

INVARIANT SUBSPACES FOR ALGEBRAS OF SUBNORMAL OPERATORS. II

JAMES E. THOMSON

(Communicated by John B. Conway)

ABSTRACT. We continue our study of hyperinvariant subspaces for rationally cyclic subnormal operators. We establish a connection between hyperinvariant subspaces and weak-star continuous point evaluations on the commutant.

Introduction. Let K be a compact subset of the complex plane \mathbf{C} and let $R(K)$ denote the algebra of rational functions with poles off K . For a positive measure μ with support in K let $R^2(K, \mu)$ denote the closure of $R(K)$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Every rationally cyclic subnormal operator is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by z , M_z , on an $R^2(K, \mu)$ -space [1, p. 146]. Under this representation each operator that commutes with M_z is represented by multiplication by a function in $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$, and conversely [1, p. 147].

In [2] we proved the existence of invariant subspaces for the algebra $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$. In this paper we show there exist weak-star continuous point evaluations on $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ if $L^2(\mu) \neq R^2(K, \mu)$, and we show that these point evaluations give rise to the kinds of invariant subspaces found in [2]. Finally, we establish a connection between analytic bounded point evaluations on R^2 -spaces and R^p -spaces for $2 < p < 4$.

Notation. Let m denote area measure on \mathbf{C} . For a complex measure ν with compact support in \mathbf{C} let $\hat{\nu}(z) = \int (\zeta - z)^{-1} d\nu(\zeta)$ and $\tilde{\nu}(z) = \int |\zeta - z|^{-1} d|\nu|(\zeta)$. By Tonelli's theorem $\tilde{\nu}(z) < \infty$ m -a.e. and hence the Cauchy transform $\hat{\nu}$ is defined m -a.e. For g in $L^1(|\nu|)$ let \hat{g} equal $(g d\nu)^\wedge$.

THEOREM. Let $\bar{g} \in R^2(K, \mu)^\perp$ and let $A = \{z: \hat{g}(z) \neq 0\}$. Then for m -a.e. z in A there exists a weak-star continuous multiplicative linear functional e_z on $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $e_z(f) = f(z)$ for each f in $R(K)$. Moreover, for m -a.e. such z there exist x and y in $R^2(K, \mu)$ such that $e_z(f) = \langle fx, y \rangle$ for each f in $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$.

REMARK. Let z in A be such that both conclusions of the theorem hold, and let x and y be as in the second conclusion. Let H be the closed linear span of $\{(\zeta - z)f: f \in R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)\}$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Since $y \perp H$, it follows that H is a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace for M_ζ on $R^2(K, \mu)$.

LEMMA 1. Let $p \in (2, 4)$ and let $s = 2/(p - 2)$. Suppose $f_n \in L^2(\mu)$ and $\|f_n\|_2 < 2^{-n}$ for each positive integer n . Then there exists a function $w: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $w^{-1} \in L^s(\mu)$, $f_n \in L^p(w d\mu)$ for each n , and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(w d\mu)$.

Received by the editors August 13, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47B20.

Key words and phrases. Subnormal operators, invariant subspaces.

Research supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

PROOF. Let $w = (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n|)^{2-p}$. Redefine w to be one on the set where the infinite series diverges. Since $w^{-s} = (1 + \sum |f_n|)^2$, it follows that $w^{-s} \in L^1(\mu)$ and hence $w^{-1} \in L^s(\mu)$. Finally, $|f_n|^p w \leq |f_n|^2$ implies that $f_n \in L^p(w d\mu)$ for each n and that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(w d\mu)$.

LEMMA 2. Let $p \in (2, 4)$, $s = 2/(p - 2)$, and $q = p(p - 1)^{-1}$. Suppose $R^2(K, \mu) \neq L^2(\mu)$. Let $f_1, f_2 \in R^2(K, \mu)$. Then there exists a function $w: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $w^{-1} \in L^s(\mu)$, $R^p(K, w d\mu) \neq L^p(w d\mu)$, and $f_1, f_2 \in R^p(K, w d\mu)$.

