

A CHARACTERIZATION OF SUMS OF $2n$ TH POWERS OF GLOBAL MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

JESÚS M. RUIZ

(Communicated by Louis J. Ratliff, Jr.)

ABSTRACT. Let M be a real analytic manifold. In this note we prove

Theorem. *Let X be a compact analytic set of M and Σ its singular locus. Then, a meromorphic function h on X is a sum of $2n$ -th powers of meromorphic functions if and only if, for every analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ not contained in Σ , it holds $h \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, with $a > 0$ and $2n$ dividing m .*

INTRODUCTION

Let M be a real analytic manifold. The goal of this note is to prove the following

Theorem. *Let X be a compact analytic set of M and Σ its singular locus. Then, a meromorphic function h on X is a sum of $2n$ -th powers of meromorphic functions if and only if, for every analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ not contained in Σ , it holds $h \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, with $a > 0$ and $2n$ dividing m .*

(We allow a slight abuse of notation when either $h \circ \sigma = 0$ or $h \circ \sigma$ is not defined.)

This includes the solution of Hilbert's 17th Problem given in [Rz]. Indeed, for $n = 1$, the theorem above becomes

Corollary. *A meromorphic function on X is a sum of squares of meromorphic functions if and only if it is positive semidefinite off Σ .*

On the other hand, this theorem implies that h being a sum of $2n$ th powers is a local question, which depends only on the germs h_x for x a limit of regular points.

Note that the singular locus has to be considered. Take, for instance, the "stereographic closure" of Whitney's umbrella:

$$X = \{(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^4 : t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, (1-t)x^2 - zy^2 = 0\}.$$

Received by the editors July 3, 1989 and, in revised form, November 21, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 12D15, 32C05.

The author was partially supported by CICYT, PB860062.

This set is a compact analytic set, and $h = z/(1-t) = (x/y)^2$ is a sum of squares of meromorphic functions on X (actually a square), although it is negative at the singular point $(0, 0, 0, -1)$.

Our proof is based on Becker's theory of $2n$ th powers in formally real fields and uses two special features of the compact real analytic sets: their completeness with respect to real valuations, and the Artin–Lang specialization property. In addition, it also uses a real going-down for completions of excellent rings and Hironaka's resolution of singularities.

Results similar to our theorem have been obtained for rational functions over real algebraic varieties [Br-Sch, K-P, Sch], once the discussion of $2n$ th powers was started by E. Becker [B1, B2]. In the analytic setting, the same theorem has been proved for X nonsingular of dimension 2 by W. Kucharz, [K].

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let M , X , and Σ be as in the introduction. We shall review here several facts from the theory of real analytic sets. All of them can be found in [B-W], [C], [F], [T].

(1.1) **Localizations.** Let \mathcal{O} stand for the sheaf of germs of analytic functions of M , and $\mathcal{O}(M)$ for its ring of global sections; $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is the ring of global analytic functions on M . Then the ideal of X ,

$$I = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(M) : f|_X \equiv 0\},$$

generates a coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}$, and X is the support of \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} . The ring of global sections of the latter sheaf is

$$\Gamma(M, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{O}(M)/I;$$

this is the ring of global analytic functions on X , denoted by $\mathcal{O}(X)$. Thus, a global analytic function on X is the restriction to X of a global analytic function on M .

Now fix a point $x \in X$. We have the local ring

$$\mathcal{O}(X)_m, \quad m = \{f \in \mathcal{O}(X) : f(x) = 0\}.$$

On the other hand, consider the stalk of \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} at x ,

$$(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})_x = \mathcal{O}_x/I\mathcal{O}_x,$$

which we shall denote by $\mathcal{O}_x(X)$. The properties we need are

Lemma. *The rings $\mathcal{O}(X)_m$ and $\mathcal{O}_x(X)$ are excellent, and the canonical inclusion $\mathcal{O}(X)_m \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x(X)$ induces an isomorphism of the completions.*

(More details can be found in [Rz, §2]. For excellent rings and related notions we refer to [M]).

