

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO AN F. AND M. RIESZ-TYPE THEOREM

KRZYSZTOF SAMOTIJ

(Communicated by Irwin Kra)

ABSTRACT. A premeasure is a finitely additive complex-valued function μ defined on the semiring of all connected subsets of \mathbf{T} , continuous at \emptyset and with $\mu(\emptyset) = \mu(\mathbf{T}) = 0$. Let κ be a continuous increasing concave function on $[0, 2\pi]$ with $\kappa(0) = 0$. A conjecture from [3] saying that if the Poisson integral of a premeasure μ is holomorphic in the open unit disk and $\text{Var}_\kappa(\mu) < \infty$ then $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \text{Var}_\kappa(\mu_\tau - \mu) = 0$ is disproved, where $\text{Var}_\kappa(\mu) = \sup \sum_j |\mu(I_j)| / \sum_j \kappa(|I_j|)$ (the supremum is taken over all finite partitions of \mathbf{T} into connected subsets I_j) and μ_τ denotes the τ -translation of μ .

In this paper a counterexample to a conjecture of B. Korenblum is given.

Following [2] and [3] we introduce the following notations.

\mathbf{D} denotes the open unit disk in the complex plane and \mathbf{T} is the unit circle. I denotes the family of all intervals in \mathbf{T} (i.e. of all connected subsets of \mathbf{T}). A function $\mu: I \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is called a premeasure if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu(\emptyset) = \mu(\mathbf{T}) = 0$,
- (ii) $\mu(I_1 \cup I_2) = \mu(I_1) + \mu(I_2)$ for all $I_1, I_2 \in I$ such that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ and $I_1 \cup I_2 \in I$,
- (iii) if $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$ is a nonincreasing sequence of intervals and $\bigcap I_n = \emptyset$, then $\lim_n \mu(I_n) = 0$.

κ will always denote an increasing concave continuous function on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $\kappa(0) = 0$ and $\kappa(2\pi) = 1$. The κ -variation of a premeasure μ is

$$\text{Var}_\kappa \mu = \sup \frac{\sum_1^n |\mu(I_j)|}{\sum_1^n \kappa(|I_j|)},$$

here $|I_j|$ denotes the length of the interval I_j , and the supremum is taken over all finite systems $\{I_j\}$ of mutually disjoint intervals I_j such that $\bigcup I_j = \mathbf{T}$. If $\text{Var}_\kappa \mu < \infty$, μ will be called a premeasure of *bounded κ -variation*. Let V_κ denote the family of all premeasures of bounded κ -variation. V_κ with the norm Var_κ is a Banach space. If μ is a premeasure and τ is a real number then the τ -translation of μ is defined by

$$\mu_\tau(I) = \mu(\{\xi \in \mathbf{T}: e^{i\tau} \xi \in I\}), \quad I \in I.$$

A premeasure $\mu \in V_\kappa$ is called κ -*absolutely continuous* if $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \text{Var}_\kappa(\mu - \mu_\tau) = 0$.

Received by the editors January 30, 1986 and, in revised form, October 27, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 30H05.

Key words and phrases. Poisson integral, analytic measures.

If f is a C^1 function on \mathbf{T} and μ is a premeasure then we can define the integral of f with respect to μ by the formula

$$\int_{\mathbf{T}} f(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) d\tilde{\mu}(t);$$

here $\tilde{\mu}(t) = \mu((0, t])$. Therefore we can define the Poisson integral of μ by the formula

$$u(z) = u_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\xi - z|^2} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

On the other hand, if v is a harmonic function on \mathbf{D} with $v(0) = 0$ then a family $\{\mu^{(r)}\}_{r \in (0,1)}$ of premeasures is associated with v by the formula

$$(1) \quad \mu^{(r)}(I) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{J_I} v(re^{it}) dt, \quad I \in \mathcal{I};$$

here $J_I = \{t \in [0, 2\pi] : e^{it} \in I\}$. It is not hard to see that if u is the Poisson integral of a κ -absolutely continuous premeasure μ , then

$$(2) \quad \text{Var}_\kappa \mu^{(r)} \leq \text{Var}_\kappa \mu, \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

The following lemma was essentially proved in [1, §5].

LEMMA. Let u be a harmonic function in \mathbf{D} with $u(0) = 0$. Suppose that there is a constant C such that $|\mu^{(r)}(I)| \leq C\kappa(|I|)$ for each $r \in (0, 1)$ and each $I \in \mathcal{I}$, where $\{\mu^{(r)}\}_{r \in (0,1)}$ is the family of premeasures associated with u . Then u is the Poisson integral of some premeasure of κ -variation less than or equal to C .

A premeasure μ is said to be *analytic* if its Poisson integral is holomorphic in \mathbf{D} or, equivalently, if $\int \xi^n d\mu(\xi) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It was conjectured in [3] that each analytic premeasure of bounded κ -variation is always κ -absolutely continuous. The following theorem shows that this is not true except for the classical case of the theorem of F. and M. Riesz (i.e. when $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \kappa(s)/s < +\infty$).

THEOREM. Let κ be an increasing concave continuous function on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $\kappa(0) = 0$, $\kappa(2\pi) = 1$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \kappa(s)/s = +\infty$. Then there exists an analytic premeasure of κ -bounded variation which is not κ -absolutely continuous.

