

## POSITIVE $p$ -SUMMING OPERATORS ON $L_p$ -SPACES

OSCAR BLASCO

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that for any Banach space  $B$  every positive  $p$ -summing operator from  $L^{p'}(\mu)$  in  $B$ ,  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ , is also cone absolutely summing. We also prove here that a necessary and sufficient condition that  $B$  has the Radon-Nikodým property is that every positive  $p$ -summing operator  $T: L^{p'}(\mu) \rightarrow B$  is representable by a function  $f$  in  $L^p(\mu, B)$ .

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we shall be concerned with a weaker concept than a  $p$ -absolutely summing operator [5] and stronger than a  $p$ -concave one [4]. This concept makes sense when we are dealing with operators  $T$  in  $L(X, B)$ , with  $X$  a Banach lattice. An operator which maps positive sequences  $\{x_n\}$  with  $\sup_{\|\xi\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \sum |\langle \xi, x_n \rangle|^p < \infty$  in sequences  $\{Tx_n\}$  such that  $\sum \|Tx_n\|^p < \infty$  will be called a positive  $p$ -summing operator.

In case  $p = 1$ , such operators are called order summing [2] or cone absolutely summing operators [7] and for  $1 < p < \infty$  they have already been considered by the author in [1]. Here we shall investigate the space of positive  $p$ -summing operators for spaces  $C(\Omega)$  and  $L^r(\mu)$  ( $1 \leq r < \infty$ ). We shall find that for any Banach space  $B$  the positive  $p$ -summing operators from  $L^{p'}(\mu)$  in  $B$  denoted by  $\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ , with  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ , are also cone absolutely summing ones.

We shall obtain a necessary and sufficient condition such that  $B$  has the Radon-Nikodým property in terms of these operators. This condition can be written as follows:

$$\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B) = L^p(\mu, B) \quad \text{for some } p, 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

**2. Definitions and preliminary results.** Throughout this paper  $X$  will denote a Banach lattice,  $B$  a Banach space, and  $L(X, B)$  the space of bounded operators from  $X$  into  $B$ . We shall write  $p'$  for the number such that  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . An operator  $T: X \rightarrow B$  is said to be positive  $p$ -summing if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , positive elements in  $X$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq C \sup_{\|\xi\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \xi, x_i \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

We shall denote by  $\Lambda_p(X, B)$  the space of positive  $p$ -summing operators. This space becomes a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Lambda_p}$  given by the infimum of the constants verifying (1).

---

Received by the editors March 14, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 47B10, 46B22.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -summing operator, cone absolutely summing, Radon-Nikodým property.

For  $p = \infty$  we consider  $\Lambda_\infty(X, B) = L(X, B)$  and  $\|T\|_{\Lambda_\infty} = \|T\|$ .

We shall also denote by  $\Pi_p(X, B)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p(X, B)$  the spaces of  $p$ -absolutely summing and  $p$ -concave operators respectively (see [5, 4]).

A simple use of the duality  $(l^p)^* = l^{p'}$  leads us to the following useful equality:

$$(2) \quad \sup_{\|\xi\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \xi, x_i \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} = \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right\|_X,$$

where

$$U_{p'}^+ = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^{p'} \leq 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

The first fact we shall notice is the relationship between these three types of operators.

PROPOSITION 1.

$$\Pi_p(X, B) \subseteq \Lambda_p(X, B) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_p(X, B) \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

PROOF. The first inclusion is completely obvious. To see the second one, let us take  $T$  in  $\Lambda_p(X, B)$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i\|_B^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i^+\|_B^p \right)^{1/p} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i^-\|_B^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \|T\|_{\Lambda_p} \left( \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^+ \right\|_X + \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i^- \right\|_X \right) \\ &\leq 2\|T\|_{\Lambda_p} \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |x_i| \right\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

By using the homogeneous functional calculus in a lattice given by Krivine we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i |x_i| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in U_{p'}^+,$$

and then

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|Tx_i\|_B^p \right)^{1/p} \leq 2\|T\|_{\Lambda_p} \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_X.$$

So  $T \in \mathcal{C}_p(X, B)$ .  $\square$

REMARKS. Let us give two examples to realize that these inclusions may be strict.

In Proposition 3 below we shall prove that  $\Lambda_p(L^1(\mu), B) = L(L^1(\mu), B)$  for all  $p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . On the other hand if we consider  $B$  a Banach space without the Radon-Nikodým property then there will exist an operator  $T: L^1(\mu) \rightarrow B$  which is not representable by a function (see [2]). Therefore this operator  $T$  cannot belong to  $\Pi_p(L^1(\mu), B)$  since every  $p$ -absolutely summing operator is weakly compact and these last ones are always representables (see [2, p. 75]).

