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Abstract. We show that the twofold symmetric product of a nonhyperelliptic, nonbielliptic curve does not contain any elliptic curves. Applying a theorem of Faltings, we conclude that such a curve defined over a number field \( K \) has only finitely many points over all quadratic extensions of \( K \). We illustrate our theory with the modular curves \( X_0(N), X_1(N), X(N) \).

A smooth, projective curve \( C \) is called hyperelliptic (respectively bielliptic) if it admits a map \( \phi: C \to X \) of degree 2 onto a curve \( X \) of genus zero (respectively one). In this case the symmetric product

\[ C^{(2)} = \frac{C \times C}{\mathcal{S}_2} \]

contains a copy of \( X \) via the natural map \( x \to \phi^{-1}(x) \). Conversely, if \( C^{(2)} \) contains a curve \( X \) of genus 0, then it is easy to see that \( C \) is hyperelliptic. (The image of \( X \) in the Jacobian of \( C \) consists of a single point, so \( C \) contains a nontrivial \( g_2^1 \).) In this note we deal with the case that \( C^{(2)} \) contains a curve of genus 1. Specifically, we show that \( C \) is necessarily either hyperelliptic or bielliptic; and if the genus of \( C \) is at least 9, then \( C \) is actually bielliptic.

Next, using our geometric result and Faltings’ theorem [2] describing rational points on subvarieties of abelian varieties, we will show that if a curve \( C \) defined over a number field \( K \) is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic, then the set of quadratic points on \( C \),

\[ \{ P \in C(K): [K(P):K] \leq 2 \}, \]

is finite.

To illustrate the general theory, we use a method of Ogg to show that if \( N \) is sufficiently large (specifically if \( N \geq 345 \)), then the curves \( X_0(N), X_1(N), \) and \( X(N) \) are neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic. In particular, they have only finitely many quadratic points. This generalizes results of Frey [3] and Hindry
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[4], who dealt with the case that \( N \) is prime and \( K = \mathbb{Q} \). Recently, Kamienny has announced a proof that if \( K = \mathbb{Q} \) and \( N \) is sufficiently large, then the only quadratic points on \( X_1(N) \) are the cusps. Kamienny's proof, which is based on methods pioneered by Mazur, uses very different methods from those used in this paper.

We begin with a proposition which describes the image of a bielliptic curve.

**Proposition 1.** If \( \Gamma \) is a bielliptic curve, and if \( \Gamma \to C \) is a finite map, then \( C \) is either bielliptic or hyperelliptic.

**Proof.** To begin with, denote by \( \phi: \Gamma \to E \) a map of degree 2 from \( \Gamma \) to an elliptic curve, and let \( V \subset H^0(K_E) \) be the subspace of holomorphic differentials which are anti-invariant under the involution of \( \Gamma \) exchanging the sheets over \( E \).

Now, let \( \alpha: \Gamma \to C \) be a map of \( \Gamma \) to a curve \( C \) of genus \( g \). We claim that \( C \) is bielliptic or hyperelliptic. Since this is immediate if \( g \leq 2 \), we will assume \( g \geq 3 \). Let \( W \subset H^0(K_C) \) be the subspace of differentials on \( C \) that pull back under the map \( \alpha \) to differentials in the space \( V \), that is,

\[
W = ((\alpha^*)^{-1}(V)) \subset H^0(K_C).
\]

Note that \( W \) has codimension at most 1 in \( H^0(K_C) \).

Next, let \( B \) be the image of \( C \) under the map \( \psi = \psi_W \) associated to the linear series \( W \). This map cannot be birational onto its image—in fact, for any point \( p \in E \) with \( \phi^{-1}(p) = \{q, r\} \subset \Gamma \), we have

\[
\psi(\alpha(q)) = \psi(\alpha(r)).
\]

So we have a (nonconstant) map of the elliptic curve to the symmetric fiber square

\[
C \ast_B C = \frac{C \times_B C - \Delta}{\sigma}
\]

of \( C \) with itself over \( B \). (This is defined to be the union of the irreducible components of the ordinary product other than the diagonal, modulo the involution exchanging the factors.) This also shows that \( B \) must have genus 0 or 1. Also, since \( \psi \) is not birational, if \( W \) were all of \( H^0(K_C) \), then \( C \) would be hyperelliptic; so we may assume that \( W \) has codimension exactly 1 in \( H^0(K_C) \).

