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ABSTRACT. Let $G$ be a locally compact totally disconnected Abelian group with dual group $\Gamma$. Let $U$ and $V$ be nonnegative measurable functions on $\Gamma$ and $G$, respectively. In this paper we give, in terms of $U$ and $V$, a necessary condition and some sufficient conditions for the inequality $\|fU\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p$ to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$, where $\hat{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f$ and $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. If $U$ and $V$ are both radial, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the above norm inequality to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$.

1. Introduction. In 1978 B. Muckenhoupt [6] posed the problem of characterizing, for given $p$ and $q$ with $1 < p, q < \infty$, those nonnegative weight functions $U$ and $V$ on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ so that the inequality

$$\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p$$

holds for all $f$ in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. This problem has been studied by, among others, Muckenhoupt himself [7, 8], W. B. Jurkat and G. Sampson [5], and H. P. Heinig and his coauthors J. J. Benedetto and R. Johnson [1, 2, 3]. The most elegant result for this problem is due to Benedetto, Heinig, and Johnson [2] who proved that for nonnegative radial weight functions $U$ and $V$ such that $U$ and $V^{-1}$ are even and decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, inequality (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\sup_{s>0} \left( \int_0^s U(x)^q \, dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_0^{1/s} V(x)^{-p'} \, dx \right)^{1/p'} < \infty,$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

Recently C. W. Onneweer [10] has studied Muckenhoupt’s problem for functions defined on certain locally compact Abelian groups $G$. He considers mainly the case in which the weight functions $U$ and $V$ are such that $U$ and $V^{-1}$ are in certain weak $L_p$-spaces. In this paper we also consider Muckenhoupt’s problem for functions defined on $G$. Theorem 1.1 gives a necessary condition for inequality (1.1) to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$; it is an analogue on $G$ of Theorem 2 of Benedetto and Heinig [1]. In Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 we obtain a sufficient condition for inequality (1.1) to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$. Our results closely resemble Theorems 1, 3, and 4 of Muckenhoupt [7] for functions in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. The main result of this paper is Theorem 1.4 in which we give a necessary and sufficient condition (see (1.5)) for inequality (1.1) to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$, under the assumption that the weight functions $U$ and $V$ are radial (the definition of radial function will be given later in this section). We remark that Theorem 1.4 resembles the Benedetto-Heinig-Johnson result mentioned
earlier. Indeed, condition (1.5)(i) is an obvious replacement on $G$ of condition (1.2), whereas condition (1.5)(ii) replaces their monotonicity assumptions on the weight functions.

The remainder of this section is devoted to a brief discussion of the class of groups considered here and statements of our results. Proofs of these results will be given in subsequent sections.

Throughout this paper $G$ will denote a locally compact Abelian group with a two-way infinite sequence $(G_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of compact open subgroups such that

(i) $G_{n+1} \subseteq G_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$;
(ii) $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n = G$ and $G_{n} = \{0\}$;
(iii) $\sup\{\text{order}(G_n/G_{n+1}) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} < \infty$.

The dual group of $G$ will be denoted by $\Gamma$, and the annihilator of $G_n$ will be denoted by $\Gamma_n$. Thus $(\Gamma_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of compact open subgroups of $\Gamma$ such that

(i') $\Gamma_n \subseteq \Gamma_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$;
(ii') $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n = \Gamma$ and $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n = \{1\}$.

The Haar measure $\mu$ on $G$ and the Haar measure $\lambda$ on $\Gamma$ are chosen so that $\mu(G_0) = 1 = \lambda(\Gamma_0)$. Then $(\mu(G_n))^{-1} = \lambda(\Gamma_n)$ and we denote this number by $m_n$.

We define a metric $d$ on $G \times G$ by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ (m_n)^{-1} & \text{if } x - y \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

The topology on $G$ induced by this metric is the same as the original topology on $G$. For $x \in G$ we write $||x||$ for $d(x, 0)$; then $||x|| = (m_n)^{-1}$ if and only if $x \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}$. In a similar way we can define a metric $\bar{d}$ on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$; if we set $||\gamma|| = \bar{d}(\gamma, 1)$, then $||\gamma|| = m_n$ if and only if $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n+1} \setminus \Gamma_n$. A function $f$ on $G$ is called radial if $f(x) = f(||x||)$; thus, a radial function on $G$ is constant on each subset $G_n \setminus G_{n+1}$ in $G$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$). A similar definition can be given for radial functions on $\Gamma$.

