

ON THE ORLICZ-PETTIS PROPERTY IN NONLOCALLY CONVEX F -SPACES

M. NAWROCKI

(Communicated by William J. Davis)

ABSTRACT. Recently, J. H. Shapiro showed that, contrary to the case of separable F -spaces with separating duals, the Orlicz-Pettis theorem fails for h_p , $0 < p < 1$, and some other nonseparable F -spaces of harmonic functions. In this paper we give new, much simpler examples of F -spaces for which the Orlicz-Pettis theorem fails; namely weak- L_p sequence spaces $l(p, \infty)$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. We observe that if $0 < p < 1$ then the space $l(p, \infty)$ is nonseparable but separable with respect to its weak topology. Moreover, we show that the Orlicz-Pettis theorem holds for every Orlicz sequence space (even nonseparable).

1. Introduction. Let $X = (X, \tau)$ be a topological vector space whose topological dual space separates points. We say that X has the *Orlicz-Pettis Property* (OPP) if every weakly subseries convergent series in X (i.e. such a series $\sum x_n$ in X that $\text{weak-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{k_j}$ exists for each increasing sequence $\{k_j\}$ of positive integers) is convergent in (X, τ) . The classical Orlicz-Pettis theorem states that every Banach space has the Orlicz-Pettis Property. The reader is referred to [4] for information about the Orlicz-Pettis theorem and its importance in the development of the theory of F -spaces.

We recall that OPP has all locally convex spaces or separable F -spaces (i.e. complete metrizable t.v.s.) with separating duals. Recently, J. H. Shapiro [5] has shown that the Orlicz-Pettis theorem cannot be extended to the nonseparable case. The aim of this paper is to give new, simpler natural examples of F -spaces without OPP as well as to prove other results mentioned in the abstract.

I wish to thank Lech Drewnowski and Augustyn Ortynski for helpful remarks on this material.

2. The Orlicz-Pettis Property for solid spaces. In the sequel we prefer to work with Mackey topologies instead of weak topologies. We recall that the Mackey topology of a topological vector space $X = (X, \tau)$ is the strongest locally convex topology $\mu = \mu(X)$ on X producing the same topological dual space as τ . If (X, τ) is an F -space whose dual separates points, then $\mu(X)$ coincides with the strongest locally convex topology on X which is weaker than τ . Moreover, if \mathcal{B} is a base of neighborhoods of zero for τ , then the family $\{\overline{\text{conv}}^{\tau} U : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a base of neighborhoods of zero for μ (see [5, Theorem 2.9]). The space $(X, \mu(X))$ being locally convex has OPP. Consequently, an F -space (X, τ) has the Orlicz-Pettis Property if and only if every $\mu(X)$ -subseries convergent series in X is τ -convergent.

Received by the editors April 24, 1986 and, in revised form, July 20, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 46A06, 46A35, 45A45.

Key words and phrases. The Orlicz-Pettis theorem, weak- L_p spaces, Orlicz spaces.

©1987 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Throughout this paper we denote by ω the space of all real sequences and by ω_0 the subspace of ω consisting of all sequences with finite supports. By e_n is denoted the n th unit vector in ω and other sequence spaces, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. For any $x = (t_n) \in \omega$ we define $R_n x = (0, 0, \dots, 0, t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}, \dots)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

A subset E of ω is called *solid* if $x \in E$ and $y \in \omega$ and $|y| \leq |x|$ implies $y \in E$. Let $X = (X, \tau)$ be a t.v.s. contained set theoretically in ω and containing ω_0 . We say that X is *solid* if there is a base of neighborhoods of zero for τ consisting of solid sets.

