

AN INEQUALITY WITH APPLICATIONS TO THE SUBELLIPTICITY OF THE $\bar{\partial}$ -NEUMANN PROBLEM

LOP-HING HO

(Communicated by Barbara L. Keyfitz)

ABSTRACT. We prove an interesting inequality in this note. This inequality will be used to remove an unnecessary assumption in [2]. That paper dealt with the sufficient condition for the subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on nonpseudoconvex domains. We will then state the revised theorem and show why the original assumption can be removed.

Proposition 1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $x_0 \in b\Omega$, and $L \in T^{1,0}(b\Omega)$. Then given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighbourhood U of x_0 and $C > 0$ such that for all $u, v \in C_0^\infty(U \cap \bar{\Omega})$ we have*

$$|(Lu, v)| \leq \varepsilon(\|\bar{L}u\|^2 + \|Lv\|^2) + C(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2).$$

Proof. We know that the adjoint L^* of L is given by

$$(1) \quad L^* = -\bar{L} + g,$$

where g is smooth. Hence

$$L + L^* = L - \bar{L} + g.$$

By a change of coordinates we may assume that

$$L - \bar{L} = -ia(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$$

where $a(x) > 0$ in U . Hence the symbol of $L - \bar{L}$ is given by

$$\sigma(L - \bar{L}) = a(x)\xi_1.$$

We define operators P^+ , P^- , and P^0 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P^+}u(\xi, r) &= \chi_1(\xi_1)\hat{u}(\xi, r) \\ \widehat{P^-}u(\xi, r) &= \chi_2(\xi_1)\hat{u}(\xi, r) \\ P^0 &= I - P^+ - P^- \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors September 21, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 35N15.

where $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq \chi_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, and

$$\chi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \xi \geq 1, \\ 0 & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\chi_2(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \xi > 0, \\ 1 & \xi \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

We now define

$$\{u, v\}^+ = \langle (L + L^*)P^+u, P^+v \rangle + K\langle P^+u, P^+v \rangle,$$

where K is a large positive constant.

Lemma 2. $\{u, v\}^+$ is an inner product for large K .

Proof. Since $a(x)\xi_1$ is nonnegative on the support of χ_1 , by Garding's inequality we have

$$\langle (L - \bar{L})P^+u, P^+u \rangle \geq -C\langle P^+u, P^+u \rangle.$$

Hence for some large K we have $\{u, u\}^+ \geq 0$.

It is easy to see that $\{u, u\}^+ = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$, and that $\{u, v\}^+ = \overline{\{v, u\}^+}$.

Since $\{u, v\}^+$ is an inner product, by Schwarz's inequality

$$|\{u, v\}^+| \leq (\{u, u\}^+)^{1/2}(\{v, v\}^+)^{1/2}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \{u, u\}^+ &= \langle (L + L^*)P^+u, P^+u \rangle + K\langle P^+u, P^+u \rangle \\ &\leq \varepsilon\|\bar{L}u\|^2 + C\|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

by (1). Similarly,

$$(3) \quad \{v, v\}^+ \leq \varepsilon\|Lv\|^2 + C\|v\|^2.$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle LP^+u, P^+v \rangle &= \{u, v\}^+ - \langle L^*P^+u, P^+v \rangle - K\langle P^+u, P^+v \rangle \\ &= \{u, v\}^+ + \langle P^+u, LP^+v \rangle - \langle gP^+u, P^+v \rangle - K\langle P^+u, P^+v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and we get from (2), (3), and (4) that

$$(5) \quad |\langle LP^+u, P^+v \rangle| \leq \varepsilon(\|\bar{L}u\|^2 + \|Lv\|^2) + C(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2).$$

Similarly, we define

$$\{u, v\}^- = -\langle (L + L^*)P^-u, P^-v \rangle + K\langle P^-u, P^-v \rangle.$$

Just as above, $\{u, v\}^-$ is an inner product, and again

$$|\langle LP^-u, P^-v \rangle| \leq \varepsilon(\|\bar{L}u\|^2 + \|Lv\|^2) + C(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2).$$

Finally,

(7)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Lu, v \rangle &= \langle LP^+u, P^+v \rangle + \langle LP^-u, P^-v \rangle + \langle LP^+u, P^0v \rangle + \langle LP^+u, P^-v \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle LP^-u, P^+v \rangle + \langle LP^-u, P^0v \rangle + \langle LP^0u, P^+v \rangle + \langle LP^0u, P^0v \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle LP^0u, P^-v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the third to ninth terms on the right-hand side of (7), we need the facts that

$$\| [L, P]u \|^2 \leq \text{const} \|u\|^2,$$

where $P = P^+, P^-,$ or P^0 and that

$$\| (L - \bar{L})Pu \|^2 \leq \text{const} \|u\|^2$$

if the symbol of P is a compactly supported function of ξ_1 .

Thus, combining (5), (6), (7), and the above two facts, we get

$$|\langle Lu, v \rangle| \leq \varepsilon (\|\bar{L}u\|^2 + \|Lv\|^2) + C(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2).$$

We can now restate Theorem 2.2 in [2]. We refer the reader to [2] for the details and for definitions of $A^{(k)}$ and I_k^q . We assume that the reader is familiar with the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem and subelliptic estimates. A detailed formulation of the problem can be found in [1] or [3].

Theorem 3. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n with C^∞ boundary, $x_0 \in b\Omega$, and L_1, \dots, L_n a C^∞ basis for $T^{1,0}$ so that L_1, \dots, L_{n-1} are tangential on $b\Omega$. Assume that there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 such that for some $k \geq n - q$ the matrix $A^{(k)}$ associated with the matrix of the Levi form is positive semidefinite in U , then if $1 \in I_m^q(x_0)$ for some m , there is a subelliptic estimate for (p, q) forms at x_0 .*

The following extra assumption is made in Theorem 2.2 in [2]:

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ (C depends on S^0 but not on ϕ) such that

$$|\langle D\phi_I, S^0\phi_J \rangle| \leq \varepsilon (\|\bar{\partial}\phi\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*\phi\|^2) + C\|\phi\|^2$$

for all $\phi \in D_U^{p,q}$ where $D \in \{\overline{L_{k+1}}, \dots, \overline{L_{n-1}}\}$, S^0 is a tangential pseudodifferential operator of order zero.

In [2] this assumption is used to verify the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad & \sum_{\substack{j \notin J \text{ or} \\ j \in \{1, \dots, k, n\}}} \|\bar{L}_j\phi_j\|^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \in J \text{ and} \\ k < j < n}} \|L_j\phi_j\|^2 + \sum_{I, J} \int_{b\Omega} A_{IJ}^{(k)} \phi_I \bar{\phi}_J dS \\ & \leq C(\|\bar{\partial}\phi\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*\phi\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\phi \in D_U^{p,q}$ from the inequality

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{j \notin J \text{ or} \\ j \in \{1, \dots, k, n\}}} \|\bar{L}_j \phi_j\|^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \in J \text{ and} \\ k < j < n}} \|L_j \phi_j\|^2 + \sum_{I, J} \int_{b\Omega} A_{IJ}^{(k)} \phi_I \bar{\phi}_J dS + R(\phi) \\ \leq C(\|\bar{\partial} \phi\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^* \phi\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2),$$

where $R(\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \bar{L}_j \phi_j, h_j \phi_K \rangle + O(\|\phi\|^2)$ for some smooth functions h_j .

We prove the following to remove assumption (8):

Lemma 4. *The inequality (9) is true without assumption (8).*

Proof. We want to prove (9) using (10) and Proposition 1. Clearly what we need to prove is that for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(11) \quad |\langle \bar{L}_j \phi_j, h_j \phi_K \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \left(\sum_{\substack{j \notin J \text{ or} \\ j \in \{1, \dots, k, n\}}} \|\bar{L}_j \phi_j\|^2 + \sum_{\substack{j \in J \text{ and} \\ k < j < n}} \|L_j \phi_j\|^2 \right) + C\|\phi\|^2.$$

When $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k, n\}$, we have

$$(12) \quad |\langle \bar{L}_j \phi_j, h_j \phi_K \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|\bar{L}_j \phi_j\|^2 + C\|\phi\|^2.$$

When $j \in \{k + 1, \dots, n - 1\}$, if $j \notin J$ or $j \in K$, then $\|\bar{L}_j \phi_j\|^2$ or $\|L_j \phi_K\|^2$ is in the right-hand side of (11). Hence, using the type of inequality in (12) or by integrating \bar{L}_j by parts on the left-hand side, we can absorb the term $\langle \bar{L}_j \phi_j, h_j \phi_K \rangle$ in the right-hand side of (11). Finally, when $j \in J$ and $j \notin K$, we use Proposition 1, and we have

$$|\langle \bar{L}_j \phi_j, h_j \phi_K \rangle| \leq \varepsilon (\|\bar{L}_j \phi_j\|^2 + \|L_j \phi_j\|^2) + C\|\phi\|^2.$$

We see that the terms in the right-hand side of the above inequality are in the right-hand side of (11). This finishes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy–Riemann complex*, Ann. of Math. Stud., no. 75, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1972.
2. L. Ho, *Subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on nonpseudoconvex domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), 43–73.
3. J. J. Kohn, *Subellipticity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on pseudoconvex domains: sufficient conditions*, Acta Math. **142** (1979), 79–122.