An example of a  $p$ -concave operator and a nonpositive  $p$ -summing one may simply be the identity  $I: l^p \rightarrow l^p$  for  $1 < p \leq 2$ . This fact can be shown by taking  $\{e_n\}$  as the usual basis in  $l^p$  and by noticing that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|e_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} = n^{1/p}$$

and

$$\sup_{\|\xi\|_{p'} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \xi, e_i \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} = \sup_{\|\xi\|_{p'} \leq 1} \|\xi\|_p \leq \sup_{\|\xi\|_{p'}=1} \|\xi\|_{p'} \leq 1.$$

We state here two facts which we shall use later. Part (3) is immediate and part (4) can be proved by standard arguments.

PROPOSITION 2.

- (3)  $\text{If } X_1 \subseteq X_2, \bar{X}_1 = X_2, \text{ and } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \text{ then}$   
 $\Lambda_p(X_2, B) \subseteq \Lambda_p(X_1, B),$
- (4)  $\Lambda_p(X, B) \subseteq \Lambda_q(X, B) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty.$

**3. The main results.** In this section we shall denote by  $\Omega$  a compact space and by  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  a finite measure space. We shall write  $L^p(\mu, B)$  for the space of measurable functions on  $\Omega$  with

$$\|f\|_p = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|f(t)\|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The  $p$ -absolutely summing operators for  $L^r$ -spaces have been considered by several authors, for instance in [4, 6]. Here we shall study the positive  $p$ -summing ones, obtaining some analogous results.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let*  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

- (5)  $\Pi_p(C(\Omega), B) = \Lambda_p(C(\Omega), B) = \mathcal{C}_p(C(\Omega), B),$
- (6)  $\Lambda_p(L^1(\mu), B) = L(L^1(\mu), B).$

PROOF We can obtain (5) as an easy consequence of the following fact.

For  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$  belonging to  $C(\Omega)$ , by using (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\psi_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_{C(\Omega)} &= \sup_{t \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\psi_i(t)|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \sup_{t \in \Omega} \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(t) \alpha_i \right| \\ &= \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \psi_i \right\|_{C(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3) it suffices to show that  $\Lambda_1(L^1(\mu), B) = L(L^1(\mu), B)$  to see (6). Now given  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n \geq 0$  in  $L^1(\mu)$  and  $T$  an operator in  $L(L^1(\mu), B)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(\psi_i)\|_B &\leq \|T\| \sum_{i=1}^n \|\psi_i\|_1 = \|T\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \right\|_1 \\ &= \|T\| \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in L^\infty(\mu) \\ \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1}} \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle \varphi, \psi_i \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T$  belongs to  $\Lambda_1(L^1(\mu), B)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 1.**

$$(7) \quad \Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B) = \Lambda_1(L^{p'}(\mu), B) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

**PROOF.** Cases  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$  are already proved. Let us suppose  $1 < p < \infty$  and let us take  $T$  in  $\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ . We are going to see that  $T$  belongs to  $\Lambda_1(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ .

Let us consider the finitely additive measure  $G: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B$  defined by  $G(E) = T(\chi_E)$  for all measurable sets  $E$ . It is easy to verify that  $G$  is countably additive. Now given  $E$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and denoting by  $\pi_E$  the finite partitions of  $E$ , by Hölder's inequality and from (2) we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} |G|(E) &= \sup_{\pi_E} \sum_{i=1}^n \|G(A_i)\| \\ &= \sup_{\pi_E} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(\mu(A_i)^{-1/p'} \cdot \chi_{A_i})\| \mu(A_i)^{1/p'} \\ &= \sup_{\pi_E} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| T(\mu(A_i)^{-1/p'} \chi_{A_i}) \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \mu(E)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \mu(E)^{1/p'} \cdot \|T\|_{\Lambda_p} \sup_{\alpha \in U_{p'}^+} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i)^{-1/p'} \cdot \chi_{A_i} \right\|_{p'} \\ &= \|T\|_{\Lambda_p} \cdot \mu(E)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that  $|G|$  is a finite positive measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ . Therefore the Radon-Nikodým theorem implies that there exists a function  $g \geq 0$  in  $L^1(\mu)$  with  $|G|(E) = \int_E g(t) d\mu$  for all  $E$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let us prove that  $g$  belongs to  $L^p(\mu)$ . Indeed, since

$$\|g\|_p = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_\Omega g(t) s(t) d\mu \right| : s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}, \|s\|_{p'} \leq 1 \right\},$$

then it is clear that

$$\|g\|_p \leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |G|(E_i) \cdot \alpha_i : \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^{p'} \mu(E_i) \leq 1, \alpha_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

By checking this sum we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |G(E_i)\alpha_i| &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sup_{\pi_{E_i}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_i} \|G(E_{i,j})\| \right) \alpha_i \\ &\leq \sup_{\pi_\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{j_i} \|G(E_{i,j})\| \alpha_{i,j}, \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j}^{p'} \mu(E_{i,j}) \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_p &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \|G(A_k)\| \cdot \beta_k : \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k^{p'} \mu(A_k) \leq 1, m \in \mathbf{N}, \beta_k \geq 0 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \|T(\mu(A_k)^{-1/p'} \chi_{A_k})\| \cdot \gamma_k, \sum_{k=1}^m \gamma_k^{p'} \leq 1, m \in \mathbf{N}, \gamma_k \geq 0 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \|T(\mu(A_k)^{-1/p'} \cdot \chi_{A_k})\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|T\|_{\Lambda_p}. \end{aligned}$$

From this  $\|g\|_p = \|T\|_{\Lambda_p}$ .

Now since  $\|T(\chi_E)\| \leq \int_\Omega \chi_E \cdot g(t) d\mu$  we can obtain

$$(8) \quad \|T(\psi)\| \leq \int_\Omega |\psi(t)|g(t) d\mu \quad \text{for all } \psi \in L^{p'}(\mu).$$

From (8) it is easy to verify that  $T$  belongs to  $\Lambda_1(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ . Indeed, given  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n \geq 0$  in  $L^{p'}(\mu)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \|T(\psi_i)\| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_\Omega \psi_i(t)g(t) d\mu \\ &= \int_\Omega g(t) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(t) \right) d\mu \leq \|g\|_p \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|T\|_{\Lambda_p} = \|T\|_{\Lambda_1}$ , and this finished the proof.  $\square$

Let us remark that Rosenthal's result [6] together with the fact, proved by Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński in [3], that  $\Pi_2(C(\Omega), L^p(\mu)) = L(C(\Omega), L^p(\mu))$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  allow us to state that for any Banach space  $B$

$$(9) \quad \Pi_2(L^p(\mu), B) = \Pi_1(L^p(\mu), B) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

This result has an analogue in our context.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , then*

$$\Lambda_2(L^p(\mu), B) = \Lambda_1(L^p(\mu), B).$$

Let us recall that  $B$  has the Radon-Nikodým property if and only if every operator  $T$  in  $L(L^1(\mu), B)$  is representable by a function  $f$  in  $L^\infty(\mu, B)$ ; in our terminology,

$$(10) \quad \Lambda_\infty(L^1(\mu), B) = L^\infty(\mu, B).$$

This result can be extended for every value of  $p$ .

First of all, every function  $f$  in  $L^p(\mu, B)$  determines an operator  $L: L^{p'}(\mu) \rightarrow B$  given by

$$T(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} f(t)\psi(t) d\mu.$$

It is simple computation to verify that  $T$  belongs to  $\Lambda_1(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$  and therefore to  $\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ . This means that  $L^p(\mu, B) \subseteq \Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ . In addition we have the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$B$  has the Radon-Nikodým property.*
- (b)  *$\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B) = L^p(\mu, B)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let us suppose  $B$  has the Radon-Nikodým property and let us take  $T$  in  $\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$ .

By considering  $G(E) = T(\chi_E)$  we proved in Theorem 1 that  $G$  is a measure absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and with bounded variation. The Radon-Nikodým property of  $B$  implies the existence of a function  $f$  in  $L^1(\mu, B)$  such that  $G(E) = \int_E f(t) d\mu$ .

Therefore  $|G|(E) = \int_E \|f(t)\| d\mu$  and as in the demonstration of Theorem 1 it can be shown that  $f$  belongs to  $L^p(\mu, B)$  and, besides,  $T$  is representable by  $f$ .

To see the converse let us suppose  $\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B) = L^p(\mu, B)$  and let us take an operator  $T$  in  $L(L^1(\mu), B)$ . From (6) and (3) we have  $T$  in  $\Lambda_p(L^{p'}(\mu), B)$  and therefore  $T$  is representable by a function in  $L^p(\mu, B)$ , this is  $T(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(t)f(t) d\mu$  for every simple function  $\psi$ .

Finally a standard argument shows that  $f$  actually belongs to  $L^\infty(\mu, B)$  and  $T(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} f(t)\psi(t) d\mu$  for all  $\psi$  in  $L^1(\mu)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. O. Blasco, *Boundary values of vector valued harmonic functions considered as operators*, Studia Math. (to appear).
2. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys, no. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1977.
3. J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Absolutely summing operators in  $L_p$ -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math. **29** (1968), 241-252.
4. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*, vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1979.
5. A. Pietsch, *Absolut  $p$ -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Räumen*, Studia Math. **28** (1967), 333-353.
6. H. P. Rosenthal, *On subspaces of  $L^p$* , Ann of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 344-373.
7. H. H. Schaeffer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974.

DEPARTAMENTO DE TEORÍA DE FUNCIONES, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, 50009-ZARAGOZA, SPAIN

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, Illinois 61801