PROOF. Define f_n to be f_1 when n is odd and f_2 when n is even. Let $\{r_n\}$ be a sequence of functions from $R(K)$ such that $\|r_n - f_n\|_2 < 2^{-n}$ for each n . Applying Lemma 1 to the sequence $\{r_n - f_n\}$, we obtain a function $w: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $w^{-1} \in L^s(\mu)$ and $r_n - f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(w d\mu)$. It follows immediately that f_1 and f_2 are in $R^p(K, w d\mu)$. If $\bar{g} \in R^2(K, \mu)^\perp$ then $(g/w) \in L^q(w d\mu)$ by Hölder's inequality. Since $R^2(K, \mu) \neq L^2(\mu)$, it follows that $R^p(K, w d\mu) \neq L^p(w d\mu)$.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Fix p and q as in Lemma 2. Let B be a compact subset of A such that the following hold:

- (i) $(g d\mu) \sim|_B$ is continuous;
- (ii) $(\zeta - z)^{-1}g(\zeta) \in L^q(\mu)$ for each $z \in B$.

As a consequence of (i), $\hat{g}|_B$ is continuous and hence \hat{g} is bounded away from zero on B . Let E be the set of points in B that are of full density with respect to m . We shall show that both conclusions of the theorem hold for each z in E . We leave it as an exercise that m -a.e. z in A belongs to such an E .

For $z \in E$ let $e_z(f) = \hat{g}(z)^{-1} \int (\zeta - z)^{-1} f(\zeta) g(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta)$ for each $f \in R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$. It is easy to check that $e_z(f) = f(z)$ if $f \in R(K)$. By the main proof in [2] there exist $x \in R^2(K, \mu)$ and $y \in L^2(\mu)$ such that $e_z(f) = \langle fx, y \rangle$ for each f in $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$. Projecting y into $R^2(K, \mu)$, we may assume that $y \in R^2(K, \mu)$. It remains to show that e_z is multiplicative on $R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$.

For $f \in R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ the map $z \rightarrow e_z(f)$ is continuous on E . This is a consequence of assumption (i). Thus it suffices to show that for $f_1, f_2 \in R^2(K, \mu) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ there exists a dense subset F of E such that $e_z(f_1 f_2) = e_z(f_1) e_z(f_2)$ for each $z \in F$. Let F be the set of $z \in E$ for which

$$\int |\zeta - z|^{-q} |(g/w)|^q w d\mu < \infty.$$

By Tonelli's theorem $m(E \setminus F) = 0$. For $z \in F$ the map $f \rightarrow e_z(f)$ is $L^p(w d\mu)$ -continuous on $R(K)$. Since e_z is multiplicative on $R(K)$, it follows from taking $L^p(w d\mu)$ limits that $e_z(f_1 f) = e_z(f_1) e_z(f)$ for each $f \in R(K)$. Taking $L^p(w d\mu)$ limits again, we obtain $e_z(f_1 f_2) = e_z(f_1) e_z(f_2)$. That does it.

REMARK. Let $P^r(\mu)$ denote the closure of the polynomials in $L^r(\mu)$. Suppose $P^2(\mu)$ has no analytic bounded point evaluations. Then there exists a function f in $P^2(\mu)$ that is essentially unbounded on every relatively open subset of the support of μ . If $P^2(\mu) \neq L^2(\mu)$ then by Lemma 2 f is in a nontrivial P^r -space for every $r \in (2, 4)$. It follows that none of those P^r -spaces has any analytic bounded point evaluations. Thus, to show that every nontrivial P^2 -space has some analytic bounded point evaluations, it suffices to show that every nontrivial P^r -space has analytic bounded point evaluations for some $r \in (2, 4)$. It is true that bounded point evaluations exist for every nontrivial P^r -space for $r > 2$.

REFERENCES

1. J. B. Conway, *Subnormal operators*, Pitman, Boston, Mass., 1981.
2. J. E. Thomson, *Invariant subspaces for algebras of subnormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1985), 462-464.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE
UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061