For $p$ with $1 < p < \infty$, $p'$ will denote the number such that $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. The characteristic function of the set $E$ is denoted by $\xi_E$. The symbols $^\wedge$ and $^\vee$ will be used to denote the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform, respectively. The conventions $0 \cdot \infty = 0$, $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$, and $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ will also be used. As usual, $C$ will denote a generic constant, which may assume different values in different places.

We now state the results obtained in this paper.

**THEOREM 1.1.** Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ and let $U, V$ be nonnegative measurable functions on $\Gamma$ and $G$, respectively. Then a necessary condition for inequality (1.1) to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$ is that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{\Gamma_n} U(\gamma)^q d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{G_n} V(x)^{-p'} d\mu \right)^{1/p'} < \infty.$$

**THEOREM 1.2.** Let $1 < p \leq q \leq p'$ and let $U, V$ be nonnegative measurable functions on $\Gamma$ and $G$, respectively. Then a sufficient condition for inequality (1.1) to hold for all $f$ in $L_1(G)$ is that

$$(1.3) \sup_{r > 0} \left( \int_{U(\gamma) > Br} U(\gamma)^q d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{V(x) < r} V(x)^{-p'} d\mu \right)^{1/p'} < \infty,$$
where \(B\) is a positive constant and \(U^*\) is defined on \(\Gamma\) by 
\[ U^*(\gamma) = U(\gamma)\|\gamma\|^{1/\beta}, \quad \beta \text{ being defined by } 1/\beta = 1/p + 1/q - 1. \]

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \(1 < q' \leq p \leq q\) and let \(U, V\) be nonnegative measurable functions on \(\Gamma\) and \(G\), respectively. Then a sufficient condition for inequality (1.1) to hold for all \(f\) in \(L_1(G)\) is that

\[
\sup_{r>0} \left( \int_{V^*(x)>Br} V(x)^{-p'} \, d\mu \right)^{1/p'} \left( \int_{U(\gamma)^{-1}<r} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} < \infty
\]

for some positive constant \(B\), where \(V^*\) is defined on \(G\) by 
\[ V^*(x) = (V(x)||x||^{1/\beta})^{-1}, \]
\(\beta\) being defined by \(1/\beta = 1/p + 1/q - 1\).

**Theorem 1.4.** Let \(1 < p < q < \infty\) and let \(U, V\) be nonnegative radial functions on \(\Gamma\) and \(G\), respectively. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for inequality (1.1) to hold for all \(f\) in \(L_1(G)\) is that

\[
\left( \int_{\Gamma_n} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{G_n} V(x)^{-p'} \, d\mu \right)^{1/p'} \right) < \infty;
\]

\[
\left( \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sup_{i<n} V_{i<n}^{-1} U_{i<n} (m_n)^{1/q-1/p'} \right) < \infty, \right.
\]

where \(U_n = U(\gamma)\) when \(\gamma \in \Gamma_n \setminus \Gamma_{n-1}\) and \(V_n = V(x)\) when \(x \in G_n \setminus G_{n+1}\).

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let \(1 < p, q < \infty\) and let \(N\) be any fixed integer. For \(k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}\), define the function \(f_{N,k}\) on \(G\) by

\[ f_{N,k}(x) = \begin{cases} 
V(x)^{-p'} & \text{if } x \in G_N \text{ and } V(x)^{-p'} \leq k; \\
k & \text{if } x \in G_N \text{ and } V(x)^{-p'} > k; \\
0 & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases} \]

Note that \(\gamma(x) = 1\) if \(\gamma \in \Gamma_N\) and \(x \in G_N\). Hence for \(\gamma \in \Gamma_N\), we have

\[ \hat{f}_{N,k}(\gamma) = \int_{G_N} f_{N,k}(x) \, d\mu = \int_{A_{N,k}} V(x)^{-p'} \, d\mu + \int_{B_{N,k}} k \, d\mu, \]

where \(A_{N,k} = \{x \in G_N : V(x)^{-p'} \leq k\}\) and \(B_{N,k} = \{x \in G_N : V(x)^{-p'} > k\}\). Now

\[
\left( \int_{\Gamma_N} U(\gamma)^q \left| \int_{G_N} f_{N,k}(x) \, d\mu \right|^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} = \left( \int_{\Gamma_N} U(\gamma)^q |\hat{f}_{N,k}(\gamma)|^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \leq \|\hat{f}_{N,k}U\|_q \leq C\|f_{N,k}V\|_p
\]

\[
= C \left( \int_{A_{N,k}} V(x)^{-p'} p V(x)^p \, d\mu + \int_{B_{N,k}} k^p V(x)^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}
\]

\[
\leq C \left( \int_{A_{N,k}} V(x)^{-p'} \, d\mu + \int_{B_{N,k}} k \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}
\]

