

## THE STRUCTURE OF (EXACTLY) 2-TO-1 MAPS ON METRIC COMPACTA

JO HEATH

(Communicated by Dennis Burke)

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that the domain of a 2-to-1 continuous map  $f$  contains two disjoint open sets  $V$  and  $V^\wedge$  such that  $f(V) = f(V^\wedge)$  and  $f \upharpoonright V$  is a homeomorphism from  $V$  onto a dense open subset of the image of  $f$ . The restriction of  $f$  to  $V \cup V^\wedge$  is the first "fold", and  $f$  is shown to be the union of a finite or transfinite sequence of similar folds.

### I. INTRODUCTION

A function is  $k$ -to-1 if each point in the image has exactly  $k$  points in its preimage. In the study of  $k$ -to-1 functions, the case  $k = 2$  is frequently an anomaly. For instance, while there are continuous  $k$ -to-1 functions defined on the arc  $[0, 1]$  for each  $k > 2$ , infinitely many discontinuities are required for  $k = 2$ . (See [1], [2], and [3].) More generally, if  $G$  is a graph with Euler number  $m$ , and  $H$  is a graph with Euler number  $t$ , then there is a finitely discontinuous  $k$ -to-1 function from  $G$  onto  $H$  iff  $m \leq kt$  except for the case  $k = 2$  where the much stricter  $m = kt$  is required. (See [4].) Another example is the fact that while  $k$ -to-1 (continuous) maps have been constructed onto treelike continua for every  $k > 2$ , it is not known if any treelike continuum can be the image of a 2-to-1 map, (see [5]), nor is it known if the pseudoarc can be the domain of a 2-to-1 map. These and other examples support the notion that the structure of 2-to-1 maps is more demanding than the structure of  $k$ -to-1 maps for  $k > 2$ . In this paper we study the structure of 2-to-1 maps by showing how the domain can be partitioned into manageable sets on which the function is exceptionally well behaved.

### II. DEFINITIONS AND THE INTERIOR LEMMA

**Definition.** For each point  $p$  in the domain of a 2-to-1 map  $f$ , let  $p^\wedge$ , called the twin of  $p$ , denote the other point of the domain of  $f$  such that

---

Received by the editors May 19, 1989; some parts of this paper were presented at the Spring Topology Conference in Knoxville, Tennessee, March 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 54C10, 26A15, 26A03.

*Key words and phrases.*  $k$ -to-1 function, 2-to-1 map,  $k$ -to-1 map, 2-to-1 function.

$f(p^\wedge) = f(p)$ . Analogously, define  $D^\wedge = \{x^\wedge : x \text{ is in } D\}$ , for any subset  $D$  of the domain of  $f$ .

**Definition.** The point  $p$  in the domain  $A$  of a 2-to-1 map is a *hinge point* if there is a sequence of points in  $A$  converging to  $p$  whose sequence of twins also converges to  $p$ .

**Definition.** [6, p. 12] A function  $t: A \rightarrow A$  is *semicontinuous* if for each point  $x$  and any sequence of points converging to  $x$ , the sequence of images under  $t$  does not converge to any point other than  $t(x)$  or  $x$ .

**Fact 0.** [6, p. 12] *If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is 2-to-1 and continuous, then the twin function on  $A$  that takes each  $x$  to  $x^\wedge$  is semicontinuous. Note that if  $A$  is compact then the points of discontinuity of the twin function are exactly the hinge points.*

**Lemma 1** (Interior Lemma). *Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is continuous and 2-to-1 and  $A'$  is a compact subset of  $A$  with  $f(A') = B$ . Then  $A'$  must have interior. Furthermore if  $A'$  is minimal with respect to the property of being a compact subset of  $A$  that maps onto  $B$ , then  $A'$  is the closure of its interior.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A'$  has no interior and let  $S$  denote the subset of  $A'$  of special hinge points that are sequential limit points of two twin sequences, one of which is in  $A \setminus A'$ . The other sequence must be in  $A'$  since  $f(A') = B$ .  $S$  is closed and Fact 1 (isolated because it is used often) implies that  $S$  is nonempty.