Now, given that \( \psi \) is not birational, a key question is what its degree may be. To bound this, we use the fact that \( B \), being an irreducible, nondegenerate curve in \( \mathbb{P}^{g-2} \), must have degree at least \( g - 2 \). Thus, if the degree of \( \psi \) is \( m \), we have

\[
2g - 2 \geq m \cdot \deg(B) \geq m(g - 2);
\]

and it follows that \( m \leq 4 \). Of course, if \( m = 2 \), then we are done, since \( B \) is either elliptic or rational. We can similarly dispense with the possibility \( m = 3 \); in this case the symmetric fiber square \( C \ast_B C \) is isomorphic to \( C \) itself, which we have assumed has genus \( g \geq 3 \).
The case $m = 4$ is more subtle, and requires that we consider the monodromy group $G \subset \mathcal{S}_4$ of the cover $\psi: C \to B$. We consider the possibilities for $G$ in turn.

To begin with, if $G = \{\sigma\}$ is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/4$, then $C \ast_B C$ will have two components, one a copy of $C$ itself (consisting of pairs of the form $p + \sigma p$) and the other a copy of the quotient $C/\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$ (consisting of pairs $p + \sigma^2 p$). $E$ must then map to the latter, so that the two-to-one map $C \to C/\langle \sigma^2 \rangle$ makes $C$ bielliptic or hyperelliptic. Likewise, if $G$ is the Klein group $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, then $C \ast_B C$ will have three components isomorphic to the quotients of $C$ by the subgroups of order 2 in $G$, so again we are done.

Next, $G$ could be the dihedral group $\mathcal{D}_4$ of order 8. Here $C \ast_B C$ will consist of two components, one of degree 2 over $B$ and one of degree 4. The first of these is the quotient of $C$ by the square of an element of order 4 in $G$, and if $E$ maps to this one we are done as before. But comparing the branching of $C \ast_B C$ over $B$, we see that the other component will have genus greater than or equal to that of $C$; it has the same monodromy as $C$ at a branch point of $C \to B$ with monodromy $(1234)$ or $(13)(24)$, and one more ramification point over a branch point of $C \to B$ with monodromy $(12)$. Thus $E$ must map to the first component.

In the remaining cases $G = \mathcal{A}_4$ and $\mathcal{S}_4$, so $C \ast_B C$ will be irreducible. We claim in either case that the genus of $C \ast_B C$ will be at least $g - 1 \geq 2$, which will complete the argument. This assertion also follows from an examination of the possible branching of $C$ over $B$ and the corresponding branching of $C \ast_B C$ over $B$. Specifically, an inspection of the various types of branch points shows that $C \ast_B C$ will have strictly greater total ramification index than $C$ over each branch point of $C \to B$ except a point with monodromy type $(12)(34)$, where it will be the same.

This proves that $g(C \ast_B C) \geq g$ if $B$ has positive genus. On the other hand, if $B$ is rational, then at least two of the branch points of $C$ over $B$ will not be of this type. It follows that the degree $r'$ of the ramification divisor of $C \ast_B C$ over $B$ will be at least two greater than the degree $r$ of the ramification divisor of $C$ over $B$. We calculate (note $g(B) = 0$)

$$2g(C \ast_B C) - 2 = 6(2g(B) - 2) + r' \geq -12 + r + 2$$
$$= \{4(2g(B) - 2) + r\} - 2 = 2g - 4.$$ 

Hence $g(C \ast_B C) \geq g - 1$, and we are done.

**Theorem 2.** Let $C$ be a smooth, projective curve.

(a) If the symmetric product $C^{(2)}$ contains a curve of genus 1, then $C$ is either bielliptic or hyperelliptic.

(b) If in addition the genus of $C$ is at least 9, then $C$ is bielliptic.

**Proof.** The proof of (a) will use Proposition 1. For (b) we give an independent proof, interesting in its own right, using intersection theory on the (ordinary) product $C^2$. 
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Assume that \( C^{(2)} \) contains a curve of genus 1. Let \( E \) be the normalization of that curve, and let \( \iota: E \to C^{(2)} \) be the natural map. Let \( \pi: C^2 \to C^{(2)} \) be the usual projection, and let

\[
\tilde{\Gamma} = \pi^* (\iota_* E) \in \text{Div}(C^2)
\]

be the pullback of \( \iota(E) \) via \( \pi \). We claim first that \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is irreducible. Note that in any case there is a rational map \( \tilde{\Gamma} \to E \) of degree 2; and, since \( \pi \) is finite, every component of \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) must map onto \( E \). Hence if \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is not irreducible, then any component \( \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \subset \tilde{\Gamma} \) admits a map \( \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \to E \) of degree 1. It follows that \( \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \) has genus 1, so \( C^2 \) contains a curve of genus 1. But that is not possible, since \( C \) has genus at least 2. (For example, the projections \( p_1, p_2: C^2 \to C \) map \( \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \to C \), so would have to be constant, which would imply that \( \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \) is a single point.) This proves that \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is an irreducible curve on \( C^2 \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be the normalization of \( \tilde{\Gamma} \), and \( j: \Gamma \to C^2 \) the natural map. Then we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^2 & \to & \Gamma \\
\downarrow \phi & & \downarrow j \\
E & \to & C^{(2)}
\end{array}
\]

Notice \( \deg \phi = \deg \pi = 2 \), so \( \Gamma \) is bielliptic. We consider the compositions

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma & \overset{j}{\to} & C^2 \\
& \overset{p_1 \text{ or } p_2}{\to} & C
\end{array}
\]

(Notice that they are the same map.) We check that each \( p_i \circ j \) is not constant.

Let \( \sigma: C^2 \to C^2 \) be the map \( \sigma(x, y) = (y, x) \) interchanging the fibers of \( \pi \).

From the definition of \( \Gamma \) it is clear that \( \sigma(j\Gamma) = j\Gamma \), so

\[
p_1(j\Gamma) = p_1 \circ \sigma \circ j(\Gamma) = p_2(j\Gamma).
\]

Since \( j \) is not constant, this shows that \( p_1 \circ j \) and \( p_2 \circ j \) are not constant.

Applying Proposition 1 to the bielliptic curve \( \Gamma \) and the finite map \( p_1 \circ j: \Gamma \to C \), we conclude that \( C \) is either hyperelliptic or bielliptic. This concludes the proof of (a).

(b) The idea of the proof is to show that if \( g = \text{genus}(C) \) is sufficiently large (i.e. \( g \geq 9 \)), then the map \( p_1 \circ j: \Gamma \to C \) has degree 1. Thus \( C \) will be isomorphic to the bielliptic curve \( \Gamma \).

Fix a basepoint \( x_0 \in C \). We set the following notation:

\[
\Delta = \text{diagonal}(C^2) \in \text{Div}(C^2), \\
H = p_1^*(x_0) + p_2^*(x_0) \in \text{Div}(C^2), \\
\gamma = \text{genus}(\Gamma).
\]

Clearly \( H \) is ample, and we have intersection indices

\[
(1) \quad H^2 = H \cdot \Delta = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^2 = 2 - 2g.
\]
From above, the maps $p_1 \circ j$ are not constant. Since they have the same degree, we find

(2) \quad \deg(p_1 \circ j) = \frac{1}{2} \deg(j^*H).

It is convenient to define two quantities:

(3) \quad A = \frac{1}{2g} \deg(j^*\Delta) = \frac{1}{2g} (\tilde{\Gamma} \cdot \Delta),

(4) \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \deg(j^*H) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\Gamma} \cdot H).

(Note that $\tilde{\Gamma} = j_\ast \Gamma$.) Since $H$ is ample, we can apply the Hodge Index Theorem to the three divisors $H, \Delta, \tilde{\Gamma}$. This yields

(5) \quad 0 > - \det \begin{pmatrix} H^2 & H \cdot \Delta & H \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} \\ \Delta \cdot H & \Delta^2 & \Delta \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} \\ \tilde{\Gamma} \cdot H & \tilde{\Gamma} \cdot \Delta & \tilde{\Gamma}^2 \end{pmatrix} = - \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2B \\ 2 & 2 - 2g & 2gA \\ 2B & 2gA & \tilde{\Gamma}^2 \end{pmatrix} = 4\{g\tilde{\Gamma}^2 + 2g^2 A^2 - 4gAB - (g - 1)B^2\}.

To calculate $\tilde{\Gamma}^2$ we use adjunction. Note that

(6) \quad K_{C^2} = p_1^* K_C + p_2^* K_C \text{ alg. equiv. } (2g - 2)H.

Hence

(7) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}^2 = (2p_a(\tilde{\Gamma}) - 2) - \tilde{\Gamma} \cdot K_{C^2} \geq (2\gamma - 2) - (2g - 2) \tilde{\Gamma} \cdot H = (2\gamma - 2) - 4(g - 1)B.

(Note that $p_a \geq g$ for any effective divisor on a smooth surface.) Substituting this into (4) gives

(8) \quad 0 \geq 2g(\gamma - 1) - 4g(g - 1)B + (gA - B)2gA - 2gAB - 2(g - 1)B^2

\quad = 2g(\gamma - 1) + 2gA(gA - 2B) - 2(g - 1)B(B + 2g).

It remains to estimate $\gamma$ and $A$ in terms of $B$. This involves two applications of the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula. First, from the nonconstant map $p_1 \circ j: \Gamma \to C$ we find

(9) \quad 2\gamma - 2 \geq \deg(p_1 \circ j)(2g - 2) = B(2g - 2), \quad \text{so} \quad \gamma - 1 \geq (g - 1)B.

Second, we observe that the map $\phi: \Gamma \to E$ has degree 2 and is ramified only at points in the support of $j^*\Delta$. (Note that $\pi: C^2 \to C^{(2)}$ is ramified exactly along $\Delta$.) Hence

(10) \quad 2\gamma - 2 = (\deg(\phi))(2g(E) - 2) + \deg(\text{ramification divisor of } \phi)

\quad \leq \#\text{Support } j^*\Delta \leq \deg j^*\Delta = 2gA.

Combining this with (7) gives

(11) \quad gA \geq \gamma - 1 \geq (g - 1)B.
Now we substitute (8) into (6) to eliminate \( A \) and \( \gamma \). (If we assume that \( g \geq 3 \), then (8) shows that \( gA \geq 2B \), so it is all right to substitute (8) into the term \( 2gA(gA - 2B) \).) This yields
\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq 2g(g - 1)B + 2(g - 1)B((g - 1)B - 2B) - 2(g - 1)B(B + 2g) \\
& = 2(g - 1)B((g - 4)B - g).
\end{align*}
\]
Hence
\[
g \geq 5 \Rightarrow B \leq \frac{g}{g - 4} = 2 - \frac{g - 8}{g - 4}.
\]
But \( B = \deg(p_1 \circ j) \) is an integer, so
\[
g \geq 9 \Rightarrow B = 1 \Rightarrow p_1 \circ j; \Gamma \to C \text{ is an isomorphism}.
\]
Since \( \phi; \Gamma \to E \) exhibits \( \Gamma \) as a double cover of an elliptic curve, we conclude that if \( g \geq 9 \), then \( C \) is bielliptic.

**Corollary 3.** Let \( K \) be a number field, and let \( C/K \) be a curve of genus at least 2. Assume that \( C \) is neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic. Then the set
\[
C(K, 2) = \{ P \in C(K) : [K(P): K] \leq 2 \}
\]
of quadratic points on \( C/K \) is finite.

**Proof.** If \( C(K, 2) \) is empty, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, fix a base-point \( p_0 \in C(K, 2) \). Let \( p'_0 \) be the \( \overline{K}/K \) conjugate of \( p_0 \). (If \( p_0 \) is actually in \( C(K) \), we let \( p'_0 = p_0 \).) Then there is a natural map
\[
\mu: C^{(2)} \to J = \text{Jac}(C), \quad (p) + (q) \mapsto \text{class}[(p) + (q) - (p_0) - (p'_0)].
\]
Since \( C \) is not hyperelliptic, the map \( \mu \) is injective. (\( C \) has no nontrivial \( g_2 \)'s.) Hence \( C^{(2)} \cong \mu(C^{(2)}) \subset J \). Further, since the divisor \( (p_0) + (p'_0) \) is defined over \( K \), the map \( \mu \) is defined over \( K \). Hence
\[
C^{(2)}(K) \cong \mu(C^{(2)})(K).
\]
Next, since \( C \) is not bielliptic, we know from Theorem 2 that \( C^{(2)} \) contains no curves of genus one. Also, since \( C^{(2)} \) itself is not an abelian surface, we see that \( \mu(C^{(2)}) \) does not contain a translate of an abelian subvariety of \( J \). From Faltings' theorem [2], we conclude that \( C^{(2)}(K) \) is finite.

Finally, we note that there is an (at most) two-to-one map of sets
\[
C(K, 2) \to C^{(2)}(K), \quad p \mapsto (p) + (p').
\]
(As above, \( p' \) is either the \( \overline{K}/K \) conjugate of \( p \), or else is equal to \( p \) if \( p \in C(K) \).) Therefore \( C(K, 2) \) is finite, which completes the proof of Corollary 3.

Ogg [5] has shown that if \( N \geq 72 \), then the modular curve \( X_0(N) \) is not hyperelliptic. We will now show that if \( N \geq 344 \), then \( X_0(N) \) is also not bielliptic. This provides an interesting class of examples to which one can apply Corollary 3. Our bound 344 is not sharp. It would be of interest to perform
a more detailed analysis, as Ogg does in the hyperelliptic case, so as to give
a complete list of N for which X_0(N) is bielliptic. For prime values of N,
Hindry [4] has shown that there are exactly eight values of N for which X_0(N)
is bielliptic of genus at least 2, the largest such N being 131. See also Frey [3]
for a somewhat weaker result.

**Theorem 4.** If N \geq 344, then the modular curves X_0(N), X_1(N), and X(N)
are neither hyperelliptic nor bielliptic.

**Proof.** As mentioned above, Ogg [5] has shown that X_0(N) is not hyperelliptic
if N \geq 72. This implies the same for X_1(N) and X(N). [For example, if f
is a function of degree 2 on X_1(N), and if \pi: X_1(N) \to X_0(N) is the natural
projection, then \pi_*f will be a function of degree 2 on X_0(N).] Similarly,
Proposition 1 shows that it suffices to deal with X_0(N) in the bielliptic case.
So we will prove that if X_0(N) is bielliptic, then N \leq 343.

If a curve C is hyperelliptic, then the g_2^1 on C is unique, which implies
that C is hyperelliptic over its field of definition. We begin with a similar result
for bielliptic curves.

**Lemma 5.** Let C/K be a geometrically bielliptic curve of genus at least 6.
Then there is a genus 1 curve B/K and a map \psi: C \to B of degree 2 defined over
K (i.e. C is bielliptic over K).

**Proof.** By assumption, there is a curve E/\bar{K} of genus 1 and a map \phi: C \to E
of degree 2 (defined over \bar{K}). For each \sigma \in G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) we obtain a
second map \phi^\sigma: C \to E^\sigma, also of degree 2. Consider the map

\[ \phi \times \phi^\sigma : C \to E \times E^\sigma. \]

Let X = (\phi \times \phi^\sigma)(C) be the image. If C is birational to X, then [1, VIII.C-1]
says that

\[ \text{genus } C \leq (\deg \phi - 1)(\deg \phi^\sigma - 1) + (\deg \phi)(\text{genus } E) + (\deg \phi^\sigma)(\text{genus } E^\sigma) = 5. \]

Since we have assumed that C has genus at least 6, it follows that the map
C \to X has degree at least 2. But the composition

\[ C \xrightarrow{\phi \times \phi^\sigma} X \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} E \]

is the map \phi, which has degree 2. It follows that X is birational to E.
Similarly, using the second projection, we find that X is birational to E^\sigma.
Hence E \cong E^\sigma for all \sigma \in G_K.

This proves that E is isomorphic (over \bar{K}) to a curve of genus 1 defined over
K. (For example, E \cong \text{Jac}(E), and \text{Jac}(E) is defined over K.) Replacing E
by this new curve and changing \phi, we now have C and E defined over K,
but the map \phi: C \to E may not be defined over K.

For any \sigma \in G_K, the argument given above shows that the maps \phi: C \to E
and \phi^\sigma: C \to E differ by an automorphism of E. Thus there is a map

\[ \alpha: G_K \to \text{Aut}(E), \text{ such that } \phi^\sigma = \alpha_\sigma \circ \phi \text{ for all } \sigma \in G_K. \]
(N.B. Aut(E) includes “translations.” This is the group denoted by Isom(E) in [6, X §2].) It is easily seen that \( \alpha_\sigma \) is a cocycle in \( H^1(G_K, \text{Aut}(E)) \), so by [6, X.2.2] it corresponds to a twist of \( E \). Hence there is a curve \( B/K \) of genus 1 and an isomorphism \( \lambda: B \rightarrow E \) defined over \( \overline{K} \) such that \( \alpha_\sigma = \lambda^\sigma \circ \lambda^{-1} \) for all \( \sigma \in G_K \). Now the composition

\[
\lambda^{-1} \circ \phi: C \rightarrow B
\]

is the desired map of degree 2 defined over \( K \) from \( C \) to a curve of genus 1 defined over \( K \).

Next we use methods from Ogg’s paper to prove the bielliptic analogue of his hyperelliptic estimates [5, Theorem 3].

**Lemma 6.** For any integer \( N \), let

\[
\nu(N) = \# \text{ of distinct prime divisors of } N,
\]

\[
\mu(N) = \deg(X_0(N) \rightarrow X(1)) = N \prod_{p|N} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right).
\]

For any prime \( p \), let

\[
s(p) = \sum_{E/F_p, E \text{ super-singular}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(E)|}.
\]

Assume that \( X_0(N) \) is either bielliptic or hyperelliptic. Then

\[
2^{\nu(N)} + 2s(p)\mu(N) \leq \max\{2(p + 1)^2, p^2 + 10p + 1\} \quad \text{for all } p \nmid N.
\]

In particular,

\[
2^{\nu(N)} + \frac{1}{12} \mu(N) \leq 25, \quad \text{if } 2 \nmid N,
\]

\[
2^{\nu(N)} + \frac{1}{6} \mu(N) \leq 40, \quad \text{if } 3 \nmid N,
\]

\[
2^{\nu(N)} + \frac{1}{3} \mu(N) \leq 76, \quad \text{if } 5 \nmid N,
\]

\[
2^{\nu(N)} + \frac{1}{2} \mu(N) \leq 128, \quad \text{if } 7 \nmid N,
\]

\[
2^{\nu(N)} + \frac{3}{8} \mu(N) \leq 288, \quad \text{if } 11 \nmid N.
\]

**Proof.** If \( X_0(N) \) is hyperelliptic, then Ogg [5, Theorem 3] essentially proves this result (with the better upper bound \( 2p^2 + 2 \)). We will henceforth assume that \( X_0(N) \) is bielliptic.

Let \( p \nmid N \) be a prime. Then Ogg essentially shows that

\[
\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p) \geq 2^{\nu(N)} + 2s(p)\mu(N).
\]

The first term is clear, since \( X_0(N) \) has at least \( 2^{\nu(N)} \) cusps. For the second term, Ogg notes that if \( E/\mathbb{F}_p \) is supersingular, then its Frobenius endomorphism \( \pi_p \) satisfies \( \pi_p^2 = -p \in \mathbb{Z} \). Hence any cyclic subgroup \( C \subseteq E \) of order \( N \) will be
defined over $\mathbb{F}_p^2$, yielding a point $(E, C) \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$. There are $\mu(N)$ such subgroups of order $N$, yielding $\mu(N)$ points. However, if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(E)$, then $(E, C)$ and $(E, \alpha C)$ correspond to the same point of $X_0(N)$. Since $[-1]C = C$, this means that we obtain at least $2\mu(N)/\#\text{Aut}(E)$ distinct, noncuspidal points in $X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$. Summing over all supersingular $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ gives the stated lower bound for $X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$.

To find an upper bound, we consider two cases. First, if $X_0(N)$ has genus at most 5, then Weil's estimate gives

$$
\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p^2) \leq p^2 + 1 + 2g(X_0(N))p \leq p^2 + 10p + 1.
$$

Second, if $g(X_0(N)) \geq 6$, then we can apply Lemma 5 to find a curve $B/\mathbb{Q}$ of genus 1 and a map $\psi: X_0(N) \to B$ of degree 2 defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. It follows that

$$
\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p^2) \leq 2\#B(\mathbb{F}_p^2) \leq 2(p + 1)^2.
$$

We have now proven in all cases that

$$
\#X_0(N)(\mathbb{F}_p^2) \leq \max\{2(p + 1)^2, p^2 + 10p + 1\}.
$$

Combining this upper bound with the lower bound given above proves the first part of Lemma 6.

The specific bounds for small values of $p$ can be obtained by explicitly calculating $s(p)$. For example, if every supersingular curve in characteristic $p$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (which is true for $p \leq 31$), then the mass formula of Deuring and Eichler [6, Ex. 5.9] says that $s(p) = (p - 1)/24$. This suffices for the particular cases considered in Lemma 6.

**Proof of Theorem 4 (Conclusion).** We suppose that $X_0(N)$ is bielliptic. Applying Lemma 6 (with the trivial estimates $N < \mu(N)$ and $\nu(N) \geq 1$) gives

- $N < 276$, if $2 \nmid N$,
- $N < 228$, if $3 \nmid N$,
- $N < 222$, if $5 \nmid N$,
- $N < 252$, if $7 \nmid N$,
- $N < 343\frac{1}{2}$, if $11 \nmid N$.

If $N \leq 343$, we are done; so from the above list we may assume that $N$ is divisible by $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. Write

$$
N = \prod \frac{N_p}{N_p},
$$

where $N_p$ is the largest power of $p$ dividing $N$.

Since there are finite maps $X_0(N) \to X_0(N/N_p)$, Proposition 1 says that the curves $X_0(N/N_p)$ are either hyperelliptic or bielliptic. Since $p$ does not divide $N/N_p$ (for $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$), we can apply Lemma 6 to $X_0(N/N_p)$ and the
prime $p$. Noting that $\nu(N/N_p) \geq 4$, this yields

\[
\begin{align*}
N/N_2 &\leq \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{16} \cdot \frac{11}{12} \mu(N/N_2) \leq 54 \frac{9}{64}, \\
N/N_3 &\leq \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{16} \cdot \frac{11}{12} \mu(N/N_3) \leq 64 \frac{1}{6}, \\
N/N_5 &\leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{8} \cdot \frac{11}{12} \mu(N/N_5) \leq 72 \frac{3}{16}, \\
N/N_7 &\leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{11}{12} \mu(N/N_7) \leq 85 \frac{5}{6}, \\
N/N_{11} &\leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{5}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{12} \mu(N/N_{11}) \leq 119.
\end{align*}
\]

Multiplying these estimates gives

\[N^4 \leq N^5/(N_2N_3N_5N_7N_{11}) \leq 2, 553, 229, 906,\]

and so $N < 225$.
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