(since \(V(x)^p \leq k^{-p/p'}\) for \(x \in B_{N,k}\))

\[
= C \left( \int_{G_N} f_{N,k}(x) \, d\mu \right)^{1/p}.
\]
Thus we get
\[
\left( \int_{\Gamma_N} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{G_N} f_{N,k}(x) \, d\mu \right)^{1/p'} \leq C.
\]
Since \( f_{N,k}(x) \to V(x)^{-p'} \) on \( G_N \), we have
\[
\left( \int_{\Gamma_N} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{G_N} V(x)^{-p'} \, d\mu \right)^{1/p'} \leq C.
\]
Noting that \( C \) is independent of \( N \), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Definitions and notation. In this section we establish some more definitions and notation which will facilitate our discussion in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in §4.

Throughout this section \( f \) is a simple function on \( G \) with support of finite measure such that \( \|f\|_1 = 1 \). Let \( H = \{ x \in G : f(x) \neq 0 \} \). Let \( V \) be any nonnegative measurable function on \( G \) and define \( V^* = \| V \|_\infty \). If \( V^* = \infty \), then one of the following is true:

(i) there exists a positive integer \( K \) such that
\[
\int_{\{ x \in H : V(x) > K \}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \leq 1/2,
\]
(ii) there exists a positive \( \varepsilon \) such that \( \mu\{ x \in H : V(x) > k \} \geq \varepsilon \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

Thus \( \mu\{ x \in H : V(x) = \infty \} \geq \varepsilon \). Hence we have \( \| fU \|_q \leq \| fV \|_p = \infty \) for all \( U \), where \( 1 < p, q < \infty \).

Therefore we may assume that (i) happens whenever \( V^* = \infty \). We now define \( V_i^* \) by
\[
V_i^* = \begin{cases} \frac{V^*}{2} & \text{if } V^* < \infty; \\ K & \text{if } V^* = \infty, \end{cases}
\]
where \( K \) is defined as in (i). For \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) we define \( V_{i+1}^* \) from \( V_i^* \) by
\[
V_{i+1}^* = \| V \xi_{H \setminus (A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_i)} \|_\infty,
\]
where
\[
A_0 = \{ x \in H : V(x) \geq V_1^* \};
A_j = \{ x \in H : \frac{7}{8} V_j^* < V(x) \leq V_j^* \}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, i.
\]
For \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) we define \( B_i \) by \( B_i = \{ x \in H : V(x) \leq V_i^* \} \). We note that \( B_i \setminus B_{i+1} \) differs from \( A_i \) by a null set and so for almost every \( x \) in \( B_i \setminus B_{i+1} \) we have
\[
\frac{7}{8} V_i^* < V(x) \leq V_i^*.
\]
If \( V(x) = 0 \) on a set of positive measure, then (1.3) implies that \( U(\gamma) = 0 \) a.e. Hence we may assume that \( V(x) = 0 \) on a set of measure zero. Then we have \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \mu(B_i) = 0 \) and so \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_i} |f(x)| \, d\mu = 0 \). Let \( \alpha = \int_{B_1} |f(x)| \, d\mu \geq 1/2 \).

By applying Exercise 12.59 of E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg [4], we select a sequence \( (D_j)_1^\infty \) of measurable subset of \( B_1 \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
(i) & \quad D_1 = B_1; \\
(ii) & \quad \int_{D_j} |f(x)| \, d\mu = 2^{-j+1} \alpha \quad \text{for } j > 1; \\
(iii) & \quad D_{j+1} \subset D_j \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \\
(iv) & \quad \text{if } D_j \cap (B_i \setminus B_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{then } B_{i+1} \subset D_j.
\end{align*}
\]
For \( i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), let \( E_i = B_i \setminus B_{i+1} \), \( F_i = D_i \setminus D_{i+1} \), and \( W_i = \min(V_i^*, V_{i-1}^* - V_i^*) \), where \( V_0^* = \infty \). Define the function \( W \) on \( G \) by

\[
W(x) = \begin{cases} 
V_{i,j}^* & \text{if } x \in E_i \cap F_j, \ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \\
\infty & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( V_{i,j}^* = V_i^* + W_i/2^{i+j+5} \). By (3.1) we have

(3.3) \( V < W \leq 2^{1/2}V \) a.e. in \( D_1 \).