PROOF. Let κ be as in the assumption. It is not hard to see that there exist increasing sequences $\{N_n\}$ of positive integers, and $\{r_n\}$ of positive real numbers tending to 1, such that if we denote $\alpha_n = \kappa(\pi/N_n)/3$, then

- (i) $\alpha_{n+1} \leq \alpha_n/2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k N_k r_n^{N_k} = 0$,
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_t \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k N_k (e^{iN_k t} - e^{iN_k(t+\pi/N_n)}) \right| = 0$,
- (iv) $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N_k \alpha_k \leq \alpha_n N_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$,
- (v) $r_n^{N_n} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $f_n(t) = \min\{\alpha_n, (\alpha_n N_n/\pi)t\}$, and let $\tilde{\kappa}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t)$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. From (i) we deduce that $\tilde{\kappa}$ is a well-defined continuous concave function. It is constant on $[\pi/N_1, 2\pi]$ and linear on each of the intervals $[\pi/N_{n+1}, \pi/N_n]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, for each positive integer n we have

$$\tilde{\kappa}\left(\frac{\pi}{N_n}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k N_k}{N_n} + \alpha_n + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k.$$

By (iv) the first term of this sum does not exceed α_n . Neither, by (i), does the third. Therefore $\tilde{\kappa}(\pi/N_n) \leq 3\alpha_n = \kappa(\pi/N_n)$. Since κ is increasing and concave we have

$$(3) \quad \tilde{\kappa}(t) \leq \kappa(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi.$$

Let $u(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n N_n z^{N_n}$, $z \in \mathbf{D}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1$ and by (ii), the function u is well defined and holomorphic in \mathbf{D} . Let $\{\mu^{(\tau)}\}_{\tau \in (0,1)}$ be the family of premeasures associated with u , as defined in (1). For arbitrary $r \in (0, 1)$, arbitrary $I \in I$, and each n with $r_n \geq r$ we have

$$|\mu^{(\tau)}(I)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{J_I} \alpha_k N_k r^{N_k} e^{iN_k t} dt \right| + |I| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k N_k r^{N_k}.$$

But

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{J_I} \alpha_k N_k r^{N_k} e^{iN_k t} dt \right| \leq \min \left\{ \alpha_k, \frac{\alpha_k N_k}{\pi} |I| \right\}.$$

Hence, by the definition of f_k 's and by (ii), $|\mu^{(\tau)}(I)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(|I|) + |I|c_n$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$.

By (3), letting n tend to infinity in the above we obtain $|\mu^{(\tau)}(I)| \leq \kappa(|I|)$. Thus the lemma implies that the function u is the Poisson integral of some (obviously analytic) premeasure μ with $\text{Var}_{\kappa} \mu \leq 1$.

For an arbitrary fixed n let

$$u_1(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k N_k z^{N_k}, \quad u_2(z) = \alpha_n N_n z^{N_n},$$

and

$$u_3(z) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k N_k z^{N_k}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Let $\{\nu^{(\tau)}\}$, $\{\eta^{(\tau)}\}$, $\{\chi^{(\tau)}\}$ denote the associated families of premeasures corresponding to u_1, u_2, u_3 respectively. Denote $\nu^{(n)} = \nu^{(r_n)}$, $\eta^{(n)} = \eta^{(r_n)}$ and $\chi^{(n)} = \chi^{(r_n)}$. Note that

$$(4) \quad \text{Var}_{\kappa}(\mu^{(r_n)} - \mu_{\pi/N_n}^{(r_n)}) \geq \text{Var}_{\kappa}(\eta^{(n)} - \eta_{\pi/N_n}^{(n)}) - \text{Var}_{\kappa}(\nu^{(n)} - \nu_{\pi/N_n}^{(n)}) - 2 \text{Var}_{\kappa} \chi^{(n)}.$$

Observe that for every harmonic function v on \mathbf{D} with $v(0) = 0$ we have the inequality $\text{Var}_{\kappa} \lambda^{(\tau)} \leq \sup\{|v(z)|: |z| = r\}$, where $\{\lambda^{(\tau)}\}_{\tau \in (0,1)}$ is the family of premeasures associated with v . If we apply this observation to u_3 then, by (ii), we have

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_{\kappa} \chi^{(n)} = 0.$$

The same observation applied to $v(z) = u_1(z) - u_1(ze^{i\pi/N_n})$ together with (iii) yield

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\nu^{(n)} - \nu_{\pi/N_n}^{(n)}) = 0.$$

Now, if we take $I_j = \{e^{it} : (j-1)\pi/N_n \leq t \leq j\pi/N_n\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N_n$, in the definition of κ -variation then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\kappa(\eta^{(n)} - \eta_{\pi/N_n}^{(n)}) &\geq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{2N_n} |\eta^{(n)}(I_j) - \eta_{\pi/N_n}^{(n)}(I_j)|}{\sum_{j=1}^{2N_n} \kappa(I_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2N_n \kappa(\pi/N_n)} \sum_{j=1}^{2N_n} \frac{\alpha_n N_n r_n^{N_n}}{2\pi} \left| \int_{(j-1)\pi/N_n}^{j\pi/N_n} (e^{iN_n t} - e^{iN_n(t+\pi/N_n)}) dt \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by (v). This together with (6), (5), and (4) imply

$$(7) \quad \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_\kappa(\mu^{(r_n)} - \mu_{\pi/N_n}^{(r_n)}) > 0.$$

If μ was κ -absolutely continuous then (7) and (2) would imply that

$$\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_\kappa(\mu - \mu_{\pi/N_n}) > 0,$$

which contradicts the κ -absolute continuity of μ .

REFERENCES

1. B. Korenblum, *An extension of Nevanlinna theory*, Acta Math. **135** (1975), 187–219.
2. —, *A generalization of two classical convergence tests for Fourier series, and some new Banach spaces of functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **9** (1983), 215–218.
3. —, *On a class of Banach spaces associated with the notion of entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **290** (1985), 527–553.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, POLITECHNIKA WROCLAWSKA, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIANSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND