sigma$ -endomorphism  $\Phi$  of the Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ , associated with the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , and a measurable function  $h$  on  $X$  such that

$$Tf = h \cdot \Phi f \quad \text{for all } f \in L_p,$$

where we denote by the same letter  $\Phi$  the linear operator on the space of measurable functions induced by the  $\sigma$ -endomorphism. Since  $T$  is invertible by hypothesis,  $|h| > 0$  on  $X$  and  $\Phi$  is one-to-one and onto from  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ . Thus we can define

$$Uf = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}h} \Phi^{-1} f \quad (f \in L_p).$$

Then

$$UTf = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}h} \Phi^{-1}(Tf) = \frac{1}{\Phi^{-1}h} (\Phi^{-1}h)f = f,$$

and so  $U = T^{-1}$ . Put  $h_1 = h$ ,  $h_0 = 1$ ,  $h_{-1} = 1/\Phi^{-1}h$ ,  $h_n = h_1 \cdot \Phi h_{n-1}$ , and  $h_{-n} = h_{-1} \cdot \Phi^{-1}h_{-n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). It follows that

$$(3) \quad T^j f = h_j \cdot \Phi^j f \quad (j = 0, \pm 1, \dots)$$

and

$$(4) \quad h_{j+k} = h_j \cdot \Phi^j h_k \quad (j, k = 0, \pm 1, \dots);$$

in fact (4) follows from the equalities

$$h_{j+k} \cdot \Phi^{j+k} f = T^{j+k} f = T^j(h_k \Phi^k f) = h_j \cdot \Phi^j h_k \cdot \Phi^{j+k} f.$$

For an integer  $K \geq 1$ , define the truncated maximal operator  $H_K^*$  as

$$H_K^* f = \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} T^k f \right| \quad \left( = \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} h_k \cdot \Phi^k f \right| \right).$$

Since  $\|T^j\|_p \leq M$  for all  $j$ , it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_K^* f\|_p^p &\leq \frac{M^p}{2L+1} \int \sum_{j=-L}^L |T^j H_K^* f|^p d\mu \\ &= \frac{M^p}{2L+1} \int \sum_{j=-L}^L |h_j \cdot \Phi^j(H_K^* f)|^p d\mu \\ &= \frac{M^p}{2L+1} \int \sum_{j=-L}^L \left| h_j \left[ \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} \Phi^j h_k \cdot \Phi^{j+k} f \right| \right] \right|^p d\mu \\ &= \frac{M^p}{2L+1} \int \sum_{j=-L}^L \left[ \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} h_{j+k} \cdot \Phi^{j+k} f \right| \right]^p d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{M^p}{2L+1} C^p \int \sum_{j=-L-K}^{L+K} |h_j \cdot \Phi^j f|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{M^p}{2L+1} C^p 2(L+K+1) M^p \|f\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality from the bottom is due to a known result about the classical discrete Hilbert transform (see e.g. Hunt, Muckenhoupt, and Wheeden [6]); letting  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\|H_K^* f\|_p^p \leq M^{2p} C^p \|f\|_p^p.$$

This completes the proof, since  $H_K^* f \uparrow H^* f$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be as in Theorem 1. Then the limit (1) exists almost everywhere and in the strong operator topology.*

**PROOF.** See the proof of Theorem 2 in [11].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be an invertible Lamperti operator on  $L_1$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \sup\{\|T^n\|_1: -\infty < n < \infty\} = M_1 < \infty$$

and

$$(6) \quad \sup\{\|T^n\|_\infty: -\infty < n < \infty\} = M_\infty < \infty.$$

*Then the limit (1) exists almost everywhere.*

To prove this, we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $T$  be as in Theorem 3. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $f \in L_1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$(7) \quad \mu\{H^* f > \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_1.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $T^j f = h_j \cdot \Phi^j f$  by (3), it may be assumed without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by a countable collection of sets in  $X$ . Then, by considering a finite equivalent measure and making use of the isomorphism of a separable nonatomic normalized measure algebra with the measure algebra of the unit interval (cf. [5, p. 173]), we observe that  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -isomorphic to the Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  associated with a certain measure space  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel subset of  $[0, 1]$  and  $\lambda$  is a finite measure. Thus we may assume that  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is one-to-one and onto from  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$ , it follows (see e.g. [1, pp. 69–73]) that there exists a one-to-one and onto mapping  $S$  from  $X$  to  $X$  such that

- (i)  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $SA \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda A > 0$  if and only if  $\lambda(SA) > 0$ ,
- (iii) for each integer  $j$  and measurable function  $f$ ,  $\Phi^j f = f \circ S^j$ .