If \( V_1^* \neq 0 \), then we have

(3.4) \( \begin{cases} 
(i) & V_{i,j}^* < V_{i-1}^* < V_{i-1,k}^* \text{ for } k \leq j \text{ and } i > 1; \\
(ii) & V_{i,j}^* < V_{i,k}^* \text{ for } k < j \text{ and } i \geq 1.
\end{cases} \)

Let \( r_0 = \infty \) and define \( r_j = \sup\{W(x) : x \in D_j\} \) for \( j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). It follows from (3.4) that

(3.5) \( \begin{cases} 
(i) & r_1 \leq 2^{1/2}V_1^* \text{ and } (r_j)_{j=1}^\infty \text{ is strictly decreasing to zero;} \\
(ii) & D_j = \{x \in G : W(x) \leq r_j\}, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \\
(iii) & F_j = \{x \in G : r_{j+1} < W(x) \leq r_j\}, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.
\end{cases} \)

Since \( \|f\|_1 = 1 \) and \( \alpha \geq 1/2 \), it follows from (3.2) and (3.5) that

(3.6) \( \begin{cases} 
(i) & \int_{D_j} |f(x)| \, d\mu \leq 4 \int_{F_i} |f(x)| \, d\mu; \\
(ii) & \int_{D_j} |f(x)| \, d\mu = 4 \int_{F_{j+1}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.
\end{cases} \)

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let \( 1 < p < q < p' \). We shall first prove that \( \|fU\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p \) for all simple functions \( f \) in \( L_1(G) \). Without loss of generality we may assume that \( \|f\|_1 = 1 \). Following the proof of Theorem 1 in Muckenhoupt [7] we observe that \( \|fU\|_q^q \) is bounded by \( 2^q \) times the sum of

(4.1) \( \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \int_{2j/p'B < U^*(\gamma) \leq 2(j+1)/p'B} |(f \xi_{V \geq 2j/p'-1/p})^q U(\gamma) d\lambda| qU(\gamma)^q d\lambda \)

and

(4.2) \( \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \int_{2j/p'B < U^*(\gamma) \leq 2(j+1)/p'B} |(f \xi_{V < 2j/p'-1/p})^q U(\gamma) d\lambda| qU(\gamma)^q d\lambda, \)

where \( U^* \) is defined on \( \Gamma \) by \( U^*(\gamma) = U(\gamma)\|\gamma\|^{1/\beta} \), \( \beta \) being defined by \( 1/\beta = 1/p + 1/q - 1 \). We shall prove that (4.1) and (4.2) are each bounded by \( C\|fV\|_p^q \). To estimate (4.1) we note that it is bounded by

\[
\sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \int_{2j/p'B < U^*(\gamma) \leq 2(j+1)/p'B} |(f \xi_{V \geq 2j/p'-1/p})^q U(\gamma)|^q (2(j+1)/p'B\|\gamma\|^{-1/\beta})^q d\lambda \leq B^q \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty 2^{(j+1)q/p'} \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \int_{\Gamma_n \setminus \Gamma_{n-1}} |(f \xi_{V \geq 2j/p'-1/p})^q (m_n)^{(q/p'-1)} d\lambda| \right) \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty 2^{(j+1)q/p'} \left( \int |(f \xi_{V \geq 2j/p'-1/p})(x)|^p \, d\mu \right)^{q/p}
\]
(by Onneweer [9, Theorem 3(b) with obvious modification] and the Hausdorff-
Young inequality for Lorentz spaces)

\[ \|f\|_{L^q_J} \leq C \left[ \int_G |f(x)|^p h(x) \, d\mu \right]^{q/p}, \]

where

\[ h(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{jp'/p'} \chi_{2^{j/p'-1/p} \leq \xi \leq 2^{j/p'-1/p}}(x) \leq CV(x)^p. \]

Hence (4.1) is bounded by \( C\|fV\|_p^q \).

