

## SPOTS OVER ANALYTICALLY NORMAL LOCAL DOMAINS

JUDITH D. SALLY

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

**ABSTRACT.** A result of Lipman on 2-dimensional analytically normal local domains is extended to dimension  $d > 2$ , thereby enlarging the class of local domains known to have certain finiteness properties with respect to birational extensions.

This note is an addendum to the paper, *A criterion for spots* [HHS]. Its purpose is to extend a result of Lipman [L] to dimension  $d > 2$ , and thereby enlarge the class of  $d$ -dimensional local domains known to have the property that every  $d$ -dimensional quasi-unmixed local domain birationally dominating them is a spot over them. (Recall that a local ring  $S$  is said to be a spot over a ring  $R$  if  $S$  is the localization at a prime ideal of a finitely generated ring over  $R$ .)

In [HHS] the following property is defined.

**DEFINITION.** A  $d$ -dimensional local domain  $(R, m)$  has property  $L$ , respectively  $\bar{L}$ , if every  $d$ -dimensional normal spot birationally dominating  $R$  is analytically irreducible, respectively analytically normal. In [HHS] it is proved that if  $(R, m)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional analytically unramified local domain with property  $L$ , then every  $d$ -dimensional normal or quasi-unmixed local domain which birationally dominates  $R$  is a spot over  $R$ .

The class of local domains with  $\bar{L}$  includes all one dimensional local domains, all excellent local domains and, by a result of Lipman [L], all 2-dimensional analytically normal domains. It is an open question whether all  $d$ -dimensional analytically normal local domains, for  $d > 2$ , are in this class. The following result extends Lipman's result to certain  $d$ -dimensional analytically normal local domains.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional analytically normal local domain such that  $R/p$  is Nagata for all height 2 primes  $p$  of  $R$ . Then  $R$  has  $\bar{L}$ . In fact, every normal spot which birationally dominates  $R$  is analytically normal.*

**PROOF.** Let  $(S, n)$  be a normal local domain birationally dominating  $R$  which is a spot over  $R$ . We will show that  $S$  is analytically normal. With  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  denoting maximal ideal-adic completion, we have

$$R \subset \hat{R} \subset \hat{R} \otimes_R S \subset (\hat{R} \otimes_R S)_{n(\hat{R} \otimes_R S)} = T.$$

Now

$$\hat{R} \otimes_R S/n^i(\hat{R} \otimes_R S) \cong \hat{R} \otimes_R (S/n^i S) \cong R/m^i R \otimes_{R/m^i R} S/n^i S \cong S/n^i S,$$

---

Received by the editors April 24, 1987.  
 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 13E15, 13B30; Secondary 13B35, 13C15.

The author is partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

so  $\hat{T} = \hat{S}$ . Since  $T$  is a spot over  $\hat{R}$ ,  $T$  is excellent. Thus to prove that  $S$  is analytically normal it is sufficient to prove that  $T$  is normal.

$T$  is flat over  $S$ , so for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $T$

$$(*) \quad \text{depth } T_P = \text{depth } S_Q + \text{depth } T_P/QT_P,$$

where  $Q = P \cap S$ . We will prove that  $T_P$  is normal for all primes  $P$  of  $T$  which are maximal primes of principal ideals of  $T$  [**K**, Theorem 53]. Let  $P$  be one such. Let  $p = P \cap R$  and  $Q = P \cap S$ . Suppose first that  $\text{ht } p \leq 1$ . Then  $R_p = S_Q$  and  $T_P$  is a localization of  $\hat{R} \otimes_R R_p \cong \hat{R}_{R-p}$  which is normal since  $\hat{R}$  is normal.

Suppose now that  $\text{ht } p > 1$ . Since  $P$  is a maximal prime of a principal ideal of  $T$ ,  $\text{depth } T_P = 1$ . It follows from  $(*)$  that  $\text{ht } Q = 1$  because  $S$  is normal. Now  $R/p \subset S/Q$  so  $S/Q$  is a domain which is a spot over the Nagata domain  $R/p$ . Consequently,  $S/Q$  is analytically unramified, i.e.,  $Q\hat{S}$  is a radical ideal. Since  $P \supseteq QT = Q\hat{T} \cap T = Q\hat{S} \cap T$ , it follows that  $T/QT \subset \hat{S}/Q\hat{S}$ . Hence  $QT$  is a radical ideal and  $T_P/QT_P$  is reduced. Now  $S_Q$  is a DVR so  $QS_Q = xS_Q$ , for some  $x \in Q$ . Thus  $PT_P = QT_P = (QS_Q)T_P = xT_P$ , and  $T_P$  is also a DVR.

#### REFERENCES

- [HHS] W. Heinzer, C. Huneke and J. D. Sally, *A criterion for spots*, Kyoto J. Math. **26** (1986).
- [K] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1970.
- [L] J. Lipman, *Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), 151–207.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201