For any solid space (X, τ) we denote by X_a or X_a^τ the closed linear subspace of X spanned by the unit vectors. Let \mathcal{B} be a base of solid τ -neighborhoods of zero. We observe that for any $U \in \mathcal{B}$ the set $\omega_0 + U$ is solid. Therefore, $X_a = \overline{\omega_0}^\tau = \bigcap \{\omega_0 + U : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a solid space. It is obvious that the family of projections $\{R_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous on X . This immediately implies that the sequence of unit vectors $\{e_n\}$ is a basis of X_a . X_a is solid, so the series $\sum t_n e_n$ is τ -subseries convergent for any $x = (t_n) \in X_a$.

Obviously, every solid F -space has separating dual space. It is easily verified that the convex hull and the closure of any solid set are solid. Thus, $(X, \mu(X))$ is solid for any solid F -space X .

The above observations show that if $x = (t_n) \in X_a^\mu \setminus X_a^\tau$, then the series $\sum t_n e_n$ is $\mu(X)$ -subseries convergent but it is not τ -convergent. This proves the following

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $X = (X, \tau)$ be a solid F -space. If $X_a^\tau \neq X_a^\mu$, then X does not have the Orlicz-Pettis Property.*

For the proof of our next theorem we need the following version of the more general Kalton result [3].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let (Y, ρ) be a separable F -space and let ν be a weaker Hausdorff vector topology on Y . Then any ν -subseries convergent series in Y is ρ -convergent.*

THEOREM 2.3. *If (X, τ) is an F -space with separating dual space, Y is weakly closed separable subspace of X and X/Y has the Orlicz-Pettis Property, then so does X .*

PROOF. Suppose that Y is a separable, weakly closed (so also $\mu(X)$ -closed) subspace of X such that X/Y has OPP. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be an F -norm inducing the topology τ and let $\sum x_n$ be any $\mu(X)$ -subseries convergent series in X which is not τ -convergent. Then $\{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in X , so there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a pair $\{j_n\}, \{l_n\}$ of sequences of positive integers such that $j_1 < l_1 < j_2 < l_2 < \dots$ and $\|\sum_{j=j_n}^{l_n} x_j\| > \varepsilon$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $y_n = \sum_{j=j_n}^{l_n} x_j$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the series $\sum y_n$ is $\mu(X)$ -subseries convergent. The canonical quotient mapping $Q: X \rightarrow X/Y$ is $(\mu(X), \mu(X/Y))$ -continuous, so the series $\sum Q(y_n)$ is $\mu(X/Y)$ -subseries convergent. X/Y has OPP, thus the series $\sum Q(y_n)$ is τ/Y -subseries convergent. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\sum \|Q(y_n)\|_1 < \infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the quotient F -norm of $\|\cdot\|$. Therefore, there is a pair of sequences $\{u_n\} \subset Y$ and $\{v_n\} \subset X$ such that $y_n = u_n + v_n$ and $\sum \|v_n\| < \infty$. The series $\sum v_n$ being absolutely convergent is both τ - and μ -subseries convergent in X . Consequently, the series $\sum u_n$ is μ -subseries convergent in X . However, the space Y is μ -closed in X , so the series $\sum u_n$ is μ -subseries convergent in Y . $(Y, \tau|_Y)$ is a separable F -space and $\mu|_Y$ is a Hausdorff vector topology on Y which is weaker than $\tau|_Y$. By Lemma

2.2 the series $\sum u_n$ is τ -convergent. Finally, the series $\sum y_n$ is τ -convergent. This contradicts the fact that $\|y_n\| > \varepsilon$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Every Orlicz sequence space has the Orlicz-Pettis Property.*

PROOF. Let l_φ be an Orlicz sequence space and let $Y = (l_\varphi)_a$. Then the quotient space l_φ/Y equipped with the canonical quotient F -norm is a Banach space (see [2, Proposition 2.1]). Therefore, l_φ/Y has OPP and, obviously, Y is weakly closed in l_φ . Moreover, Y is separable, so the result directly follows from Theorem 2.3.

