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Abstract. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the set of all sequences \( h = (h_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \) of 0s and 1s. A sequence \( x \) in a topological sequence space \( E \) has the property of absolute boundedness \( |AB| \) if \( \mathcal{H} \cdot x = \{ y | y_k = h_k x_k, h \in \mathcal{H} \} \) is a bounded subset of \( E \). The subspace \( E_{|AB|} \) of all sequences with absolute boundedness in \( E \) has a natural topology stronger than that induced by \( E \). A sequence \( x \) has the property of absolute sectional convergence \( |AK| \) if, under this stronger topology, the net \( \{ h \cdot x \} \) converges to \( x \), where \( h \) ranges over all sequences in \( \mathcal{H} \) with a finite number of 1s ordered coordinatewise (\( h' \leq h'' \) iff \( \forall k, h'_k \leq h''_k \)). Absolute boundedness and absolute convergence are investigated.

It is shown that, for an \( FK \)-space \( E \), we have \( E = E_{|AB|} \) if and only if \( E = l^\infty \cdot E \), and every element of \( E \) has the property \( |AK| \) if and only if \( E = c_0 \cdot E \). Solid hulls and largest solid subspaces of sequence spaces are also considered. The results are applied to standard sequence spaces, convergence fields of matrix methods, classical Banach spaces of Fourier series and to more recently introduced spaces of absolutely and strongly convergent Fourier series.

1. Introduction

We mainly use standard notation as given in §2. For an \( FK \)-space \( E \), various forms of sectional boundedness and sectional convergence have been shown to be equivalent to invariances of the form \( E = D \cdot E \) with respect to coordinate-wise multiplication by some space \( D \). Such statements show the equivalence of topological properties of \( E \) with algebraic properties of \( E \). In 1968 Garling [9] showed that an \( FK \)-space \( E \) has the property of sectional boundedness \( AB \) if and only if \( E \) is invariant with respect to the space \( bv \) of sequences of bounded variation, and that \( E \) has the property of sectional convergence \( AK \) if and only if \( E = l^\infty \cdot E \). In 1970 Buntinas [4] showed that, for an \( FK \)-space \( E \), Cesàro sectional boundedness \( \sigma B \) is equivalent to invariance with respect to the space \( q \) of bounded quasiconvex sequences and that Cesàro sectional convergence \( \sigma K \) is equivalent to invariance with respect to the space \( q_0 = q \cap c_0 \) of quasiconvex null sequences. In 1973 results were obtained for more general Toeplitz sections.
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In 1977, Sember [12] and Sember and Raphael [13] showed that for FK-spaces, unrestricted sectional boundedness $UAB$ is equivalent to invariance with respect to the space $c$ of convergent sequences and that unrestricted sectional convergence $UAK$ is equivalent to invariance with respect to the space $c_0$ of null sequences.

In this paper we study absolute boundedness $|AB|$ and absolute convergence $|AK|$. These conditions are stronger than $UAB$ and $UAK$, respectively. However, for FK-spaces, we show that the property $|AK|$ is equivalent to $UAK$. Among other results, we show in §3 that an FK-space $E$ has absolute boundedness if and only if it is solid ($l^\infty$-invariant) and that it has absolute convergence if and only if it is $c_0$-invariant.

The intersection of all solid FK containing an FK-space $E$ is called the solid hull of $E$. In §4 we show that it is an FK-space and characterize it as an FK-product space. We show that the solid hull of an FK-space $E$ is related by duality to the $|AB|$ subspace of $E$.

In the last section, we give examples and applications to summability theory and Fourier analysis.

2. Definitions

Let $\omega$ be the space of all real or complex sequences $x = (x_k)$. An FK-space is a subspace of $\omega$ with a complete metrizable locally convex topology with continuous coordinate functionals $f_k : x \to x_k$ for all $k$. An FK-space whose topology is defined by a norm is a Banach space and is called a BK-space. Let $e_k$ be the sequence with 1 in the $k$th coordinate and 0 elsewhere, and let $\varphi$ be the linear span of $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, \ldots\}$. In this paper we consider only FK- and BK-spaces containing $\varphi$, although all the definitions apply to more general $K$-spaces containing $\varphi$.

We use the notation $x \cdot y := (x_ky_k)$ for the coordinatewise product of sequences $x$ and $y$ and, for subsets $A$ and $B$ of $\omega$, we use $A \cdot B := \{x \cdot y | x \in A, y \in B\}$. If $D \subset \omega$ and $F$ is an FK-space, we define the $F$-dual of $D$ as the multiplier space $D^F = (D \to F) := \{y \in \omega | x \cdot y \in F \text{ for all } x \in D\}$. Let $s^n := \sum_{k=1}^n e_k^k = (1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots)$ and let $e := (1, 1, 1, \ldots)$ be the sequence of all “ones.” The $n$th section of a sequence $x$ is $s^n x := s^n \cdot x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, 0, \ldots)$.

A sequence $x$ in $\omega$ has the property $AB$ of sectional boundedness in an FK-space $E$ if the sections $s^n x$ of $x$ form a bounded subset of $E$, and it has the property $AK$ of sectional convergence if, in addition, the sections converge to $x$ in the topology of $E$.

