

## APPROXIMATION BY OPERATORS WITH FIXED NULLITY

RICHARD BOULDIN

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $T$  be a fixed operator on a complex separable Hilbert space  $H$ . The distance from  $T$  to the operators with nullity equal to  $n$ , for each possible value of  $n$ , is determined.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a fixed complex separable Hilbert space. For any (bounded linear) operator  $T$  on  $H$  we define the nullity and deficiency, denoted  $\text{nul } T$  and  $\text{def } T$ , to be the dimensions of the kernels of  $T$  and  $T^*$ , respectively. Of course, the index of  $T$ , denoted  $\text{ind } T$ , is defined to be  $(\text{nul } T - \text{def } T)$ , with  $\infty - \infty$  understood to be 0. We denote the operator norm of  $T$  by  $\|T\|$ .

In [4] the distance from an arbitrary operator to the invertible operators (and to the Fredholm operators) was determined. This refined some results in [6] that described the closure of the invertible operators. The results and methods of [4] found application in [2, 9, 12], and other research. In this note we continue this sequence of results by determining the distance from a fixed operator  $T$  to the set of operators with a given fixed nullity. The results complement [2 and 12]. The reader interested in operator approximations is also directed to [3, 7, 8], and the bibliography of [2].

Recall that the reduced minimum modulus of  $T$ , denoted  $\gamma(T)$ , is defined by  $\gamma(T) = \inf\{\|Tf\|: \|f\| = 1, f \perp \ker T\}$ . It is well known that the range of  $T$ , denoted  $TH$ , is closed if and only if  $\gamma(T) > 0$ . The essential spectrum of an operator  $T$ , denoted  $\sigma_e(T)$ , is the set  $\{z: T - zI \text{ is not a Fredholm operator}\}$ . We define the essential minimum modulus  $m_e(T)$  by  $m_e(T) = \inf\{\lambda: \lambda \in \sigma_e((T^*T)^{1/2})\}$ . The following enumeration of properties of  $m_e(T)$ , was developed in [4]. (i) If  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $R = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  then the smallest nonnegative  $\alpha$  such that  $E([\alpha, \alpha + \delta))H$  is infinite dimensional for every positive  $\delta$  is  $\alpha = m_e(T)$ . (ii) The range  $TH$  is closed and  $\text{nul } T$  is finite if and only if  $m_e(T) > 0$ . (iii) The range  $T^*H$  is closed and  $\text{def } T$  is finite if and only if  $m_e(T^*) > 0$ . (iv) The operator  $T$  is Fredholm if and only if  $m_e(T)$  and  $m_e(T^*)$  are positive. In that case,  $m_e(T) = m_e(T^*)$ .

**2. Main results.** To simplify subsequent notation we make the following definition.

**DEFINITION.** For  $n$  any nonnegative integer or  $\infty$  we define  $\rho_n(T)$  by

$$\rho_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - A\|: \text{nul } A = n\}.$$

---

Received by the editors August 1, 1986 and, in revised form, March 18, 1987.  
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 47A99; Secondary 47A30, 47A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Nullity, approximation theory, operator norm, distances in the ring of operators, essential minimum modulus, index.

This brings us to our first theorem. The formula given here for the distance  $\rho_n(T)$  is unlike those appearing in [4, 2, 11, and 12]. It gives a significant refinement and sharpening of Proposition 4.7 in [12].

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that  $n > \text{nul } T$ .*

(i) *We always have  $m_e(T) \geq \rho_n(T) \geq \gamma(T)$ . Consequently, if  $m_e(T) = \gamma(T)$  then this common value is  $\rho_n(T)$ .*

(ii) *If  $m_e(T) > \gamma(T)$  then  $\rho_n(T) = \sup\{\lambda: \dim E([0, \lambda))H < n\}$  where  $UR$  is the usual polar factorization of  $T$  and  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $R$ .*

(iii) *For  $n \geq 2$  and  $m_e(T) > 0$ ,  $\rho_n(T)$  can assume any value in the interval  $[\gamma(T), m_e(T)]$ .*

**PROOF OF (i).** For  $A$  such that  $\text{nul } A = n > \text{nul } T$  it is immediate from the properties of  $\gamma(T)$  (see [5, pp. 364–365]) that  $\|T - A\| \geq \gamma(T)$ . Hence,  $\rho_n(T) \geq \gamma(T)$  and it suffices to show that  $m_e(T) \geq \rho_n(T)$ .