2. Weak- L_p sequence spaces. For any sequence $x = (t_n) \in \omega$ tending to zero we denote by $x^* = (t_n^*)$ the nonincreasing rearrangement of the sequence $|x| = (|t_n|)$.

If $0 < p < \infty$ then $l(p, \infty)$ is the space of all sequences $x = (t_n) \in c_0$ such that $\|x\|_{p, \infty} = \sup\{n^{1/p}t_n^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$.

It is easy to prove that the family of sets $U_\varepsilon = \{x \in l(p, \infty) : \|x\|_{p, \infty} \leq \varepsilon\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is a base of neighborhoods of zero, consisting of solid sets for the unique complete, metrizable vector topology $\lambda_{p, \infty}$ on $l(p, \infty)$. Thus, the space $(l(p, \infty), \lambda_{p, \infty})$ is a solid F -space (see [1] for more details).

THEOREM 3.1. *If $0 < p \leq 1$ then $l(p, \infty)$ does not have the Orlicz-Pettis Property.*

PROOF. If $0 < p < 1$ then the result immediately follows from Proposition 2.1 and [1, Theorem 4]. Indeed, M. Cwikel essentially showed that every continuous linear functional on $l(p, \infty)$, $0 < p < 1$, vanishing on ω_0 is identically equal to zero, so $\bar{\omega}_0^\mu = l(p, \infty)$. However, $(n^{-1/p}) \notin l(p, \infty)_a$ because the series $\sum n^{-1/p}e_n$ is not $\lambda_{p, \infty}$ -convergent in $l(p, \infty)$.

If $p = 1$ then the situation is somewhat more involved. Now, the series $\sum n^{-1}e_n$ is not μ -subseries convergent (see Remarks 3.2). However, it is still possible to find a sequence $x = (t_n)$ in $l(p, \infty)$ such that

- (i) the series $\sum t_n e_n$ is not $\lambda_{1, \infty}$ -convergent,
 - (ii) $x \in l(1, \infty)_a^\mu$.
- (ii) is equivalent to
- (iii) $x \in \omega_0 + \text{conv } U_\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

We construct inductively an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of nonnegative integers such that

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j \left(n_{k-1} + i \frac{n_k - n_{k-1}}{j} \right)^{-1} > \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{i} \right) n_k^{-1}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $n_0 = 0$,

$$(b) \quad k! \text{ divides } n_k - n_{k-1} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The above construction is possible because

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j t \left(a + i \frac{t-a}{j} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{i}$$

for any $a > 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us denote $I(k) = \{n_{k-1}+1, \dots, n_k\}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. We define $x = (t_n) \in c_0$ taking $t_n = n_k^{-1}$ for $n \in I(k)$, $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence x is nonincreasing, positive, $nt_n \leq 1$ and $n_k t_k = 1$ for $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$. This implies that $\|R_n x\|_{1, \infty} = 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, so $x \in l(1, \infty)$ and the series $\sum t_n x_n$ is not $\lambda_{1, \infty}$ -convergent. The proof will be finished if we show that x satisfies (iii).

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c_j := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{i} > \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Then, by (b), j divides $n_k - n_{k-1}$ for $k \geq j$. Let

$$l_{k,i} = n_{k-1} + i \frac{n_k - n_{k-1}}{j}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, j$, $k = j, j+1, \dots$, and

$$I(k, i) = \{l_{k,i} + 1, \dots, l_{k,i+1}\}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$, $k = j, j+1, \dots$. We define $y_m = (s_{m,n})_{n=1}^\infty \in \omega$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$, taking

$$s_{m,n} = \begin{cases} (c_j l_{k,i+1})^{-1} & \text{if } n \in I(k, (m+i) \bmod(j)) \text{ for some} \\ & i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1, k = j, j+1, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $\sup\{ns_{m,n}^* : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \varepsilon$, so $y_m \in U_\varepsilon$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$. If $n \in I(k, i)$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$, $k = j, j+1, \dots$, then by (a)

$$(c) \quad \frac{1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} s_{m,n} = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j \left[c_j \left(n_{k-1} + i \frac{n_k - n_{k-1}}{j} \right) \right]^{-1} \geq n_k^{-1} = t_n.$$