(1.2) **Irreducible components and dimension.** Since X is compact, it has finitely many irreducible components, say X_1, \dots, X_s , whose ideals I_1, \dots, I_s are the associated primes of I . Furthermore

$$\dim(X) = \max\{\dim(X_i) : 1 \leq i \leq s\}.$$

On the other hand, for $x \in X$,

$$\dim \mathcal{O}(X)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \max\{\dim(X_i): x \in X_i\}.$$

(1.3) **Regular points.** This is quite a delicate notion in the real analytic setting. Here we shall adopt a definition of global nature:

Definition. A point $x \in X$ is a *regular point* if there are $f_1, \dots, f_r \in I$ such that

- (i) The jacobian of f_1, \dots, f_r has rank r at x .
- (ii) The set $\{z \in X: f_1(z) = \dots = f_r(z) = 0\}$ coincides with X in a neighborhood of x .

From 1.1 and the inverse mapping theorem, it follows easily that $x \in X$ is regular if and only if $\mathcal{O}(X)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a regular ring if and only if $\mathcal{O}_x(X)$ is a regular ring.

We denote

$$\text{Reg}(X) = \{x \in X: x \text{ is a regular point}\},$$

and then

$$(1.3.1) \quad \text{Reg}(X) = \bigcup_i \left(\text{Reg}(X_i) \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} X_j \right).$$

The singular locus $\Sigma = X \setminus \text{Reg}(X)$ is also an analytic set of M in the global sense: there is an analytic function $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ such that

$$\Sigma = \{x \in X: \Delta(x) = 0\},$$

(and Δ does not vanish identically on any X_i).

(1.4) **Meromorphic functions.** Let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ stand for the total ring of fractions of the ring $\mathcal{O}(X)$; this $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is the ring of meromorphic functions on X . Thus, a meromorphic function is a quotient $h = f/g$ of two analytic functions, where the denominator g does not vanish identically on any X_i .

Finally we remark that the canonical map

$$(1.4.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}(X_s): f \rightarrow (f|_{X_1}, \dots, f|_{X_s})$$

is an isomorphism (Chinese remainder theorem).

Note also that each $\mathcal{M}(X_i)$ is a formally real field.

2. PROOF OF THE NECESSARY CONDITION

It is clear from 1.3.1 that for the proof of this half of the theorem we may assume X irreducible, so that $\mathcal{O}(X)$ is a domain and $\mathcal{M}(X)$ a field.

Now, let $h \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a sum of $2n$ th powers, and consider an analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ not contained in Σ , with $h \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, $a \neq 0$.

First of all, we shall construct a valuation ν of the field $\mathcal{M}(X)$, using the curve σ . This curve defines the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_x(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbf{R}\{t\} \\ & \searrow \psi \nearrow & \\ & \mathcal{O}(X)_m & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \varphi(f) = \text{expansion of } f \circ \sigma \text{ at } 0 \\ \psi = \varphi|_{\mathcal{O}(X)_m} \end{array}$$

where $x = \sigma(0)$ and m is the ideal corresponding to x . We have the prime ideals

$$\mathfrak{p} = \ker \varphi, \quad \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathcal{O}(X)_m = \ker \psi$$

In this situation we claim:

(2.1) The localization $\mathcal{O}(X)_q$ is a regular ring.

Indeed, by the Lemma in 1.1, the homomorphism $\mathcal{O}(X)_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x(X)_p$ is flat. From [M, 21.D, Theorem 51(i), p. 155], it follows that $\mathcal{O}(X)_q$ is regular if $\mathcal{O}_x(X)_p$ is also regular. Consequently, we deal with the latter ring.

Here we use the ideals R_k introduced in [T, Chapter II] to formulate several jacobian criteria. First of all, the singular locus of X is the set

$$\Sigma = \{z \in X : \mathcal{O}_z(X) \text{ is not regular}\}.$$

Then, as X is irreducible, by the Lemma in 1.1 and 1.2 we find

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_z(X) = \dim \mathcal{O}(X)_n = \dim(X),$$

where n = maximal ideal of z . Hence

$$\Sigma = \{x \in X : \mathcal{O}_x(X) \text{ is not regular of dimension } \dim(X)\}.$$

Now, $\mathcal{O}_z(X) = \mathcal{O}_z/I\mathcal{O}_z$ and, from [T, Chapter II, Theorem 7.9], we get

$$\text{germ } \Sigma_x \text{ of } \Sigma \text{ at } x = V(R_k(I\mathcal{O}_x)),$$

with $k = \dim M - \dim X$. Finally, the germ σ_x of (the image of) σ at x is contained in $V(\mathfrak{p})$ but not in Σ_k , so that $V(\mathfrak{p})$ is not contained in $\Sigma_x = V(R_k(I\mathcal{O}_x))$. In conclusion, \mathfrak{p} does not contain $R_k(I\mathcal{O}_x)$ and, from [T, Chapter II, Theorem 3.1], we deduce that $\mathcal{O}_x(X)_p$ is a regular ring.

As remarked before, this implies 2.1. \square

On the other hand, the homomorphism ψ induces an embedding $\kappa(\mathfrak{q}) \subset qf(\mathbf{R}\{t\})$, where $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$ stands for the residue field of \mathfrak{q} . Then we restrict the ordinary valuation of $qf(\mathbf{R}\{t\})$ to a valuation $\bar{\nu}$ of $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$. Coming back to our meromorphic function h , since $h \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, $a \neq 0$, is defined, $h \in \mathcal{O}(X)_q$ and its class \bar{h} in $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$ verifies $\bar{\nu}(\bar{h}) = m$.

Now we need 2.1: since $\mathcal{O}(X)_q$ is regular, $\bar{\nu}$ lifts to a valuation w of $qf(\mathcal{O}(X)_q) = \mathcal{M}(X)$ with residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{q})$. Furthermore, the ring W of w dominates $\mathcal{O}(X)_q$ and so $h \in W$. Finally, consider the valuation ν , composite of $\bar{\nu}$ and w . The canonical epimorphism $W \rightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{q})$ maps the ring V of ν onto the ring \bar{V} of $\bar{\nu}$.

After this preparation we use [B2, 1.9, p. 146]: as h is a sum of $2n$ th powers in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, $2n$ divides $\nu(h)$. In other words, there is $g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that g^{2n}/h is a unit of V . In particular, $g^{2n}/h \in V \subset W$ and $g^{2n} = h(g^{2n}/h) \in W$. Thus g and h belong to W , and, taking residue classes in $\kappa(q)$, we have \bar{g}, \bar{h} such that $\bar{g}^{2n}/\bar{h} = \overline{g^{2n}/h}$ is a unit in V . We conclude $2n$ divides $\bar{\nu}(\bar{h}) = m$.

To end the proof we have to check that $a > 0$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $a < 0$. Then there would be regular points $z = \sigma(t)$, $t > 0$, with $h(z) < 0$. Hence, it is enough to check that h is positive semidefinite off Σ . For that, take any representation of h as a sum of $2n$ th powers:

$$h = \sum f_i^{2n}/g^{2n}, \quad f_i, g \in \mathcal{O}(X), g \neq 0.$$

Clearly h is positive semidefinite in $\text{Reg}(X) \setminus \{g = 0\}$, and this set is dense in $\text{Reg}(X)$ because X is irreducible. We are done.

Remark. The long argument used above is needed to handle the curves σ on which h can be evaluated, i.e. $h = f/g$ with $g \circ \sigma \neq 0$, but this evaluation cannot be done on any expression $h = \sum f_i^{2n}/g_i^{2n}$ because $g_i \circ \sigma = 0$, for some i .

3. PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENT CONDITION

Let $h \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ not be a sum of $2n$ th powers. We have to find an analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$, not contained in Σ such that $h \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, $a \neq 0$, and either $a < 0$ or $2n$ does not divide m .

Since h is not a sum of $2n$ th powers in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, applying the isomorphism 1.4.1, we find that $h|_{X_i}$ is not a sum of $2n$ th powers in $\mathcal{M}(X_i)$ for some i . Then choose an analytic function g which does not vanish identically on X_i and such that

$$X_i \setminus \{g = 0\} = \text{Reg}(X_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j = \text{Reg}(X) \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j = X \setminus \{g = 0\}$$

and $g^{2n}h = f$ is an analytic function.

Clearly, it is enough to find $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ not contained in $\{g = 0\}$ such that $f \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, $a \neq 0$, and either $a < 0$ or $2n$ does not divide m . Furthermore, formulated this way we can restrict ourselves to the case $X = X_i$, i.e., to the case X is irreducible. In conclusion, we shall prove:

(3.1) *Claim.* Assume X is irreducible. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $g \neq 0$, and f not a sum of $2n$ th powers in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Then, there is an analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ not contained in $\{g = 0\}$, such that $f \circ \sigma = at^m + \dots$, $a \neq 0$, and either $a < 0$ or $2n$ does not divide m .

First, we distinguish a special case:

Case when f is not a sum of squares in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Here f must be negative in some ordering of the field $\mathcal{M}(X)$, and so will be g^2f . We deduce from [Rz,

Corollary 2.4], that there is some point $x \in X$ with $g(x)^2 f(x) < 0$. Finally, pick any analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ with $\sigma(0) = x$. Clearly $g \circ \sigma \neq 0$ and

$$f \circ \sigma = a + bt + \cdots, \text{ with } a = f(\sigma(0)) = f(x) < 0. \quad \square$$

Once the precedent case is solved we consider the

Case when f is a sum of squares in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. By [B2, 1.9, p. 146], there is a real valuation ν of the field $\mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $2n$ does not divide $\nu(f)$. Fix an ordering α of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ compatible with ν and denote by V the convex hull of \mathbf{R} in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ with respect to α . This V is a valuation ring with residue field \mathbf{R} which dominates the local ring $A = \mathcal{O}(X)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ of some point $x \in X$ [Rz, Lemma 2.3]. Now, since α is compatible with ν , the valuation ring of ν contains V and so it is $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of V . Hence, the value group of $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a quotient of the one of V , and, as $2n$ does not divide the value of f with respect to $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$, it does not divide the one with respect to V either. All this means that we can suppose V is the valuation ring of ν .

We have $A \rightarrow V \subset K = qf(A) = \mathcal{M}(X)$. The integral closure \bar{A} of A in K is contained in V , and V dominates a local ring $B = \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a maximal ideal of \bar{A} , lying over the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A :

Now we consider the adic completions A^{\wedge} and B^{\wedge} . Since A is excellent (1.1), there is a unique zero divisor \hat{q} of A^{\wedge} such that B^{\wedge} is the integral closure of A^{\wedge}/\hat{q} in $K^{\wedge} = qf(A^{\wedge}/\hat{q})$ [EGA, 7.6.2, p. 209]. We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A^{\wedge} & \rightarrow & A^{\wedge}/\hat{q} & \rightarrow & (A^{\wedge}/\hat{q})^{-} = B^{\wedge} \subset K^{\wedge} \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & & & \uparrow \quad \cup \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & B & \subset & K \end{array}$$

In this picture there is a room for the local ring $A^* = \mathcal{O}_x(X)$. First, by 1.1, A^{\wedge} is the adic completion of A^* . Thus, the zero divisors of A^{\wedge} are the extensions of the ones of A^* [T, Chapter III, Corollary 4.8] and so $\hat{q} = \hat{q}^* A^{\wedge}$ for a zero-divisor q^* of A^* . Finally, denote by B^* the integral closure of A^*/q^* in $K^* = qf(A^*/q^*)$. We have come to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A^{\wedge} & \rightarrow & A^{\wedge}/\hat{q} & \rightarrow & (A^{\wedge}/\hat{q})^{-} = B^{\wedge} \subset K^{\wedge} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \quad \cup \\ A^* & \rightarrow & A^*/q^* & \rightarrow & (A^*/q^*)^{-} = B^* \subset K^* \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & & & \uparrow \quad \cup \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & B & \subset & K \end{array}$$

Indeed, B^* is local [T, Chapter II, Proposition 2.5] and, again by [EGA] loc.cit., B^{\wedge} is the adic completion of B^* . Hence, B^{\wedge} dominates B^* and B^* dominates B , and the maximal ideal of B generates the one of B^* .

Now consider the ordering α we had in K . Since V is α -convex and V dominates B , α is a central ordering in the local excellent domain B , in the sense of [Rz, §1]. Then, by [Rz, Theorem 1.1], α extends to a total ordering

α^\wedge in B^\wedge . Let us denote by α^* the restriction of α^\wedge to B^* , and by V^* the convex hull of \mathbf{R} in K^* with respect to α^* . The valuation ring V^* is an extension of V , has residue field \mathbf{R} and dominates B^* . We summarize the situation in the next diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A^* & \rightarrow & A^*/\mathfrak{q}^* & \rightarrow & (A^*/\mathfrak{q}^*)^- & = & B^* \rightarrow V^* \subset K^* \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \rightarrow & V & \subset & K \end{array} \quad \cup \quad ; \text{ all residue fields are } \mathbf{R}.$$

Now we apply Hironaka's resolution of singularities I and II ([H1], cf. also [H2, pp. 5.8-5.9]) to the local excellent ring B and the ideal $J = fB$: there is a proper birational morphism $Z \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} B$, where Z is a regular scheme and $J\mathcal{O}_z$ is simple everywhere, i.e., for each $z \in Z$ there is a regular system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_k of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ such that $f\mathcal{O}_{Z,z} = (x_1^{p_1} \cdots x_k^{p_k})$.

In our situation, we choose the point z as follows. Since $Z \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} B$ is proper and birational the valuation V dominates a unique local ring of Z : this is our $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$. But $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z} = B[h_1, \dots, h_s]_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for some elements $h_1, \dots, h_s \in K$ and an ideal $\mathfrak{n} \subset B[h_1, \dots, h_s]$. Finally notice that the residue field of \mathfrak{n} is \mathbf{R} , because this is the residue field of V and V dominates $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$. As $\mathbf{R} \subset B[h_1, \dots, h_s]$, we can replace h_1, \dots, h_s by $h_1 - c_1, \dots, h_s - c_s$ for suitable $c_i \in \mathbf{R}$ to have $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \mathfrak{n}$. Hence

Conclusion.

- (3.1.3) The ring $B_1 = B[h_1, \dots, h_s]_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is regular, $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \mathfrak{n}$, and \mathfrak{n} has a regular system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_k such that the element $f \in A$ factorizes in B in the form $f = ux_1^{p_1} \cdots x_k^{p_k}$, where u is a unit and $p_i \geq 0$.

Once we have this, we come back to our valuation ν . It holds:

$$\nu(f) = p_1\nu(x_1) + \cdots + p_k\nu(x_k),$$

and as $2n$ does not divide $\nu(f)$, it does not divide p_i for some i , say $i = 1$. To finish the proof of 3.1 we need a local homomorphism $\varphi: B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\{t\}$ such that

$$(3.1.4) \quad \varphi(x_1) = t; \quad \varphi(x_j) = a_j t^{2n}, \quad a_j \neq 0, \text{ for } j > 1,$$

$$(3.1.5) \quad \varphi(g) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, from $A = \mathcal{O}(X)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}\{t\}$ we obtain an analytic curve $\sigma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow X$ with $f \circ \sigma = \varphi(f)$, $g \circ \sigma = \varphi(g)$. Then, in view of 3.1.4 we have $f = at^m + \cdots$, where:

$$a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k \neq 0, a_1 = \varphi(u)(0) \neq 0 \text{ because } \varphi(u) \text{ is a unit of } \mathbf{R}\{t\},$$

$$\text{and } 2n \text{ does not divide } m = p_1 + 2n \sum_{j>1} p_j, \text{ since it does not divide } p_1.$$

Finally, by 3.1.5, $g \circ \sigma \neq 0$, so that σ is not contained in $\{g = 0\}$. \square

Consequently, let us find φ verifying 3.1.4 and 3.1.5.

First, consider $B^*[h_1, \dots, h_s]$. Clearly V^* contains this ring, and so it must dominate a localization $B_1^* = B^*[h_1, \dots, h_s]_{\mathfrak{n}^*}$. This gives a local inclusion $B_1 \rightarrow B_1^*$, which is faithfully flat, because

$$B^*[h_1, \dots, h_s] = B^* \otimes_B B[h_1, \dots, h_s]$$

and $B \rightarrow B^*$ is flat. It follows from [M, 13.B, Theorem 19, p. 79] that

$$(*) \quad \dim(B_1^*) = \dim(B_1) + \dim(B_1^*/\mathfrak{n}B_1^*).$$

We claim

$$(**) \quad \mathfrak{n}B_1^* = \mathfrak{n}^*.$$

For $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \mathfrak{n}$ implies $B_1^*/\mathfrak{n}B_1^* = B^*/B^* \cap \mathfrak{n}B_1^*$, and, since the maximal ideal $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ of B generates the one $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^*$ of B^* , we deduce $B^* \cap \mathfrak{n}B_1^* \supset \mathfrak{m}B^* = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}^*$, which gives $B_1^*/\mathfrak{n}B_1^* = B^*/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}^* = \mathbf{R}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{n}B_1^*$ is a maximal ideal and it must coincide with \mathfrak{n}^* .

From (*), (**) and [M, 21.D, Theorem 51, p. 155], we conclude that B_1^* is regular and

$$(3.1.6) \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ are a regular system of parameters of } B_1^*.$$

Our next step is

$$(3.1.7) \quad \text{There is a local embedding } B_1^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}.$$

Indeed, the ring B^* is an analytic ring, i.e., there is a local epimorphism $\mathbf{R}\{y\} \rightarrow B^*$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ (by [T, Chapter II, Proposition 2.3] and the fact that the residue field of B^* is \mathbf{R}). We extend it to another one,

$$\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z] \rightarrow B^*[h_1, \dots, h_s], \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_s),$$

by $z_i \rightarrow h_i$. Since $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \mathfrak{n}^*$, the inverse image of \mathfrak{n}^* is the maximal ideal (y, z) , and we get a local epimorphism

$$\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)} \rightarrow B^*[h_1, \dots, h_s]_{\mathfrak{n}^*} = B_1^*.$$

Finally, if I is the kernel, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)}/I \rightarrow B_1^*.$$

Thus, $\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)}/I$ is a local regular ring of dimension $r + s - k$, and the inverse images x'_1, \dots, x'_k of x_1, \dots, x_k generate the maximal ideal $(y, z)/I$.

Now, $\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)}$ being regular, there are $x'_{k+1}, \dots, x'_{r+s}$ which generate I . Then x'_1, \dots, x'_{r+s} generate (y, z) and also generate $(y, z)\mathbf{R}[[y, z]]$, because $\mathbf{R}[[y, z]]$ is the completion of $\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)}$. Hence, by the inverse mapping theorem,

$$\frac{D(x'_1, \dots, x'_{r+s})}{D(y_1, \dots, y_r, z_1, \dots, z_s)}(0) \neq 0,$$

and, since x'_1, \dots, x'_{r+s} actually dwell in $\mathbf{R}\{y, z\}$, they generate $(y, z)\mathbf{R}\{y, z\}$. All this gives

$$\mathbf{R}\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)}/I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\{y, z\}/I\mathbf{R}\{y, z\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\{x'_1, \dots, x'_k\},$$

which, composed with the inverse of $\mathbf{R}/\{y\}[z]_{(y,z)}/I \rightarrow B_1^*$, is the embedding required in 3.1.7. \square

After 3.1.7, we are ready to produce $\varphi: B_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\{t\}$, verifying 3.1.4 and 3.1.5. We put

$$\varphi: B_1 \rightarrow B_1^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbf{R}\{t\},$$

$$\tau(x_1) = t, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(x_j) = a_j t^{2^n}, \quad a_j \neq 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq 1.$$

Thus, φ fulfills 3.1.4. In addition, one can always choose the a_j 's to have

$$\tau(g) = g(t, a_2 t^{2^n}, \dots, a_k t^{2^n}) \neq 0,$$

since $g \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{R}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. This is the condition 3.1.5.

As explained before, this definition of φ completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [B1] E. Becker, *Hereditarily pythagorean fields and orderings of higher level*, IMPA Lecture Notes, no. 29, Rio de Janeiro, 1978.
- [B2] —, *The real holomorphy ring and sums of $2n$ -th powers*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 959, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1982.
- [Br-Sch] L. Bröcker and H.-W. Schülting, *Valuations of function fields from the geometrical point of view*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **365** (1986), 12–32.
- [B-W] F. Bruhat and H. Whitney, *Quelques propriétés fondamentales des ensembles analytiques réels*, Comment. Math. Helv. **33** (1959), 132–160.
- [C] H. Cartan, *Variétés analytiques réelles et variétés analytiques complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **85** (1957), 77–99.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Elements de géométrie algébrique*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **24** (1965).
- [F] J. Frisch, *Points de platitude d'un morphisme d'espaces analytiques complexes*, Invent. Math. **4** (1967), 118–138.
- [H1] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, Ann. Math. **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [H2] —, *Introduction to real analytic sets and real analytic maps*, Quaderno dei gruppi di ricerca del C.N.R. Ist. Mat. "L. Tonelli", Pisa, 1973.
- [K] W. Kucharz, *Sums of $2n$ -th powers of real meromorphic functions* (to appear).
- [K-P] F. V. Kuhlmann and A. Prestel, *On places of real algebraic function fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **358** (1984), 181–195.
- [M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, 2nd ed., W. A. Benjamin Co., Amsterdam, 1980.
- [Rz] J. Ruiz, *On Hilbert's 17th problem and real Nullstellensatz for global analytic functions*, Math. Z. **190** (1985), 447–454.
- [Sch] H.-W. Schülting, *Prime divisors on real varieties and valuation theory*, J. Algebra **98** (1986), 499–514.
- [T] J. C. Tougeron, *Idéaux de fonctions différentiables*, Ergeb. Math. **71**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1972.