It follows from (4) that for almost all  $x \in X$

$$(8) \quad h_{j+k}(x) = h_j(x)h_k(S^j x) \quad (j, k = 0, \pm 1, \dots).$$

Therefore for almost all  $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} |(T^j H_K^*)(x)| &= |h_j(x)H_K^* f(S^j x)| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} h_j(x)h_k(S^j x)f(S^{j+k} x) \right| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} h_{j+k}(x)f(S^{j+k} x) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From this and the fact that  $\|T^{-j}\|_\infty = \|1/h_j\|_\infty \leq M_\infty$  it follows that

$$H_K^* f(S^j x) \leq M_\infty \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{1}{k} h_{j+k}(x) f(S^{j+k} x) \right|.$$

Since  $\|T^j\|_1 \leq M_1$  and  $\|T^j\|_\infty = \|h_j\|_\infty \leq M_\infty$  for all  $j$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} (2L+1)\mu\{H_K^* f > \varepsilon\} &\leq M_1 \sum_{j=-L}^L \|T^j \chi_{\{H_K^* f > \varepsilon\}}\|_1 \\ &= M_1 \int \sum_{j=-L}^L |h_j(x)| \chi_{\{H_K^* f > \varepsilon\}}(S^j x) d\mu(x) \\ &= M_1 \int \sum_{\{j: -L \leq j \leq L, H_K^* f(S^j x) > \varepsilon\}} |h_j(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq M_1 \int \sum_{\{j: -L \leq j \leq L, \varepsilon/M_\infty < \max_{1 \leq n \leq K} |\sum_{k=-n}^n (1/k) h_{j+k}(x) f(S^{j+k} x)|\}} |h_j(x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{M_1 M_\infty^2}{\varepsilon} C \int \sum_{j=-L-K}^{L+K} |h_j(x) f(S^j x)| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{M_1 M_\infty^2}{\varepsilon} C 2(L+K+1) M_1 \|f\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality from the bottom is due to a known result about the classical discrete Hilbert transform (see e.g. [6]). Letting  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\mu\{H_K^* f > \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{M_1^2 M_\infty^2}{\varepsilon} C \|f\|_1,$$

and the proof is completed.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. The Riesz convexity theorem implies  $\|T^n\|_p \leq \max\{M_1, M_\infty\}$  for all integers  $n$  and  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Thus, by Theorem 2, the limit (1) exists almost everywhere for all  $f \in L_1 \cap L_p$ . Since  $H^* f < \infty$  almost everywhere for all  $f \in L_1$  by the above lemma, and since  $L_1 \cap L_p$  is a dense subspace of  $L_1$ , Banach's convergence theorem (see e.g. [4, p. 332]) establishes Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

1. P. Billingsley, *Ergodic theory and information*, Wiley, New York, 1965.
2. A. P. Calderón, *Ergodic theory and translation-invariant operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **59** (1968), 349-353.
3. M. Cotlar, *A unified theory of Hilbert transforms and ergodic theorems*, Rev. Mat. Cuyana **1** (1955), 105-167.
4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
5. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
6. R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt, and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 227-251.
7. A. Ionescu Tulcea, *Ergodic properties of isometries in  $L^p$  spaces*,  $1 < p < \infty$ , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 366-371.

8. C. H. Kan, *Ergodic properties of Lamperti operators*, Canad. J. Math. **30** (1978), 1206–1214.
9. J. Lamperti, *On the isometries of certain function-spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 459–466.
10. K. Petersen, *Another proof of the existence of the ergodic Hilbert transform*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), 39–43.
11. R. Sato, *On the ergodic Hilbert transform for operators in  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$*  (submitted).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY,  
OKAYAMA, 700, JAPAN