To estimate (4.2) we note that \( f \) has a support of finite measure. Let \( H = \{ x \in G : f(x) \neq 0 \} \). Using the notation in §3, we have (4.2) bounded by

\[ \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^{j/p'}B \subset U\#(\gamma) \leq 2^{(j+1)/p' B}} \left[ \int |f\xi < \chi_{2^{j/p'-1/p}}(x)| \, d\mu \right] U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \]

\[ \leq \int_{\{ x \in H : V(x) < 2^{-1/p} U\#(\gamma)/B \}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right] U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \]

\[ \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_{j+1}} < U\#(\gamma) \leq B_{r_j}} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{\{ x \in H : V(x) < 2^{-1/p_{r_j}} \}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

\[ = I + II, \]

where

\[ I = \left( \int_{B_{r_1} < U\#(\gamma)} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{\{ x \in H : V(x) < \infty \}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

and

\[ II = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_{j+1}} < U\#(\gamma) \leq B_{r_j}} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{\{ x \in H : V(x) < 2^{-1/p_{r_j}} \}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

By (3.6)(i) we have I bounded by

\[ \left( \int_{B_{r_1} < U\#(\gamma)} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{H} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

\[ \leq 4^q \left( \int_{B_{r_1} < U\#(\gamma)} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{F_1} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

To estimate II, we note that \( 1 < p \leq q \leq p' \) implies that \( 1 < p \leq 2 \). It follows from (3.5)(i) that if \( x \in H \) and \( V(x) < 2^{-1/p_{r_j}} \) for some \( j > 1 \), then \( x \in D_1 = B_1 = \{ x \in H : V(x) \leq V_1^* \} \). Hence we have \( \{ x \in H : V(x) < 2^{-1/p_{r_j}} \} \subset \{ x \in D_1 : W(x) < r_j \} \subset D_j \) for \( j \geq 1 \). Thus II is bounded by

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_{j+1}} < U\#(\gamma)} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{D_j} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

\[ = 4^q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_{j+1}} < U\#(\gamma)} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{F_{j+1}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right) \]

(by (3.6)(ii)).
Hence we get

\[ I + II \leq 4^q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_j+1} \cap U^#} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{F_{j+1}} |f(x)| \, d\mu \right)^q \]

\[ = 4^q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_j+1} \cap U^#} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{F_{j+1}} |f(x)|V(x)\, d\mu \right)^q \]

\[ \leq 4^q \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{B_{r_j+1} \cap U^#} U(\gamma)^q \, d\lambda \right) \left( \int_{F_{j+1}} V(x)^{-p'} \, d\mu \right)^q \]

\[ \times \left( \int_{F_{j+1}} |f(x)|^pV(x)^p \, d\mu \right)^q \] (by Hölder’s inequality)

\[ \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{F_{j+1}} |f(x)|^pV(x)^p \, d\mu \right)^q \] (since \( q/p \geq 1 \)).

Thus (4.2) is also bounded by \( C\|fV\|^{q'}_p \). Hence we have \( \|\hat{f}\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p \).

Having proved that \( \|\hat{f}\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p \) for all simple functions \( f \) in \( L_1(G) \), we now note that the class of all simple functions in \( L_1(G) \) is dense in \( L_1(G) \). Hence for each \( f \) in \( L_1(G) \) with \( \|fV\|_p < \infty \), there exist a sequence \( (f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of simple functions in \( L_1(G) \) such that \( \|f_n - f\|_1 \to 0 \) and \( \|f_nV\|_p \to \|fV\|_p \) as \( n \to \infty \). Thus we have \( \|\hat{f}\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p \) for all \( f \) in \( L_1(G) \).

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let \( 1 < q' < p < q \). With obvious modifications in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we see that (1.4) is a sufficient condition for

\[ \|hV^{-1}\|_{p'} \leq C\|h\|_{q}' \]

to hold for all \( h \) in \( L_1(\Gamma) \). By duality of weighted \( L_p \)-spaces and Parseval’s identity we have

\[ \|\hat{f}\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p \]

for all \( f \) in \( L_1(G) \) with compact support. The proof is complete by noting that the class of all \( f \) in \( L_1(G) \) with compact support is dense in \( L_1(G) \).

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let \( 1 < p \leq q < \infty \). We first prove the necessity. In view of Theorem 1.1 it is sufficient to prove (1.5)(ii). Let \( i \) be any fixed integer. For \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( i < N \), choose \( a \in G_i \setminus G_{i+1} \) and let \( f = \xi_{a+G_N} \). Then for \( \gamma \in \Gamma_N \) we have \( \hat{f}(\gamma) = \gamma(a)(m_N)^{-1} \), where \( \mu(G_N) = (m_N)^{-1} \) and \( \gamma \) is the conjugate of \( \gamma \). Hence we have

\[ \left( \int_{\Gamma_N \setminus \Gamma_{N-1}} |f(\gamma)U(\gamma)|^q \, d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \leq \|\hat{f}\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p = CV_i(m_N)^{-1/p}. \]

Thus \( U_N(m_N - m_{N-1})^{1/q}(m_N)^{-1/p'} \leq CV_i \). Since \( 2m_{N-1} \leq m_N \), we have \( U_N^{1/q}(m_N)^{-1/p'} \leq CV_i \) for \( i < N \). Since \( N \) is arbitrary, (1.5)(ii) is proved.
To prove the sufficiency, we first consider the case \(1 < p < q < p'\). Recall that \(V\) and \(U\) are nonnegative radial functions on \(G\) and \(\Gamma\), respectively such that (1.5)(ii) is satisfied. Let

\[ B = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sup_{i < n} V_i^{-1} U_n(m_n)^{1/q - 1/p'} \right). \]

Let \(f\) be in \(L_1(G)\) such that support of \(\hat{f} \subseteq \Gamma_N\) for some \(N \in \mathbb{Z}\). By Theorem 1.2, a sufficient condition for \(\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p\) is that

\[
\sup_{r > 0} \left( \int_{\{\gamma \in \Gamma_N : U^\#(\gamma) > Br\}} U(\gamma)^q d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{V(x) < r} V(x)^{-p'} d\mu \right)^{1/p'} < \infty,
\]

where \(U^\#(\gamma) = U_n(m_n)^{1/q - 1/p'}\) if \(\gamma \in \Gamma_n \setminus \Gamma_{n-1}\), \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\). Let \(r\) be any positive real number and let \(k = \sup\{n \leq N : U_n(m_n)^{1/q - 1/p'} > Br\}\). If \(k = -\infty\), then the left-hand side of (6.1) is zero. Hence we may assume that \(k\) is finite. We note that if \(V_n < r\), then \(n \geq k\). Indeed, if there exists \(j < k\) such that \(V_j < r\), then (1.5)(ii) implies that \(Br > BV_j \geq U_k(m_k)^{1/q - 1/p'} > Br\), a contradiction. Hence we have

\[
\left( \int_{\{\gamma \in \Gamma_N : U^\#(\gamma) > Br\}} U(\gamma)^q d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{V(x) < r} V(x)^{-p'} d\mu \right)^{1/p'} \leq \left( \int_{\Gamma_k} U(\gamma)^q d\lambda \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{G_k} V(x)^{-p'} d\mu \right)^{1/p'}.
\]

By (1.5)(i), (6.1) is satisfied and so we have \(\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p\).

Now let \(f \in L_1(G) \cap L_\infty(G)\) such that \(\|fV\|_p < \infty\). Applying the result obtained in the above paragraph we have \(\|\hat{f}\xi_G U\|_q \leq C\|(f * \xi_G)\|_p\) for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\), where \(\xi_n = \mu(G_n)^{-1} \xi_{G_n}\) and \(*\) denotes convolution. Taking the limit, we have \(\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p\). Since \(L_1(G) \cap L_\infty(G)\) is dense in \(L_1(G)\) we have \(\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p\) for all \(f\) in \(L_1(G)\), where \(1 < p \leq q \leq p'\).

For the case \(1 < q' < p \leq q\), let \(f\) be in \(L_1(G)\) such that support of \(f \subseteq G_N\) for some \(N \in \mathbb{Z}\). Let \(k = \inf\{i \geq N : V_i^{-1}(m_i)^{1/q - 1/p'} > Br\}\). We may assume that \(k\) is finite. It follows from (1.5)(ii) that we have \(n \leq k\) whenever \(U_n^{-1} < r\). By Theorem 1.3 and an argument similar to the previous proof, we have \(\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p\). Since the class of all functions in \(L_1(G)\) with compact support is dense in \(L_1(G)\), we have \(\|\hat{f}U\|_q \leq C\|fV\|_p\) for all \(f\) in \(L_1(G)\), where \(1 < q' < p \leq q\).
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