We define $z = (s_n) \in \omega_0$ taking $s_n = t_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, n_{j-1}$, and $s_n = 0$ for $n > n_{j-1}$. Therefore, by (c)

$$|x| < z + \frac{1}{j} \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} y_m \in \omega_0 + \text{conv } U_\varepsilon.$$

This implies (iii) because the set $\omega_0 + \text{conv } U_\varepsilon$ is solid.

REMARKS 3.2. (a) We have just observed that the sequence $(n^{-1/p})$ does not belong to $l(p, \infty)_a$, $0 < p < \infty$. Essentially, it is easy to prove that

$$l(p, \infty)_a = \{x = (t_n) \in c_0 : \lim n^{1/p} t_n^* = 0\}.$$

(b) We have noticed that if $0 < p < 1$ then ω_0 is weakly dense in $l(p, \infty)$. Therefore, $l(p, \infty)$ for $0 < p < 1$ are new examples of F -spaces which are *nonseparable* but their weak topologies are Hausdorff and *separable* (see also [5]).

(c) $l(1, \infty)$ is *nonseparable in its Mackey (so also weak) topology*. Indeed, it is easy to see that the functional

$$q(x) = \sup_n \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^*}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1/i)}, \quad x = (t_i) \in c_0,$$

is a continuous norm on $l(1, \infty)$. There is an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that $1/2 \leq q(z_k) \leq 1$, where $z_k = \sum_{n=n_k+1}^{n_{k+1}} n^{-1} e_n$, $n_0 = 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Now we observe that the mapping $l_{\infty} \ni (s_n) \mapsto \sum s_n z_n$ (the convergence in the product topology) is an isomorphism of l_{∞} into $l(1, \infty)$ equipped with the topology ρ induced by q . ρ is weaker than the Mackey topology μ of $l(1, \infty)$, so the space $(l(1, \infty), \mu)$ is nonseparable.

Let us note that the series $\sum n^{-1} e_n$ is not ρ - (so also μ -) convergent.

(d) The author does not know whether the topology ρ defined above coincides with the Mackey topology of $l(1, \infty)$. We have observed only that $\rho \leq \mu(l(1, \infty))$. However, let us notice that ρ induces on $l(1, \infty)_a$ the Mackey topology of $l(1, \infty)_a$. Indeed, it is easy to prove that if a sequence $x = (t_n)$ is an extreme point of the compact, convex set $B_n = B \cup \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ where $B = \{x \in l(1, \infty) : q(x) \leq 1\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x^* = (1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots, 1/n, 0, 0, \dots)$. Therefore, every extreme point of B_n belongs to the unit ball U of $l(1, \infty)_a$. Consequently, $\overline{\text{conv}} U \supset B \cap \omega_0$. This, the density of ω_0 in $l(1, \infty)_a$, and the homogeneity of the functionals $\|\cdot\|_{1, \infty}$ and q imply that the topology induced by ρ on $l(1, \infty)_a$ is a stronger than $\mu(l(1, \infty)_a)$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Cwikel, *On the conjugates of some function spaces*, *Studia Math.* **45** (1973), 49–55.
2. L. Drewnowski and M. Nawrocki, *On the Mackey topology of Orlicz sequence spaces*, *Arch. Math.* **39** (1982), 59–68.
3. N. J. Kalton, *Subseries convergence in topological groups and vector measures*, *Israel J. Math.* **10** (1971), 402–412.
4. ———, *The Orlicz-Pettis theorem*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, pp. 91–100.
5. J. H. Shapiro, *Some F -spaces of harmonic functions for which the Orlicz-Pettis theorem fails*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **50** (1985), 299–313.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, UL. MATEJKI 48/49, 60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND