

## ERGODIC GROUP ACTIONS WITH NONUNIQUE INVARIANT MEANS

CHING CHOU

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $M(X, G)$  be the set of  $G$ -invariant means on  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ , where  $G$  is a countable group acting ergodically as measure preserving transformations on a nonatomic probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ . We show that if there exists  $\mu \in M(X, G)$ ,  $\mu \neq P$ , then  $M(X, G)$  contains an isometric copy of  $\beta N \setminus N$ , where  $\beta N \setminus N$  is considered as a subset of  $(l^\infty)^*$ . This provides an answer to a question raised by J. Rosenblatt in 1981.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$  be a nonatomic probability space,  $G$  a countable group, and  $(g, x) \rightarrow gx$  a measure preserving ergodic action of  $G$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ . Then  $G$  also acts on  $L^\infty(X) = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ :  $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ ,  $f \in L^\infty(X)$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in X$ . A positive linear functional of norm 1 on  $L^\infty(X)$  is called a mean. A mean  $m$  is said to be  $G$ -invariant if  $m(g \cdot f) = m(f)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $f \in L^\infty(X)$ . We will denote the set of  $G$ -invariant means on  $L^\infty(X)$  by  $M(X, G)$ . If we consider  $P$  as the functional on  $L^\infty(X)$  that sends  $f$  to  $\int f dP$ , then  $P \in M(X, G)$ .

It is natural to ask under what conditions will  $P$  be the unique  $G$ -invariant mean on  $L^\infty(X)$ . This problem was first studied by del Junco and Rosenblatt [3]. They proved that if  $G$  is amenable then  $M(X, G) \not\supseteq \{P\}$  by showing that  $X$  contains small almost invariant sets. The existence of almost invariant sets was also studied by Schmidt [10]. These two papers inspired further investigations of  $G$ -invariant means by Rosenblatt [9], Schmidt [11], and Losert and Rindler [6], among others.

In this short note we will only address a question raised by Rosenblatt in [9]: If  $G$  is amenable then  $M(X, G) \not\supseteq \{P\}$ ; what can be said about the cardinality of  $M(X, G)$ ? We will show that whenever  $M(X, G)$  is not a singleton (in particular, when  $G$  is amenable) then  $\text{card } M(X, G) \geq 2^c$ , where  $c$  is the cardinality of the continuum. To prove our result, we will need the following fundamental result of Rosenblatt [9, Theorem 1.4]; see also [3].

**THEOREM A (ROSENBLATT [9]).**  $M(X, G) \not\supseteq \{P\}$  if and only if there exists a sequence of measurable sets  $\{A_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

- (i)  $P(A_n) > 0$ ,  $\lim_n P(A_n) = 0$ ,
- (ii) for each  $g \in G$ ,  $\lim_n P(A_n \Delta gA_n)/P(A_n) = 0$ .

A sequence  $\{A_n\}$  that satisfies (i) and (ii) is called an arbitrarily small asymptotically invariant sequence in [9].

---

Received by the editors April 21, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A07, 28D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Invariant means, ergodic group actions, asymptotically invariant sequences.

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\theta \in (l^\infty)^* : \theta \geq 0, \|\theta\| = 1, \text{ and } \theta((t_n)) = 0 \text{ whenever } (t_n) \in l^\infty \text{ and } \lim_n t_n = 0\}$ . Let  $N$  be the set of positive integers with discrete topology and  $\beta N$  its Stone-Ćech compactification. Then  $\beta N \setminus N$  can be considered as a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and consequently,  $\text{card } \mathcal{F} = 2^c$ . (In fact, the  $w^*$ -closed convex hull of  $\beta N \setminus N$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ .) We are now ready to state and prove our main result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a countable group and let  $(g, x) \rightarrow gx$  be a measure preserving ergodic action of  $G$  on a nonatomic probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ . If  $M(X, G) \not\subseteq \{P\}$  then there exists a linear isometry  $\Lambda$  of  $(l^\infty)^*$  into  $L^\infty(X)^*$  such that  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) \subset M(X, G)$ ; in particular,  $\text{card } M(X, G) \geq 2^c$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem A, there exists a sequence of measurable sets  $\{A_n\}$  in  $X$  which satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). By taking a subsequence, if necessary, we may replace (i) by

$$(i)' \quad 0 < P(A_{n+1}) < \frac{1}{2^n} P(A_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Write  $A_n = B_n \cup C_n$  where  $B_n = A_n \setminus (A_{n+1} \cup A_{n+2} \cup \dots)$  and  $C_n = A_n \cap (A_{n+1} \cup A_{n+2} \cup \dots)$ . Then, by (i)',

$$(iii) \quad P(C_n) \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^\infty P(A_j) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} P(A_n) + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} P(A_{n+1}) + \dots < \frac{1}{2^n} P(A_n) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots\right) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} P(A_n).$$

If  $g \in G$ , then  $B_n \setminus gB_n \subset (A_n \setminus gA_n) \cup gC_n$ , and hence

$$\frac{P(B_n \setminus gB_n)}{P(B_n)} \leq \left(\frac{P(A_n \setminus gA_n)}{P(A_n)} + \frac{P(gC_n)}{P(A_n)}\right) \frac{P(A_n)}{P(B_n)}.$$

Note that by (ii),  $P(A_n \setminus gA_n)/P(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and by (iii),

$$P(gC_n)/P(A_n) = P(C_n)/P(A_n) \leq 1/2^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$P(A_n)/P(B_n) = P(A_n)/(P(A_n) - P(C_n)) \leq 1/(1 - 1/2^{n-1}) \rightarrow 1.$$

So  $P(B_n \setminus gB_n)/P(B_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, we have constructed a sequence of measurable sets  $\{B_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

- (a)  $P(B_n) > 0, \lim_n P(B_n) = 0,$
- (b)  $\lim_n P(B_n \Delta gB_n)/P(B_n) = 0$  for  $g \in G,$
- (c)  $B_n \cap B_k = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq k.$

Proceed now as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [2]. Let  $\pi : L^\infty(X) \rightarrow l^\infty$  be defined by  $(\pi f)(n) = (1/P(B_n)) \int_{B_n} f dP$ . It is easily checked that  $\pi$  is linear,  $\|\pi\| = 1$ , and  $\pi \geq 0$ . Given  $(t_n) \in l^\infty$ , let  $f = \sum t_n \chi_{B_n}$ . Then, by (c),  $\pi f = (t_n)$ . Therefore  $\pi$  is onto and hence  $\pi^*$  is an isometry. To see that  $\pi^*$  is the isometry that we are looking for, it remains to show that if  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\pi^* \theta \in M(X, G)$ . Indeed, if  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}, g \in G$ , and  $f \in L^\infty(X)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi(f - g \cdot f)(n)| &= \left| \frac{1}{P(B_n)} \left( \int_{B_n} f dP - \int_{B_n} (g \cdot f) dP \right) \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \frac{P(B_n \Delta gB_n)}{P(B_n)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ by (b).} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\theta(\pi(f - g \cdot f)) = 0$  or  $\pi^*\theta(f) = \pi^*\theta(g \cdot f)$ . Thus  $\pi^*\theta \in M(X, G)$  and the proof is complete.

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a countable amenable group acting ergodically as measure preserving transformations on a nonatomic probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$ . Then  $\text{card } M(X, G) \geq 2^c$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 2.1 of [9],  $\text{card } M(X, G) \geq 2$  and hence by the above theorem,  $\text{card } M(X, G) \geq 2^c$ .

**REMARKS.** (1) We have considered similar isometric embeddings of  $\mathcal{F}$  in [1 and 2]. In particular, we could have quoted Theorem 2.4 of [2] to shorten the proof of our theorem here. Since the proof of Theorem 2.4 is quite involved, we prefer the direct construction of  $\{B_n\}$  as given above. Recently, Granirer [5] has studied embeddings of  $\mathcal{F}$  that are not necessarily isometric, in more general settings, by applying H. Rosenthal's fundamental canonical  $l^1$ -basis theorem.

(2) It is known that a countable group  $G$  has Kazhdan's Property T if and only if  $L^\infty(X)$  always has a unique  $G$ -invariant mean whenever  $G$  acts ergodically as measure preserving transformations on nonatomic probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, P)$  and  $G$  is amenable if and only if no such actions of  $G$  admit unique  $G$ -invariant means (see Schmidt [11]). The group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  is neither amenable nor does it have property T. Schmidt [10] showed that the natural action of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  on  $\mathbf{T}^2$  has no arbitrarily small asymptotically invariant sequences and hence  $L^\infty(\mathbf{T}^2)$  has a unique  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ -invariant mean (see also [6 and 9]). On the other hand, an explicit ergodic action of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  that admits more than one  $G$ -invariant mean is described in [11]. By our theorem, this action admits at least  $2^c$   $G$ -invariant means.

(3) Using Theorem A, Margulis [7] and Sullivan [12] proved independently that, for  $n \geq 4$ , any  $\text{SO}(n+1)$ -invariant mean on  $L^\infty(S^n, \mathcal{M}, m_n)$  is proportional to the Lebesgue measure  $m_n$ . ( $\mathcal{M}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $S^n$ .) More recently, Drinfel'd [4] was able to extend their result to the cases  $n = 2$  and 3. Thus the Banach-Ruziewicz problem for  $S^n$  has been completely solved. The corresponding Banach-Ruziewicz problem for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , was solved by Margulis [8].

## REFERENCES

1. C. Chou, *On topologically invariant means on a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 443-456.
2. —, *Topological invariant means on the von Neumann algebra  $VN(G)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **273** (1982), 207-229.
3. A. del Junco and J. Rosenblatt, *Counterexamples in ergodic theory and number theory*, Math. Ann. **245** (1979), 185-197.
4. V. G. Drinfel'd, *Finitely additive measures on  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ , invariant with respect to rotations*, Functional Anal. Appl. **18** (1985), 245-246.
5. E. E. Granirer, *Geometric and topological properties of certain  $w^*$  compact convex subsets of double duals of Banach spaces, which arise from the study of invariant means*, Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
6. V. Losert and H. Rindler, *Almost invariant sets*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **13** (1981), 145-148.
7. G. A. Margulis, *Some remarks on invariant means*, Monatsh. Math. **90** (1980), 233-235.
8. —, *Finitely-additive invariant measures on Euclidean spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems **2** (1982), 383-396.
9. J. Rosenblatt, *Uniqueness of invariant means for measure preserving transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **265** (1981), 623-636.

10. K. Schmidt, *Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of  $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$  on the 2-sphere*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 193–208.
11. ———, *Amenability, Kazhdan's property T, strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems **1** (1981), 223–236.
12. D. Sullivan, *For  $n > 3$  there is only one finitely-additive rotationally invariant measure on the  $n$ -sphere defined on all Lebesgue measurable sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1981), 121–123.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO,  
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14214