**Fact 1.** *If  $p$  is not in  $A'$  and  $p^\wedge$  is not in the interior of  $A'$ , then  $p^\wedge$  belongs to  $S$ , i.e.,  $p^\wedge$  is a hinge point with one sequence of points from outside of  $A'$  converging to  $p^\wedge$  and its twin sequence from  $A'$  also converging to  $p^\wedge$ .*

*Proof of Fact 1.* Let  $p$  be as described. Since  $p^\wedge$  is not in the interior of  $A'$  (but must be in  $A'$ ), there is a sequence  $\{a(i)\}$  of points outside of  $A'$  converging to  $p^\wedge$ . The twin sequence  $\{a(i)^\wedge\}$  in the compact set  $A'$  must converge to  $p^\wedge$  since each convergent subsequence converges to either  $p$  or  $p^\wedge$  by the semicontinuity of the twin function. Hence  $p^\wedge$  is in  $S$ .  $\square$

Now divide  $S$  into pieces:  $M(j) = \{x \text{ in } S : d(x, x^\wedge) \geq 1/j\}$ . These pieces are closed so, by Baire's theorem, one of them has interior (with respect to  $S$ ). Let  $x$  be an interior point then of, say,  $M(i)$ . By the definition of  $S$  there are twin sequences converging to  $x$ , one from outside  $A'$ , one from inside  $A'$ . Thus there is a point  $z$  outside  $A'$  near  $x$  that is within  $1/i$  of its twin  $z^\wedge$  in  $A'$ . But we know from Fact 1 that if  $z$  is in  $A \setminus A'$ , then its twin is in  $S$ . This contradicts the definition of  $M(i)$ . Hence  $A'$  has interior.

Now, suppose the set  $C = A' \setminus \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A'))$  exists, where  $A'$  is minimal with respect to being a compact subset of  $A$  that maps onto  $B$ . Then  $C$  satisfies the Baire property and can be broken down into the same sets  $M(j)$  as before, and one of them, say  $M(i)$ , has interior in  $C$ . Let  $V$  be an open set (in  $C$  and hence in  $A'$ ) in  $M(i)$  of diameter less than  $1/i$ . Then  $V$  and  $V^\wedge$  do not intersect. If  $V^\wedge$  is in  $A'$ , then  $A' \setminus V$  is a closed proper subset of  $A'$  that maps onto  $B$ , a contradiction, so some point  $q$  of  $V$  has  $q^\wedge$  not in  $A'$ . As

before, from Fact 1, since  $q$  is not in the interior of  $A'$ , we know  $q$  must be in  $S$  and the same contradiction occurs.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f$  is continuous and 2-to-1 and maps the indecomposable continuum  $A$  onto a continuum  $Y$ , then no proper subcontinuum of  $A$  maps by  $f$  onto  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is an indecomposable continuum, then no proper subcontinuum of  $A$  has interior.