Let  $UR$  be the polar factorization of  $T$  with  $\ker U = \ker R$ . It is routine to verify that  $\ker T = \ker R$  and  $m_e(T) = m_e(R)$ . Using property (i) of the essential minimum modulus for  $R$  we construct an orthonormal sequence  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$  such that  $\{Rf_1, Rf_2, \dots\}$  is an orthogonal set and  $\{\|Rf_1\|, \|Rf_2\|, \dots\}$  is a non-increasing sequence converging to  $m_e(R)$ . One possible construction begins by letting  $I_m = (m_e(R) + 2^{-m-1}, m_e(R) + 2^{-m}]$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and noting that  $\{E(I_1), E(I_2), \dots\}$  is pairwise orthogonal where  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $R$ . To avoid contradicting property (i) we conclude that either an infinite number of the projections  $\{E(I_1), E(I_2), \dots\}$  are nonzero or else  $m_e(R)$  is an infinite dimensional eigenvalue for  $R$ . In the first case, pick a unit vector  $f_k \in E(I_k)H$  if  $E(I_k) \neq 0$  and in the second, simply choose an orthonormal basis for the eigenspace corresponding to  $m_e(R)$ . Note that in the latter case it follows that  $m_e(R) > 0$  for otherwise  $\text{nul } T = \text{nul } R = \infty$ , contradicting that  $n > \text{nul } T$ .

Let  $P_m$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\{f_m, \dots, f_{m+p}\}$  where  $p+1 = n - \text{nul } T$  and define  $A_m$  by  $A_m = T(I - P_m)$ . Note that  $\ker A_m = \ker T \oplus P_m H$  and  $\text{nul } A_m = \text{nul } T + (p+1) = n$ . For  $f$  in  $H$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - A_m)f\|^2 &= \|RP_m f\|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^p |c_j|^2 \|Rf_{m+j}\|^2 \\ &\leq \|Rf_m\|^2 \sum_{j=0}^p |c_j|^2 = \|Rf_m\|^2 \|P_m f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T - A_m\| \leq \|Rf_m\| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_n(T) \leq \inf_m \|T - A_m\| \leq \inf_m \|Rf_m\| = m_e(T),$$

which completes the proof of (i).

**PROOF OF (ii).** Since  $m_e(T)$  is positive, property (ii) of the essential minimum modulus implies that the range of  $T$  is closed, which implies that  $\gamma(T) > 0$ .

Choose  $A$  and  $\lambda$  such that  $\text{nul } A = n$  and  $\dim E([0, \lambda))H < n$ ; let  $P$  denote the projection  $E([0, \lambda))$ . Note that

$$\|T - A\| \geq \|(T - A)|_{\ker A}\| \geq \|(I - P)R|_{\ker A}\| = \|R|(I - P)|_{\ker A}\| \geq \lambda$$

provided that  $(I - P) \ker A$  is nontrivial. Clearly  $\text{nul}(I - P) < n = \text{nul } A$  and thus  $(I - P) \ker A$  must be nontrivial. From the inequality deduced above it follows that  $\rho_n(T) \geq \lambda$  and

$$(*) \quad \rho_n(T) \geq \mu \equiv \sup\{\lambda : \dim E([0, \lambda])H < n\}.$$

Now we prove the inequality opposite to (\*). From the usual properties of the spectral measure it follows that  $\dim E([0, \mu + 1/k])H \geq n$ . Choose  $M_k$  to be a subspace of  $E([0, \mu + 1/k])H$  that contains  $E([0, \mu])H$  and such that  $\dim M_k = n$ . Let  $P_k$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $M_k$  and note that  $\text{nul } T(I - P_k) = \dim M_k = n$ . If  $A_k$  is  $T(I - P_k)$  then  $\|T - A_k\| \leq \mu + 1/k$  and  $\rho_n(T) \leq \inf \|T - A_k\| \leq \mu$ , as desired.

PROOF OF (iii). If  $\gamma(T) = m_e(T)$  then there is nothing to prove. Choose two positive numbers  $a$  and  $c$  such that  $a < c$ ; choose  $b$  such that  $a \leq b \leq c$ . Choose  $n$  to be some integer such that  $n \geq 2$ . We shall construct  $T$  such that  $\gamma(T) = a$ ,  $\rho_n(T) = b$ , and  $m_e(T) = c$ . Of course,  $m_e(T) > 0$  implies that the range of  $T$  is closed, which implies that  $\gamma(T) > 0$ . Define  $T$  to be the diagonal operator with the first  $n - 1$  entries equal to  $a$ , the next two entries equal to  $b$  and all of the remaining entries equal to  $c$ . Note that  $T = R$ . Let  $D$  be the diagonal operator with the first  $n$  entries equal to 0, the  $n + 1$  entry equal to  $b$ , and all other entries equal to  $c$ . Clearly we have  $\|T - D\| = b$  and  $\ker D = n$ ; thus,  $\rho_n(T) \leq b$ .

Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $\ker(T - a)$  and let  $A$  be any operator with  $\text{nul } A = n$ . Note that

$$\|T - A\| \geq \|(I - P)R| \ker A\| = \|R|(I - P) \ker A\| \geq b;$$

the last inequality follows because  $(I - P) \ker A$  must be nontrivial. It follows that  $\rho_n(T) \geq b$ ; this inequality and the preceding paragraph show that  $\rho_n(T) = b$ , as desired. It is routine to verify that  $\gamma(T) = a$  and  $m_e(T) = c$ .

In the next theorem the formula for  $\rho_n(T)$  resembles previously obtained distance formulas. This theorem provides a notable sharpening and simplification of Proposition 3.10 in [12]. It gives an interesting contrast to Theorem 12.2 of [2, p. 146].

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that  $n < \text{nul } T$ .*

- (i) *If  $n \geq \text{ind } T$  then  $\rho_n(T) = 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $n < \text{ind } T$  then  $\rho_n(T) = m_e(T^*)$ .*

PROOF OF (i). Note that  $\text{def } T \geq \text{nul } T - n$ . Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\ker T$  and note that this basis could be finite or infinite. Let  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\ker T^*$  and note that it is infinite if the basis for  $\ker T$  is infinite. Define  $A_k$  by

$$\begin{aligned} A_k e_j &= 0, & j &= 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ A_k e_{n+j} &= (1/k) f_j, & j &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ A_k |(\ker T)^\perp &= T |(\ker T)^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to verify that  $\text{nul } A_k = n$  and  $\|T - A_k\| = 1/k$ . This proves (i).

PROOF OF (ii). This part of the proof requires the consideration of cases. Using the methods of [4] to refine Theorem 12.2 in [2, p. 146], Wu shows in [12, Theorem 3.1] that  $\rho_n(T) \leq \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$ . A folklore result, which is proved in [11],

indicates that  $\text{ind } A = \text{ind } T$  whenever  $\|T - A\| < m_e(T)$ . This result implies that  $\rho_n(T) \geq \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$ . Thus, we have  $\rho_n(T) = \max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\}$  and we need only argue that the right side of the preceding equation equals  $m_e(T^*)$ . Property (iv) of the essential minimum modulus gives the desired conclusion whenever  $m_e(T^*)$  is positive. Because  $\text{ind } T$  exceeds  $n$ , which is a nonnegative integer, we conclude that  $\text{nul } T > \text{def } T$ . Thus,  $\text{def } T$  is finite and  $m_e(T^*) = 0$  implies that the range of  $T^*$  is not closed according to property (iii) of the essential minimum modulus. Thus, the range of  $T$  is not closed and  $m_e(T) = 0$  according to property (ii). This proves that

$$\max\{m_e(T), m_e(T^*)\} = 0 = m_e(T^*)$$

and concludes the proof of (ii).

By taking adjoints and using various elementary results we can restate Theorems 1 and 2, respectively, as formulas for approximation by operators with fixed deficiency.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\eta_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - A\| : \text{def } A = n\}$  and assume that  $n > \text{def } T$ .*

- (i)  $m_e(T^*) \geq \eta_n(T) \geq \gamma(T)$ .
- (ii) *If  $m_e(T^*) > \gamma(T)$  then  $\eta_n(T) = \sup\{\lambda : \dim E([0, \lambda])H < n\}$  where  $E(\cdot)$  is the spectral measure for  $(TT^*)^{1/2}$ .*
- (iii) *For  $n \geq 2$  and  $m_e(T^*) > 0$ ,  $\eta_n(T)$  can assume any value in the interval  $[\gamma(T), m_e(T^*)]$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *Assume that  $n < \text{def } T$ .*

- (i) *If  $n \geq -\text{ind } T$  then  $\eta_n(T) = 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $n < -\text{ind } T$  then  $\eta_n(T) = m_e(T)$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, T. Sekiguchi, and T. Suzuki, *Approximation by positive operators*, Math. Z. **131** (1973), 273–282.
2. C. Apostol, L. A. Fack, D. A. Herrero and D. Voiculescu, *Approximation of Hilbert space operators*, vol. II, Pitman, Boston, Mass., 1984.
3. R. Bouldin, *Positive approximants*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **177** (1973), 391–403.
4. —, *The essential minimum modulus*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 513–517.
5. J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
6. J. Feldman and R. V. Kadison, *The closure of the regular operators in a ring of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 909–916.
7. P. R. Halmos, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 887–933.
8. —, *Positive approximants of operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** (1972), 951–960.
9. S. Izumino and Y. Kato, *The closure of invertible operators on a Hilbert space*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **49** (1985), 321–327.
10. D. D. Rogers, *Normal spectral approximation*, Ph.D. thesis, Indiana Univ., 1975.
11. —, *Approximation by unitary and essentially unitary operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **39** (1977), 141–151.
12. P. Y. Wu, *Approximation by invertible and noninvertible operators*, J. Approximation Theory (to appear).