Let $\mathcal{H} := \{h \in \omega | h_k = 1 \text{ or } h_k = 0 \text{ for all } k\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\varphi := \mathcal{H} \cap \varphi$. The unconditional (or unrestricted) sections of a sequence $x$ are the sequences in the set $\mathcal{H}_\varphi \cdot x$. The absolute set of $x$ is $\mathcal{H} \cdot x$. Since $e \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $x \in \mathcal{H} \cdot x$. Let $E$ be an FK-space and let $x \in \omega$. We say that $x$ has the property $UAB$ of unconditional sectional boundedness in $E$ if $\mathcal{H}_\varphi \cdot x$ is a bounded subset of
E and we say that \( x \) has the property \( |AB| \) of absolute boundedness if \( \mathcal{H} \cdot x \) is a bounded subset of \( E \).

For each \( FK \)-space \( E \), we define the space \( E_{AB} \) consisting of all elements \( x \) of \( \omega \) with the property \( AB \) in \( E \). Similarly, for the properties \( UAB \) and \( |AB| \), we obtain spaces \( E_{UAB} \) and \( E_{|AB|} \). That is,

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{AB} &= \{ x \in \omega \mid \{ s^n \cdot x \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is a bounded subset of } E \}, \\
E_{UAB} &= \{ x \in \omega \mid \mathcal{H} \cdot x \text{ is a bounded subset of } E \}, \\
E_{|AB|} &= \{ x \in \omega \mid \mathcal{H} \cdot x \text{ is a bounded subset of } E \}.
\end{align*}
\]

Each of these spaces is an \( FK \)-space under an appropriate topology discussed in §3. These spaces are not necessarily subspaces of \( E \), as is shown by the example \( (c_0)_{UAB} = (c_0)_{AB} = l^\infty \). However, \( E_{|AB|} \) is always a subspace of \( E \), since \( e \in \mathcal{H} \). We say that an \( FK \)-space \( E \) has the property \( AB \), \( UAB \), or \( |AB| \) if \( E \) is a subset of \( E_{AB} \), \( E_{UAB} \), or \( E_{|AB|} \), respectively. Clearly we have \( E_{|AB|} \subset E_{UAB} \subset E_{AB} \). The converse inclusions do not generally hold. For example, the \( BK \)-space \( c \) of all convergent sequences has the property \( UAB \) but it does not have the property \( |AB| \).

The set \( \mathcal{H} \) is a directed set under the relation \( h'' \geq h' \) defined by \( h''_k \geq h'_k \) for all \( k \). A sequence \( x \) in an \( FK \)-space \( E \) containing \( \phi \) has the property \( UAK \) in \( E \) if the net \( h \cdot x \), where \( h \) ranges over \( \mathcal{H} \), converges to \( x \) under the topology of \( E \). We say that \( x \) has the property \( |AK| \) of absolute sectional convergence if \( \mathcal{H} \cdot x \in E \) and the net \( h \cdot h' \cdot x \), where \( h \) ranges over \( \mathcal{H} \), converges to \( h' \cdot x \) uniformly in \( h' \in \mathcal{H} \) under the topology of \( E \).

We define \( E_{AK} \) to be the space of all elements \( x \) of \( E \) with the property \( AK \) in \( E \). The same can be done for the properties \( UAK \) and \( |AK| \). That is,

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{AK} &= \{ x \in E \mid \lim_n s^n \cdot x = x \}, \\
E_{UAK} &= \{ x \in E \mid \lim_h h \cdot x = x, \ h \in \mathcal{H} \}, \\
E_{|AK|} &= \{ x \in E \mid \lim_h h \cdot h' \cdot x = h' \cdot x \text{ uniformly in } h' \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{H}_e \}.
\end{align*}
\]

The space \( E_{AD} \) is the closure of \( \phi \) in \( E \). Since \( \phi \subset E \), we have the inclusions \( \phi \subset E_{|AK|} \subset E_{UAK} \subset E_{AK} \subset E_{AD} \subset E \). If \( E_{AD} = E \), we say that \( E \) has the property of sectional density \( AD \). If \( y \in E \) whenever \( |y_k| \leq |x_k| \) for some \( x \in E \), we say that \( E \) is solid; this is equivalent to \( l^\infty \)-invariance: \( E = l^\infty \cdot E \).

We finish this section with a list of some \( BK \)-spaces and their norms. The \( BK \)-spaces \( l^\infty \), \( c \), and \( c_0 \) are the space of all bounded, convergent, and null sequences \( x \), respectively, under the sup norm \( ||x||_\infty := \sup_k |x_k| \); \( bv \) is the \( BK \)-space of all sequences \( x \) of bounded variation under the norm \( ||x||_{bv} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k - x_{k+1}| + ||x||_\infty \); \( bv_0 = bv \cap c_0 \) under the same norm; \( cs \) is the \( BK \)-space of sequences \( x \) with convergent series under the norm \( ||x||_{bs} := \sup_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_k| \); \( l^p \), for \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), are the \( BK \)-spaces of sequences \( x \) with absolutely \( p \)-summable series under the norm \( ||x||_p := (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p)^{1/p} \); the mixed \( l^p \cdot q \) spaces \( (1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty) \) [11] consist of all \( x \) with
\[ \|x\|_{p,q} := \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\|d^j x\|_p)^{p_j} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \] where \( d^j x_k = x_k \) for \( 2^j \leq k < 2^{j+1} \) and \( d^j x_k = 0 \) elsewhere; for \( q = \infty \), \( \|x\|_{p,q} := \sup_j \|d^j x\|_p \). Clearly \( l^p \cdot p = l^p \).

3. Absolute boundedness and absolute convergence

The properties \( UAB \) and \( UAK \) were investigated by Sember [12] and Sember and Raphael [13]. The properties \( |AB| \) and \( |AK| \) considered here are related. Let \( E \) be a \( BK \)-space under the norm \( \|x\|_E \). We define the (extended) absolute norm on \( E \) by

\[ \|x\|_{E} := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \|h \cdot x\|_E, \]

with the convention that \( \|h \cdot x\|_E = \infty \) whenever \( h \cdot x \notin E \). Clearly, \( \|x\|_E \leq \|x\|_{E} \) and \( E_{|AB|} = \{x \in E \|x\|_{E} < \infty \} \).

Similarly, if \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space with an increasing family of seminorms \( p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3 \leq \cdots \) defining the topology of \( E \), we define the (extended) absolute seminorms by

\[ p^{k}_{E}(x) := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} p_{k} (h \cdot x), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \]

with the convention that \( p^{k}(h \cdot x) = \infty \) whenever \( h \cdot x \notin E \). Clearly, \( E_{|AB|} = \{x \in E |p^{k}_{E}(x) < \infty \} \). By Garling’s Theorem [9, p. 998], \( E_{|AB|} \) is an \( FK \)-space under the seminorms \( p^{1}_{E}, p^{2}_{E}, p^{3}_{E}, \ldots \) Since \( p^{k} \leq p^{k}_{E} \) for all \( k \), \( p^{1}, p^{2}, p^{3}, \ldots \) may be omitted. Hence the following theorem and corollary hold:

**Theorem 1.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space with defining seminorms \( p^{1}, p^{2}, p^{3}, \ldots \). Then \( E_{|AB|} \) is an \( FK \)-space whose topology is defined by the seminorms \( p^{1}_{E}, p^{2}_{E}, p^{3}_{E}, \ldots \).

**Corollary.** If \( E \) is a \( BK \)-space under the norm \( \| \cdot \|_E \), then \( E_{|AB|} \) is a \( BK \)-space under the norm \( \| \cdot \|_{E} \).

**Remark.** It follows that a sequence \( x \) in an \( FK \)-space \( E \) has the property \( |AK| \) if and only if the net \( \mathcal{H} \cdot x \) converges to \( x \) in the topology of \( E_{|AB|} \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space containing \( \varphi \). Then the following statements are equivalent:

[a] \( x \in E_{|AB|} \);

[b] \( \mathcal{H} \cdot x \subset E \); and

[c] \( l^{\infty} \cdot x \subset E \).

**Proof.** We have [a] \( \Rightarrow \) [b] by definition of \( |AB| \). Suppose [b]. Then \( \mathcal{H} \subset (\{x\} \to E) \). The multiplier space \( (\{x\} \to E) \) is an \( FK \)-space [10, p. 229]. By Bennett and Kalton [3], \( \mathcal{H} \) is a subset of an \( FK \)-space if and only if \( l^{\infty} \) is a subset. Thus \( l^{\infty} \subset (\{x\} \to E) \), or \( l^{\infty} \cdot x \subset E \). Thus [b] \( \Rightarrow \) [c]. Finally suppose
Let \( T_\alpha \) be the multiplier map from \( l^\infty \) to \( E \) defined by \( T_\alpha(y) = x \cdot y \). By the Closed Graph Theorem, all multiplier maps between \( FK \)-spaces are continuous [20]. Since \( H \) is a bounded subset of \( l^\infty \), \( T_\alpha(H) = x \cdot H \) is a bounded subset of \( E \). Thus \( x \) has the property \(|AB|\) in \( E \). □

Since \( e \in l^\infty \), we have \((l^\infty \to E) \subset E\). Anderson and Shields [1] have observed that \((l^\infty \to E)\) is the largest solid subspace of \( E \). By [c] above, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space containing \( \varphi \). Then \( E\,|AB| = (l^\infty \to E) \). This is the largest solid subspace of \( E \).

**Corollary 2.** An \( FK \)-space has the property \(|AB|\) if and only if it is solid.

**Corollary 3.** For any \( FK \)-space \( E \), \( E\,|AB| \) is solid.

**Proof.** If \( E \) is an \( FK \)-space under the seminorms \( p^k \), \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), then \( E\,|AB| \) is an \( FK \)-space under the seminorms \( \sup_{h \in H} p^k(h \cdot x) \). Since \( H_\varphi = H_\varphi \cdot H \) is a bounded subset of \( E \) if and only if \( H_\varphi \cdot x \) is a bounded subset of \( E\,|AB| \). This is true if and only if \( H \cdot x \) is a bounded subset of \( E\,|AB| \). That is, \( E\,|AB| = (E\,|AB|)\,|AB| = (E\,|AB|)\,|AB| \), which is solid.

The space \( E\,|AB| \) can be characterized as follows.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space containing \( \varphi \). Then \( E\,|AB| = (c_0 \to E) \).

**Proof.** By [12, Theorem 4] \( c_0 \cdot E\,|AB| \subset E \). Thus \( E\,|AB| \subset (c_0 \to E) \). Conversely, suppose \( c_0 \cdot x \subset E \). Define the map \( T_\alpha : E \to E \) by \( T_\alpha(y) = x \cdot y \). Since \( T_\alpha \) is continuous, \( T_\alpha \) takes bounded subsets of \( c_0 \) into bounded subsets of \( E \). Let \( U \) be the unit sphere of \( c_0 \). Then \( U \cdot x \) is bounded in \( E \). By [12, Theorem 3], we have \( x \in E\,|AB| \).

**Corollary.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space containing \( \varphi \). Then \( E \cap E\,|AB| = (c \to E) \).

In the same way, we can use the results in [9] to show that

\[
E\,|AB| = (bv_0 \to E) \quad \text{and} \quad E \cap E\,|AB| = (bv \to E).
\]

Although \( E\,|AB| \) is solid, \( E\,|AB| \cap E \) need not be solid. This is the case when \( E = c \). Thus, \( E\,|AB| \) is generally a proper subspace of \( E\,|AB| \cap E \). Also, if \( E \subset E\,|AB| \), the space \( E\,|AB| \) need not be the smallest solid space containing \( E \). The space \( (c_0)\,|AB| = l^\infty \) provides an example.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space. If \( E\,|AB| \subset E \), then \( E\,|AB| = E\,|AB| \).

**Proof.** Clearly, \( E\,|AB| \subset E\,|AB| \). By Theorem 2, Corollary 3, \( E\,|AB| \) is solid. Since \( E\,|AB| \) is the largest solid subspace of \( E \), the statement follows. □

**Theorem 5.** Let \( E \) be an \( FK \)-space containing \( \varphi \). Then the following statements are equivalent:

[a] \( E \) is solid and has the property \( AD \);
[b] E is solid and has the property AK;
[c] E = c₀ · E;
[d] E has the property UAK; and
[e] E has the property |AK|.

Proof. The equivalence of [a], [b], and [c] was proved by Garling [9, p. 1007].

[b] ⇒ [e]: Fremlin and Garling [9, p. 1006] showed that a solid FK-space E is locally solid; that is, the topology of E is defined by seminorms p with the property p(δ · x) ≤ p(x) for all sequences δ in the unit sphere of l∞. Let p be such a continuous seminorm, let x ∈ E, and let ε > 0. Then pₓ|x| = p. Suppose p(sₙₓ · x − x) < ε, and let h ∈ ℜ such that h ≥ sₙ. Hence pₓ|h · x − x| ≤ pₓ|x · sₙ − x| + pₓ|(sₙ · x − x)| = pₓ|(h · x · sₙ · x) + pₓ|(sₙ · x − x)| = pₓ|h · (x · sₙ · x)| + pₓ|(sₙ · x − x)| ≤ 2pₓ|(sₙ · x − x)| < ε. This shows that the net MA · x converges to x under the topology of E,AB. [e] ⇒ [d] is immediate from the definitions. [d] ⇒ [b]: The property UAK clearly implies AK, since sₙ ∈ ℜ for all n. Sember and Raphael [13, Corollary 3.2] have shown that EUAK is solid. Since E = EUAK, it follows that E is solid.

Remark. A BK-space has the property |AK| if and only if, for all x ∈ E, ∥sₙₓ · x∥ₓ → 0 as n → ∞. Moreover, in this case ∥x∥ₓ = sup ∥sₙₓ||ₓ. A similar statement can be made about FK-spaces.

Theorem 6. If E is an FK-space containing φ and E,AD is solid, then E,AD = E,AK = E,|AK| = c₀ · E.

Proof. Clearly E,|AK| ⊂ E,AD ⊂ E,AK ⊂ E,AD. Since E,AD is a closed subspace of E, it is an FK-space under the subspace topology. Hence E,|AK| = (E,AD),|AK|. By Theorem 5 ([a] ⇒ [e]), we have (E,AD),|AK| = E,AD.

Corollary 1. If E is an FK-space containing φ with the property UAB, then E,AD = E,AK = E,|AK| = c₀ · E.

Proof. If E has the property UAB, then by Sember and Raphael [13, Theorem 4], E,AD = E,|AK| = c₀ · E. Thus E,AD is solid and satisfies the conditions of Theorem 6.

If E is solid, then E has the property UAB since E = E,|AB| ⊂ E,AB.

Corollary 2. If E is a solid FK-space containing φ, then E,AD = E,AK = E,|AK| = c₀ · E.

Corollary 3. For any FK-space E containing φ, E,|AK| = c₀ · E,|AB|.

Proof. By definition, E,|AK| = (E,|AB|),|AK|. Since E,|AB| is solid, we have

(E,|AB|),|AK| = c₀ · E,|AB|

by Corollary 2.

Theorem 7. Let E be an FK-space. Then E,|AK| = E,|AK|.
Proof. Let \( x \in E \). The statement \( x \in E_{UA\!K} \) means that the net \( \mathcal{H}_x \cdot x \) converges to \( x \) in the topology of \( E \). The statement \( x \in E_{|AB|} \) means that the net \( \mathcal{H}_x \cdot x \) converges to \( x \) in the topology of \( E_{|AB|} \); that is, \( E_{|AB|} = (E_{|AB|})_{UA\!K} \). Also \( E_{UA\!K} = c_0 \cdot E_{AB} = c_0 \cdot (E_{AB})_{UA\!K} = (E_{UA\!K})_{UA\!K} \). It remains to be shown that \( (E_{|AB|})_{UA\!K} = (E_{UA\!K})_{UA\!K} \), which by Theorem 6, Corollary 2 is equivalent to \( (E_{|AB|})_{AK} = (E_{UA\!K})_{AK} \). Since \( E_{|AB|} \subset E_{AB} \), we have the inclusion \( (E_{|AB|})_{AK} \subset (E_{UA\!K})_{AK} \). Conversely, suppose \( x \in (E_{UA\!K})_{AK} \). Then, for each continuous seminorm \( p \) on \( E \), \( \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_x} p(h \cdot (s^n x - s^m x)) \to 0 \) as \( n, m \to \infty \). Since \( s^n x - s^m x \in \varphi \), we have \( \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}_x} p(h \cdot (s^n x - s^m x)) \to 0 \) as \( n, m \to \infty \); that is, \( x \in (E_{|AB|})_{AK} \). \( \square \)

4. The solid hull of an FK-space

For an FK-space \( E \), the solid hull \( \langle E \rangle \) is the intersection of all solid FK-spaces containing \( E \). It is clearly solid. The solid hull was investigated by Anderson and Shields in [1]. We show that the solid hull is an FK-product space, and we find a dual relationship between \( E_{|AB|} \) and \( \langle E \rangle \).

The FK-product \( E \hat{\otimes} F \) of two FK-spaces \( E \) and \( F \) was defined in [6] and [7] and was characterized as the smallest FK-space containing the coordinate product \( E \cdot F \). If \( E \) and \( F \) are BK-spaces, then \( E \hat{\otimes} F \) turns out to be a BK-space.

Theorem 8. Let \( E \) be an FK-space. The solid hull of \( E \) is the FK-space \( l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E \).

Proof. If \( F \) is a solid FK-space containing \( E \), then \( F = l^\infty \cdot F \supset l^\infty \cdot E \supset E \). Thus \( F \supset l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E \supset E \). But \( l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E \) is itself solid, since \( l^\infty \hat{\otimes} (l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E) = (l^\infty \hat{\otimes} l^\infty) \hat{\otimes} E = l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E \). Thus it is the smallest solid FK-space containing \( E \). \( \square \)

[7, Theorem 4.3] states that \( (E \hat{\otimes} F)_{AD} = (E \hat{\otimes} F)_{AK} = E_{AK} \hat{\otimes} F \) whenever \( E \subset E_{AB} \). We obtain the following:

Corollary. Let \( E \) be an FK-space containing \( \varphi \). Then \( (l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E)_{AD} = (l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E)_{AK} = c_0 \hat{\otimes} E \).

From this corollary we see that if \( E \) is solid and has the property \( AD \), then \( E = c_0 \cdot E \). This is Theorem 5 ([a] \( \Rightarrow \) [c]). Other parts of Theorems 5 and 6 can also be obtained.

The next theorem exhibits a dual relationship between the space \( E_{|AB|} \) and the solid hull \( \langle E \rangle \).

Theorem 9. Let \( E \) and \( F \) be FK-spaces. Then \( \langle (E \to F) \rangle = (E \to F)_{|AB|} \). That is, the \( F \)-dual of the solid hull of \( E \) is the largest solid subspace of the \( F \)-dual of \( E \).

Proof. By Theorem 2, Corollary 1, \( (E \to F)_{|AB|} = (l^\infty \to (E \to F)) \). By [7, (5.6)], \( (l^\infty \to (E \to F)) = ((l^\infty \hat{\otimes} E) \to F) \). \( \square \)
For example, let the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-duals of $E$ be defined by $E^\alpha = (E \to l^1)$ and $E^\beta = (E \to cs)$, respectively. We have $E^\alpha = (E^\alpha)_{AB} = (E]^\alpha$. Also $(E^\beta)_{AB} = (l^\infty \to E^\beta) = (E^\beta \beta \to l^1) = E^\beta \beta \alpha = E^\alpha$ [7, Theorem (5.1)]; [8, Theorem 1]. Similarly $(\langle E \rangle)^\beta = E^\alpha$.

**Corollary.** For any FK-space $E$, we have $E^{\alpha \alpha} = \langle E \rangle_{AB} = \langle E \rangle_{UAB}$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 9, we have $\langle E \rangle^\alpha = (E^\alpha)_{AB}$. Also, $(E^\alpha)_{AB} = E^\alpha$, since $E^\alpha$ is solid. Thus $\langle E \rangle^{\alpha \alpha} = E^{\alpha \alpha}$. But $\langle E \rangle^{\alpha \alpha} = \langle E \rangle_{AB}$ by [5, Theorem 4] and [8, Remark (6)]. As noted after Theorem 3, $\langle E \rangle_{AB} = (bv_0 \to \langle E \rangle)$. This is a subset of $(c_0 \to \langle E \rangle)$, which is $E_{UAB}$ by Theorem 3. That is, $\langle E \rangle_{AB} \subset \langle E \rangle_{UAB}$, and thus $\langle E \rangle_{AB} = \langle E \rangle_{UAB}$. □

5. Examples and applications

The properties $|AB|$ and $|AK|$ are strong properties of FK-spaces. We have the following list:

\[
\begin{align*}
|AK| &= c_0, \\
|AB| &= l^\infty; \\
\langle c \rangle &= l^\infty; \\
(\langle c \rangle)^\prime &= l^1; \\
\langle cs \rangle &= c_0; \\
\langle bv \rangle &= l^1; \\
su_{|AK|} &= l^1; \\
su_{|AB|} &= l^1; \\
\langle l^p \rangle &= l^p (1 \leq p < \infty); \\
\langle l^{p,q} \rangle &= l^{p,q} (1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty).
\end{align*}
\]

Given an infinite matrix $T = (t_{nk})$ of real or complex numbers, let $c_T$ denote the convergence field of $T$; i.e., $c_T = \{ x \in \omega : Tx \in c \}$. By the above list we have $cs_{|AB|} = l^1$. We will now show that this can be extended to convergence fields $c_T$ of all series-sequence regular matrices $T$ (i.e., $c_T \supset cs$ and $\lim_n \sum_k t_{nk}x_k = \sum_k x_k$ for all $x \in cs$).

**Theorem 10.** If $T$ is a matrix with $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_{nk} = 1$ for each $k$, then $(c_T)_{|AB|} \subset l^1$.

**Proof.** The space $c_T$ is an FK-space under the seminorms $p^k(x) = |x_k|$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$), $q^n(x) = \sup_m |\sum_{k=1}^m t_{nk}x_k|$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$ and $r(x) = \sup_n |\sum_k t_{nk}x_k|$. By Theorem 1, $(c_T)_{|AB|}$ is an FK-space under the seminorms $p_{|c_T|}$, $q^n_{|c_T|}$, and $r_{|c_T|}$. Let $\|x\| = \sup_n \sum_k |t_{nk}x_k|$. It can be easily verified that $(c_T)_{|AB|}$ is a BK-space under the norm $\| \cdot \|$ since $2r_{|c_T|} \geq \| x \| \geq r_{|c_T|} = \sup_n q^n_{|c_T|} \geq \sup_k p^k_{|c_T|}$. Furthermore $\| x \| \geq \sum_k |x_k|$ for all $x \in (c_T)_{|AB|}$. □

Every series-sequence regular matrix $T$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 10. This can be shown by considering the sequences $e^k$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. 
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Corollary. If \( T \) is a series-sequence regular matrix, then \( (c_T)_{AB} = l^1 \).

We now apply the concepts considered in this paper to the spaces of Fourier coefficients of some classes of functions. Let \( L^p(p \geq 1) \) be the Banach space of all real- or complex-valued 2\( \pi \)-periodic integrable functions with the norm \( \|f\|_{L^p} = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |f|^p \right)^{1/p} \), where the integral is taken over any interval of length \( 2\pi \). Let \( C \) be the Banach space of all continuous real- or complex-valued 2\( \pi \)-periodic functions with the norm \( \|f\|_C = \sup_x |f(x)| \).

If \( f \in L^1 \), let \( \hat{f}(k) \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), denote the \( k \)th complex Fourier coefficient of \( f \), \( \hat{f} = (\hat{f}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \) and let \( s_nf \), \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \) denote the \( n \)th partial sum of the Fourier series of \( f \). If \( E \) is a subspace of \( L^1 \), let \( \hat{E} \) denote the class of all sequences of Fourier coefficients of functions in \( E \), i.e., \( \hat{E} = \{\hat{f} : f \in E\} \). Although the results in the preceding sections are for spaces of one-way sequences, they can be easily extended to the classes \( \hat{E} \) of two-way sequences. If \( E \) is a Banach space, then \( \hat{E} \) is a Banach space under the induced norm \( \|\hat{f}\|_{\hat{E}} := \|f\|_E \), and conversely. Given a Banach space \( E \) contained in \( L^1 \) we can determine the corresponding subspaces of absolutely bounded and absolutely convergent Fourier series, in the topology of \( E \), by determining the spaces \( \hat{E}_{AB} \) and \( \hat{E}_{AK} \). We shall also consider the corresponding solid hull \( \langle \hat{E} \rangle \).

Two classical spaces of functions in Fourier analysis, determined by the pointwise convergence, ordinary \( I \) and absolute \( |I| \), are the spaces of uniformly and absolutely convergent Fourier series:

\[ \mathcal{U} = \{f \in C : s_nf \to fI \text{ uniformly}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} = \{f \in C : s_nf \to f|I| \text{ a.e.}\}. \]

They are Banach spaces, under the norms:

\[ \|f\|_\mathcal{U} := \sup_n \|s_nf\|_C \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_\mathcal{A} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(k)| = \|\hat{f}\|_I. \]

It is well known that \( \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{U} \subset C \subset L^\infty \) properly, where \( L^\infty \) is the corresponding space of essentially bounded measurable functions. We shall also consider the Banach space \( M \) of \( 2\pi \) -periodic Radon measures, under the norm \( \|\mu\|_M = \sup_n \|\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k f\|_{L^1} \).

For the spaces \( E = L^p(p \geq 1) \) and \( L^\infty \), the questions of determining the largest solid space contained in \( \hat{E} \) and the smallest solid space containing \( \hat{E} \) have already been considered in [1]. Slightly expanding those results in view of the concepts of this paper, we can write the following theorem, where the standard sequence spaces are to be interpreted as the spaces of two-way sequences:

**Theorem 11**

[a] If \( E \) is a Banach space and \( L^2 \subset E \subset L^1 \), then \( \hat{E}_{|AK|} = \hat{E}_{|AB|} = l^2 \) and \( l^2 = \langle \hat{E}^2 \rangle \subset \langle \hat{E} \rangle \subset \langle \hat{E}^1 \rangle = c_0 \). Moreover, if \( 1 < p \leq 2 \), then \( \langle \hat{L}^p \rangle \subset l^{q \cdot 2} \), where \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \).

[b] If \( p > 2 \) and \( 1/p + 1/q = 1 \), then \( l^{q \cdot 2} \subset \hat{L}^p_{|AK|} \) and \( \langle \hat{L}^p \rangle = l^2 \).
If $E$ is a Banach space and $\mathscr{A} \subset E \subset L^\infty$, then $\widehat{E}_{|AK|} = \widehat{E}_{|AB|} = l^1$ and $l^1 = \langle \mathscr{A} \rangle \subset \langle \widehat{E} \rangle \subset \langle \widehat{L}^\infty \rangle \subset l^2$.

$$\|M_{|AB|}\| = L^1_{|AB|} = l^2 \text{ and } \langle M \rangle = l^2.\]$$

**Proof.**

[a] It was pointed out in [1] that $L_{|AB|}^1 = l^1 = L_{|AB|}^2$. Thus, $\widehat{E}_{|AB|} = l^2$ and, since $l^2$ has $AD$, by Theorem 5 we have that $\widehat{E}_{|AK|} = \widehat{E}_{|AB|}$. The corresponding statements about solid hulls were discussed in [1], and the last statement is a corollary of a result in [11].

[b] The inclusion follows from [11], and the equality $\langle \widehat{L}^p \rangle = l^2$ for $p > 2$ was also discussed in [1].

[c] Since $\mathscr{A} = l^1$ is solid, $\mathscr{A}_{|AB|} = l^1$. The equality $\widehat{L}^\infty_{|AB|} = l^1$ was explained in [1]. Thus $\widehat{E}_{|AB|} = l^1$ and, by Theorem 5, $\widehat{E}_{|AK|} = \widehat{E}_{|AB|}$. The inclusion about solid hulls is obvious.

[d] Clearly $\widehat{L}_{|AB|}^1 \subset \widehat{M}_{|AB|}$ and, by [a] $\widehat{L}_{|AB|}^1 = l^2$. Hence $l^2 \subset \widehat{M}_{|AB|}$. Conversely, let $\hat{f} \in \widehat{M}_{|AB|}$. Then $\|\hat{f}\|_{\widehat{M}} < \infty$; i.e., $\sup_n \|\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k f\|_{l^1} < \infty$. Since $\widehat{L}_{|AB|}^1 = l^2$, we have $\sup_n \|\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n s_k f\|_{l^1} < \infty$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \sup_n \left( \sum_{|k| \leq n/2} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_n \left( \sum_{|k| \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n+1}\right) |\hat{f}(k)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Thus $\hat{f} \in l^2$. This proves the first inequality. To show that $\langle \widehat{M} \rangle = l^\infty$, we first note that $e \in \widehat{M}$, so that $e \cdot l^\infty = l^\infty \subset \langle \widehat{M} \rangle$. But $\widehat{M} \subset l^\infty$ implies $\langle \widehat{M} \rangle \subset l^\infty$. Thus $\langle \widehat{M} \rangle = l^\infty$. $\square$

**Corollary.** $\mathscr{A}_{|AB|} = \mathscr{A}_{|AB|} = \mathcal{C}_{|AB|} = \mathcal{L}_{|AB|}^\infty = l^1 = \mathscr{A}$, and the same string of equalities is true for $|AK|$.

We consider now some newer classes of functions introduced in Fourier analysis. They are determined by other types of pointwise convergence, namely strong convergence of index $p \geq 1$, $[I]_p$, and absolute convergence of index $p \geq 1$, $|I|_p$. The latter extends the concept of absolute convergence $[I]$ in the sense that a sequence $s_n \to s[I]_p$ if and only if $s_n \to s[I]$ and $\sum k^{p-1} |s_k - s_{k-1}|^p < \infty$. The strong convergence $[I]_p$ lies between the absolute $|I|_p$ and the ordinary convergence $I$: that is, $|I|_p \Rightarrow [I]_p \Rightarrow I$; see [14] or [16] and the references cited there. These notions were applied to trigonometric and Fourier series in a series of recent papers, [16] through [18], which led to the study of the related spaces of functions, [14], [15], [19]:

$$S^p = \{f \in L^1: s_n f \to f[I]_p \text{ a.e.}, S^p = \{f \in C: s_n f \to f[I]_p \text{ uniformly} \},$$

$$A^p = \{f \in L^1: s_n f \to f[I]_p \text{ a.e.}, A^p = \{f \in C: s_n f \to f[I]_p \text{ uniformly} \}.\]$$

For $p = 1$, we write simply $S$, $S$, $A$, and $\mathscr{A}$, respectively. They have many interesting properties: $S^p \subset S^p \subset \cap_{1 < r < \infty} L^r$ properly, but $S^p \not\subset L^\infty$;
the classes \( S^p \) and \( S^p \) decrease with \( p \) increasing while the classes \( A^p \) are incomparable and the same is true for \( A^p \); \( A = A^1; A^p \subset S^p \subset L^1 \) and \( A^p \subset S^p \subset L^p \) properly. From the results in [14], [15], and [17] they can be described as follows.

For \( p \geq 1 \), let \( s^p := \{ x: \sum_{|k| \leq n} |k|^p |x_k|^p = o(1) \ (n \rightarrow \infty) \} \). Then \( \tilde{S} = L^1 \cap s^1 \) and \( \tilde{S} \subset s^1 \) properly, \( \tilde{S} = s^p = \{ x: \sum_{|k| \geq n} |x_k|^p = o(1) \ (n \rightarrow \infty) \} \) for \( p > 1 \), and \( \tilde{S} = \tilde{C} \cap S^p \) for \( p \geq 1 \). For \( p \geq 1 \), \( A^p = a^p := \{ x: \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |k|^{p-1} |x_k|^p < \infty \} \) and \( \tilde{A}^p = \tilde{C} \cap \tilde{A}^p \). They are Banach spaces under the corresponding norms:

\[
\|f\|_S = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|f\|_{L^1}; \quad \|f\|_{S^p} = \|f\|_{[p]} \text{ for } p > 1;
\]

\[
\|f\|_{A^p} = \|f\|_{A^p} + \|f\|_{[p]} \text{ for } p \geq 1;
\]

\[
\|f\|_{A^p} = \|f\|_{[p]} \text{ and } \|f\|_{A^p} = \|f\|_{A^p} + \|f\|_{[p]} \text{ for } p \geq 1,
\]

where

\[
\|f\|_{[p]} = \sup_n \left( \frac{1}{2n+1} \sum_{|k| \leq n} (|k| + 1)^p |f(k)|^p \right)^{1/p}
\]

and

\[
\|f\|_{[p]} = \left( |f(0)|^p + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0} |k|^{p-1} |f(k)|^p \right)^{1/p}.
\]

Theorem 12

[a] \( \tilde{S}_{[AK]} = \tilde{S}_{[AB]} = s^p = \tilde{S} = (S^p) \) for \( p > 1 \).

[b] \( \tilde{S}_{[AK]} = \tilde{S}_{[AB]} = l^2 \cap s^1 \) (\( l^2 \) and \( S^1 \) are incomparable).

[c] \( \tilde{S}_{[AK]} = \tilde{S}_{[AB]} = l^1 \cap s^p \) (\( l^1 \) \( \subset \) s\(^p\) except for \( p = 1 \) and \( s^p \) \( \subset \) \( l^1 \)).

[d] \( \tilde{S} = s^p = \tilde{S} = \tilde{S} \) for \( p > 1 \) and \( \tilde{S} \subset l^2 \cap s^1 = \tilde{S}_{[AB]} \).

Proof

[a] By the above remarks, \( \tilde{S}^p = s^p \) for \( p > 1 \). Since \( s^p \) is solid and has the property \( A^p \), the statement follows from Theorem 5.

[b] \( \tilde{S} = l^1 \cap s^1 \) and, consequently, \( \tilde{S}_{[AB]} \subset l^1 \cap s^1 \), since \( s^1 \) is solid.

By Theorem 11 [a], \( \tilde{L}_{[AB]} = l^2 \), and therefore \( \tilde{S}_{[AB]} \subset l^2 \cap s^1 \). Conversely, \( l^2 \cap s^1 \subset \tilde{L}_{[AB]} \cap s^1 \subset \tilde{S}_{[AB]} \). Moreover, by Theorem 5, \( \tilde{S}_{[AK]} = \tilde{S}_{[AB]} \).

[c] By the above remarks, \( S^p = C \cap S^p \) and, by the corollary of Theorem 11, \( \hat{C}_{[AB]} = l^1 \). Hence, by statement [a], \( \hat{S}_{[AB]} \subset l^1 \cap s^p \) and conversely \( l^1 \cap s^p \subset \hat{C}_{[AB]} \cap \hat{S}_{[AB]} \subset \hat{S}_{[AB]} \). The equality \( \hat{S}_{[AK]} = \hat{S}_{[AB]} \) is clear from Theorem 5.

[d] Since \( S^p = C \cap S^p \), clearly \( \tilde{S}^p \subset \tilde{S} = s^p \) for all \( p > 1 \). To show the converse inclusion for \( p > 1 \), we refer to a result due to Salem [2, vol. 1, p.
335], noting first that \( x \in s^p \) implies that

\[
(*) \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1/2} \log k} \left( \sum_{|l|\geq k} |x_l|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty.
\]

Since \( s^p \subset s^2 \) for \( p > 2 \), it clearly suffices to assume that \( 1 < p \leq 2 \). Taking \( 1 < p \leq 2 \) for \( x \in s^p \) we have,

\[
\left( \sum_{|l|\geq k} |x_l|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{|l|\geq k} |x_l|^p \right)^{1/p} = O \left( \frac{1}{k^{1/q}} \right), \text{ where } 1/p + 1/q = 1,
\]

from which it follows that \( (*) \) is satisfied. We now show that \( s^p \subset l^\infty \cdot \mathcal{S}^p \).

For \( x \in s^p \), let \( x = x' + x^l \), where \( x'_k = x_k \) for \( k \geq 0 \), \( x'_k = 0 \) for \( k < 0 \), and \( x^l_k = 0 \) for \( k \geq 0 \), \( x^l_k = x_k \) for \( k < 0 \). By the above argument, both \( x' \) and \( x^l \) satisfy \( (*) \). Consequently, by Salem's theorem, there exists a sequence \( (\alpha_k^p)_{k=0}^\infty \) such that the series

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x'_k \cos(kt - \alpha^p_k)
\]

converges uniformly and is therefore the Fourier series of its sum function \( g \in C \). Hence \( \hat{g}_e(k) = x'_k \cos \alpha^p_k \) and \( \hat{g}_s(k) = x'_k \sin \alpha^p_k \). Expressed in complex form, \( g \) is the uniform sum of the series

\[
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(k)e^{ikt}, \text{ where } \hat{g}(k) = \frac{1}{2} x'_k e^{-i\alpha^p_k}, \hat{g}(-k) = \frac{1}{2} x'_k e^{i\alpha^p_k}.
\]

Consequently, defining a two-way sequence \( y \) by \( y_k = 2e^{i\alpha^p_k} \) for \( k \geq 0 \) and \( y_k = 0 \) for \( k < 0 \), we have \( x' = y \cdot \hat{g} \) where \( y \in l^\infty \). But clearly \( \hat{g} \in s^p = \mathcal{S}^p \), and therefore \( x' = y \cdot \hat{g} \in l^\infty \cdot s^p \). In the same way we can show that \( x^l \in l^\infty \cdot s^p \).

Consequently \( x \in l^\infty \cdot s^p \subset l^\infty \cdot \mathcal{S}^p \).

The corresponding properties for the spaces \( A^p \) and \( \mathcal{A}^p \) are proved similarly, noting that \( a^p \subset s^p \) so that \( x \in a^p \) implies \( (*) \). \( \square \)

Theorem 13

[a] \( A^p_{|AK|} = A^p_{|AB|} = a^p = \hat{A}^p = (\hat{A}^p) \) for \( p \geq 1 \).

[b] \( A^p_{|AK|} = \mathcal{A}^p_{|AB|} = l^1 \cap a^p \) for \( p \geq 1 \).

[c] \( \langle \mathcal{A}^p \rangle = a^p = \hat{A}^p \) for \( p > 1 \) and \( \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = l^1 \).
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