### III. THE FOLDING SEQUENCE OF A 2-TO-1 MAP

The idea of a fold can be seen in this simple example: The unit circle  $S$  in the plane can be mapped 2-to-1 onto itself as a composition of  $S$  projected onto  $[-1, 1]$  followed by gluing the points  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$  together. The 2-to-1 composition is a homeomorphism on the open set  $V1$ , the points of  $S$  with positive second coordinate, and on the disjoint open twin set  $V1^\wedge$ , the points of  $S$  with negative second coordinate, and each maps to the same dense open set in the image. We will show that all 2-to-1 maps on metric compacta behave this way. (See Corollary 2 below.) The construction of  $V1$  is described in Theorem 1. Obviously this phenomenon dictates a certain amount of similarity between the domain and image of a 2-to-1 map; see Corollary 3. Since  $V1$  and  $V1^\wedge$  map to the same set, the function restricted to the first residue  $A \setminus (V1 \cup V1^\wedge)$  is still 2-to-1, and since  $V1$  and  $V1^\wedge$  are open, the residue is compact. Hence the process can be repeated by constructing disjoint twin sets  $V2$  and  $V2^\wedge$  open in the first residue. In the circle  $S$ ,  $V2$  and  $V2^\wedge$  will be just  $\{(-1, 0)\}$  and  $\{(1, 0)\}$ , but in more complex situations, there may be a transfinite sequence of such  $V$ 's before  $A$  is exhausted. (Example 3 describes a Peano continuum whose every  $V$  sequence has ordinal at least  $w_0$ .) In general, we define the residue  $A(\beta + 1) = A\beta \setminus (V\beta \cup (V\beta)^\wedge)$  and  $A\beta$ , for limit  $\beta$ , is defined to be the intersection of the previous  $Ai$ 's (so limit  $A\beta$ 's are always nonempty since the  $Ai$ 's are compact). Since each  $V\beta$  is never empty (shown in Theorem 1) if the residue isn't empty, something is glued at each step so the folding sequence eventually exhausts  $A$ , i.e., some first residue  $A(\alpha + 1)$  is empty. The residue sequence for  $f$  and  $A$  is  $A1, A2, \dots, A\beta, \dots, A\alpha$  and the folding sequence of  $f$  and  $A$  is  $V1, V2, \dots, V\alpha$ . Note that the last nonempty residue  $A\alpha = V\alpha \cup (V\alpha)^\wedge$ . This sequence of  $V$ 's as a decomposition of  $A$  is a natural set-up for transfinite induction. Some of the important properties of the  $V$  sequence are outlined in Theorem 2.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is continuous and 2-to-1,  $A$  is compact,  $A'$  is a set minimal with respect to the property of being a closed subset of  $A$  that maps by  $f$  onto  $B$ , and suppose  $V$  is the set of points in the interior of  $A'$  whose twins do not belong to  $A'$ . Then  $V$  is a nonempty open set such that  $V^\wedge$  is open and disjoint from  $V$ , and if  $V$  is properly contained in the open set  $W$ , then either  $W^\wedge$  is not open or  $W^\wedge$  intersects  $W$ . Furthermore,  $V$  is dense in  $A'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f, A, B, A'$ , and  $V$  satisfy the hypothesis.

**Fact 2.** *If  $x$  is in the interior of  $A'$  and  $x^\wedge$  is in  $A'$ , then  $x^\wedge$  is a hinge point.*

*Proof of Fact 2.* Let  $U$  and  $W$  be disjoint open sets containing  $x$  and  $x^\wedge$  respectively such that  $U$  is in the interior of  $A'$ . If  $x^\wedge$  is not a hinge point there is, by the semicontinuity of the twin function, a smaller open set  $W'$  containing  $x^\wedge$  such that  $(W')^\wedge$  lies in  $U$ . But this means that  $A' \setminus W'$  is a closed proper subset of  $A'$  that also maps onto  $B$ , contradicting the minimality of  $A'$ . Hence  $x^\wedge$  is a hinge point.  $\square$

**Fact 3.** *The interior of  $A'$  has no hinge points.*

*Proof of Fact 3.* The set of hinge points of the interior of  $A'$ , if nonempty, is closed in the interior of  $A'$  and so satisfies the Baire property. As in the proof of Lemma 1, let  $M(j) = \{x: d(x, x^\wedge) \geq 1/j\}$  for each positive integer  $j$ ; then there is a point  $x$  in the interior of some  $M(i)$ . Since  $x$  is a hinge point, there are pairs of twins arbitrarily close to  $x$  most of which cannot be in  $M(i)$  and so cannot be hinge points themselves. Thus there is in the interior of  $A'$  a twin pair of points neither of which is a hinge point, contrary to Fact 2.

**Claim 1.**  $V$  is dense in  $A'$ . The interior  $I$  of  $A'$  is dense in  $A'$  by Lemma 1; to show that  $V$  is dense in  $I$ , let  $W$  denote any open set in  $I$ . If each  $x$  in  $W$  has  $x^\wedge$  in  $A'$ , then since  $x^\wedge$  is a hinge point (Fact 2), it is not in  $I$  (Fact 3), and so  $W$  and  $W^\wedge$  are disjoint and  $A' \setminus W$  maps onto the image  $B$ , contrary to the minimality of  $A'$ . Hence some point  $x$  of  $W$  has  $x^\wedge$  not in  $A'$ , i.e.,  $x$  is in  $V$ .

**Claim 2.**  $V$  is open. If the sequence  $\{x(i)\}$  converges to  $p$  in  $V$ , then their twins converge to  $p^\wedge$  in  $A \setminus A'$ , since  $p$  is not a hinge point. Then, since most of the  $x(i)$  must be in the interior of  $A'$  since  $p$  is, all but finitely many of the  $x(i)$  are in  $V$ . Hence  $V$  is open.

**Claim 3.**  $V^\wedge$  is open (and obviously disjoint from  $V$ ). Let  $\{x(i)\}$  be a sequence of points in  $A \setminus A'$  converging to the point  $q$  of  $V^\wedge$ . Since  $f(A') = B$ , each  $x(i)^\wedge$  is in  $A'$  and hence  $\{x(i)^\wedge\}$  converges to  $q^\wedge$  in  $V$ . Since  $V$  is open, most  $x(i)^\wedge$  are in  $V$  and for these  $x(i)^\wedge$ ,  $x(i)$  is in  $V^\wedge$ ; hence  $V^\wedge$  is open.

Now suppose that  $W$  is a larger open set containing  $V$  with the property that  $W^\wedge$  is open and misses  $W$ . If  $W$  is not in the interior of  $A'$ , then  $W$  contains a point  $p$  not in  $A'$ , since  $W$  is open. If  $p^\wedge$  is in the interior of  $A'$ , it belongs to  $V$  and hence to  $W$  so that  $W$  intersects  $W^\wedge$ ; so  $p^\wedge$  must be in the boundary of  $A'$  since it must be in  $A'$ . But, by Fact 1,  $p^\wedge$  is a hinge point, so  $W$  intersects  $W^\wedge$ . Thus  $W$  is contained in the interior of  $A'$ . Since  $W \neq V$ ,  $W$  has a point  $q$  whose twin is in  $A'$ . But this means that  $A'$  intersects  $W^\wedge$ , and  $A' \setminus W^\wedge$  is a closed proper subset of  $A'$  that maps onto  $B$  (a contradiction). Therefore there is no such larger  $W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *If the 2-to-1 function  $f$  maps the compactum  $A$  onto  $B$ , then there are disjoint open twin sets  $V$  and  $V^\wedge$  in  $A$  such that  $f$  restricted to either of them is a homeomorphism and  $f(V)$  is open and dense in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  and  $A'$  be as described in Theorem 1. Then  $f(V)$  is dense in  $B$  since  $A'$  maps onto  $B$  and  $V$  is dense in  $A'$ . Since  $V$  and  $V^\wedge$  do not intersect,  $f$  is 1-to-1 on each of them; and it is straightforward to show, since  $V \cup V^\wedge$  is the complete inverse of  $f(V)$ , that  $f(V)$  is open in  $B$ , and that  $f$  is a homeomorphism when restricted to either  $V$  or  $V^\wedge$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Given a hereditarily decomposable continuum and a hereditarily indecomposable continuum, neither can be mapped 2-to-1 onto the other.*

**Corollary 4.** *If  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is 2-to-1 and continuous,  $A$  is compact, and  $A'$  is a set minimal with respect to being a closed subset of  $A$  such that  $f(A') = B$ , then  $f$  is 1-to-1 on each of the sets  $\text{Int}(A')$  and  $A \setminus A'$ ; hence the set of points at which  $f$  is a local homeomorphism contains the dense open set  $\text{Int}(A') \cup (A \setminus A')$ .*

*Note.* The set of points at which  $f$  is a local homeomorphism was shown by Mioduszewski [6] and Roberts [7] to be open and dense.

*Proof.* The function  $f$  is 1-to-1 on  $A \setminus A'$  because  $A'$  maps onto  $B$ , and Facts 2 and 3 imply  $f$  is 1-to-1 on  $\text{Int}(A')$ .

The following theorem is a gathering of some of the properties of the folding sequence that might be useful for transfinite induction:

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is continuous and 2-to-1, and  $A$  is compact. Then there is an increasing sequence  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_\beta, \dots, W_\alpha$  of open sets in  $A$  such that (1)  $W_\alpha = A$ , (2) each  $W_\beta = (W_\beta)^\wedge$ , (3) each  $W_\beta \setminus \bigcup\{W_i; i < \beta\}$  is the union of two nonempty separated twin sets  $V_\beta$  and  $(V_\beta)^\wedge$ , and (4) if  $T = f^{-1}(Z)$  for some compactum  $Z$  in the image  $B$ , and  $\beta$  is the least ordinal such that  $T$  is contained in  $W_\beta$ , then  $T$  intersects  $V_\beta \cup (V_\beta)^\wedge$ , and the twin function (that takes each  $x$  to  $x^\wedge$ ) is a homeomorphism from  $T \cap V_\beta$  onto  $T \cap (V_\beta)^\wedge$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $j$ , let  $W_j = \bigcup\{V_i \cup (V_i)^\wedge; i \leq j\}$ . Then properties (1) through (3) follow immediately from the construction of a folding sequence. For (4) suppose  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal. Since  $T$  is not in  $W_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $T$  intersects each (compact) residue  $A_\alpha$  and hence their intersection  $A_\beta$ . These intersection points must be in  $V_\beta \cup (V_\beta)^\wedge$ . The sets  $T \cap (V_\beta)$  and  $T \cap (V_\beta)^\wedge$  are disjoint because  $V_\beta$  and  $(V_\beta)^\wedge$  are, and they are compact because limit points of  $V_\beta \cup (V_\beta)^\wedge$  not in  $V_\beta \cup (V_\beta)^\wedge$  are in  $V_\mu$  for some  $\mu > \beta$ , which is not possible if  $T$  is contained in  $W_\beta$ . That the twin function is a homeomorphism follows from the semicontinuity of the twin function (Fact 0), since then the twin function is continuous, 1-to-1 and onto, and  $T \cap (V_\beta)$  is compact.

## IV. EXAMPLES

An example is given (Example 1) to show that although it is true that for each  $x$  in  $A$  either  $x$  or  $x^\wedge$  is in the closure of  $V1$ , nevertheless  $V1 \cup V1^\wedge$  need not be dense in  $A$ . The interior of  $A'$  is dense in  $A'$ , so the union  $\text{Int}(A') \cup (A \setminus A')$  is not an insignificant portion of  $L$  (the set of points at which  $f$  is a local homeomorphism) but an example is given (Example 2) where this union is a proper subset of  $L$  and this same example demonstrates that the first residue,  $A \setminus (V1 \cup V1^\wedge)$  need not be unique. Common to all three examples,  $S$  denotes a circle, and  $f1$  is a 2-to-1 map from  $S$  onto  $S$ .

**Example 1.** There is a Peano continuum  $A$  in  $E^3$  and a 2-to-1 map  $f$  on  $A$  such that a maximal  $V$  as described in Theorem 1 does not have  $V \cup V^\wedge$  dense in  $A$ .

*Construction.* Let  $A$  be the union of the following three sets:

$$S = \{(x, y, z): (x - 1)^2 + y^2 = 1 \text{ and } z = 0\},$$

$$T = \{(x, y, z): (x - 2)^2 + y^2 = 4 \text{ and } z = 0\}, \text{ and}$$

$$R = \{(x, y, z): (x + 2)^2 + y^2 = 4 \text{ and } -1 \leq z \leq 1\},$$

and let  $f$  be  $f1$  on  $S$ , glue each point  $(x, y, z)$  of  $R$  with  $z \neq 0$  to  $(x, y, -z)$ , and glue each point  $(x, y, 0)$  of  $R$  to the point  $(-x, y, 0)$  of  $T$ . A suitable  $V$  could be the set of points in  $R$  with positive  $z$  coordinate plus some of the points of  $S$ , and  $V^\wedge$  would be the points of  $R$  with negative  $z$  coordinate plus some other points of  $S$ , but most points of  $T$  are not in the closure of  $V \cup V^\wedge$ .

**Example 2.** There is a Peano continuum  $A$  in  $E^3$  and a 2-to-1 map  $f$  defined on  $A$  such that (1) if  $A'$  is any subset of  $A$  that is minimal with respect to being a compactum that maps onto the image of  $A$ , then  $\text{Int}(A') \cup (A \setminus A')$  is a proper subset of the set  $L$  of points at which  $f$  is a local homeomorphism (nonhinge points), and (2) the first residue  $A2 = A \setminus (V1 \cup V1^\wedge)$  is not unique.

*Construction.* Let  $A$  be the union of the following two sets:

$$S = \{(x, y, z): (z - 1)^2 + x^2 = 1 \text{ and } y = 0\}, \text{ and}$$

$T = \{(x, y, z): x^2 + y^2 \leq 1 \text{ and } z = 0\}$ , and let  $f$  be  $f1$  on  $S$  and glue each point  $(x, y, 0)$  in  $T$  to  $(-x, -y, 0)$  in  $T$ . All of the hinge points of  $f$  are in  $S$  and since  $\text{Int}(A')$  and  $A \setminus A'$  are disjoint nonempty open sets for any  $A'$ , these two sets cannot divide up the connected set  $T \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  and yet  $L$  contains  $T \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ . Note too that  $T \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  is neither (1) in  $\text{Int}(A')$  since  $A'$  is minimal nor (2) in  $A \setminus A'$  since  $A'$  maps onto the image. Now choose as a minimal compactum a set  $A'$  such that  $A' \cap T = \{(x, y, z): x^2 + y^2 \leq 1, y \leq 0, \text{ and } z = 0\}$ . The residue resulting from this  $A'$  would intersect  $T$  in the diameter  $\{(x, y, z): -1 \leq x \leq 1, y = 0 \text{ and } z = 0\}$ . Since  $f$  is symmetric about the origin, any diameter could likewise be the  $T$  part of some residue. Thus  $A2$  is not unique.

**Example 3.** An example of a continuum  $A$  in the plane and a 2-to-1 map  $f$  defined on  $A$  such that every folding sequence for  $A$  and  $f$  has ordinal at least  $w_0$ .

*Contraction.* Let  $S$  be the unit circle in the plane and define  $f$  to be fl on  $S$ . We will add infinitely many dendrites of sufficient complexity that the resulting continuum has hinge points remaining in every residue with integer subscript. We use the fact that no  $V_\alpha$  or  $V_\alpha^\wedge$  has any hinge points for the  $\alpha$ th residue.

Suppose  $E$  is an arc,  $p$  is its midpoint, and  $e$  is a positive number. We will very temporarily use the term "amplify  $E$  with  $e$  control" to mean (1) choose a sequence of points  $t(i)$  on  $E$  converging to  $p$  and at each  $t(i)$  attach the midpoint of an arc  $a(i)$  of diameter less than  $e/i$ , and (2) extend  $f$  already defined on  $E$  to each  $a(i)$  by gluing the two halves of  $a(i)$  to each other pivoting at the midpoint  $t(i)$  of  $a(i)$ . Now, let  $E$  be a subarc of  $S$  and  $p$  its midpoint. The first extension is to amplify  $E$  with control 1. Let  $\{t(i)\}$  denote the selected points on  $E$  converging to  $p$  used for this amplification. The second extension is to amplify each arc added at extension one with control  $1/2$  except for the first arc attached at  $t(1)$ . The  $n$ th extension is to amplify each arc added at extension  $n - 1$  with control  $1/(2^n)$  except for the arcs that connect to  $S$  at any of the first  $n$  points  $t(1), \dots, t(n)$ . Let  $A$  denote  $S$  plus all of these extensions.

Each midpoint of each added arc is a hinge point for  $A$  and so cannot be in  $V_1 \cup V_1^\wedge$ , no matter which  $V_1$  is chosen. The first midpoint  $t(1)$  is not however a hinge point for the first residue  $A \setminus (V_1 \cup V_1^\wedge)$ , but all hinge points that are limits of hinge points will remain in the second residue. The complexity of the hinge points as constructed guarantees that the  $n$ th residue is nonempty for each positive integer  $n$  so that the ordinal of the folding sequence is at least  $w_0$  no matter which sequence is chosen.

#### REFERENCES

1. O. G. Harrold, *The non-existence of a certain type of continuous transformation*, Duke Math. J. **5** (1939), 789–793.
2. Jo Heath, *Every exactly 2-to-1 function on the reals has an infinite number of discontinuities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), 369–373.
3. —,  *$K$ -to-1 functions on arcs for  $K$  even*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 387–391.
4. —,  *$K$ -to-1 functions between graphs with finitely many discontinuities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 661–666.
5. —, *Tree-like continua and exactly  $k$ -to-1 functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989),
6. J. Mioduszewski, *On two-to-one continuous functions*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **24** (1961), 42.
7. J. H. Roberts, *Two-to-one transformations*, Duke Math. J. **6** (1940), 256–262.