

## THE HILL-PENROSE-SPARLING CR MANIFOLDS

MICHAEL G. EASTWOOD

(Communicated by Irwin Kra)

**ABSTRACT.** This paper gives a simple formulation of the CR structures of Hill, Penrose, and Sparling. An elementary power series argument shows that they cannot be realized as hypersurfaces in an ambient complex manifold.

Suppose  $M$  is a smooth three-dimensional real manifold equipped with a nowhere vanishing complex vector field  $X$  and a smooth complex-valued function  $g$  such that, even locally, the equation  $Xf = g$  has no solutions. One may regard  $X$  as defining a CR structure on  $M$  and then  $g$  represents a nowhere vanishing class in the  $\bar{\partial}_b$ -cohomology  $H^{0,1}(M)$ . As described in [2], this class may be exponentiated to a CR line bundle over  $M$  with total space  $T$ . This example  $T$  of a CR manifold is due to Hill, Penrose, and Sparling who have shown (see [2]) that it cannot be locally realized as a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . (An alternative proof of this fact is given by Jacobowitz [1].)

The manifold  $T$  may be defined as  $M \times \mathbb{C}$  with CR structure induced by the vector fields

$$X + gz\partial/\partial z \quad \text{and} \quad \partial/\partial \bar{z},$$

where  $z$  is the usual coordinate on  $\mathbb{C}$ . To show that this is nonrealizable, first notice that if a smooth function  $\alpha$  satisfies  $X\alpha = n g \alpha$ , where  $n$  is a nonzero constant, then  $\alpha$  must be identically zero for, otherwise, any local choice of  $n^{-1} \log \alpha$  would provide a solution of  $Xf = g$ . Suppose that  $h$  is CR holomorphic on  $T$ . In other words,

$$Xh + gz\partial h/\partial z = 0 = \partial h/\partial \bar{z}.$$

The second equation says that this function is holomorphic in the variable  $z$  and so may be expanded as a Taylor series  $h = \sum h_j(x)z^j$  with coefficients  $h_j$  depending smoothly on  $x \in M$ . One may apply  $X$  term by term and the second equation yields

$$Xh_j + jgh_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } j.$$

As noticed above, the forces  $h_j \equiv 0$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Thus,  $h$  is independent of  $z$ :  $h = h(x)$  for  $h(x)$  a CR function on  $M$ . Hence, the CR functions fail to separate points as they would do if  $T$  were a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

As noted by Jacobowitz [1] the CR manifold  $M$  need not be restricted to have dimension three. The only requirement is that  $H^{0,1}(M)$  be nonzero and the above argument easily generalizes to cover this case. For example,  $M$  can be taken to be a hypersurface of Levi type  $(1, k)$ . The manifold  $T = M \times \mathbb{C}$  is always Levi flat in the  $\mathbb{C}$  direction.

Received by the editors July 3, 1986 and, in revised form, December 9, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 32C10; Secondary 58A30, 58G30.

## REFERENCES

1. H. Jacobowitz, *Simple examples of non-realizable CR hypersurfaces*, Preprint.
2. R. Penrose, *Physical space-time and non-realizable CR-structures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **8** (1983), 427-448.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE, G.P.O. BOX 498,  
ADELAIDE, SOUTH AUSTRALIA 5001, AUSTRALIA